

MMUSIC  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: April 30, 2015

K. Drage, Ed.  
M. Makaraju  
J. Stoetzer-Bradler  
Alcatel-Lucent  
R. Ejzak  
J. Marcon  
Unaffiliated  
October 27, 2014

SDP-based "SCTP over DTLS" data channel negotiation  
draft-ejzak-mmusic-data-channel-sdpneg-02

Abstract

The Real-Time Communication in WEB-browsers (RTCWeb) working group is charged to provide protocols to support direct interactive rich communications using audio, video, and data between two peers' web-browsers. For the support of data communication, the RTCWeb working group has in particular defined the concept of bi-directional data channels over SCTP, where each data channel might be used to transport other protocols, called sub-protocols. Data channel setup can be done using either the internal in-band band (also referred to as 'internal' for the rest of the document) WebRTC Data Channel Establishment Protocol or some external out-of-band simply referred to as 'external negotiation' in the rest of the document . This document specifies how the SDP offer/answer exchange can be used to achieve such an external negotiation. Even though data channels are designed for RTCWeb use initially they may be used by other protocols like, but not limited to, the CLUE protocol. This document is intended to be used wherever data channels are used.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 30, 2015.

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2014 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	3
2.	Conventions	3
3.	Terminology	3
4.	Data Channels	4
4.1.	Stream identifier numbering	5
4.2.	Generic external negotiation	6
4.2.1.	Overview	6
4.2.2.	Opening a data channel	6
4.2.3.	Closing a data channel	7
5.	SDP-based external negotiation	7
5.1.	SDP syntax	8
5.1.1.	SDP attribute for data channel parameter negotiation	8
5.1.1.1.	dcmmap attribute	9
5.1.1.2.	label parameter	10
5.1.1.3.	subprotocol parameter	11
5.1.1.4.	max-retr parameter	11
5.1.1.5.	max-time parameter	11
5.1.1.6.	ordered parameter	11
5.1.2.	Sub-protocol specific attributes	11
5.2.	Procedures	13
5.2.1.	Managing stream identifiers	13
5.2.2.	Opening a data channel	13
5.2.3.	Closing a data channel	15
5.2.4.	Various SDP offer/answer scenarios and considerations	16
6.	Examples	17
7.	Security Considerations	19
8.	IANA Considerations	19
9.	Acknowledgments	20
10.	CHANGE LOG	20
10.1.	Changes against '-01'	20
10.2.	Changes against '-00'	20

11. References . . . . .	20
11.1. Normative References . . . . .	20
11.2. Informative References . . . . .	21
Authors' Addresses . . . . .	22

## 1. Introduction

The RTCWeb working group has defined the concept of bi-directional data channels running on top of SCTP/DTLS. RTCWeb leaves it open for other applications to use data channels and its in-band or out-of-band protocol for creating them. Each data channel consists of paired SCTP streams sharing the same SCTP Stream Identifier. Data channels are created by endpoint applications through the WebRTC API, or other users of data channel like CLUE, and can be used to transport proprietary or well-defined protocols, which in the latter case can be signaled by the data channel "sub-protocol" parameter, conceptually similar to the WebSocket "sub-protocol". However, apart from the "sub-protocol" value transmitted to the peer, RTCWeb leaves it open how endpoint applications can agree on how to instantiate a given sub-protocol on a data channel, and whether it is signaled in-band or out-of-band (or both). In particular, the SDP offer generated by the application includes no channel-specific information.

This document defines SDP-based out-of-band negotiation procedures to establish data channels for transport of well-defined sub-protocols.

## 2. Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

## 3. Terminology

This document uses the following terms:

**Data channel:** A bidirectional channel consisting of paired SCTP outbound and inbound streams.

**Data channel stack:** An entity which, upon application request, runs data channel protocol to keep track of states, sending and receive data. If the application is browser based Javascript application then this stack resides in the browser. If the application is a native application then this stack resides in application and accessible to it via some sort of APIs.

Data channel properties: fixed properties assigned to a data channel at the time of its creation. Some of these properties determine the way the data channel stack transmits data on this channel (e.g., stream identifier, reliability, order of delivery...)

DCEP - Data Channel Establishment Protocol defined in [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol].

External negotiation: Data channel negotiation based on SDP offer/answer outlined in this specification.

Internal negotiation: Data channel negotiation based on Data Channel Establishment Protocol defined in [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol].

In-band: transmission through the peer-to-peer SCTP association.

In-band negotiation: data channel negotiation based Data Channel Establishment Protocol defined in [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol].

