

RADIUS Extensions Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Experimental
Expires: December 30, 2012

S. Winter
RESTENA
M. McCauley
OSC
June 28, 2012

**NAI-based Dynamic Peer Discovery for RADIUS/TLS and RADIUS/DTLS
draft-ietf-radext-dynamic-discovery-04**

Abstract

This document specifies a means to find authoritative RADIUS servers for a given realm. It can be used in conjunction with RADIUS/TLS and RADIUS/DTLS.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on December 30, 2012.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2012 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	3
1.1.	Requirements Language	3
1.2.	Terminology	3
2.	DNS-based NAPTR/SRV Peer Discovery	3
2.1.	Applicability	3
2.2.	DNS RR definition	3
2.3.	Realm to AAA server resolution algorithm	5
2.3.1.	Input	5
2.3.2.	Output	6
2.3.3.	Algorithm	6
2.3.4.	Validity of results	7
2.3.5.	Delay considerations	8
2.3.6.	Example	8
3.	Security Considerations	10
4.	IANA Considerations	10
5.	Normative References	10

1. Introduction

1.1. Requirements Language

In this document, several words are used to signify the requirements of the specification. The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#). [[RFC2119](#)]

1.2. Terminology

RADIUS/TLS Client: a RADIUS/TLS [[RFC6614](#)] instance which initiates a new connection.

RADIUS/TLS Server: a RADIUS/TLS [[RFC6614](#)] instance which listens on a RADIUS/TLS port and accepts new connections

RADIUS/TLS node: a RADIUS/TLS client or server

2. DNS-based NAPTR/SRV Peer Discovery

2.1. Applicability

Dynamic server discovery as defined in this document is only applicable for AAA transactions where a RADIUS server receives a request with a realm for which no home RADIUS server is known. I.e. where static server configuration does not contain a known home authentication server, or where the server configuration explicitly states that the realm destination is to be looked up dynamically. Furthermore, it is only applicable for new user sessions, i.e. for the initial Access-Request. Subsequent messages concerning this session, for example Access-Challenges and Access-Accepts use the previously-established communication channel between client and server.

2.2. DNS RR definition

DNS definitions of RADIUS/TLS servers can be either S-NAPTR records (see [[RFC3958](#)]) or SRV records. When both are defined, the resolution algorithm prefers S-NAPTR results (see section [Section 2.3](#) below).

This specification defines three S-NAPTR service tags: "aaa+auth", "aaa+acct" and "aaa+dynauth". This specification defines two S-NAPTR protocol tags: "radius.tls" for RADIUS/TLS [[RFC6614](#)] and "radius.dtls" for RADIUS/DTLS [[I-D.dekok-radext-dtls](#)].

Note well:

The S-NAPTR service and protocols are unrelated to the IANA Service Name and Transport Protocol Number registry

The delimiter '.' in the protocol tags is only a separator for human reading convenience - not for structure or namespacing; it MUST NOT be parsed in any way by the querying application or resolver.

The use of the separator '.' is common also in other protocols' protocol tags. This is coincidence and does not imply a shared semantics with such protocols.

This specification defines the SRV prefix "_radiustls._tcp" for RADIUS over TLS [[RFC6614](#)] and "_radiustls._udp" for RADIUS over DTLS [[I-D.dekok-radext-dtls](#)]. It is expected that in most cases, the label used for the records is the DNS representation (punycode) of the literal realm name for which the server is the AAA server.

However, arbitrary other labels may be used if, for example, a roaming consortium uses realm names which are not associated to DNS names or special-purpose consortia where a globally valid discovery is not a use case. Such other labels require a consortium-wide agreement about the transformation from realm name to lookup label.

