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Abstract

This document describes a method of representing rules for validating identifier labels and alternate representations of those labels using Extensible Markup Language (XML). These policies, known as "Label Generation Rulesets" (LGRs), are used for the implementation of Internationalized Domain Names (IDNs), for example. The rulesets are used to implement and share that aspect of policy defining which labels and specific Unicode code points are permitted for registrations, which alternative code points are considered variants, and what actions may be performed on labels containing those variants.
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1. Introduction

This memo describes a method of using Extensible Markup Language (XML) to describe the algorithm used to determine whether a given identifier label is permitted, and under which conditions, based on the code points it contains and their context. These algorithms are comprised of a list of permissible code points, variant code point mappings, and a set of rules acting on them. These algorithms form part of an administrator’s policies, and can be referred to as Label Generation Rulesets (LGRs). In deploying internationalized domain names (IDNs), they have also been known as IDN tables or variant tables.

There are other kinds of policies relating to labels which are not normally covered by Label Generation Rulesets and are therefore not representable by the XML format described here. These include, but are not limited to policies around trademarks, or prohibition of fraudulent or objectionable words.
Administrators of the zones for top-level domain registries have historically published their LGRs using ASCII text or HTML. The formatting of these documents has been loosely based on the format used for the Language Variant Table described in [RFC3743]. [RFC4290] also provides a "model table format" that describes a similar set of functionality. Common to these formats is that the algorithms used to evaluate the data therein are implicit or specified elsewhere.

Through the first decade of IDN deployment, experience has shown that LGRs derived from these formats are difficult to consistently implement and compare due to their differing formats. A universal format, such as one using a structured XML format, will assist by improving machine-readability, consistency, reusability and maintainability of LGRs.

When used to represent simple list of permitted code points, the format is quite straightforward. At the cost of some complexity in the resulting file, it also allows for an implementation of more sophisticated handling of conditional variants that reflects the known requirements of current zone administrator policies.

Another feature of this format is that it allows many of the algorithms to be made explicit and machine implementable. A remaining small set of implicit algorithms is described in this document to allow commonality in implementation.

While the predominant usage of this specification is to represent IDN label policy, the format is not limited to IDN usage and may also be used for describing ASCII domain name label rulesets, or other types of identifier labels beyond those used for domain names.

2. Design Goals

The following goals informed the design of this format:

- The format needs to be implementable in a reasonably straightforward manner in software.
- The format should be able to be automatically checked for formatting errors, so that common mistakes can be caught.
- An LGR needs to be able to express the set of valid code points that are allowed for registration under a specific administrator’s policies.
- Provide the ability to express computed alternatives to a given identifier based on mapping relationships between code points, whether one-to-one or many-to-many. These computed alternatives are commonly known as "variants".
o Variant code points should be able to be tagged with specific
dispositions or categories that can be used to support registry
policy (such as whether to allocate the computed variant, or to
merely block it from usage or registration).

o Variants and code points must be able to be stipulated based on
contextual information. For example, specific variants may only
be applicable when they follow another specific code point, or
when the code point is displayed in a specific presentation form.

o The data contained within an LGR must be able to be interpreted
unambiguously, so that independent implementations that utilize
the contents will arrive at the same results.

o To the largest extent possible, policy rules should be able to be
specified in the XML format without relying hidden, or built-in
algorithms in implementations.

o LGRs should be suitable for comparison and re-use, such that one
could easily compare the contents of two or more to see the
differences, to merge them, and so on.

o As many existing IDN tables as practicable should be able to be
migrated to the LGR format with all applicable interpretation
logic retained.

These requirements are partly derived from reviewing the existing
corpus of published IDN tables, plus the requirements of ICANN’s work
to implement an LGR for the DNS Root Zone [LGR-PROCEDURE]. In
particular, Section B of that document identifies five specific
requirements for an LGR methodology.

The syntax and rules in [RFC5892] and [RFC3743] were also reviewed.

It is explicitly not the goal of this format to stipulate what code
points should be listed in an LGR by a zone administrator. Which
registration policies are used for a particular zone is outside the
scope of this memo.

3. LGR Format

An LGR is expressed as a well-formed XML Document [XML].

3.1. Namespace

The XML Namespace URI is "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:lgr-1.0". [Note:
the examples and schemas for any non-final versions of this
specification use a namespace that is not guaranteed. Early
implementors should consider the need to revise the namespace in
subsequent revisions.]

See Section 10.2 for more information.
3.2. Basic Structure

The basic XML framework of the document is as follows:

```xml
<?xml version="1.0"?>
<lgr xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:lgr-1.0">
  ...
</lgr>
```

The "lgr" element contains up to three sub-elements. First is an optional "meta" element that contains all meta-data associated with the LGR, such as its authorship, what it is used for, implementation notes and references. This is followed by a "data" element that contains the substantive code point data. Finally, an optional "rules" element contains information on contextual and whole-label evaluation rules, if any, along with any specific "action" elements providing for the disposition of labels and computed variant labels.

```xml
<?xml version="1.0"?>
<lgr xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:lgr-1.0">
  <meta>
    ...
  </meta>
  <data>
    ...
  </data>
  <rules>
    ...
  </rules>
</lgr>
```

A document MUST contain exactly one "lgr" element. Each "lgr" element MUST contain exactly one "data" element, optionally preceded by one "meta" element and optionally followed by one "rules" element.

In the following descriptions, required, non-repeating elements or attributes are generally not called out explicitly, in contrast to optional ones or those that may be repeated. For attributes that take lists as values the elements are space-delimited.

3.3. Metadata

The optional "meta" element is used to express meta-data associated within the LGR. It can be used to identify the author or relevant contact person, explain the intended usage of the LGR, and provide implementation notes as well as references. With the exception of "unicode-version" element, the data contained within is not required
by software consuming the LGR in order to calculate valid labels, or
to calculate variants. The "unicode-version" element MUST be used by
a consumer of the table to identify that it has the correct Unicode
property data to perform operations on the table.

3.3.1. The version Element

The "version" element is optional. It is used to uniquely identify
each version of the LGR. No specific format is required, but it is
RECOMMENDED that it be the decimal representation of a single
positive integer, which is incremented with each revision of the
file.

An example of a typical first edition of a document:

    <version>1</version>

The "version" element may have an optional "comment" attribute.

    <version comment="draft">1</version>

3.3.2. The date Element

The optional "date" element is used to identify the date the LGR was
posted. The contents of this element MUST be a valid ISO 8601 "full-
date" string as described in [RFC3339].

Example of a date:

    <date>2009-11-01</date>

3.3.3. The language Element

The optional "language" element signals that the LGR is associated
with a specific language or script. The value of the "language"
element MUST be a valid language tag as described in [RFC5646]. The
tag may refer to a script plus undefined language if the LGR is not
referring to a specific language.

Example of an English language LGR:

    <language>en</language>

If the LGR applies to a specific script, rather than a language, the
"und" language tag should be used followed by the relevant [RFC5646]
script subtag. For example, for a Cyrillic script LGR:

    <language>und-Cyrl</language>
If the LGR covers a specific set of multiple languages or scripts, the "language" element can be repeated. However, for cases of a script-specific LGR exhibiting insignificant admixture of code points from other scripts, it is RECOMMENDED to use a single "language" element identifying the predominant script. In the exceptional case of a multi-script LGR where no script is predominant, use Zyyy (Common):

<language>und-Zyyy</language>

Note that that for the particular case of Japanese, a script tag "Jpan" exists that matches the mixture of scripts used in writing that language. The preferred "language" element would be:

<language>und-Jpan</language>

3.3.4. The scope Element

This optional element refers to a scope, such as a domain, to which this policy is applied. The "type" attribute specifies the type of scope being defined. A type of "domain" means that the scope is a domain that represents the apex of the DNS zone to which the LGR is applied. For that type, the content of the "scope" element MUST be a valid absolute domain name, without a trailing dot. However, the DNS root zone is represented as ".".

<scope type="domain">example.com</scope>

There may be multiple "scope" tags used, for example to reflect a list of domains to which the LGR is applied. Types of scope other than "domain" are application-defined. Such application-defined type values must be in a namespace "apptype" previously defined in the document. An explanation of the application-defined type in the "description" element is RECOMMENDED.

3.3.5. The description Element

The "description" element is an optional free-form element that contains any additional relevant description that is useful for the user in its interpretation. Typically, this field contains authorship information, as well as additional context on how the LGR was formulated and how it applies, such as citations and references that apply to the LGR as a whole.

This field should not be relied upon for providing instructions on how to parse or utilize the data contained elsewhere in the specification. Authors of tables should expect that software applications that parse and use LGRs will not use the description field to condition the application of the LGR’s data and rules.
The element has an optional "type" attribute, which refers to the internet media type of the enclosed data. Typical types would be "text/plain" or "text/html". The attribute SHOULD be a valid MIME type. If supplied, it will be assumed that the contents are of that media type. If the description lacks a type field, it will be assumed to be plain text ("text/plain").

3.3.6. The validity-start and validity-end Elements

The "validity-start" and "validity-end" elements are optional elements that describe the time period from which the contents of the LGR become valid (i.e. are used in registry policy), and the contents of the LGR cease to be used.

The dates MUST confirm to the "full-date" format described in section 5.6 of [RFC3339].

<validity-start>2014-03-12</validity-start>

3.3.7. The unicode-version Element

Whenever an LGR depends on character properties from a given version of the Unicode standard, the version number used in creating the LGR MUST be listed in the form x.y.z, where x, y, and z are positive, decimal integers (see [Unicode-Versions]). If any software processing the table does not have access to character property data of the requisite version, it MUST NOT perform any operations relating to whole-label evaluation relying on Unicode properties (Section 5.2.3).

The value of a given Unicode property in [UAX42] may change between versions, unless such change has been explicitly disallowed in [Unicode-Stability]. It is RECOMMENDED to only reference properties defined as stable or immutable. As an alternative to referencing the property, the information can be presented explicitly in the LGR.

<unicode-version>6.2.0</unicode-version>

It is not necessary to include a "unicode-version" element for LGRs that do not make use of Unicode properties, however, it is RECOMMENDED.

3.3.8. The references Element

A Label Generation Ruleset may define a list of references which are used to associate various individual elements in the LGR to one or more normative references. A common use for references is to annotate that code points belong to an externally defined collection or standard, or to give normative references for rules.
References are specified in an optional "references" element contains any number of "reference" elements, each with a unique "id" attribute. It is RECOMMENDED that the "id" attribute be a zero-based integer. The value of each "reference" element SHOULD be the citation of a standard, dictionary or other specification in any suitable format. In addition to an "id" attribute, a "reference" element may have a "comment" attribute for an optional free-form annotation.

```
<references>
  <reference id="0">The Unicode Standard, Version 7.0</reference>
  <reference id="2" comment="synchronized with Unicode 6.1">ISO/IEC 10646:2012 3rd edition</reference>
  ...
</references>
```

A reference is associated with an element by using an optional "ref" attribute (see Section 4.4.1). The use of "ref" attributes is limited to certain kinds of elements in the "data" or "rules" sections of the LGR, most notably those defining code points and rules. A "ref" attribute may neither occur on elements that are named references to character classes and rules nor on certain other element types. See description of these elements below.