Out-of-band: transmission through the application signaling path.

Peer: From the perspective of one of the agents in a session, its peer is the other agent. Specifically, from the perspective of the SDP offerer, the peer is the SDP answerer. From the perspective of the SDP answerer, the peer is the SDP offerer.

Stream identifier: the identifier of the outbound and inbound SCTP streams composing a data channel.

#### 4. Data Channels

This section summarizes how data channels work in general. Note that the references to 'browser' here is intentional as in this specific example the data channel user is a webrtc enabled browser.

A WebRTC application creates a data channel via the Data Channel API, by providing a number of setup parameters (sub-protocol, label, reliability, order of delivery, priority). The application also specifies if it wants to make use of the in-band negotiation using the DCEP [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol], or if the application intends to perform an "external negotiation" using some other in-band or out-of-band mechanism.

In any case, the SDP offer generated by the browser is per [I-D.ietf-mmusic-sctp-sdp]. In brief, it contains one m-line for the

SCTP association on top of which data channels will run, and one attribute per protocol assigned to the SCTP ports:

OPEN ISSUE: The syntax in [I-D.ietf-mmusic-sctp-sdp] may change as that document progresses. In particular we expect "webrtc-datachannel" to become a more general term.

```
m=application 54111 DTLS/SCTP webrtc-datachannel
c=IN IP4 79.97.215.79
a=fmtp:webrtc-datachannel max-message-size=100000
a=sctp-port 5000
a=setup:actpass
a=connection:new
a=fingerprint:SHA-1 \
    4A:AD:B9:B1:3F:82:18:3B:54:02:12:DF:3E:5D:49:6B:19:E5:7C:AB
```

Note: A WebRTC browser will only use m-line format "webrtc-datachannel", and will not use other formats in the m-line for other protocols such as t38. [I-D.ietf-mmusic-sctp-sdp] supports only one SCTP association to be established on top of a DTLS session.

Note: This SDP syntax does not contain any channel-specific information.

#### 4.1. Stream identifier numbering

Independently from the requested type of negotiation, the application creating a data channel can either pass to the browser the stream identifier to assign to the data channel or else let the browser pick one identifier from the ones unused.

To avoid glare situations, each endpoint can moreover own an exclusive set of stream identifiers, in which case an endpoint can only create a data channel with a stream identifier it owns.

Which set of stream identifiers is owned by which endpoint is determined by convention or other means.

For data channels negotiated in-band, one endpoint owns by convention the even stream identifiers, whereas the other owns the odd stream identifiers, as defined in [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol].

For data channels externally negotiated, no convention is defined by default.

## 4.2. Generic external negotiation

### 4.2.1. Overview

In-band negotiation only provides for negotiation of data channel transport parameters and does not provide for negotiation of sub-protocol specific parameters. External negotiation can be defined to allow negotiation of parameters beyond those handled by in-band negotiation, e.g., parameters specific to the sub-protocol instantiated on a particular data channel. See Section 5.1.2 for an example of such a parameter.

The following procedures are common to all methods of external negotiation, whether in-band (communicated using proprietary means on an already established data channel) or out-of-band (using SDP or some other protocol associated with the signaling channel).

### 4.2.2. Opening a data channel

In the case of external negotiation, the endpoint application has the option to fully control the stream identifier assignments. However these assignments have to coexist with the assignments controlled by the data channel stack for the in-band negotiated data channels (if any). It is the responsibility of the application to ensure consistent assignment of stream identifiers.

When the application requests the creation of a new data channel to be set up via external negotiation, the data channel stack creates the data channel locally without sending any DATA CHANNEL OPEN message in-band, and sets the data channel state to Connecting if the SCTP association is not yet established, or sets the data channel state to Open if the SCTP association is already established. The side which starts external negotiation creates data channel using underlying data channel stack API and the data channel is put into open state immediately (assuming ICE, SCTP procedures were already done). However, the application can't send data on this data channel until external negotiation is complete with the peer. This is because peer needs to be aware and accept the data channel via external negotiation. The peer after accepting the data channel offer can start sending data immediately. This implies that offerer may get data channel message before external negotiation is complete and the application should be ready to handle it.

If the peer rejects the data channel part of the offer then it doesn't have to do anything as the data channel was not created using the stack. The offerer on the other hand needs to close the data channel that was opened by invoking relevant data channel stack API procedures.

It is also worth noting that a data channel stack implementation may not provide any API to create and close data channels; instead the data channels are used on the fly as needed just by communicating via external means or by even having some local configuration/assumptions on both the peers.