Examples:

- a. A general-purpose AAA server for realm example.com might have DNS entries as follows:

```
example.com. IN NAPTR 50 50 "s" "aaa+auth:radius.tls" ""
_radiustls._tcp.foobar.example.com.

_radiustls._tcp.foobar.example.com. IN SRV 0 10 2083
radsec.example.com.
```

- b. The consortium "foo" provides roaming services for its members only. The realms used are of the form enterprise-name.example. The consortium operates a special purpose DNS server for the (private) TLD "example" which all AAA servers use to resolve realm names. "Bad, Inc." is part of the consortium. On the consortium's DNS server, realm bad.example might have the following DNS entries:

```
bad.example IN NAPTR 50 50 "a" "aaa+auth:radius.dtls" ""
"very.bad.example"
```


- c. The eduroam consortium uses realms based on DNS, but provides its services to a closed community only. However, a AAA domain participating in eduroam may also want to expose AAA services to other, general-purpose, applications (on the same or other AAA servers). Due to that, the eduroam consortium uses the service tag "x-eduroam" for authentication purposes and eduroam AAA servers use this tag to look up other eduroam servers. An eduroam participant example.org which also provides general-purpose AAA on a different server uses the general "aaa+auth" tag:

```
example.org. IN NAPTR 50 50 "s" "x-eduroam:radius.tls" ""  
_radiustls._tcp.eduroam.example.org.
```

```
example.org. IN NAPTR 50 50 "s" "aaa+auth:radius.tls" ""  
_radiustls._tcp.aaa.example.org
```

```
_radiustls._tcp.eduroam.example.org. IN SRV 0 10 2083 aaa-  
eduroam.example.org.
```

```
_radiustls._tcp.aaa.example.org. IN SRV 0 10 2083 aaa-  
default.example.org.
```

2.3. Realm to AAA server resolution algorithm

This algorithm can be used to discover RADIUS servers (for RADIUS Authentication and RADIUS Accounting) or to discover RADIUS DynAuth servers.

2.3.1. Input

For RADIUS Authentication and RADIUS Accounting server discovery, input I to the algorithm is the RADIUS User-Name attribute with content of the form "user@realm"; the literal @ sign being the separator between a local user identifier within a realm and its realm. The use of multiple literal @ signs in a User-Name is strongly discouraged; but if present, the last @ sign is to be considered the separator. All previous instances of the @ sign are to be considered part of the local user identifier.

For RADIUS DynAuth Server discovery, input I to the algorithm is the domain name of the operator of a RADIUS realm as was communicated during user authentication using the Operator-Name attribute ([\[RFC5580\]](#), [section 4.1](#)). Only Operator-Name values with the namespace "1" are supported by this algorithm - the input to the algorithm is the actual domain name, preceeded with an "@" (but without the "1" namespace identifier byte of that attribute).

Note well: The attribute User-Name is defined to contain UTF-8 text. In practice, the content may or may not be UTF-8. Even if UTF-8, it may or may not map to a domain name in the realm part. Implementors MUST take possible conversion error paths into consideration when parsing incoming User-Name attributes. This document describes server discovery only for well-formed realms mapping to DNS domain names in UTF-8 encoding. The result of all other possible contents of User-Name is unspecified; this includes, but is not limited to:

Usage of separators other than @

Usage of multiple @ separators

Encoding of User-Name in local encodings

UTF-8 realms which fail the conversion rules as per [[RFC5891](#)]

UTF-8 realms which end with a . ("dot") character.

For the last bullet point, "trailing dot", special precautions should be taken to avoid problems when resolving servers with the algorithm below: they may resolve to a AAA server even if the peer RADIUS server only is configured to handle the realm without the trailing dot. If that RADIUS server again uses NAI discovery to determine the authoritative server, the server will forward the request to localhost, resulting in a tight endless loop.

[2.3.2.](#) Output

Output 0 of the algorithm is a set of the tuple {hostname; port; order/preference; TTL} - the set can be empty.

