

Network Working Group
Internet Draft
Intended status: Informational
Expires: August 2014

CCAMP
Y.Li
ZTE
G. Zhang
CATR
X.Fu
ZTE
R. Casellas
CTTC
Y Wang
CATR
February 14, 2014

Link Management Protocol Extensions for Grid Property Negotiation
draft-li-ccamp-grid-property-lmp-03.txt

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt>

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>

This Internet-Draft will expire on August 10, 2014.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2014 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents

Internet-Draft li-ccamp-grid-property-lmp-03 February 2014
(<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of
publication of this document. Please review these documents
carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with
respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this
document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in
Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without
warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal
Provisions Relating to IETF Documents
(<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of
publication of this document. Please review these documents
carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with
respect to this document.

Abstract

The recent updated version of ITU-T [G.694.1] has introduced the
flexible-grid DWDM technique, which provides a new tool that operators
can implement to provide a higher degree of network optimization than
is possible with fixed-grid systems. This document describes the
extensions to the Link Management Protocol (LMP) to negotiate link grid
property between the adjacent DWDM nodes before the link is brought up.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	3
1.1. Conventions Used in This Document	3
2. Terminology	3
3. Requirements for Grid Property Negotiation	4
3.1. Flexi-fixed Grid Nodes Interworking	4
3.2. Flexible-Grid Capability Negotiation	5
3.3. Summary	5
4. LMP extensions	6
4.1. Grid Property Subobject.....	6
5. Messages Exchange Procedure.....	8
5.1. Flexi-fixed Grid Nodes Messages Exchange	8
5.2. Flexible Nodes Messages Exchange	9
6. Security Considerations.....	10
7. IANA Considerations	10
8. References	10
8.1. Normative references.....	10
8.2. Informative References.....	11
9. Authors' Address	11
10. Contributors' Address.....	12

1. Introduction

The recent updated version of ITU-T [G.694.1] has introduced the flexible-grid DWDM technique, which provides a new tool that operators can implement to provide a higher degree of network optimization than is possible with fixed-grid systems. A flexible-grid network supports allocating a variable-sized spectral slot to a channel. Flexible-grid DWDM transmission systems can allocate their channels with different spectral bandwidths/slot widths so that they can be optimized for the bandwidth requirements of the particular bit rate and modulation scheme of the individual channels. This technique is regarded to be a promising way to improve the spectrum utilization efficiency and can be used in the beyond 100Gb/s transport systems.

Fixed-grid DWDM system is regarded as a special case of Flexi-grid DWDM. It is expected that fixed-grid optical nodes will be gradually replaced by flexible nodes and interworking between fixed-grid DWDM and flexible-grid DWDM nodes will be needed as the network evolves. Additionally, even two flexible-grid optical nodes may have different grid properties based on the filtering component characteristics, thus need to negotiate on the specific parameters to be used during neighbor discovery process [draft-ietf-ccamp-flexi-grid-fwk-00]. This document describes the extensions to the Link Management Protocol (LMP) to negotiate a link grid property between two adjacent Flexi-grid nodes before the link is brought up.

1.1. Conventions Used in This Document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

2. Terminology

For the flexible-grid DWDM, the spectral resource is called frequency slot which is represented by the central frequency and the slot width. The defined nominal central frequency and the slot width can be referred to [FLEX-FWK].

Central frequency granularity: It is the granularity of the allowed central frequencies and is set to the multiple of 6.25 GHz.

Slot width granularity: It is the granularity of the allowed slot width, and is set to the multiple of 12.5 GHz.

Tuning range: It describes the supported spectrum slot range of the switching nodes or interfaces. It is represented by the supported minimal slot width and the maximum slot width.


Channel spacing: It is used in traditional fixed-grid network to identify spectrum spacing between two adjacent channels.


3. Requirements for Grid Property Negotiation

3.1. Flexi-fixed Grid Nodes Interworking

Figure 1 shows an example of interworking between flexible and fixed-grid nodes. Node A, B, D and E support flexible-grid. All these nodes can support frequency slots with a central frequency granularity of 6.25 GHz and slot width granularity of 12.5 GHz. Given the flexibility in flexible-grid nodes, it is possible to configure the nodes in such a way that the central frequencies and slot width parameters are backwards compatible with the fixed DWDM grids (adjacent flexible frequency slots with channel spacing of 8×6.25 and slot width of 4×12.5 GHz is equivalent to fixed DWDM grids with channel spacing of 50 GHz).

As node C can only support the fixed-grid DWDM property with channel spacing of 50 GHz, to establish a LSP through node B, C, D, the links between B to C and C to D must set to align with the fixed-grid values. This link grid property must be negotiated before establishing the LSP.