The application then externally negotiates the data channel properties and sub-protocol properties with the peer's application.

[ASSUMPTION] The peer must then symmetrically create a data channel with these negotiated data channel properties. This is the only way for the peer's data channel stack to know which properties to apply when transmitting data on this channel. The data channel stack must allow data channel creation with any non-conflicting stream identifier so that both peers can create the data channel with the same stream identifier.

In case the external negotiation is correlated with an SDP offer/answer exchange that establishes the SCTP association, the SCTP initialization completion triggers a callback from the data channel stack to an application on both the ends to change the data channel state from Connecting to Open. The details of this interface is specific to the data channel user application. Browser based applications (could include hybrid apps) will use [WebRtcAPI], while native applications use a compatible API, which is yet to be specified. See Section 5.2.2 for details on when the data channel stack can assume the data channel is open, and on when the application can assume the data channel is open.

#### 4.2.3. Closing a data channel

When the application requests the closing of an externally negotiated data channel, the data channel stack always performs an in-band SSN reset for this channel.

Depending upon the method used for external negotiation and the sub-protocol associated with the data channel, the closing might in addition be signaled to the peer via external negotiation.

#### 5. SDP-based external negotiation

This section defines a method of external negotiation by which two clients can negotiate data channel-specific and sub-protocol-specific parameters, using the out-of-band SDP offer/answer exchange. This SDP extension can only be used with SDP offer/answer model.

## 5.1. SDP syntax

Two new SDP attributes are defined to support external negotiation of data channels. The first attribute provides for negotiation of channel-specific parameters. The second attribute provides for negotiation of sub-protocol-specific parameters.

### 5.1.1. SDP attribute for data channel parameter negotiation

Associated with the SDP "m" line that defines the SCTP association for data channels (defined in Section 4), each SDP offer and answer includes an attribute line that defines the data channel parameters for each data channel to be negotiated. Each attribute line specifies the following parameters for a data channel: Stream Identifier, sub-protocol, label, reliability, order of delivery, and priority. Conveying a reliable data channel is achieved by including neither 'max-retr' nor 'max-time'. Conveying an unreliable data channel is achieved by including only one of 'max-retr' or 'max-time'. By definition max-retr and max-time are mutually exclusive, so only one of them can be present in a=dcmap. If an SDP offer contains both of these parameters then such an SDP offer will be rejected. If an SDP answer contains both of these parameters then the offerer may treat it as an error and may assume the associated SDP offer/answer failed and may take appropriate recovery actions. These recovery options are outside the scope of this specification. Following is an example of the attribute line for sub-protocol "BFCP" and stream id "2":

```
a=dcmap:2 subprotocol="BFCP";label="channel 2"
```

The SDP answer shall echo the same subprotocol, max-retr, max-time, ordered parameters, if those were present in the offer, and may include a label parameter. They may appear in any order, which could be different from the SDP offer, in the SDP answer.

The same information **MUST** be replicated without changes in any subsequent offer or answer, as long as the data channel is still opened at the time of offer or answer generation.

Note: This attribute is derived from attribute "webrtc-DataChannel", which was defined in old version 03 of the following draft, but which was removed along with any support for SDP external negotiation in subsequent versions:  
[I-D.ietf-mmusic-sctp-sdp].

Note: This document does not provide a complete specification of how to negotiate the use of a data channel to transport BFCP. Procedures specific to each sub-protocol such as BFCP will be

documented elsewhere. The use of BFCP is only an example of how the generic procedures described herein might apply to a specific sub-protocol.

The intention of exchanging these attributes is to create data channels on both the peers with the same set of attributes without actually using [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol]. It is assumed that the data channel properties (reliable/unreliable, ordered/unordered) are suitable per the sub-protocol transport requirements. Data channel types defined in [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol] are mapped to SDP in the following manner:

```
DATA_CHANNEL_RELIABLE
    a=dcmap:2 subprotocol="BFCP";label="channel 2"

DATA_CHANNEL_RELIABLE_UNORDERED
    a=dcmap:2 subprotocol="BFCP";label="channel 2";\
    ordered=0

DATA_CHANNEL_PARTIAL_RELIABLE_REXMIT
    a=dcmap:2 subprotocol="BFCP";label="channel 2";\
    max-retr=3

DATA_CHANNEL_PARTIAL_RELIABLE_REXMIT_UNORDERED
    a=dcmap:2 subprotocol="BFCP";label="channel 2";\
    max-retr=3;ordered=0;

DATA_CHANNEL_PARTIAL_RELIABLE_TIMED
    a=dcmap:2 subprotocol="BFCP";label="channel 2";\
    max-time=10000;

DATA_CHANNEL_PARTIAL_RELIABLE_TIMED_UNORDERED
    a=dcmap:2 subprotocol="BFCP";label="channel 2";\
    max-time=10000; ordered=0
```

#### 5.1.1.1. dcmap attribute

The 'stream' parameter indicates the actual stream identifier within the association used to form the channel. Stream is a mandatory parameter and is noted directly after the "a=dcmap:" attribute's colon.