[2.3.3.](#) Algorithm

The algorithm to determine the RADIUS server to contact is as follows:

1. Determine P = (position of last "@" character) in I.
2. generate R = (substring from P+1 to end of I)
3. Optional: modify R according to agreed consortium procedures
4. Using the host's name resolution library, perform a NAPTR query for R (see "Delay considerations" below). The name resolution library may need to convert R to a different representation, depending on the resolution backend used. If no result, continue at step 9. If name resolution returns with error, 0 =

- { } and terminate.
5. Extract NAPTR records with service tag "aaa+auth", "aaa+acct", "aaa+dynauth" as appropriate. Keep note of the remaining TTL of each of the discovered NAPTR records.
 6. If no result, continue at step 9.
 7. Evaluate NAPTR result(s) for desired protocol tag, perform subsequent lookup steps until lookup yields one or more hostnames. $O = (\text{set of } \{\text{hostname; port; order/preference; min}\{\text{all TTLs that led to this result}\} \} \text{ for all lookup results})$. Keep note of the remaining TTL of each of the discovered records (e.g. SRV and AAAA).
 8. Terminate.
 9. Generate R' = (prefix R with "_radius.tls._tcp." or "_radius.tls._udp")
 10. Using the host's name resolution library, perform SRV lookup with R' as label (see "Delay considerations" below). Keep note of the TTL of each of the discovered SRV records.
 11. If name resolution returns with error, $O = \{ \}$ and terminate.
 12. If no result, $O = \{ \}$ and terminate.
 13. Perform subsequent lookup steps until lookup yields one or more hostnames (see "Delay considerations" below). Keep note of the TTL of each of the discovered records.
 14. $O = (\text{set of } \{\text{hostname; port; order/preference; min}\{\text{all TTLs that led to this result}\} \} \text{ for all hostnames})$. Terminate.

2.3.4. Validity of results

After executing the above algorithm, the RADIUS server establishes a connection to a home server from the result set. This connection can potentially remain open for an indefinite amount of time. This conflicts with the possibility of changing device and network configurations on the receiving end. Typically, TTL values for records in the name resolution system are used to indicate how long it is safe to rely on the results of the name resolution. To allow for a change of configuration, a RADIUS server SHOULD re-execute the algorithm above after the lowest of the TTL values that are associated with this connection have expired. The server MAY keep the session open during this re-assessment to avoid closure and

immediate re-opening of the connection should the result not have changed.

Should the algorithm above terminate with an empty set (but no error), the RADIUS server SHOULD NOT attempt another execution of this algorithm for the same target realm before the negative TTL has expired.

Should the algorithm above terminate due to an error with no TTL value known (e.g. DNS SERVFAIL), the RADIUS server SHOULD NOT attempt another execution of this algorithm for the same target realm before a configurable timeout interval has passed.

2.3.5. Delay considerations

The host's name resolution library may need to contact outside entities to perform the name resolution (e.g. authoritative name servers for a domain), and since the NAI discovery algorithm is based on uncontrollable user input, the destination of the lookups is out of control of the server that performs NAI discovery. If such outside entities are misconfigured or unreachable, the algorithm above may need an unacceptably long time to terminate. Many RADIUS implementations time out after five seconds of delay between Request and Response. It is not useful to wait until the host name resolution library signals a time-out of its name resolution algorithms; instead, implementations of NAI discovery SHOULD terminate the algorithm after the fixed upper bound of time of three seconds. If no final output of the algorithm is available after this timeout, the RADIUS server MUST assume the empty set as a result and treat the pending request according to its static configuration (e.g., fallback to a default route to a home server). Execution of the NAI discovery algorithm SHOULD be non-blocking (i.e. allow other requests to be processed in parallel to the execution of the algorithm).

2.3.6. Example

Example: Assume a user from the Technical University of Munich, Germany, has a RADIUS User-Name of "foobar@tu-m[U+00FC]nchen.example". The name resolution library on the RADIUS client uses DNS for name resolution. If DNS contains the following records:

```
xn--tu-mnchen-t9a.example. IN NAPTR 50 50 "s" "aaa+
auth:radius.tls" "" _radiustls._tcp.xn--tu-mnchen-t9a.example.
```

```
xn--tu-mnchen-t9a.example. IN NAPTR 50 50 "s" "fooservice:
bar.dccp" "" _abc._def.xn--tu-mnchen-t9a.example.
```



```
_radiustls._tcp.xn--tu-mnchen-t9a.example.  IN SRV 0 10 2083  
radsec.xn--tu-mnchen-t9a.example.
```

```
_radiustls._tcp.xn--tu-mnchen-t9a.example.  IN SRV 0 20 2083  
backup.xn--tu-mnchen-t9a.example.
```

```
radsec.xn--tu-mnchen-t9a.example.  IN AAAA 2001:0DB8::202:44ff:  
fe0a:f704
```

```
radsec.xn--tu-mnchen-t9a.example.  IN A 192.0.2.3
```

```
backup.xn--tu-mnchen-t9a.example.  IN A 192.0.2.7
```

