Network Working Group Internet-Draft Intended status: BCP

Expires: March 15, 2013

X. Li
C. Bao
CERNET Center/Tsinghua
University
D. Wing
R. Vaithianathan
Cisco
G. Huston
APNIC
September 11, 2012

Stateless Source Address Mapping for ICMPv6 Packets draft-ietf-v6ops-ivi-icmp-address-06

Abstract

A stateless IPv4/IPv6 translator may receive ICMPv6 packets containing non IPv4-translatable addresses as the source. These packets should be passed across the translator as ICMP packets directed to the IPv4 destination. This document presents recommendations for source address translation in ICMPv6 headers to handle such cases.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of \underline{BCP} 78 and \underline{BCP} 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on March 15, 2013.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2012 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}}$ 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents

(http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

<u>1</u> .	Introduction													<u>3</u>
<u>2</u> .	Notational Conventions .													3
<u>3</u> .	Problem Statement and Con	sio	der	at	ic	ns	;							3
<u>3</u> .	<u>.1</u> . Considerations													<u>3</u>
<u>3.</u>	.2. Recommendations													4
<u>4</u> .	ICMP Extension													4
<u>5</u> .	Stateless Address Mapping	A]	Lgo	ri	.th	ım								4
<u>6</u> .	Security Considerations .													4
<u>7</u> .	IANA Considerations													<u>5</u>
<u>8</u> .	Acknowledgments													<u>5</u>
<u>9</u> .	References													<u>5</u>
9.	<u>1</u> . Normative References													<u>5</u>
<u>9</u> .	<u>2</u> . Informative Reference	S												<u>6</u>
Auth	nors' Addresses													6

1. Introduction

[RFC6145] section 5.2 of the "IP/ICMP Translation Algorithm" document. states that "the IPv6 addresses in the ICMPv6 header may not be IPv4-translatable addresses and there will be no corresponding IPv4 addresses representing this IPv6 address. In this case, the translator can do stateful translation. A mechanism by which the translator can instead do stateless translation is left for future work." This document, Stateless Source Address Mapping for ICMPv6 Packets, provides recommendations for this case.

2. Notational Conventions

The key words MUST, MUST NOT, REQUIRED, SHALL, SHALL NOT, SHOULD, SHOULD NOT, RECOMMENDED, MAY, and OPTIONAL, when they appear in this document, are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

3. Problem Statement and Considerations

When a stateless IPv4/IPv6 translator receives an ICMPv6 message [RFC4443] (for example "Packet Too Big") sourced from an non-IPv4-translatable IPv6 address, bound for to an IPv4-translatable IPv6 address, the translator needs to pick a source address with which to generate an ICMP message. For the reasons discussed below, this choice is problematic.

3.1. Considerations

The source address used, should not cause the ICMP packet to be a candidate for discarding. The possibility of uRPF filters in the path are a critical consideration [RFC3704] which precludes the use of private IPv4 address space [RFC1918] in this context.

IPv4/IPv6 translation is intended for use in contexts where IPv4 addresses may not be readily available, so it is not considered appropriate to assign IPv4-translatable IPv6 addresses for all internal points in the IPv6 network that may originate ICMPv6 messages.

Another consideration for source selection is that it be possible for the IPv4 recipients of the ICMP message to be able to distinguish between different IPv6 network origination of ICMPv6 messages, (for example, to support a traceroute diagnostic utility that provides some limited network level visibility across the IPv4/IPv6 translator). This consideration implies that an IPv4/IPv6 translator needs to have a pool of IPv4 addresses for mapping the source address

of ICMPv6 packets generated from different origins, or to include the IPv6 source address information for mapping the source address by others means. Currently, the TRACEROUTE and MTR [MTR] are the only consumers of translated ICMPv6 messages that care about the ICMPv6 source address.

3.2. Recommendations

The recommended approach to source selection is to use the a single (or small pool) of public IPv4 address as the source address of the translated ICMP message and leverage ICMP extension [RFC5837] to include IPv6 address as an Interface IP Address Sub-Object.