Formal Syntax:

TBD: Should this be moved to SDP grammar section?

Name: dcmap

Value: dcmap-value

Usage Level: media

Charset Dependent: no

Syntax:

```

dcmmap-value      = dcmmap-stream-id
                   [ SP dcmmap-opt *("; " dcmmap-opt) ]
dcmmap-opt        = ordering-opt / subprotocol-opt / label-opt
                   / maxretr-opt / maxtime-opt
                   ; Either only maxretr-opt or maxtime-opt
                   ; is present.
                   ; Both MUST not be present.

dcmmap-stream-id  = 1*DIGIT
ordering-opt      = "ordered=" ordering-value
ordering-value    = "0"/"1"
subprotocol-opt   = "subprotocol=" quoted-string
label-opt         = "label=" quoted-string
maxretr-opt       = "max-retr=" maxretr-value
maxretr-value     = <from-Reliability-Parameter of
                   I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol>
                   ; number of retransmissions
maxtime-opt       = "max-time=" maxtime-value
maxtime-value     = <from-Reliability-Parameter of
                   I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol>
                   ; milliseconds

quoted-string     = DQUOTE *(quoted-char / escaped-char) DQUOTE
quoted-char       = SP / quoted-visible
quoted-visible    = %21 / %23-24 / %26-7E ; VCHAR without " or %
escaped           = "%" HEXDIG HEXDIG
DQUOTE           = <from-RFC5234>
integer           = <from-RFC5234>

```

Examples:

```

a=dcmmap:0
a=dcmmap:1 subprotocol="BFCP";max-time=60000
a=dcmmap:2 subprotocol="MSRP";ordered;label="MSRP"
a=dcmmap:3 label="Label 1";unordered;max-retr=5
a=dcmmap:4 label="foo%09bar";ordered;max-time=15000;max-retr=3

```

#### 5.1.1.2. label parameter

The optional 'label' parameter indicates the name of the channel. It represents a label that can be used to distinguish, in the context of the WebRTC API, an RTCDataChannel object from other RTCDataChannel

objects. Label is a mandatory parameter. This parameter maps to the 'label' parameter defined in [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol]

#### 5.1.1.3. subprotocol parameter

The 'subprotocol' parameter indicates which protocol the client expects to exchange via the channel. Subprotocol is a mandatory parameter.

[ACTION ITEM] The IANA registry to be used for the subprotocol parameter is still to be determined. It also needs to be determined what the relationship is to existing registries and how to reference already-existing protocols.

#### 5.1.1.4. max-retr parameter

This parameter indicates that the data channel is unreliable. The 'max-retr' parameter indicates the max times a user message will be retransmitted. The max-retr parameter is optional with default value unbounded. This parameter maps to the 'Number of RTX' parameter defined in [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol]

#### 5.1.1.5. max-time parameter

This parameter indicates that the data channel is unreliable. A user messages will no longer be transmitted or retransmitted after a specified life-time given in milliseconds in the 'max-time' parameter. The max-time parameter is optional with default value unbounded. This parameter maps to the 'Lifetime in ms' parameter defined in [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol]

#### 5.1.1.6. ordered parameter

The 'ordered' parameter indicates that DATA chunks in the channel MUST be dispatched to the upper layer by the receiver while preserving the order. The ordered parameter is optional and takes two values: "0" for ordered and "1" for ordered delivery with "1" as the default value. Any other value is ignored and default ordered is assumed. If the ordered parameter is absent, the receiver is required to deliver DATA chunks to the upper layer in proper order. This parameter maps to the ordered or unorderd data channel types as defined in [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol]

#### 5.1.2. Sub-protocol specific attributes

In the SDP, each data channel declaration MAY also be followed by other SDP attributes specific to the sub-protocol in use. Each of these attributes is represented by one new attribute line, and it

includes the contents of a media-level SDP attribute already defined for use with this (sub)protocol in another IETF specification. Sub-protocol-specific attributes might also be defined for exclusive use with data channel transport, but should use the same syntax described here for other sub-protocol-specific attributes.