Then the algorithm executes as follows, with I =
"foobar@tu-m[U+00FC]nchen.example", and no consortium name mangling
in use:

1. P = 7
2. R = "tu-m[U+00FC]nchen.example"
3. NOOP
4. [name resolution library converts R to xn--tu-mnchen-t9a.example] Query result: (50 50 "s" "aaa+auth:radius.tls" ""
_radiustls._tcp.xn--tu-mnchen-t9a.example. ; 50 50 "s"
"fooservice:bar.dccp" "" _abc._def.xn--tu-mnchen-t9a.example.)
5. Result: 50 50 "s" "aaa+auth:radius.tls" "" _radiustls._tcp.xn--tu-mnchen-t9a.example.
6. NOOP
7. O = {(radsec.xn--tu-mnchen-t9a.example.; 2083; 10; TTL
A),(backup.xn--tu-mnchen-t9a. example.;2083; 20; TTL B)}
8. Terminate.
9. (not executed)
10. (not executed)
11. (not executed)
12. (not executed)
13. (not executed)

14. (not executed)

The implementation will then attempt to connect to two servers, with preference to radsec.xn--tu-mnchen-t9a.example.:2083, using either the AAAA or A addresses depending on the host configuration and its IP stack's capabilities.

3. Security Considerations

When using DNS without DNSSEC security extensions, the replies to NAPTR, SRV and A/AAAA requests as described in section [Section 2](#) can not be trusted. RADIUS transports have an out-of-DNS-band means to verify that the discovery attempt led to the intended target: certificate verification or TLS-PSK keys.

4. IANA Considerations

This document requests IANA registration of the following S-NAPTR parameter:

o Application Service Tags

- * aaa+auth
- * aaa+acct
- * aaa+dynauth

o Application Protocol Tags

- * radius.tls
- * radius.dtls

5. Normative References

- | | |
|-----------|---|
| [RFC2119] | Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14 , RFC 2119 , March 1997. |
| [RFC3958] | Daigle, L. and A. Newton, "Domain-Based Application Service Location Using SRV RRs and the Dynamic Delegation Discovery Service (DDDS)", RFC 3958 , January 2005. |
| [RFC5580] | Tschafenig, H., Adrangi, F., Jones, M., Lior, A., and B. Aboba, "Carrying Location Objects in RADIUS and Diameter", RFC 5580 , |

August 2009.

- [RFC5891] Klensin, J., "Internationalized Domain Names in Applications (IDNA): Protocol", [RFC 5891](#), August 2010.
- [I-D.dekok-radext-dtls] DeKok, A., "DTLS as a Transport Layer for RADIUS", [draft-dekok-radext-dtls-03](#) (work in progress), July 2010.
- [RFC6614] Winter, S., McCauley, M., Venaas, S., and K. Wierenga, "Transport Layer Security (TLS) Encryption for RADIUS", [RFC 6614](#), May 2012.

Authors' Addresses

Stefan Winter
Fondation RESTENA
6, rue Richard Coudenhove-Kalergi
Luxembourg 1359
LUXEMBOURG

Phone: +352 424409 1
Fax: +352 422473
EMail: stefan.winter@restena.lu
URI: <http://www.restena.lu>.

Mike McCauley
Open Systems Consultants
9 Bulbul Place
Currumbin Waters QLD 4223
AUSTRALIA

Phone: +61 7 5598 7474
Fax: +61 7 5598 7070
EMail: mikem@open.com.au
URI: <http://www.open.com.au>.