3.2. Flexible-Grid Capability Negotiation

The updated version of ITU-T [G.694.1] has defined the flexible-grid with a central frequency granularity of 6.25 GHz and a slot width granularity of 12.5 GHz. However, devices or applications that make use of the flexible-grid may not be able to support every possible slot width. In other words, applications may be defined where different grid granularity can be supported. Taking node G as an example, an application could be defined where the central frequency granularity is 12.5 GHz requiring slot widths being multiple of 25 GHz. Therefore the link between two optical nodes with different grid granularity must be configured to align with the larger of both granularities. Besides, different nodes may have different slot width tuning ranges. For example, in figure 3, node F can only support slot width with tuning change from 12.5 to 100 GHz, while node G supports tuning range from 25 GHz to 200 GHz. The link property of slot width tuning range for the link between F and G should be chosen as the range intersection, resulting in a range from 25 GHz to 100 GHz.

	+----+		+----+
	F +-----		G
	+----+		+----+
+-----+		+-----+	
Unit (GHz)	Node F		Node G
+-----+		+-----+	
Grid granularity	6.25 (12.5)		12.5 (25)
+-----+		+-----+	
Tuning range	[12.5, 100]		[25, 200]
+-----+		+-----+	

Figure 3 An example of flexible-grid capability negotiation

3.3. Summary

In summary, in a DWDM Link between two nodes, the following properties can be negotiated:

Reserved	0
ITU-T DWDM	1
ITU-T CWDM	2
Flexible DWDM	3
Future use	4-16

C.F.G (central frequency granularity):

For a fixed-grid node/interface, the C.F.G value is used to represent the channel spacing, as the spacing between adjacent channels is constant. For a flexible-grid node/interface, this field should be used to represent the central frequency granularity which is the multiple of 6.25 GHz.

C.F.G (GHz)	Value
Reserved	0
100	1
50	2
25	3
12.5	4
6.25	5
Future use	6-15

S.W.G (Slot Width Granularity):

It is a positive integer value which indicates the slot width granularity which is the multiple of 12.5 GHz.

Min & Max:

Min & Max indicate the slot width tuning range the interface supports (as defined in section 2). For example, for slot width

Internet-Draft li-ccamp-grid-property-lmp-03 February 2014
tuning range from 25 GHz to 100 GHz (with regard to a node with slot
width granularity of 12.5 GHz), the values of Min and Max should be
2 and 8 respectively. For fixed-grid nodes, these two fields are
meaningless and should be set to zero.

5. Messages Exchange Procedure

5.1. Flexi-fixed Grid Nodes Messages Exchange

To demonstrate the procedure of grid property correlation, the model
shown in Figure 1 is reused. Node B starts sending messages.

- o After inspecting its own node/interface property, node B sends
node C a LinkSummary message including the MESSAGE ID, TE_LINK ID
and DATA_LINK objects. The setting and negotiating of MESSAGE ID
and TE_link ID can be referenced to [RFC4204]. As node B
supports flexible-grid property, the Grid and C.S. values in the
grid property subobject are set to be 3 and 5 respectively. The
slot width tuning range is from 12.5 GHz to 200 GHz. Meanwhile,
the N bit of the DATA_LINK object is set to 1, indicating that
the property is negotiable.
- o When node C receives the LinkSummary message from B, it checks
the Grid, C.S., Min and Max values in the grid property subobject.
Node C can only support fixed-grid DWDM and realizes that the
flexible-grid property is not acceptable for the link. Since the
receiving N bit in the DATA_LINK object is set, indicating that
the Grid property of B is negotiable, node C responds to B with a
LinkSummaryNack containing a new Error_code object and state that
the property needs further negotiation. Meanwhile, an accepted
grid property subobject (Grid=2, C.S.=2, fixed DWDM with channel
spacing of 50 GHz) is carried in LinkSummaryNack message. At
this moment, the N bit in the DATA_LINK object is set to 0,
indicating that the grid property subobject is non-negotiable.
- o As the channel spacing and slot width of node B can be configured
to be any integral multiples of 6.25 GHz and 12.5 GHz
respectively, node B supports the fixed DWDM values announced by
node C. Consequently, node B will resend the LinkSummary message
carrying the grid property subobject with values of Grid=2 and
C.S.=2.
- o Once received the LinkSummary message from node B, node C replies
with a LinkSummaryACK message. After the message exchange, the
link between node B and C is brought up with a fixed channel
spacing of 50 GHz.