Each sub-protocol specific SDP attribute that would normally be used to negotiate the subprotocol using SDP is replaced with an attribute of the form "a=dcsa: stream-id original-attribute", where dcsa stands for "data channel sub-protocol attribute", stream-id is the sctp stream identifier assigned to this sub-protocol instance, and original-attribute represents the contents of the sub-protocol related attribute to be included.

Formal Syntax:

Name: dcsa

Value: dcsa-value

Usage Level: media

Charset Dependent: no

Syntax:

dcsa-value           = stream-id SP attribute  
attribute            = <from-RFC4566>

Examples:

```
a=dcsa:2 accept-types:text/plain
```

Thus in the example above, the original attribute line "a=accept-types:text/plain" is represented by the attribute line "a=dcsa:2 accept-types:text/plain", which specifies that this instance of MSRP being transported on the sctp association using the data channel with stream id 2 accepts plain text files. The above example creates a reliable, ordered data channel.

As opposed to the data channel setup parameters, these parameters are subject to offer/answer negotiation following the procedures defined in the sub-protocol specific documents.

The same syntax applies to any other SDP attribute required for negotiation of this instance of the sub-protocol.

Note: This document does not provide a complete specification of how to negotiate the use of a data channel to transport MSRP. Procedures specific to each sub-protocol such as MSRP will be documented elsewhere. The use of MSRP is only an example of how the generic procedures described herein might apply to a specific sub-protocol.

## 5.2. Procedures

### 5.2.1. Managing stream identifiers

For the SDP-based external negotiation described in this document, the initial offerer based "SCTP over DTLS" owns by convention the even stream identifiers whereas the initial answerer owns the odd stream identifiers. This ownership is invariant for the whole lifetime of the signaling session, e.g. it does not change if the initial answerer sends a new offer to the initial offerer.

This specification allows simultaneous use of external and internal negotiation. However, a single stream is managed using one method at a time. Stream ids that are not currently used in SDP can be used for internal negotiation. Stream id allocation per SDP based external negotiation may not align with DTLS role based allocation. This could cause glare conditions when one side trying to do external negotiation on a stream id while the other end trying to open data channel on the same stream id using internal negotiation. To avoid these glare conditions this specification recommends that the data channel stack user always selects stream ids per SDP offer/answer rule even when internal negotiation is used. To avoid glare conditions, it is possible to come up with a different stream id allocation scheme, but such schemes are outside the scope of this specification.

### 5.2.2. Opening a data channel

The procedure for opening a data channel using external negotiation starts with the agent preparing to send an SDP offer. If a peer receives an SDP offer before getting to send a new SDP offer with data channels that are to be externally negotiated, or loses an SDP offer glare resolution procedure in this case, it must wait until the ongoing SDP offer/answer completes before resuming the external negotiation procedure.

The agent that intends to send an SDP offer to create data channels through SDP-based external negotiation performs the following:

- o Creates data channels using stream identifiers from the owned set (see Section 5.2.1).

- o As described in Section 4.2.2, if the SCTP association is not yet established, then the newly created data channels are in the Connecting state, else if the SCTP association is already established, then the newly created data channels are in the Open state.
- o Generates a new SDP offer. In the case of the browser based applications the browser generates the offer via the createOffer() API call [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-jsep].
- o Determines the list of stream identifiers assigned to data channels opened through external negotiation.
- o Completes the SDP offer with the dcmmap and dcsa attributes needed, if any, for each externally-negotiated data channel, as described in Section 5.1.
- o Sends the SDP offer.

The peer receiving such an SDP offer performs the following:

- o Applies the SDP offer. Note that the browser ignores data channel specific attributes in the SDP.
- o Analyzes the channel parameters and sub-protocol attributes to determine whether to accept each offered data channel.
- o For accepted data channels, creates peer instances for the data channels with the browser using the channel parameters described in the SDP offer. Note that the browser is asked to create data channels with stream identifiers not "owned" by the agent.
- o As described in Section 4.2.2, if the SCTP association is not yet established, then the newly created data channels are in the Connecting state, else if the SCTP association is already established, then the newly created data channels are in the Open state.
- o Generates an SDP answer.
- o Completes the SDP answer with the dcmmap and optional dcsa attributes needed for each externally-negotiated data channel, as described in Section 5.1.
- o Sends the SDP answer.