4. ICMP Extension

In the case of either a single public IPv4 address (the IPv4 interface address or loopback address of the translator) or a pool of public IPv4 addresses, the translator SHOULD implement ICMP extension defined by [RFC5837]. The ICMP message SHOULD include the Interface IP Address Sub-Object, and specify the source IPv6 addresses of the original ICMPv6. When an enhanced traceroute application is used, it can derive the real IPv6 source addresses which generated the ICMPv6 messages. Therefore, it would be able improve on visibility towards the origin rather than simply blackholing at or beyond the translator. In the future, a new ICMP extension whose presence indicates that the packet has been translated and that the source address belongs to the translator, not the originating node can also be considered.

5. Stateless Address Mapping Algorithm

If a pool of public IPv4 addresses is configured on the translator, it is RECOMMENDED to randomly select the IPv4 source address from the pool. Random selection reduces the probability that two ICMP messages elicited by the same TRACEROUTE might specify the same source address and, therefore, erroneously present the appearance of a routing loop. An enhanced traceroute application is RECOMMENDED in order to obtain the IPv6 source addresses which generated the ICMPv6 messages.

6. Security Considerations

This document recommends the generation of IPv4 ICMP messages from IPv6 ICMP messages. These messages would otherwise have been discarded. It is not expected that new considerations result from

Li, et al. Expires March 15, 2013 [Page 4]

this change. As with a number of ICMP messages, a spoofed source address may result in replies arriving at hosts that did not expect them using the facility of the translator.

7. IANA Considerations

There is no consideration requested of IANA.

8. Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the following contributors of this document: Kevin Yin, Chris Metz, Neeraj Gupta and Joel Jaeggli. The authors would also like to thank Ronald Bonica, Ray Hunter, George Wes, Yu Guanghui, Sowmini Varadhan, David Farmer, Fred Baker, Leo Vegoda, Joel Jaeggli, Henrik Levkowetz, Henrik Levkowetz, Randy Bush and Warren Kumari for their comments and suggestions.

9. References

9.1. Normative References

- [RFC1918] Rekhter, Y., Moskowitz, R., Karrenberg, D., Groot, G., and E. Lear, "Address Allocation for Private Internets", BCP 5, RFC 1918, February 1996.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, March 1997.
- [RFC3704] Baker, F. and P. Savola, "Ingress Filtering for Multihomed Networks", <u>BCP 84</u>, <u>RFC 3704</u>, March 2004.
- [RFC4443] Conta, A., Deering, S., and M. Gupta, "Internet Control Message Protocol (ICMPv6) for the Internet Protocol Version 6 (IPv6) Specification", RFC 4443, March 2006.
- [RFC5837] Atlas, A., Bonica, R., Pignataro, C., Shen, N., and JR. Rivers, "Extending ICMP for Interface and Next-Hop Identification", RFC 5837, April 2010.
- [RFC6145] Li, X., Bao, C., and F. Baker, "IP/ICMP Translation Algorithm", <u>RFC 6145</u>, April 2011.

9.2. Informative References

[MTR] "http://www.bitwizard.nl/mtr/".

Authors' Addresses

Xing Li CERNET Center/Tsinghua University Room 225, Main Building, Tsinghua University Beijing 100084 CN

Phone: +86 10-62785983 Email: xing@cernet.edu.cn

Congxiao Bao CERNET Center/Tsinghua University Room 225, Main Building, Tsinghua University Beijing 100084 CN

Phone: +86 10-62785983

Email: congxiao@cernet.edu.cn

Dan Wing Cisco Systems, Inc. 170 West Tasman Drive San Jose, CA 95134 USA

Email: dwing@cisco.com

Ramji Vaithianathan Cisco Systems, Inc. A 5-2, BGL 12-4, SEZ Unit, Cessna Business Park, Varthur Hobli Sarjapur Outer Ring Road BANGALORE KARNATAKA 560 103 INDIA

Phone: +91 80 4426 0895 Email: rvaithia@cisco.com

Geoff Huston APNIC

Email: gih@apnic.net