In the above mentioned grid property correlation scenario, the node
supporting a flexible-grid is the one that starts sending LMP

- o After inspecting its own interface property, Node C sends B a LinkSummary message containing a grid property subobject with Grid=2, C.S.=2. The N bit in the DATA_LINK object is set to 0, indicating that it is non-negotiable.
- o As the channel spacing and slot width of node B can be configured to be any integral multiples of 6.25 GHz and 12.5 GHz respectively, node B is able to support the fixed DWDM parameters. Then, node B will make appropriate configuration and reply node C the LinkSummaryACK message.
- o After the message exchange, the link between node B and C is brought up with a fixed channel spacing of 50 GHz.

5.2. Flexible Nodes Messages Exchange

To demonstrate the procedure of grid property correlation between to flexi-grid capable nodes, the model shown in figure 3 is reused. The procedure of grid property correlation (negotiating the grid granularity and slot width tuning range) is similar to the scenarios mentioned above.

- o The Grid, C.S., Min and Max values in the grid property subobject sent from node F to G are set to be 3,5,1,8 respectively. Meanwhile, the N bit of the DATA_LINK object is set to 1, indicating that the grid property is negotiable.
- o When node G has received the LinkSummary message from F, it will analyze the Grid, C.S., Min and Max values in the Grid property subobject. But node G can only support grid granularity of 12.5 GHz and a slotwidth tuning range from 25 GHz to 200 GHz. Considering the property of node F, node G then will respond F a LinkSummaryNack containing a new Error_code object and state that the property need further negotiation. Meanwhile, an accepted grid property subobject (Grid=3, C.S.=4, Min=1, Max=4, the slot width tuning range is set to the intersection of Node F and G) is carried in LinkSummaryNack message. Meanwhile, the N bit in the DATA_LINK object is set to 1, indicating that the grid property subobject is non-negotiable.
- o As the channel spacing and slot width of node F can be configured to be any integral multiples of 6.25 GHz and 12.5 GHz respectively, node F can support the lager granularity. The suggested slot width tuning range is acceptable for node F. In consequence, node F will resend the LinkSummary message carrying the grid subobject with values of Grid=3, C.S.=4, Min=1 and Max=4.

- o Once received the LinkSummary message from node F, node G replies with a LinkSummaryACK message. After the message exchange, the link between node F and G is brought up supporting central frequency granularity of 12.5 GHz and slot width tuning range from 25 GHz to 100 GHz.

From the perspective of the control plane, once the links have been brought up, wavelength constraint information can be advertised and the wavelength label can be assigned hop-by-hop when establishing a LSP based on the link grid property.

6. Security Considerations

TBD.

7. IANA Considerations

TBD.

8. References

8.1. Normative references

- [G.694.1] International Telecommunications Union, "Spectral grids for WDM applications: DWDM frequency grid", Recommendation G.694.1, June 2002.
- [G.694.2] International Telecommunications Union, "Spectral grids for WDM applications: CWDM wavelength grid", Recommendation G.694.2, December 2003.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.
- [RFC4204] Lang, J., "Link Management Protocol (LMP)", RFC 4204, October 2005.
- [RFC6205] Otani, T. and D. Li, "Generalized Labels for Lambda-Switch-Capable (LSC) Label Switching Routers", RFC 6205, March 2011.

- [I-D.farrkingel-ccamp-flexigrid-lambda-label]
Farrel, A., King, D., Li, Y., Zhang, F.,
"Generalized Labels for the Flexi-Grid in Lambda-Switch-
Capable (LSC) Label Switching Routers", draft-farrkingel-
ccamp-flexigrid-lambda-label-08 (work in progress),
February 2014.
- [FLEX-FWK]
Dios, O., Casellas, R., Zhang, F., Fu, X., Ceccarelli, D.,
and I. Hussain, "Framework for GMPLS based control of
Flexi-grid DWDM networks", draft-ietf-ccamp-flexi-grid-
fwk-00 (work in progress), October 2013.

9. Authors' Address

Yao Li (editor)

ZTE

Email: li.yao3@zte.com.cn

Guoying Zhang (editor)

China Academy of Telecom Research, MIIT

Email: zhangguoying@catr.cn

Xihua Fu (editor)

ZTE

Email: fu.xihua@zte.com.cn

Ramon Casellas

CTTC

Email: ramon.casellas@cttc.es

Internet-Draft
Yu Wang

li-ccamp-grid-property-lmp-03

February 2014

China Academy of Telecom Research, MIIT

Email: wangyu@catr.cn

10. Contributors' Address

Wenjuan He (editor)

ZTE

Email: he.wenjuan1@zte.com.cn