4. Code Points and Variants

The bulk of a label generation ruleset is a description of which set of code points are eligible for a given label. For rulesets that perform operations that result in potential variants, the code point-level relationships between variants need to also be described.

The code point data is collected within the "data" element. Within this element, a series of "char" and "range" elements describe eligible code points, or ranges of code points, respectively.

Discrete permissible code points or code point sequences are declared with a "char" element, e.g.

```
<char cp="002D" />
```

Ranges of permissible code points may be stipulated with a "range" element, e.g.
<range first-cp="0030" last-cp="0039"/>

The range is inclusive of the first and last code points. All attributes defined for a "range" element act as if applied to each code point within. A "range" element has no child elements.

It is always possible to substitute a list of individually specified code points for a range element. The reverse is not necessarily the case. Whenever such a substitution is possible, it makes no difference in processing the data. Tools reading or writing the LGR format are free to aggregate sequences of consecutive code points of the same properties into range elements.

Code points must be expressed in uppercase, hexadecimal, and zero padded to a minimum of 4 digits. In other words, they are represented according to the standard Unicode convention but without the prefix "U+". The rationale for not allowing other encoding formats, including native Unicode encoding in XML, is explored in [UAX42]. The XML conventions used in this format, including the element and attribute names, mirror this document where practical and reasonable to do so. It is RECOMMENDED to list all "char" elements in ascending order of the "cp" attribute.

All "char" elements in the data section MUST have distinct "cp" attributes. The "range" elements MUST NOT specify code point ranges that overlap either another range or any single code point "char" elements.

4.1. Sequences

A sequence of two or more code points may be specified in an LGR, for example, when defining the source for n:m variant mappings. Another use of sequences would be in cases when the exact sequence of code points is required to occur in order for the constituent elements to be eligible, such as when a specific code point is only eligible when preceded or followed by another code point. The following would define the eligibility of the MIDDLE DOT (U+00B7) only when both preceded and followed by the LATIN SMALL LETTER L (U+006C):

```
<char cp="006C 00B7 006C" comment="Catalan middle dot"/>
```

All sequences defined this way must be distinct, but sub-sequences may be defined. Thus, the sequence defined here may coexist with single code point definitions such as:

```
<char cp="006C" />
```

As an alternative to using sequences to define a required context, a "char" or "range" element may specify conditional context using an optional "when" attribute as described below in Section 4.2. The
latter method is more flexible in that such conditional context is not limited to specific code point in addition to allowing both prohibited as well as required context to be specified.

As described below, the "char" element, whether or not it is used for a single code point, or for a sequence, may have optional child elements defining variants. Both the "char" and "range" elements can take a number of optional attributes for conditional inclusion, commenting, cross referencing and character tagging, as described below.

4.2. Conditional Contexts

A conditional context is specified by a rule that must be satisfied (or alternatively, must not be satisfied) for a code point in a given label, often at a particular location in a label.

To specify a conditional context a "when" or "not-when" attribute may be used. The value of each "when" or "not-when" attributes is a whole label or parameterized context rule as described below in Section 5.3. The content condition is met when the rule specified in the "when" attribute is matched. Alternatively, a "not-when" attribute may be used for a rule that must not be matched. It is an error to reference a rule that is not actually defined in the "rules" element.

A parameterized context rule (see Section 5.4) defines the context immediately surrounding a given code point; unlike a sequence, the context is not limited to a specific fixed code point, but for example may be a code point that is a member of a certain subset or has a certain Unicode property.

Given a suitable definition of a parameterized context rule named "follows-virama" this example specifies that a ZERO-WIDTH JOINER (U+200D) is restricted to immediately follow any of several code points classified as virama:

<char cp="200D" when="follows-virama" />

For a complete example, see Appendix Appendix A.

In contrast, a whole label rule (see Section 5.3) specifies a condition to be met by the entire label, for example that it must contain at least one code point from a given script anywhere in the label. In the following example no digit from either range must occur in a label that mixes digits from both ranges:

<data>
  <range first-cp="0660" last-cp="0669" not-when="mixed-digits"
  tag="arabic-indic-digits" />
  <range first-cp="06F0" last-cp="06F9" not-when="mixed-digits"
  tag="extended-arabic-indic-digits" />
</data>
(See Section 5.3.9 for an example of the "mixed-digits" rule.)

The "when" or "not-when" attributes are mutually exclusive. They may be applied to both "char" and "range" elements in the "data" element, as well as to "var" elements (see Section 4.3.5).

If a contextual condition is not satisfied for any code point in a label, the label is invalid, see Section 6.5. For variants, the conditional context restricts the definition of the variant to the case where the condition is met. Outside the specified context, a variant is not defined.

4.3. Variants

Most LGRs typically only determine simple code point eligibility, and for them, the elements described so far would be the only ones required for their "data" section. Others additionally specify a mapping of code points to other code points, known as "variants". What constitutes a variant code point is a matter of policy, and varies for each implementation. The following examples are intended to demonstrate the syntax; they are not necessarily typical.

4.3.1. Basic Variants

Variant code points are specified using one of more "var" elements as children of a "char" element. The target mapping is specified using the "cp" attribute. Other, optional attributes for the "var" element are described below.

For example, to map LATIN SMALL LETTER V (U+0076) as a variant of LATIN SMALL LETTER U (U+0075):

```xml
<char cp="0075">
  <var cp="0076"/>
</char>
```

A sequence of multiple code points can be specified as a variant of a single code point. For example, the sequence of LATIN SMALL LETTER O (U+006F) then LATIN SMALL LETTER E (U+0065) might hypothetically be specified as a variant for an LATIN SMALL LETTER O WITH DIAERESIS (U+00F6) as follows:

```xml
<char cp="00F6">
  <var cp="006F 0065"/>
</char>
```

The source and target of a variant mapping may both be sequences, but not ranges.
If the source of one mapping is a prefix sequence of the source for another, both variant mappings will be considered at the same location in the input label when generating permuted variant labels. If poorly designed, an LGR containing such an instance of a prefix relation could generate multiple instances of the same variant label for the same original label, but with potentially different dispositions. Any duplicate variant labels encountered MUST be treated as an error (see Section 7.4).

The "var" element specifies variant mappings in only one direction, even though the variant relation is usually considered symmetric, that is, if A is a variant of B then B should also be a variant of A. The format requires that the inverse of the variant be given explicitly to fully specify symmetric variant relations in the LGR. This has the beneficial side effect of making the symmetry explicit:

```xml
<char cp="006F 0065">
  <var cp="00F6"/>
</char>
```

Variant relations are normally not only symmetric, but also transitive. If A is a variant of B and B is a variant of C, then A is also a variant of C. As with symmetry, these transitive relations are spelled out explicitly in the LGR.

All variant mappings are unique. For a given "char" element all "var" elements MUST have a unique combination of "cp", "when" and "not-when" attributes. It is RECOMMENDED to list the "var" elements in ascending order of their target code point sequence. (For "when" and "not-when" attributes, see Section 4.3.5).

4.3.2. The type attribute

Variants may be tagged with an optional "type" attribute. The value of the "type" attribute may be any non-empty value not starting with an underscore and not containing spaces. This value is used to resolve the disposition of any variant labels created using a given variant. (See Section 6.2.)

By default, the values of the "type" attribute directly describe the target policy status (disposition) for a variant label that was generated using a particular variant, with any variant label being assigned a disposition corresponding to the most restrictive variant
type. Several conventional disposition values are predefined below in Section 6. Whenever these values can represent the desired policy, they SHOULD be used.

```xml
<char cp="767C">
  <var cp="53D1" type="allocatable"/>
  <var cp="5F42" type="blocked"/>
  <var cp="9AEA" type="blocked"/>
  <var cp="9AEE" type="blocked"/>
</char>
```

By default, if a variant label contains any instance of one of the variants of type "blocked" the label would be blocked, but if it contained only instances of variants to be allocated it could be allocated. See the discussion about implied actions in Section 6.6.

The XML format for the LGR makes the relation between the values of the "type" attribute on variants and the resulting disposition of variant labels fully explicit. See the discussion in Section 6.2. Making this relation explicit allows a generalization of the "type" attribute from directly reflecting dispositions to a more differentiated intermediate value that used in the resolution of label disposition. Instead of the default action of applying the most restrictive disposition to the entire label, such a generalized resolution can be used to achieve additional goals, such as limiting the set of allocated variant labels, or to implement other policies found in existing LGRs (see for example Appendix Appendix B).

Because variant mappings MUST be unique, it is not possible to define the same variant for the same "char" element with different type attributes (see however Section 4.3.5).

### 4.3.3. Null Variants

A null variant is a variant string that maps to no code point. This is used when a particular code point sequence is considered discretionary in the context of a whole label. To specify a null variant, use an empty cp attribute. For example, to mark a string with a ZERO WIDTH NON-JOINER (U+200C) to the same string without the ZERO WIDTH NON-JOINER:

```xml
<char cp="200C">
  <var cp=""/>
</char>
```

This is useful in expressing the intent that some code points in a label are to be mapped away when generating a canonical variant of the label. However, in tables that are designed to have symmetric variant mappings, this could lead to combinatorial explosion, if not handled carefully.

The symmetric form of a null variant is expressed as follows:
A "char" element with an empty "cp" attribute MUST specify at least one variant mapping. It is strongly RECOMMENDED to use a type of "invalid" or equivalent when defining variant mappings from null sequences, so that variant mapping from null sequences are removed in variant label generation (see Section 4.3.2).

4.3.4. Variants with Reflexive Mapping

At first sight there seems to be no call for adding variant mappings for which source and target code points are the same, that is for which the mapping is reflexive, or, in other words, an identity mapping. Yet such reflexive mappings occur frequently in LGRs that follow [RFC3743].

Adding a "var" element allows both a type and a reference id to be specified for it. While the reference id is not used in processing, the type of the variant can be used to trigger actions. In permuting the label to generate all possible variants, the type associated with a reflexive variant mapping is applied to any of the permuted labels containing the original code point.