The agent receiving such an SDP answer performs the following:

- o Closes any created data channels (whether in Connecting or Open state) for which the expected dcmmap and dcsa attributes are not present in the SDP answer.
- o Applies the SDP answer.

Any data channels in Connecting state are transitioned to the Open state when the SCTP association is established.

Each agent application MUST wait to send data until it has confirmation that the data channel at the peer is in the Open state. For webrtc, this is when both data channel stacks have channel parameters instantiated. This occurs:

- o At both peers when a data channel is created without an established SCTP association, as soon as the data channel stacks report that the data channel transitions to the Open state from the Connecting state.
- o At the agent receiving an SDP offer for which there is an established SCTP association, as soon as it creates an externally negotiated data channel in the Open state based on information signaled in the SDP offer.
- o At the agent sending an SDP offer to create a new externally negotiated data channel for which there is an established SCTP association, when it receives the SDP answer confirming acceptance of the data channel or when it begins to receive data on the data channel from the peer, whichever occurs first.

### 5.2.3. Closing a data channel

When the application requests the closing of a data channel that was externally negotiated, the data channel stack always performs an in-band SSN reset for this channel.

It is specific to the sub-protocol whether this closing must in addition be signaled to the peer via a new SDP offer/answer exchange.

A data channel can be closed by sending a new SDP offer which excludes the dcmmap and dcsa attributes lines for the data channel. The port value for the m line should not be changed (e.g., to zero) when closing a data channel (unless all data channels are being closed and the SCTP association is no longer needed), since this would close the SCTP association and impact all of the data channels. If answerer accepts the SDP offer then it MUST also exclude the corresponding attribute lines in the answer. In addition to that, SDP answerer may exclude other data channels which were closed but

not yet communicated to the peer. So, offerer MUST inspect the answer to see if it has to close other data channels which are now not included in the answer

If a new SDP offer/answer is used to close data channels then the data channel(s) should only be closed by the answerer/offerer after successful SDP answer is sent/received.

This delayed close is to handle cases where a successful SDP answer is not received, in which case the state of session should be kept per the last successful SDP offer/answer.

If a client receives a data channel close indication (due to inband SSN reset or some other reason) without associated SDP offer then an SDP offer which excludes this closed data channel SHOULD be generated.

The application must also close any data channel that was externally negotiated, for which the stream identifiers are not listed in an incoming SDP offer.

A closed data channel using local close (SCTP reset), without an additional SDP offer/answer to close it, may be reused for a new data channel. This can only be done via new SDP offer/answer, describing the new sub-protocol and its attributes, only after the corresponding data channel close acknowledgement is received from the peer (i.e. SCTP reset of both incoming and outgoing streams is completed). This restriction is to avoid the race conditions between arrival of "SDP offer which reuses stream" with "SCTP reset which closes outgoing stream" at the peer

#### 5.2.4. Various SDP offer/answer scenarios and considerations

SDP offer has no a=dcmap attributes

- \* Initial SDP offer: No data channel negotiated yet.
- \* Subsequent SDP offer: All the externally negotiated data channels must be closed now. The DTLS/SCTP association remains open for external or internal negotiation of data channels.

SDP answer has no a=dcmap attributes

- \* Initial SDP answer: Either the peer does not support dcmap attributes or it rejected all the data channels. In either case offerer closes all the externally negotiated data channels that were open at the time of initial offer. The DTLS/SCTP association will still be setup.

- \* Sub-subsequent SDP answer: All the externally negotiated data channels must be closed now. The DTLS/SCTP association remains open for future external or internal negotiation of data channels.

SDP offer has no a=dcsa attributes for a data channel.

- \* This is allowed and indicates there are no sub-protocol parameters to convey.

SDP answer has no a=dcsa attributes for a data channel.

- \* This is allowed and indicates there are no sub-protocol parameters to convey in the SDP answer. The number of dcsa attributes in the SDP answer does not have to match the number of dcsa attributes in the SDP offer.