In the following example, the code point U+3473 exists both as a variant of U+3447 and as a variant of itself (reflexive mapping). Assuming an original label of "U+3473 U+3447", the permuted variant "U+3473 U+3473" would consist of the reflexive variant of U+3473 followed by a variant of U+3447. Accordingly, the types for both of the variant mappings used to generate that particular permutation would have the value "preferred" given the following definitions of variant mappings:

```xml
<char cp="">  
  <var cp="200C" type="invalid" />  
</char>

A "char" element with an empty "cp" attribute MUST specify at least one variant mapping. It is strongly RECOMMENDED to use a type of "invalid" or equivalent when defining variant mappings from null sequences, so that variant mapping from null sequences are removed in variant label generation (see Section 4.3.2).

4.3.4. Variants with Reflexive Mapping

At first sight there seems to be no call for adding variant mappings for which source and target code points are the same, that is for which the mapping is reflexive, or, in other words, an identity mapping. Yet such reflexive mappings occur frequently in LGRs that follow [RFC3743].

Adding a "var" element allows both a type and a reference id to be specified for it. While the reference id is not used in processing, the type of the variant can be used to trigger actions. In permuting the label to generate all possible variants, the type associated with a reflexive variant mapping is applied to any of the permuted labels containing the original code point.

In the following example, the code point U+3473 exists both as a variant of U+3447 and as a variant of itself (reflexive mapping). Assuming an original label of "U+3473 U+3447", the permuted variant "U+3473 U+3473" would consist of the reflexive variant of U+3473 followed by a variant of U+3447. Accordingly, the types for both of the variant mappings used to generate that particular permutation would have the value "preferred" given the following definitions of variant mappings:

```xml
<char cp="" ref="0">
  <var cp="3447" type="preferred" ref="1 3" />  
</var>
[char cp="3473" ref="0">
  <var cp="3447" type="blocked" ref="1 3" />  
  <var cp="3473" type="preferred" ref="0" />  
</var>
</char>

Having established the variant types in this way, a set of actions could be defined that return a disposition of "allocatable" or "activated" for a label consisting exclusively of variants with type "preferred" for example. (For details on how to define actions based on variant types see Section 6.2.1.)
In general, using reflexive variant mappings in this manner makes it possible to calculate disposition values using a uniform approach for all labels, whether they consist of mapped variant code points, original code points, or a mixture of both. In particular, the dispositions for two otherwise identical labels may differ based on which variant mappings were executed in order to generate each of them. (For details on how to generate variants and evaluate dispositions, see Section 7.)

Another useful convention that uses reflexive variants is described below in Section 6.2.1.

4.3.5. Conditional Variants

Fundamentally, variants are mappings between two sequences of code points. However, in some instances for a variant relationship to exist, some context external to the code point sequence must also be considered. For example, a positional context may determine whether two code point sequences are variants of each other.

An example of that are Arabic code points which can have different forms based on position, with some code points sharing forms, thus making them variants in the positions corresponding to those forms. Such positional context cannot be solely derived from the code point by itself, as the code point would be the same for the various forms.

As described in Section 4.2 a "when" or "not-when" attribute may be given for any "var" element to specify required or prohibited contextual conditions under which the variant defined.

Assuming the "rules" element contains suitably defined rules for "arabic-isolated" and "arabic-final", the following example shows how to mark ARABIC LETTER ALEF WITH WAVY HAMZA BELOW (U+0673) as a variant of ARABIC LETTER ALEF WITH HAMZA BELOW (U+0625), but only when it appears in its isolated or final forms:

```xml
<char cp="0625">
  <var cp="0673" when="arabic-isolated"/>
  <var cp="0673" when="arabic-final"/>
</char>
```

While only a single "when" or "not-when" attribute can be applied to any "var" element, multiple "var" elements using the same mapping, but different "when" or "not-when" attributes may be specified. The combination of mapping and conditional context defines a unique variant.

Care must be taken to ensure that for each variant label at most one of the contextual conditions is met for variants with the same mapping; otherwise duplicate variant labels would be created for the same input label. Any such duplicate variant labels MUST be treated as an error, see Section 7.4.
Two contexts may be complementary, as in the following example, which shows ARABIC LETTER TEH MARBUTA (U+0629) as a variant of ARABIC LETTER ALEF MAKsURA (U+0649), but with two different types.

```xml
<char cp="0647">
  <var cp="0629" not-when="arabic-final" type="blocked" />
  <var cp="0629" when="arabic-final" type="allocatable" />
</char>
```

The intent is that in final position a label that uses U+0629 instead of U+0647 should be considered essentially the same label and therefore allocatable to the same entity, while the same substitution in non-final context leads to labels that are different, but considered confusable so that either one, but not both should be delegatable.

For symmetry, the reverse mappings must exist, and must agree in their "when" or "not-when" attributes. However, symmetry does not apply to the other attributes. For example, these are potential reverse mappings for the above:

```xml
<char cp="0629">
  <var cp="0647" not-when="arabic-final" type="allocatable" />
  <var cp="0647" when="arabic-final" type="allocatable" />
</char>
```

Here, both variants have the same "type" attribute. While it is tempting to recognize that in this instance the "when" and "not-when" attributes are complementary and therefore between them cover every single possible context, it is STRONGLY RECOMMENDED to use the format shown in the example that makes the symmetry easily verifiable by parsers and tools. (The same applies to entries created for transitivity.)

Arabic is an example of a script for which such conditional variants have been implemented based on the joining contexts for Arabic code points. The mechanism defined here supports other forms of conditional variants that may required by other scripts.

4.4. Annotations

Two attributes, the "ref" and "comment" attributes, can be used to annotate individual elements in the LGR. They are ignored in machine-processing or the LGR. The "ref" attribute is intended for formal annotations and the "comment" attribute for free form annotation. The latter can be applied more widely.

4.4.1. The ref Attribute

Reference information may optionally be specified by a "ref"
attribute, consisting of a space delimited sequence of reference identifiers.

```xml
<char cp="522A" ref="0">
  <var cp="5220" ref="2 3"/>
  <var cp="5220" ref="2 3"/>
</char>
```

This facility is typically used to give source information for code points or variant relations. This information is ignored when machine-processing an LGR. If applied to a range the "ref" attribute applies to every code point in the range. All reference identifiers MUST be from the set declared in the "references" element (see Section 3.3.8). It is an error to repeat a reference identifier in the same "ref" attribute. It is RECOMMENDED that identifiers be listed in ascending order.

In addition to "char", "range" and "var" elements in the data section, a "ref" attribute may be present for a number of elements contained in the "rules" element as described below: actions, literals ("char" inside a rule), as well as for definitions of rules and classes, but not for named references using the "by-ref" attribute defined below. (The use of the "by-ref" and "ref" attributes is mutually exclusive.) None of the elements in the metadata take a "ref" attribute; to provide additional information use the description element instead.

### 4.4.2. The comment Attribute

Any "char", "range" or "variant" element in the data section may contain an optional "comment" attribute. The contents of a "comment" attribute are free-form plain text. Comments are ignored in machine processing of the table. Comment attributes may also be placed on all elements in the "rules" section of the document, such as actions and match operators, such as literals ("char"), as well as definitions of classes and rules, but not on child elements of the "class" element. Finally, in the metadata, only the "version" and "reference" elements may have "comment" attributes (to match the syntax in [RFC3743]).

### 4.5. Code Point Tagging

Typically, LGRs are used to explicitly designate allowable code points, where any label that contains a code point not explicitly listed in the LGR is considered an ineligible label according to the ruleset.

For more complex registry rules, there may be a need to discern one or more subsets of code points. This can be accomplished by applying an optional "tag" attribute to "char" or "range" elements that are child elements of the "data" element. By collecting code points that share the same tag value, character classes may be defined (see...
Section 5.2.2) which can then be used in whole label evaluation rules (see Section 5.3.2).

Each "tag" attribute may contain multiple values separated by white space. A tag value is an identifier, which may also include certain punctuation marks, such as colon. Formally, it MUST correspond to the XML 1.0 Nmtoken (Name token) production. It is an error to duplicate a value within the same "tag" attribute. A "tag" attribute for a "range" element applies to all code points in the range. Because code point sequences are not proper members of a set of code points, a "tag" attribute MUST NOT be present in a "char" element defining a code point sequence.

5. Whole Label and Context Evaluation

5.1. Basic Concepts

The code points in a label sometimes need to satisfy context-based rules, for example for the label to be considered valid, or to satisfy the context for a variant mapping (see the description of the "when" attribute in Section 5.4).

A Whole Label Evaluation rule (WLE) is applied to the whole label. It is used to validate both original labels and variant labels computed from them using a permutation over all applicable variant mappings. A conditional context rules is a specialized form of WLE specific to the context around a single code point or code point sequence. For example, if a rule is referenced in the "when" attribute of a variant mapping it is used to describe the conditional context under which the particular variant mapping is defined to exist.

Each rule is defined in a "rule" element. A rule may contain the following as child elements:

- literal code points or code point sequences
- character classes, which define sets of code points to be used for context comparisons
- context operators, which define when character classes and literals may appear
- nested rules, whether defined in place or invoked by reference

Collectively, these are called match operators and are listed in Section 5.3.2.

5.2. Character Classes
Character classes are sets of characters that often share a particular property. While they function like sets in every way, even supporting the usual set operators, they are called character classes here in a nod to the use of that term in regular expression syntax. (This also avoids confusion with the term "character set" in the sense of character encoding.)

Character classes (or sets) can be specified in several ways:

- by defining the set via matching a tag in the code point data. All characters with the same "tag" attribute are part of the same class;
- by referencing one of the Unicode character properties defined in the Unicode Character Database [UAX42];
- by explicitly listing all the code points in the class; or
- by defining the class as a set combination of any number of other classes.

5.2.1. Declaring and Invoking Named Classes

A character class has an optional "name" attribute, consisting of a single, identifier not containing spaces. All names for classes must be unique. If the "name" attribute is omitted, the class is anonymous and exists only inside the rule or combined class where it is defined. A named character class is defined independently and can be referenced by name from within any rules or as part of other character class definitions.

```xml
<class name="example" comment="an example class definition">
  <char cp="0061" />
  <char cp="4E00" />
</class>
...<rule>
  <class by-ref="example" />
</rule>
```

An empty "class" element with a "by-ref" attribute is a reference to an existing named class. The "by-ref" attribute cannot be used in the same "class" element with any of these attributes: "name", "from-tag", "property" or "ref". The "name" attribute MUST be present, if and only if the class is a direct child element of the "rules" element. It is an error to reference a named class for which the definition has not been seen.

5.2.2. Tag-based Classes

The "char" or "range" elements that are child elements of the "data" element may contain a "tag" attribute that consists of one or more
space separated tag values, for example:

```xml
<char cp="0061" tag="letter lower"/>
<char cp="4E00" tag="letter"/>
```

This defines two tags for use with code point U+0061, the tag "letter" and the tag "lower". Use

```xml
<class name="letter" from-tag="letter"/>
<class name="lower" from-tag="lower"/>
```

to define two named character classes, "letter" and "lower", containing all code points with the respective tags, the first with 0061 and 4E00 as elements and the latter with 0061, but not 4E00 as an element. The "name" attribute may be omitted for an anonymous in-place definition of a nested, tag-based class.

Tag values are typically identifiers, with the addition of a few punctuation symbols, such as colon. Formally they MUST correspond to the XML 1.0 Nmtoken (Name token) production. While a "tag" attribute may contain a list of tag values, the "from-tag" attribute always contains a single tag value.

If the document contains no "char" or "range" elements with a corresponding tag, the character class represents the empty set. This is valid, to allow a common "rules" element to be shared across files. However, it is RECOMMENDED that implementations allow for a warning to ensure that referring to an undefined tag in this way is intentional.

### 5.2.3. Unicode Property-based Classes

A class is defined in terms of Unicode properties by giving the Unicode property alias and the property value or property value alias, separated by a colon.

```xml
<class name="virama" property="ccc:9"/>
```

The example above selects all code points for which the Unicode canonical combining class (ccc) value is 9. This value of the ccc is assigned to all code points that encode viramas. The string "ccc" is the short-alias for the canonical combining class, as defined in the Unicode Character Database (UAX42).

Unicode properties may, in principle, change between versions of the Unicode Standard. However, the values assigned for a given version are fixed. If Unicode Properties are used, a Unicode version MUST be declared in the "unicode-version" element in the header. (Note: some Unicode properties are by definition stable across versions and do not change once assigned (see [Unicode-Stability].))
It is RECOMMENDED that all implementations processing LGR files provide support for the following minimal set of Unicode properties:

- General Category (gc)
- Script (sc)
- Canonical Combining Class (ccc)
- Bidi Class (bc)
- Arabic Joining Type (jt)
- Indic Syllabic Category (InSC)
- Deprecated (Dep)

The short name for each property is given in parentheses.

If a program that is using an LGR to determine the validity of a label encounters a property that it does not support, it MUST abort with an error.

5.2.4. Explicitly Declared Classes

A class of code points may also be declared by listing the code points that are a member of the class. This is useful when tagging cannot be used because code points are not listed individually as part of the eligible set of code points for the given LGR, for example because they only occur in code point sequences.

To define a class in terms of an explicit list of code points use a space separated list of hexadecimal code point values:

```xml
<class name="abcd">0061 0062 0063 0064</class>
```

This defines a class named "abcd" containing the code points for characters "a", "b", "c" and "d". The ordering of the code points is not material, but it is RECOMMENDED to list them in ascending order.

In a class definition, ranges of code points are represented by a hexadecimal start and end value separated by a hyphen. The following declaration is equivalent to the preceding:

```xml
<class name="abcd">0061-0064</class>
```

Range and code point declarations can be freely intermixed:

```xml
<class name="abcd">0061 0062-0063 0064</class>
```

The contents of a class differ from a repertoire in that the latter may contain sequences as elements, while the former may not. Instead, they closely resemble character classes as found in regular
5.2.5. Combined Classes

Classes may be combined using operators for set complement, union, intersection, difference and symmetric difference (exclusive-or). Because classes fundamentally function like sets, the union of several character classes is itself a class, for example.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Logical Operation</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complement</td>
<td>&lt;complement&gt;&lt;class by-ref=&quot;xxx&quot;&gt;&lt;/complement&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Union             | <union><class by-ref="class-1"/>
                         <class by-ref="class-2"/>
                         <class by-ref="class-3"/>
                    </union> |
| Intersection      | <intersection><class by-ref="class-1"/>
                         <class by-ref="class-2"/>
                    </intersection> |
| Difference        | <difference><class by-ref="class-1"/>
                         <class by-ref="class-2"/>
                    </difference> |
| Symmetric Difference | <symmetric-difference><class by-ref="class-1"/>
                         <class by-ref="class-2"/>
                    </symmetric-difference> |

The elements from this table may be arbitrarily nested inside each other, subject to the following restriction: a "complement" element MUST contain precisely one "class" or one of the operator elements, while an "intersection", "symmetric-difference" or "difference" element MUST contain precisely two, and a "union" element MUST contain two or more of these elements.

An anonymous combined class can be defined directly inside a rule or of the match operator elements that allow child elements (see Section 5.3.2) by using the set combination as the outer element.

```xml
<rule>
  <union>
    <class by-ref="xxx"/>
    <class by-ref="yyy"/>
  </union>
</rule>
```
The example shows the definition of an anonymous combined class that represents the union of classes "xxx" and "yyy". There is no need to wrap this union inside another "class" element, and, in fact, set combination elements MUST NOT be nested inside a "class" element.

Lastly, to create a named combined class that can be referenced in other classes or in rules as <class by-ref="xxxyyy"/> , add a "name" attribute to the set combination element, for example <union name="xxxyyy" /> and place it at the top level immediately below the "rules" element (see Section 5.2.1).

<rules>
  <union name="xxxyyy">
    <class by-ref="xxx"/>
    <class by-ref="yyy"/>
  </union>
  ...
</rules>

Because (as for ordinary sets) a combination of classes is itself a class, no matter by what combinations of set operators a combined class is created, a reference to it always uses the "class" element as described in Section 5.2.1. That is, a named class is always referenced via an empty "class" element using the "by-ref" attribute containing the name of the class to be referenced.

5.3. Whole Label and Context Rules

Each rule is comprised of a series of matching operators that must be satisfied in order to determine whether a label meets a given condition. Rules may reference other rules or character classes defined elsewhere in the table.

5.3.1. The rule Element

A matching rule is defined by a "rule" element, the child elements of which are one of the match operators from Section 5.3.2. In evaluating a rule, each child element is matched in order. Rule elements may be nested.

Rules may optionally be named using a "name" attribute containing a single identifier string with no spaces. A named rule may be incorporated into another rule by reference. If the "name" attribute is omitted, the rule is anonymous and may not be incorporated by reference into another rule or referenced by an action or "when"
A simple rule to match a label where all characters are members of the class "preferred":

```
<rule name="preferred">
  <start />
  <class by-ref="preferred" count="1+" />
  <end />
</rule>
```

Rules are paired with explicit and implied actions, triggering these actions when a rule matches a label. For example, a simple explicit action for the rule shown above would be:

```
<action disp="allocatable" match="preferred" />
```

This has the effect of setting the policy disposition for a label made up entirely of "preferred" code points to "allocatable". Explicit actions are further discussed in Section 6 and the use of rules in conditional contexts for implied actions is discussed in Section 4.3.5 and Section 6.5.

5.3.2. The Match Operators

The child elements of a rule are a series of match operators, which are listed here by type and name and with a basic example or two.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Operator</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>logical</td>
<td>any</td>
<td>&lt;any /&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>choice</td>
<td>&lt;choice&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;rule by-ref=&quot;alternative1&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;rule by-ref=&quot;alternative2&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;/choice&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>positional</td>
<td>start</td>
<td>&lt;start /&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>end</td>
<td>&lt;end /&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>literal</td>
<td>char</td>
<td>&lt;char cp=&quot;0061 0062 0063&quot; /&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>set</td>
<td>class</td>
<td>&lt;class by-ref=&quot;class1&quot; /&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;class&gt;0061 0064-0065&lt;/class&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>group</td>
<td>rule</td>
<td>&lt;rule by-ref=&quot;rule1&quot; /&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;rule&gt;&lt;any /&gt;&lt;/rule&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>contextual</td>
<td>anchor</td>
<td>&lt;anchor /&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>look-ahead</td>
<td>&lt;look-ahead&gt;&lt;any /&gt;&lt;/look-ahead&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>look-behind</td>
<td>&lt;look-behind&gt;&lt;any /&gt;&lt;/look-behind&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Any element defining an anonymous class can be used as a match operator, including any of the set combination operators (see Section 5.2.5) as well as references to named classes.

All match operators shown as empty elements in the Examples column of the table above do not support child elements of their own; otherwise match operators may be nested. In particular, anonymous "rule" elements can be used for grouping.

5.3.3. The count Attribute

The optional "count" attribute specifies the minimally required or maximal permitted number of times a match operator is used to match input. If the "count" attribute is

\[ n \]

the match operator matches the input exactly \( n \) times, where \( n \) is 1 or greater.
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n+ the match operator matches the input at least n times, where n is 0 or greater.

n:m the match operator matches the input at least n times where n is 0 or greater, but matches the input up to m times in total, where m > n. If m = n and n > 0, the match operator matches the input exactly n times.

If there is no "count" attribute, the match operator matches the input exactly once.

In matching, greedy evaluation is used in the sense defined for regular expressions: beyond the required number or times, the input is matched as many times as possible, but not so often as to prevent a match of the remainder of the rule.

The "count" attribute MUST NOT be applied to match operators of type "start", "end", "anchor", "look-ahead" and "look-behind" or to any operators, such as "rule" or "choice" that contain them, whether the latter are declared in place or used by reference. The "count" attribute may be applied to "class" and "rule" elements only if they do not have a "name" attribute, that is, to anonymous rules and classes or any invocation of predefined rules or classes by reference.

The optional "count" attribute MAY be applied to match operators of type "any", "char" and "class", as well as to match operators "choice" and "rule", as long as they contain none of the operators "start", "end", "anchor", "look-ahead" and "look-behind" as direct or indirect child elements. The same requirement applies recursively to any "rule" element referenced inside a "choice" or "rule" with a "count" attribute. The "count" attribute cannot appear in the same element as a "name" attribute.

5.3.4. The name and by-ref Attributes

Like classes (see Section 5.2.1), rules declared as immediate child elements of the "rules" element MUST be named using a unique "name" attribute, and all other instances MUST NOT be named. Anonymous rules and classes or reference to named rules and classes can be nested inside other match operators by reference.

To reference a named rule or class inside a rule or match operator use a "rule" or "class" element with an optional "by-ref" attribute containing the name of the referenced element. It is an error to reference a rule or class for which the complete definition has not been seen. In other words, it is explicitly not possible to define recursive rules or class definitions. The "by-ref" attribute cannot appear in the same element as the "name" attribute, or in an element that has any child elements.

Here's an example of a rule requiring that all labels be letters (optionally followed by combining marks) and possibly digits. The example shows rules and classes referenced by name.
5.3.5. The choice Element

The "choice" element is used to represent a list of two or more alternatives:

```
<rule name="ldh">
  <choice count="1+">
    <class by-ref="letters"/>
    <class by-ref="digits"/>
    <char cp="002D" comment="literal HYPHEN"/>
  </choice>
</rule>
```

Each child element of a "choice" represents one alternative. The first matching alternative determines the match for the "choice" element. To express a choice where an alternative itself consists of a sequence of elements, the sequence must be wrapped in an anonymous rule.

5.3.6. Literal Code Point Sequences

A literal code point sequence matches a single code point or a sequence. It is defined by a "char" element, with the code point or sequence to be matched given by the "cp" attribute. When used as a literal, a "char" element may contain a "count" in addition to the "cp" attribute and optional "comment" or "ref" attributes. No other attributes or child elements are permitted.

5.3.7. The any Element

The "any" element matches any single code point. It may have a "count" attribute. For an example see Section 5.3.9

Unlike a literal, the "any" element may not have a "ref" attribute.

5.3.8. The start and end Elements

To match the beginning or end of a label, use the "start" or "end" element. An empty label would match this rule:

```
<rule name="empty-label">
  <start/>
  <end/>
</rule>
```
Conceptually, Whole Label Evaluation Rules evaluate the label as a whole, but in practice, many rules do not actually need to be specified to match the entire label. For example, to express a requirement of not starting a label with a digit, a rule needs to describe only the initial part of a label.