## 6. Examples

SDP offer:

```
m=application 10001 DTLS/SCTP webrtc-datachannel
c=IN IP4 10.10.10.1
a=fmtp:webrtc-datachannel max-message-size=100000
a=sctp-port 5000
a=setup:actpass
a=connection:new
a=fingerprint:SHA-1 \
    4A:AD:B9:B1:3F:82:18:3B:54:02:12:DF:3E:5D:49:6B:19:E5:7C:AB
a=dcmap:0 subprotocol="BFCP";label="BGCP"
```

SDP answer:

```
m=application 10002 DTLS/SCTP webrtc-datachannel
c=IN IP4 10.10.10.2
a=fmtp:webrtc-datachannel max-message-size=100000
a=sctp-port 5002
a=setup:passive
a=connection:new
a=fingerprint:SHA-1 \
    5B:AD:67:B1:3E:82:AC:3B:90:02:B1:DF:12:5D:CA:6B:3F:E5:54:FA
```

Figure 1: Example 1

In the above example the SDP answerer rejected the data channel with stream id 0 either for explicit reasons or because it does not understand the a=dcmap attribute. As a result the offerer will close the data channel created with the external negotiation option. The SCTP association will still be setup over DTLS. At this point

offerer or answerer may use internal negotiation to open data channels.

SDP offer:

```
m=application 10001 DTLS/SCTP webrtc-datachannel
c=IN IP4 10.10.10.1
a=fmtp:webrtc-datachannel max-message-size=100000
a=sctp-port 5000
a=setup:actpass
a=connection:new
a=fingerprint:SHA-1 \
    4A:AD:B9:B1:3F:82:18:3B:54:02:12:DF:3E:5D:49:6B:19:E5:7C:AB
a=dcmap:0 subprotocol="BFCP";label="BGCP"
a=dcmap:2 subprotocol="MSRP";label="MSRP"
a=dcsa:2 accept-types:message/cpim text/plain text/
a=dcsa:2 path:msrp://alice.example.com:10001/2s93i93idj;dc
```

SDP answer:

```
m=application 10002 DTLS/SCTP webrtc-datachannel
c=IN IP4 10.10.10.2
a=fmtp:webrtc-datachannel max-message-size=100000
a=sctp-port 5002
a=setup:passive
a=connection:new
a=fingerprint:SHA-1 \
    5B:AD:67:B1:3E:82:AC:3B:90:02:B1:DF:12:5D:CA:6B:3F:E5:54:FA
a=dcmap:2 subprotocol="MSRP";label="MSRP"
a=dcsa:2 accept-types:message/cpim text/plain
a=dcsa:2 path:msrp://bob.example.com:10002/si438dsaodes;dc
```

Figure 2: Example 2

In the above example SDP offer contains data channels for BFCP and MSRP sub-protocols. SDP answer rejected BFCP and accepted MSRP. So, the offerer should close the data channel for BFCP and both offerer and answerer may start using MSRP data channel (after SCTP/DTLS association is setup). The data channel with stream id 0 is free and can be used for future internal or external negotiation.

Continuing on the earlier example in Figure 1.

Subsequent SDP offer:

```
m=application 10001 DTLS/SCTP webrtc-datachannel
c=IN IP4 10.10.10.1
a=fmtp:webrtc-datachannel max-message-size=100000
a=sctp-port 5000
a=setup:actpass
a=connection:existing
a=fingerprint:SHA-1 \
    4A:AD:B9:B1:3F:82:18:3B:54:02:12:DF:3E:5D:49:6B:19:E5:7C:AB
a=dcmap:4 subprotocol="MSRP";label="MSRP"
a=dcsa:4 accept-types:message/cpim text/plain
a=dcsa:4 path:msrp://alice.example.com:10001/2s93i93idj;dc
```

Subsequent SDP answer:

```
m=application 10002 DTLS/SCTP webrtc-datachannel
c=IN IP4 10.10.10.2
a=fmtp:webrtc-datachannel max-message-size=100000
a=sctp-port 5002
a=setup:passive
a=connection:existing
a=fingerprint:SHA-1 \
    5B:AD:67:B1:3E:82:AC:3B:90:02:B1:DF:12:5D:CA:6B:3F:E5:54:FA
a=dcmap:4 subprotocol="MSRP";label="MSRP"
a=dcsa:4 accept-types:message/cpim text/plain
a=dcsa:4 path:msrp://bob.example.com:10002/si438dsaodes;dc
```

Figure 3: Example 3

The above example is a continuation of the example in Figure 1. The SDP offer now removes the MSRP data channel with stream id 2, but opens a new MSRP data channel with stream id 4. The answerer accepted the entire offer. As a result the offerer closes the earlier negotiated MSRP related data channel and both offerer and answerer may start using new the MSRP related data channel.

## 7. Security Considerations

No security considerations are envisaged beyond those already documented in [RFC4566]

## 8. IANA Considerations

To be completed.