This example uses the previously defined rules, together with start and end tag, to define a rule that requires that an entire label is well-formed. For this example that means, that it must start with a letter and contains no leading digits or combining marks, nor combining marks placed on digits.

```xml
<rule name="leading-letter" >
  <start />
  <rule by-ref="letter-grapheme" count="1"/>
  <choice count="0+">
    <rule by-ref="letter-grapheme" count="0+"/>
    <class by-ref="digit" count="0+"/>
  </choice>
  <end />
</rule>
```

Each "start" or "end" element occurs at most once in a rule, except if nested inside a "choice" element in such a way that in matching each alternative at most one occurrence of each is encountered. Otherwise, the result is an error; as is any case where a "start" or "end" element is not encountered as first or last element to be matched, respectively, in matching a rule. Start and end elements do not have a "count" or any other attribute. It is an error for any match operator enclosing a nested "start" or "end" element to have a "count" attribute.

5.3.9. Example rule from IDNA2008

This is an example of the whole label evaluation rule from [RFC5892] forbidding the mixture of the Arabic-Indic and extended Arabic-Indic digits in the same label. The example also demonstrates several instances of the use of anonymous rules for grouping.
<data>
  <range first-\(\text{cp}\)="0660" last-\(\text{cp}\)="0669" not-\(\text{when}\)="mixed-digits"
    tag="arabic-indic-digits" />
  <range first-\(\text{cp}\)="06F0" last-\(\text{cp}\)="06F9" not-\(\text{when}\)="mixed-digits"
    tag="extended-arabic-indic-digits" />
</data>

rules>
  <rule name="mixed-digits">
    <choice>
      <rule>
        <class from-tag="arabic-indic-digits"/>
        <any count="0+"/>
        <class from-tag="extended-arabic-indic-digits"/>
      </rule>
      <rule>
        <class from-tag="extended-arabic-indic-digits"/>
        <any count="0+"/>
        <class from-tag="arabic-indic-digits"/>
      </rule>
    </choice>
  </rule>
</rules>

The effect of this example is that a label containing a code point from either of the two digit ranges is invalid for any label matching the "mixed-digits" rule, that is, anytime a code point from the other range is also present. Note that this is not the same as invalidating the definition of the "range" elements.

5.4. Parameterized Context or When Rules

A special type of rule provides a context for evaluating the validity of a code point or variant mapping. This rule is invoked by the "when" or "not-\(\text{when}\)" attributes described in Section 4.2. For "\(\text{char}\)" and "\(\text{range}\)" elements, an action implied by a context rule always has a disposition of "invalid" whenever the rule given by the "\(\text{when}\)" attribute is not matched (see Section 6.5). Conversely, a "\(\text{not-when}\)" attribute results in a disposition of "invalid" whenever the rule is matched.

5.4.1. The anchor Element

Such parameterized context or "When Rules" may contain a special place holder represented by an "anchor" element. As each When Rule is evaluated, the "anchor" element is replaced by a literal corresponding to the "\(\text{cp}\)" attribute of the element containing the "\(\text{when}\)" (or "\(\text{not-when}\)"") attribute. The match to the "anchor" element must be at the same position in the label as the code point or variant mapping triggering the When Rule.

For example, the Greek lower numeral sign is invalid if not immediately preceding a character in the Greek script. This is most
naturally addressed with a When Rule using look-ahead:

```xml
<char cp="0375" when="preceding-greek"/>
...
<class name="greek-script" property="sc:Grek"/>
<rule name="preceding-greek">
  <anchor/>
  <look-ahead>
    <class by-ref="greek-script"/>
  </look-ahead>
</rule>
```

In evaluating this rule, the "anchor" element is treated as if it was replaced by a literal

```xml
<char cp="0375"/>
```

but only the instance of U+0375 at the given position is evaluated. If a label had two instances of U+0375 with the first one matching the rule and the second not, then evaluating the When Rule MUST succeed for the first and fail for the second instance.

Unlike other rules, When Rules containing an "anchor" element MUST only be invoked via the "when" or "not-when" attributes on code points or variants; otherwise their "anchor" elements cannot be evaluated. However, it is possible to invoke rules not containing an "anchor" element from a "when" or "not-when" attribute. (See Section 5.4.3)

5.4.2. The look-behind and look-ahead Elements

Context rules use the "look-behind" and "look-ahead" elements to define context before and after the code point sequence matched by the "anchor" element. If the "anchor" element is omitted, neither the "look-behind" nor the "look-ahead" element may be present.

Here is an example of a rule that defines an "initial" context for an Arabic code point:
A "when rule" contains any combination of "look-behind", "anchor" and "look-ahead" elements in that order. Each of these elements occurs at most once, except if nested inside a "choice" element in such a way that in matching each alternative at most one occurrence of each is encountered. Otherwise, the result is undefined. None of these elements takes a "count" attribute, nor does any enclosing match operator. Otherwise, the result is undefined. If a context rule contains a "look-ahead" or "look-behind" element, it MUST contain an "anchor" element. If, because of a choice element, a required anchor is not actually encountered, the results are undefined.

5.4.3. Omitting the anchor Element

If the "anchor" element is omitted, the evaluation of the context rule is not tied to the position of the code point or sequence associated with the "when" attribute.

According to [RFC5892] Katakana middle dot is invalid in any label not containing at least one Japanese character anywhere in the label. Because this requirement is independent of the position of the middle dot, the rule does not require an "anchor" element.
<char cp="30FB" when="japanese-in-label"/>
<rule name="japanese-in-label">
  <union>
    <class property="sc:Hani"/>
    <class property="sc:Kata"/>
    <class property="sc:Hira"/>
  </union>
</rule>

The Katakana middle dot is used only with Han, Katakana or Hiragana. The corresponding When Rule requires that at least one code point in the label is in one of these scripts, but the position of that code point is independent of the location of the middle dot and no anchor therefore required. (Note that the Katakana middle dot itself is of script Common, that is, "sc:Zyyy").

6. The action Element

The purpose of a rule is to trigger a specific action. Often, the action simply results in blocking or invalidating a label that does not match a rule. An example of an action invalidating a label because it does not match a rule named "leading-letter" is as follows:

<action disp="invalid" not-match="leading-letter"/>

If an action is to be triggered on matching a rule, a "match" attribute is used instead. Actions are evaluated in the order that they appear in the XML file. Once an action is triggered by a label, the disposition defined in the "disp" attribute is assigned to the label and no other actions are evaluated for that label.

The goal of the Label Generation Rules is to identify all labels and variant labels and to assign them disposition values. These dispositions are then fed into a further process that ultimately implements all aspects of policy. To allow this specification to be used with the widest range of policies, the permissible values for the "disp" attribute are neither defined nor restricted. Nevertheless a set of commonly used disposition values is RECOMMENDED. (See Section 6.3)

6.1. The match and not-match Attributes

A "match" or "not-match" attribute specify a rule that must be matched or not matched as a condition for triggering an action. Only a single rule may be named as the value of a "match" or "not-match" attribute. Because rules may be composed of other rules, this restriction to a single attribute value does not impose any limitation on the contexts that can trigger an action.
An action may contain a "match" or a "not-match" attribute, but not both. An action without any attributes is triggered by all labels unconditionally. For a very simple LGR, the following action would allocate all labels that match the repertoire:

```xml
<action disp="allocatable" />
```

Since rules are evaluated for all labels, whether they are the original label or computed by permuting the defined and valid variant mappings for the label’s code points, actions based on matching or not matching a rule may be triggered for both original and variant labels, but they the rules are not affected by the disposition attributes of the variant mappings. To trigger any actions base on these dispositions requires the use additional optional attributes for actions described next.

6.2. Actions with Variant Type Triggers

6.2.1. The all-, any- and only-variants Attributes

An action may contain one of the optional attributes "any-variant", "all-variants", or "only-variants" defining triggers based on variant types. The permitted value for these attributes consists of one or more variant type values, separated by spaces. When a variant label is generated, these variant type values are compared to the set of type values on the variant mappings used to generate the particular variant label (see Section 7).

Any single match may trigger an action that contains an "any-variant" attribute, while for an "all-variants" or "only-variants" attribute, the variant type for all variant code points must match one or several of the type values specified in the attribute to trigger the action. There is no requirement that the entire list of variant type values be matched, as long as all variant code points match at least one of the values.

An "only-variants" attribute will trigger the action only if all code points of the variant label have variant mappings from the original code points. In other words, the label contains no original code points other than those with a reflexive mapping (see Section 4.3.4).

```xml
<char cp="0078" comment="x" />
  <var cp="0078" type="allocatable" comment="reflexive" />
  <var cp="0079" type="blocked" />
</char>

<char cp="0079" comment="y"/>
  <var cp="0078" type="allocatable" />
```
In the example above, the label "xx" would have variant labels "xx", "xy", "yx" and "yy". The first action would result in blocking any variant label containing "y", because the variant mapping from "x" to "y" is of type "blocked", triggering the "any-variant" condition. Because in this example "x" has a reflexive variant mapping to itself of type "allocatable" the original label "xx" has a reflexive variant "xx" that would trigger the "only-variants" condition on the second action.

A label "yy" would have the variants "xy", "yx" and "xx". Because the variant mapping from "y" to "x" is of type "allocatable" and a mapping from "y" to "y" is not defined, the labels "xy" and "yx" trigger the "any-variant" condition on the third label. The variant "xx", being generated using the mapping from "y" to "x" of type "allocatable", would trigger the "only-variants" condition on the section action. As there is no reflexive variant "yy", the original label "yy" cannot trigger any variant type triggers. However, it could still trigger an action defined as matching or not matching a rule.

In each action, one variant type trigger may be present by itself or in conjunction with an attribute matching or not-matching a rule. If variant triggers and rule-matching triggers are used together, the label MUST "match" or respectively "not-match" the specified rule, AND satisfy the conditions on the variant type values given by the "any-variant", "all-variants", or "only-variants" attribute.

A useful convention combines the "any-variant" trigger with reflexive variant mappings (Section 4.3.4). This convention is used, for example, when multiple LGRs are defined within the same registry and for overlapping repertoire. In some cases, the delegation of a label from one LGR must prohibit the delegation of another label in some other LGR. This can be done using a variant of type "blocked" as in this example from an Armenian LGR, where the Armenian, Latin and Cyrillic letters all look identical:

```
<char cp="0570" comment="Armenian small letter HO">
  <var cp="0068" type="blocked" comment="Latin small letter H" />
  <var cp="04BB" type="blocked" comment="Cyrillic small letter SSHA" />
</char>
```

The issue is that the target code points for these two variants are both outside the Armenian repertoire. By using a reflexive variant with the following convention:
and associating this with an action of the form:

```
<action disp="invalid" any-variant="out-of-repertoire-var" />
```

it is possible to list the symmetric and transitive variant mappings in the LGR even where they involve out-of-repertoire code points. By associating the action shown with the special type for these reflexive mappings any original labels containing one or more of the out-of-repertoire code points are filtered out—just as if these code points had not been listed in the LGR in the first place. Nevertheless, they do participate in the permutation of variant labels for n-repertoire labels (Armenian in the example), and these permuted variants can be used to detect collisions with out-of-repertoire labels (see Section 7).

6.2.2. Example from RFC 3743 Tables

This section gives an example of using variant type triggers, combined with variants with reflexive mappings (Section 4.3.4) to achieve LGRs that implement tables like those defined according to [RFC3743] where the goal is to allow as variants only labels that consist entirely of simplified or traditional variants, in addition to the original label.

Assuming an LGR where all variants have been given suitable "type" attributes of "blocked", "simplified", "traditional", or "both", similar to the ones discussed in Appendix Appendix B. Given such an LGR, the following example actions evaluate the disposition for the variant label:

```
<action disp="blocked" any-variant="blocked" />
<action disp="allocatable" only-variants="simplified both" />
<action disp="allocatable" only-variants="traditional both" />
<action disp="blocked" all-variants="simplified traditional " />
<action disp="allocatable" />
```

The first action matches any variant label for which at least one of the code point variants is of type "blocked". The second matches any variant label for which all of the code point variants are of type "simplified" or "both", in other words an all-simplified label. The third matches any label for which all variants are of type "traditional" or "both", that is all traditional. These two actions
are not triggered by any variant labels containing some original code points, unless each of those code points has a variant defined with a reflexive mapping (Section 4.3.4).

The final two actions rely on the fact that actions are evaluated in sequence, and that the first action triggered also defines the final disposition for a variant label (see Section 6.4). They further rely on the assumption that the only variants with type "both" are also reflexive variants.

Given these assumptions, any remaining simplified or traditional variants must then be part of a mixed label, and so are blocked; all labels surviving to the last action are original code points only (that is the original label). The example assumes that an original label may be a mixed label; if that is not the case, the disposition for the last action would be set to "blocked".

There are exceptions where the assumption on reflexive mappings made above does not hold, so this basic scheme needs some refinements to cover all cases. For a more complete example, see Appendix Appendix B.

6.3. Recommended Disposition Values

The precise nature of the policy action taken in response to a disposition and the name of the corresponding "disp" attributes are only partially defined here. It is strongly RECOMMENDED to use the following dispositions only with their conventional sense.

invalid The resulting string is not a valid label. This disposition may be assigned implicitly, see Section 6.5. No variant labels should be generated from a variant mapping with this type.

blocked The resulting string is a valid label, but should be blocked from registration. This would typically apply for a derived variant that has is undesirable as having no practical use or being confusingly similar to some other label.

allocatable The resulting string should be reserved for use by the same operator of the origin string, but not automatically allocated for use.

activated The resulting string should be activated for use. (This is the typical default action if no dispositions are defined and is known as a "preferred" variant in [RFC3743])

6.4. Precedence
Actions are applied in the order of their appearance in the file. This defines their relative precedence. The first action triggered by a label defines the disposition for that label. To define a specific order of precedence, list the actions in the desired order. The conventional order of precedence for the actions defined in Section 6.3 is "invalid", "blocked", "allocatable", then "activated". This default precedence is used for the default actions defined in Section 6.6.

6.5. Implied Actions

The context rules on code points ("not-when" or "when" rules) carry an implied action with a disposition of "invalid" (not eligible). These rules are evaluated at the time the code points for a label or its variant labels are checked for validity (see Section 7). In other words, they are evaluated before any of the whole-label evaluation rules and with higher precedence. The context rules for variant mappings are evaluated when variants are generated and/or when variant tables are made symmetric and transitive. They have an implied action with a disposition of "invalid" which means a putative variant mapping does not exist whenever the given context matches a "not-when" rule or fails to match a "when" rule specified for that mapping. The result of that disposition is that the variant mapping is ignored in generating variant labels and the value is therefore not accessible to trigger any explicit actions.

Note that such non-existing variant mapping is different from a blocked variant, which is a variant code point mapping that exists but results in a label that may not be allocated.

6.6. Default Actions

As described in Section 6 any variant mapping may be given a "type" attribute. An action containing an "any-variant" or "all-variants" attribute relates these type values to a resulting disposition for the entire variant label.

If no actions are defined for the standard disposition values of "invalid", "blocked", "allocatable" and "activated", then the following default actions exist that are shown below in their default order of precedence (see Section 6.4). This default order for evaluating dispositions applies only to labels that triggered no explicitly defined actions and which are therefore handled by default actions. Default actions have a lower order of precedence than explicit actions (see Section 7.3).

The default actions for variant labels are defined as follows:

```
<action disp="invalid" any-variant="invalid"/>
<action disp="blocked" any-variant="blocked"/>
<action disp="allocatable" any-variant="allocatable"/>
<action disp="activated" all-variants="activate"/>
```
A final default action sets the disposition to "allocatable" for any label matching the repertoire for which no other action has been triggered (catch-all).

   <action disp="allocatable" />

7. Processing a Label against an LGR

7.1. Determining Eligibility for a Label

In order to test a specific label for membership in the LGR, a consumer of the LGR must iterate through each code point within a given label, and test that each code point is a member of the LGR. If any code point is not a member of the LGR, the label shall be deemed as invalid.

An individual code point is deemed a member of the LGR when it is listed using a "char" element, or is part of a range defined with a "range" element, and all necessary condition in any "when" or "not-when" attributes are correctly satisfied.

Alternatively, a code point is also deemed a member of the LGR when it forms part of a sequence that corresponds to a sequence listed using a "char" element for which the "cp" attribute defines a sequence, and all necessary condition in any "when" or "not-when" attributes are correctly satisfied.

A label must also not trigger any action that results in a disposition of "invalid", otherwise it is deemed not eligible. (This step may need to be deferred, until variant code point dispositions have been determined).

For LGRs that contain reflexive variant mappings (defined in Section 4.3.4), the final evaluation of eligibility for the label must be deferred until variants are generated. In essence, LGRs that use this feature treat the original label as the (identity) variant of itself. For such LGRs, the ordinary iteration over code points would generally only exclude a subset of invalid labels, but it could be used effectively as a pre-screening.

To check the validity of a label with reflexive mappings, it is not necessary to generate all variant labels. Only a single variant needs to be created, where for each code point, any reflexive variants are applied, and the label disposition is evaluated. A disposition of "invalid" results in the label being not eligible. (In the exceptional case where context rules are present on reflexive mappings, multiple reflexive variants may be defined, but for each original label, at most one of these can be valid at each code position. However, see Section 7.4).

7.2. Determining Variants for a Label
For a given eligible label, the set of variant labels is deemed to consist of each possible permutation of original code points and substituted code points or sequences defined in "var" elements, whereby all "when" and "not-when" attributes are correctly satisfied for each "char" or "var" element in the given permutation and all applicable whole label evaluation rules are satisfied as follows:

1. Create each possible permutation of a label, by substituting each code point or code point sequence in turn by any defined variant mapping (including any reflexive mappings)

2. Apply variant mappings with "when" or "not-when" attributes only if the conditions are satisfied; otherwise they are not defined

3. Record each of the "type" values on the variant mappings used in creating a given variant label in a disposition set; for any unmapped code point record the "type" value of any reflexive variant (see Section 4.3.4)

4. Determine the disposition for each variant label per Section 7.3

5. If the disposition is "invalid", remove the label from the set

6. If final evaluation of the disposition for the unpermuted label per Section 7.3 results in a disposition of "invalid", remove all associated variant labels from the set.

The number of potential permutations can be very large. In practice, implementations would use suitable optimizations to avoid having to actually create all permutations.

7.3. Determining a Disposition for a Label or Variant Label

For a given label (variant or original), its disposition is determined by evaluating in order of their appearance all actions for which the label or variant label satisfies the conditions.

1. For any label, the disposition is given by the value of the "disp" attribute for the first action triggered by the label. An action is triggered, if all of the following are true:

   * the label matches the whole label evaluation rule given in the "match" attribute for that action;

   * the label does not match the whole label evaluation rule given in the "not-match" attribute for that action;

   * any of the recorded variant types for a variant label match the types given in the "any-variant" attribute for that action;
* all of the recorded variant types for a variant label match
  the types given in the "all-variants" or "only-variants"
  attribute given for that action;

* in case of an "only-variants" attribute, the label contains
  only code points that are the target of applied variant
  mappings;

or

* the action does not contain any "match", "not-match", "any-
  variant", "all-variants", or "only-variants" attributes: catch-
  all.

2. For any remaining variant label, assign the variant label the
disposition using the default actions defined in Section 6.6.
For this step, variant types outside the predefined recommended set
(see Section 6.3) are ignored.

3. For any remaining label, set the disposition to "allocatable".

7.4. Duplicate Variant Labels

For a poorly designed LGR, it is possible to generate duplicate
variant labels from the same input label, but with different, and
potentially conflicting dispositions. Implementations MUST treat any
duplicate variant labels encountered as an error, irrespective of
their dispositions.

This situation can arise in two ways. One is described in Section
4.3.5 and involves defining the same variant mapping with two context
rules that are formally distinct, but nevertheless overlap so that
they are not mutually exclusive for the same label.

The other case involves variants defined for sequences, where one
sequence is a prefix of another (see Section 4.3.1). The following
shows such an example resulting in conflicting reflexive variants:

<char cp="0061">
  <var cp="0061" type="allocatable"/>
</char>
<char cp="0062"/>
<char cp="0061 0062">
  <var cp="0061 0062" type="blocked"/>
</char>

A label "ab" would generate the variant labels "\{a\}{b}" and "\{ab\}"
where the curly braces show the sequence boundaries as they were
applied during variant mapping. The result is a duplicate variant
label "ab", one based on a variant of type "allocatable" plus an
original code point "b" that has no variant, and another one based on
a single variant of type "blocked", thus creating two variant labels
with conflicting dispositions.
In the general case it is difficult to impossible to prove by mechanical inspection of the LGR that duplicate variant labels will never occur, so implementations have to be prepared to detect this error during variant label generation. The condition is easily avoided by careful design of context rules and special attention to the relation among code point sequences with variants.

7.5. Checking Labels for Collision

The obvious method for checking collision between labels is to generate the fully permuted set of variants for one of them and see whether it contains the other label as a member. As discussed above, this can be prohibitive, and is not necessary.

Because of symmetry and transitivity, all variant mappings form disjoint sets in which each of them is a variant of all other members. As a consequence, the set of variant labels likewise forms disjoint subsets, based on which set of mappings was used.

Instead of generating all permutations, that is, use each variant mapping in each set, it is sufficient to substitute an "index" mapping, in effect identifying the set of variant code points. Such an index mapping could be, for example, the variant mapping for which the target code point (or sequence) comes first in some sorting order.

To check collision then means generating a single variant label from the original, by substituting the "index" value as the target for mapping from any code point. This results in an "index label". Two labels collide whenever the index labels for them are the same.