## 9. Acknowledgments

The authors wish to acknowledge the borrowing of ideas from other internet drafts by Salvatore Loreto, Gonzalo Camarillo, Peter Dunkley and Gavin Llewellyn, and to thank Paul Kyzivat, Jonathan Lennox, and Uwe Rauschenbach for their invaluable comments.

## 10. CHANGE LOG

### 10.1. Changes against '-01'

- o Formal syntax for dcmmap and dcsa attribute lines.
- o Making subprotocol as an optional parameter in dcmmap.
- o Specifying disallowed parameter combinations for max-time and max-retr.
- o Clarifications on data channel close procedures.

### 10.2. Changes against '-00'

- o Revisions to identify difference between internal and external negotiation and their usage.
- o Introduction of more generic terminology, e.g. "application" instead of "browser".
- o Clarification of how "max-retr and max-time affect the usage of unreliable and reliable data channels.
- o Updates of examples to take into account the SDP syntax changes introduced with draft-ietf-mmusic-sctp-sdp-07.
- o Removal of the SCTP port number from the a=dcmmap and a=dcsa attributes as this is now contained in the a=sctp-port attribute, and as draft-ietf-mmusic-sctp-sdp-07 supports only one SCTP association on top of the DTLS connection.

## 11. References

### 11.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.
- [RFC4566] Handley, M., Jacobson, V., and C. Perkins, "SDP: Session Description Protocol", RFC 4566, July 2006.

- [RFC3264] Rosenberg, J. and H. Schulzrinne, "An Offer/Answer Model with Session Description Protocol (SDP)", RFC 3264, June 2002.
- [RFC5234] Crocker, D. and P. Overell, "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", STD 68, RFC 5234, January 2008.
- [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-jsep]  
Uberti, J., Jennings, C., and E. Rescorla, "Javascript Session Establishment Protocol", draft-ietf-rtcweb-jsep-07 (work in progress), July 2014.
- [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-channel]  
Jesup, R., Loreto, S., and M. Tuexen, "WebRTC Data Channels", draft-ietf-rtcweb-data-channel-12 (work in progress), September 2014.
- [I-D.ietf-mmusic-sctp-sdp]  
Loreto, S. and G. Camarillo, "Stream Control Transmission Protocol (SCTP)-Based Media Transport in the Session Description Protocol (SDP)", draft-ietf-mmusic-sctp-sdp-07 (work in progress), July 2014.
- [WebRtcAPI]  
Bergkvist, A., Burnett, D., Jennings, C., and A. Narayanan, "WebRTC 1.0: Real-time Communication Between Browsers", World Wide Web Consortium WD-webrtc-20130910, September 2013,  
<<http://www.w3.org/TR/2013/WD-webrtc-20130910/>>.

## 11.2. Informative References

- [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol]  
Jesup, R., Loreto, S., and M. Tuexen, "WebRTC Data Channel Establishment Protocol", draft-ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol-08 (work in progress), September 2014.
- [RFC4975] Campbell, B., Mahy, R., and C. Jennings, "The Message Session Relay Protocol (MSRP)", RFC 4975, September 2007.
- [RFC4976] Jennings, C., Mahy, R., and A. Roach, "Relay Extensions for the Message Sessions Relay Protocol (MSRP)", RFC 4976, September 2007.
- [RFC5547] Garcia-Martin, M., Isomaki, M., Camarillo, G., Loreto, S., and P. Kyzivat, "A Session Description Protocol (SDP) Offer/Answer Mechanism to Enable File Transfer", RFC 5547, May 2009.

[RFC6135] Holmberg, C. and S. Blau, "An Alternative Connection Model for the Message Session Relay Protocol (MSRP)", RFC 6135, February 2011.

[RFC6714] Holmberg, C., Blau, S., and E. Burger, "Connection Establishment for Media Anchoring (CEMA) for the Message Session Relay Protocol (MSRP)", RFC 6714, August 2012.

#### Authors' Addresses

Keith Drage (editor)  
Alcatel-Lucent  
Quadrant, Stonehill Green, Westlea  
Swindon  
UK

Email: keith.drage@alcatel-lucent.com

Raju Makaraju  
Alcatel-Lucent  
2000 Lucent Lane  
Naperville, Illinois  
US

Email: Raju.Makaraju@alcatel-lucent.com

Juergen Stoetzer-Bradler  
Alcatel-Lucent  
Lorenzstrasse 10  
D-70435 Stuttgart  
Germany

Email: Juergen.Stoetzer-Bradler@alcatel-lucent.com

Richard Ejzak  
Unaffiliated

Email: richard.ejzak@gmail.com

Jerome Marcon  
Unaffiliated