8. Conversion to and from Other Formats

Both [RFC3743] and [RFC4290] provide different grammars for IDN tables. These formats are unable to fully cater for the increased requirements of contemporary IDN variant policies.

This specification is a superset of functionality provided by these IDN table formats, thus any table expressed in those formats can be expressed in this format. Automated conversion can be conducted between tables conformant with the grammar specified in each document.

For notes on how to translate an RFC 3743-style table, see Appendix B.

9. Media Type

Transmission of a well-formed LGR in accordance with this specification SHOULD be transmitted with a media type of "application/lgr+xml". This media type will signal to an LGR-aware client that the content is designed to be interpreted as an LGR.

10. IANA Considerations
This document requests the following actions from IANA:

10.1. Media Type Registration

[TODO: Add Media Type registration details per [RFC7303]]

10.2. URN Registration

This document uses a URN to describe the XML namespace in accordance with [RFC3688].

URI: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:lgr-1.0

Registrant Contact: See the Authors of this document.

XML: None.

10.3. Disposition Registry

[TODO: Request a registry for managing final dispositions of labels.]

11. Security Considerations

If a system that is querying an identifier list (such as a domain zone) that uses the rules in this memo, and those rules are not implemented correctly, and that system is relying on the rules being applied, the system might fail if the rules are not applied in a predictable fashion. This could cause security problems for the querying system.

A naive implementation attempting to generate all variant labels for a given label could lead to the possibility of exhausting the resources on the machine running the LGR processor, potentially causing a DoS on the server. For many operations, brute force generation can be avoided by optimization, and if needed, the number of permuted labels can be estimated more cheaply ahead of time.

The implementation of Whole Label Evaluation rules, using certain backtracking algorithms, can take exponential time for pathological rules or labels and exhaust stack resources. This can be mitigated by proper implementation and enforcing the restrictions on permissible label length.
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Appendix A. Example Tables

Davies & Freytag Expires April 18, 2016 [Page 45]
The following presents a minimal LGR table defining the lower case LDH (letter-digit-hyphen) repertoire and containing no rules or metadata elements. Many simple LGR tables will look quite similar, except that they would contain some metadata.

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8"?>
<lgr xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:lgr-1.0">
  <data>
    <char cp="002D" comment="HYPHEN (-)" />
    <range first-cp="0030" last-cp="0039" comment="DIGIT ZERO - DIGIT NINE" />
    <range first-cp="0061" last-cp="007A" comment="LATIN SMALL LETTER A - LATIN SMALL LETTER Z" />
  </data>
</lgr>
```

The following sample LGR shows a more complete collection of the elements and attributes defined in this specification in a somewhat typical context.
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8"?>
<!-- This example uses a large subset of the features of this specification. It does not include every set operator, match operator element, or action trigger attribute, their use being largely parallel to the ones demonstrated.-->
<lgr xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:lgr-1.0">
  <!-- meta element with all optional elements -->
  <meta>
    <version comment="initial version">1</version>
    <date>2010-01-01</date>
    <language>sv</language>
    <scope type="domain">example.com</scope>
    <validity-start>2010-01-01</validity-start>
    <validity-end>2013-12-31</validity-end>
    <description type="text/html">
      <![CDATA[
        This language table was developed with the
        a href="http://swedish.example/"">Swedish examples institute</a>.
      ]]>  
    </description>
    <unicode-version>6.3.0</unicode-version>
    <references>
      <reference id="0" comment="the most recent" >The Unicode Standard 6.2</reference>
      <reference id="1" >RFC 5892</reference>
    </references>
  </meta>
  <!-- the data section describing the repertoire -->
  <data>
    <!-- single code point "char" element -->
    <char cp="002D" ref="1" comment="HYPHEN" />

    <!-- range elements for contiguous code points, with tags -->
    <range first-cp="0030" last-cp="0039" ref="1" tag="digit" />
    <range first-cp="0061" last-cp="007A" ref="1" tag="letter" />

    <!-- code point sequence -->
    <char cp="006C 00B7 006C" comment="catalan middle dot" />

    <!-- alternatively use a when rule -->
    <char cp="00B7" when="catalan-middle-dot" />

    <!-- code point with context rule -->
    <char cp="200D" when="joiner" ref="2" />

    <!-- code points with variants -->
    <char cp="4E16" tag="preferred" ref="0" />
  </data>
</lgr>
<var cp="4E17" type="blocked" ref="2" />
<var cp="534B" type="allocatable" ref="2" />
</char>
<char cp="4E17" ref="0">
<var cp="4E16" type="allocatable" ref="2" />
<var cp="534B" type="allocatable" ref="2" />
</char>
<char cp="534B" ref="0">
<var cp="4E16" type="allocatable" ref="2" />
<var cp="4E17" type="blocked" ref="2" />
</char>
</data>

<!-- Context and whole label rules -->
<rules>
  <!-- Require the given code point to be between two 006C -->
  <rule name="catalan-middle-dot" ref="0">
    <look-behind>
      <char cp="006C" />
    </look-behind>
    <anchor />
    <look-ahead>
      <char cp="006C" />
    </look-ahead>
  </rule>

  <!-- example of a context rule based on property -->
  <class name="virama" property="ccc:9" />
  <rule name="joiner" ref="1">
    <look-behind>
      <class by-ref="virama" />
    </look-behind>
    <anchor />
  </rule>

  <!-- example of using set operators -->
  <difference name="consonants">
    <class comment="all letters">0061-007A</class>
    <class comment="all vowels">
      0061 0065 0069 006F 0075
    </class>
  </difference>

  <!-- by using the start and end, rule matches whole label -->
  <rule name="three-or-more-consonants">
    <start />
    <class by-ref="consonants" count="3+" />
    <end />
  </rule>
</rules>
Appendix B. How to Translate RFC 3743 based Tables into the XML Format

As a background, the [RFC3743] rules work as follows:

1. The Original (requested) label is checked to make sure that all the code points are a subset of the repertoire.
2. If it passes the check, the Original label is allocatable.
3. Generate the all-simplified and all-traditional variant labels (union of all the labels generated using all the simplified variants of the code points) for allocation.

To illustrate by example, here is one of the more complicated set of variants:

U+4E7E
U+4E81
U+5E72
U+5E79
U+69A6
U+6F27

The following shows the relevant section of the Chinese language table published by the .ASIA registry [ASIA-TABLE]. Its entries read:

<codepoint>;<simpl-variant(s)>;<trad-variant(s)>;<other-variant(s)>

These are the lines corresponding to the set of variants listed above
The corresponding data section XML format would look like this:
Here the simplified variants have been given a type of "simp", the traditional variants one of "trad" and all other ones are given "blocked".
Because some variant mappings show in more than one column, while the XML format allows only a single type value, they have been given the type of "both".

Note that some variant mappings map to themselves (identity), that is the mapping is reflexive (see Section 4.3.4). In creating the permutation of all variant labels, these mappings have no effect, other than adding a value to the variant type list for the variant label containing them.

In the example so far, all of the entries with type="both" are also mappings where source and target are identical. That is, they are reflexive mappings as defined in Section 4.3.4.

Given a label "U+4E7E U+4E81", the following labels would be ruled allocatable under [RFC3743] based on how that standard is commonly implemented in domain registries:

Original label:     U+4E7E U+4E81
Simplified label 1: U+4E7E U+5E72
Simplified label 2: U+5E72 U+5E72
Traditional label:  U+4E7E U+4E7E

However, if allocatable labels were generated simply by a straight permutation of all variants with type other than type="blocked" and without regard to the simplified / traditional variants, we would end up with an extra allocatable label of "U+5E72 U+4E7E". This label is comprised of a both Simplified Chinese character and a Traditional Chinese code point and therefore shouldn’t be allocatable.

To more fully resolve the dispositions requires several actions to be defined as described in Section 6.2.2 which will override the default actions from Section 6.6. After blocking all labels that contain a variant with type "blocked", these actions will set to allocatable labels based on the following variant types: "simp", "trad" and "both". Note that these variant types do not directly relate to dispositions for the variant label, but that the actions will resolve them to the standard dispositions on labels, to with "blocked" and "allocatable".

To resolve label dispositions requires five actions to be defined (in the rules section of this document) these actions apply in order and the first one triggered, defines the disposition for the label. The actions are:

1. block all variant labels containing at least one blocked variant.
2. allocate all labels that consist entirely of variants that are "simp" or "both"
3. also allocate all labels that are entirely "trad" or "both"
4. block all surviving labels containing any one of the dispositions "simp" or "trad" or "both" because they are now known to be part of an undesirable mixed simplified/traditional label.

5. allocate any remaining label; the original label would be such a label.

The rules declarations would be represented as:

```xml
<rules>
  <!--Action elements - order defines precedence-->
  <action disp="blocked" any-variant="blocked" />
  <action disp="allocatable" only-variants="simp both" />
  <action disp="allocatable" only-variants="trad both" />
  <action disp="blocked" any-variant="simp trad" />
  <action disp="allocatable" comment="catch-all" />
</rules>
```

Up to now, variants with type "both" have occurred only associated with reflexive variant mappings. The "action" elements defined above rely on the assumption that this is always the case. However, consider the following set of variants:

U+62E0;U+636E;U+636E;U+64DA
U+636E;U+636E;U+64DA;U+62E0
U+64DA;U+636E;U+64DA;U+62E0

The corresponding XML would be:

```xml
<char cp="62E0">
  <var cp="636E" type="both" comment="both, but not reflexive" />
  <var cp="64DA" type="blocked" />
</char>
<char cp="636E">
  <var cp="636E" type="simp" comment="reflexive, but not both" />
  <var cp="64DA" type="trad" />
  <var cp="62E0" type="blocked" />
</char>
<char cp="64DA">
  <var cp="636E" type="simp" />
  <var cp="64DA" type="trad" comment="reflexive" />
  <var cp="62E0" type="blocked" />
</char>
```

To make such variant sets work requires a way to selectively trigger an action based on whether a variant type is associated with an identity or reflexive mapping, or is associated with an ordinary variant mapping. This can be done by adding a prefix "r-", or the "type" attribute on reflexive variant mappings. For example the "trad" for code point U+64DA in the preceding figure would become "r-trad".

```xml
U+62E0;U+636E;U+636E;U+64DA;U+64DA;U+62E0
```
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With the dispositions prepared in this way, only a slight modification to the actions is needed to yield the correct set of allocatable labels:

```xml
<action disp="blocked" any-variant="blocked" />
<action disp="allocatable" only-variants="simp r-simp both r-both" />
<action disp="allocatable" only-variants="trad r-trad both r-both" />
<action disp="allocatable" all-variants="simp trad both" />
<action disp="allocatable"/>
```

The first three actions get triggered by the same labels as before.

The fourth action blocks any label that combines an original code point with any mix of ordinary variant mappings; however no labels that are a combination of only original code points (code points having either no variant mappings or a reflexive mapping) would be affected. These are the original labels and they are allocated in the last action.

Using this scheme of assigning types to ordinary and reflexive variants, all RFC 3743-style tables can be converted to XML. By defining a set of actions as outlined above, the LGR will yield the correct set of allocatable variants: all variants consisting completely of variant code points preferred for simplified or traditional, respectively, will be allocated, as will be the original label. All other variant labels will be blocked.

Appendix C. Indic Syllable Structure Example

In LGRs for Indic scripts it may be desirable to restrict valid labels to sequences of valid Indic syllables, or aksharas. This appendix gives a sample set of rules designed to enforce this restriction.

An example of a BNF from for an akshara which has been published in "Devanagari Script Behavior for Hindi" [TDIL-HINDI]. The rules for other languages and scripts used in India are expected to be generally similar.

For Hindi, the BNF has the form:

```
V[m]|{C[N]H}C[N](H|{v}[m])
```

Where:

- \(V\) (upper case) is any independent vowel
- \(m\) is any vowel modifier (Devanagari Anusvara, Visarga, and Candrabindu)
- \(C\) is any consonant (with inherent vowel)
- \(N\) is Nukta
H    is a Halant (or Virama)

v    (lower case) is any dependent vowel sign (matra)

()   encloses items which may be repeated one or more times

[ ]  encloses items which may or may not be present

|    separates items, out of which only one can be present

By using the Unicode property "InSC" or "Indic_Syllabic_Category"
which corresponds rather directly to the classification of characters
in the BNF above, we can translate the BNF into a set of WLE rules
matching the definition of an akshara.
<rules>
  <!--Character Class Definitions go here-->
  <class name="halant" property="InSC:Virama" />
  <union name="vowel-modifier">
    <class property="InSC:Visarga" />
    <class property="InSC:Bindu" comment="includes anusvara" />
  </union>
  <!--Whole label evaluation and Context rules go here-->  
  <rule name="consonant-with-optional-nukta">
    <class by-ref="InSC:Consonant" />
    <class by-ref="InSC:Nukta" count="0:1" />
  </rule>
  <rule name="independent-vowel-with-optional-modifier">
    <class by-ref="InSC:Vowel_Independent" />
    <class by-ref="vowel-modifier" count="0:1" />
  </rule>
  <rule name="optional-dependent-vowel-with-opt-modifier">
    <class by-ref="InSC:Vowel_Dependent" count="0:1" />
    <class by-ref="vowel-modifier" count="0:1" />
  </rule>
  <rule name="consonant-cluster">
    <rule count="0+">
      <rule by-ref="consonant-with-optional-nukta" />
      <class by-ref="halant" />
    </rule>
    <rule by-ref="consonant-with-optional-nukta" />
    <choice>
      <class by-ref="halant" />
      <rule by-ref="optional-dependent-vowel-with-opt-modifier" />
    </choice>
  </rule>
  <rule name="akshara">
    <choice>
      <rule by-ref="independent-vowel-with-optional-modifier" />
      <rule by-ref="consonant-cluster" />
    </choice>
  </rule>
  <rule name="WLE-akshara-or-other" comment="series of one or more aksharas, possibly alternating with other types of code points such as digits">
    <start />
    <choice count="1+">
      <class property="InSC:other" />
      <rule by-ref="akshara" />
    </choice>
    <end />
  </rule>
  <!--Action elements go here - order defines precedence-->  
  <action disp="invalid" not-match="WLE-akshara-or-other" />
</rules>
With the rules and classes as defined above, the final action assigns a disposition of "invalid" to all labels that are not composed of a sequence of well-formed aksharas, optionally interspersed with other characters, perhaps digits, for example.

The relevant Unicode property could be replicated by tagging repertoire values directly in the LGR which would remove the dependency on any specific version of the Unicode Standard.

Generally, dependent vowels may only follow consonant expressions, however, for some scripts, like Bengali, the Unicode standard supports sequences of dependent vowels or their application on independent vowels. This makes the definition of akshara less restrictive.

It is possible to reduce the complexity of these rules by defining alternate rules which simply define the permissible pair-wise context of adjacent code points by character class—such as the rule that a Halant can only follow a (nuktated) consonant. (See the example in [WLE-RULES]).

Appendix D. RelaxNG Compact Schema
default namespace = "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:lgr-1.0"

# SIMPLE TYPES
#
#
# RFC 5646 language tag (e.g. "de", "und-Latn", etc.)
language-tag = xsd:token

# The scope to which the LGR applies. For the "domain" scope type it
# should be a fully qualified domain name.
scope-value = xsd:token {
    minLength = "1"
}

## a single code point
code-point = xsd:token {
    pattern = "[0-9A-F]{4,6}"
}

## a space-separated sequence of code points
code-point-sequence = xsd:token {
    pattern = "[0-9A-F]{4,6}( [0-9A-F]{4,6})+"
}

## single code point, or a sequence of code points
code-point-literal = code-point | code-point-sequence

code-point-set-shorthand = xsd:token {
    pattern = "([0-9A-F]{4,6}|[0-9A-F]{4,6}-[0-9A-F]{4,6})"*
    ~ "([0-9A-F]{4,6}|[0-9A-F]{4,6}-[0-9A-F]{4,6})+"
}

## dates are used in information fields in the meta section.
date = xsd:token {
    pattern = "\d{4}-\d{2}-\d{2}\""
}

## reference to a rule name (used in "when" and "not-when" attributes,
## as well as the "by-ref" attribute of the "rule" element.)
rule-ref = xsd:IDREF

## a space-separated list of tags. Tags should generally follow
## xsd:Name syntax. However, we are using the xsd:NMTOKENS here
## because there is no native XSD datatype for space-separated xsd:Name
tags = xsd:NMTOKENS

## The value space of a "from-tag" attribute. Although it is closer to
## xsd:IDREF lexically and semantically, tags are not unique in the
## document. As such, we are unable to take advantage of facilities
## provided by a validator. xsd:NMTOKEN is used instead of the stricter
## xsd:Names here so as to be consistent with the above.
tag-ref = xsd:NMTOKEN

## an identifier type (used by "name" attributes).
identifier = xsd:ID

## used in the class "by-ref" attribute to reference another class of
## the same "name" attribute value.
class-ref = xsd:IDREF

## count attribute pattern ("n", "n+" or "n:m")
count-pattern = xsd:token {
  pattern = "\d+(\+|:\d+)?"
}

# STRUCTURES
#

## Representation of a single code point, or a sequence of code points
char = element char {
  attribute cp { code-point-literal },
  attribute comment { text }?,
  attribute when { rule-ref }?,
  attribute not-when { rule-ref }?,
  attribute tag { tags }?,
  attribute ref { text }?,
  variant*
}

## Representation of a range of code points
range = element range {
  attribute first-cp { code-point },
  attribute last-cp { code-point },
  attribute comment { text }?,
  attribute when { rule-ref }?,
  attribute not-when { rule-ref }?,
  attribute tag { tags }?,
  attribute ref { text }?
}

## Representation of a single code point (no sequences allowed, and no
## tag attribute allowed). This is used when defining the set of
## characters that constitute a class.
char-simple = element char {
  attribute cp { code-point }
}

## Representation of a range of code points, for use in defining the
## set of characters that constitute a class.
range-simple = element range {
  attribute first-cp { code-point },

## Representation of a variant code point or sequence

```
variant = element var {
    attribute cp { code-point-literal },
    attribute type { text }?,
    attribute when { rule-ref }?,
    attribute not-when { rule-ref }?,
    attribute comment { text }?,
    attribute ref { text }?
}
```

# # Classes #

## a "class" element that references the name of another "class"
## (or set-operator like "union") defined elsewhere.
## If used as a matcher (appearing under a "rule" ## element),
## the "count" attribute may be present.

```
class-invocation = element class {
    (attribute by-ref { class-ref } | attribute from-tag { tag-ref }),
    attribute count { count-pattern }?,
    attribute comment { text }?
}
```

## defines a new class (set of code points) using Unicode property or
## code point literals

```
class-declaration = element class {
    # "name" attribute MUST be present if this is a "top-level" class
    # declaration, i.e. appearing directly under the "rules" element.
    # Otherwise, it MUST be absent.
    attribute name { identifier }?,
    # If used as a matcher (appearing in a "rule" element), the "count"
    # attribute may be present. Otherwise, it MUST be absent.
    attribute count { count-pattern }?,
    attribute comment { text }?,
    attribute ref { text }?,
    (          # define the class by property (e.g. property="sc:Latn"), OR
    attribute property { text }     # define the class by tagged code points, OR
    )                               # list of single code points and ranges, OR
    (char-simple | range-simple)+   # text node to allow for shorthand notation e.g. "0061 0062-0063"
    | code-point-set-shorthand
}
```
class-or-set-operator-nested =
    class-invocation | class-declaration | set-operator

class-or-set-operator-declaration =
    # a "class" element or set operator (effectively defining a class)
    # directly in the "rules" element.
    class-declaration | set-operator

#
# Set operators
#

complement-operator = element complement {
    attribute name { identifier }?,
    attribute comment { text }?,
    attribute ref { text }?,
    # "count" attribute MUST only be used when this set-operator is
    # used as a matcher (i.e. nested in a <rule> element)
    attribute count { count-pattern }?,
    class-or-set-operator-nested
}

union-operator = element union {
    attribute name { identifier }?,
    attribute comment { text }?,
    attribute ref { text }?,
    # "count" attribute MUST only be used when this set-operator is
    # used as a matcher (i.e. nested in a <rule> element)
    attribute count { count-pattern }?,
    class-or-set-operator-nested,
    # needs two or more child elements
    class-or-set-operator-nested+
}

intersection-operator = element intersection {
    attribute name { identifier }?,
    attribute comment { text }?,
    attribute ref { text }?,
    # "count" attribute MUST only be used when this set-operator is
    # used as a matcher (i.e. nested in a <rule> element)
    attribute count { count-pattern }?,
    class-or-set-operator-nested,
    class-or-set-operator-nested
}

difference-operator = element difference {
    attribute name { identifier }?,
    attribute comment { text }?,
    attribute ref { text }?,
    # "count" attribute MUST only be used when this set-operator is
    # used as a matcher (i.e. nested in a <rule> element)
    attribute count { count-pattern }?,
    class-or-set-operator-nested,
class-or-set-operator-nested
)

symmetric-difference-operator = element symmetric-difference {
  attribute name { identifier }?,
  attribute comment { text }?,
  attribute ref { text }?,
  # "count" attribute MUST only be used when this set-operator is
  # used as a matcher (i.e. nested in a <rule> element)
  attribute count { count-pattern }?,
  class-or-set-operator-nested,
  class-or-set-operator-nested
}

## operators that transform class(es) into a new class.
set-operator = complement-operator
  | union-operator
  | intersection-operator
  | difference-operator
  | symmetric-difference-operator

#
# Match operators (matchers)
#

any-matcher = element any {
  attribute count { count-pattern }?,
  attribute comment { text }?
}

choice-matcher = element choice {
  attribute count { count-pattern }?,
  attribute comment { text }?,
  # two or more match operators
  match-operator-choice,
  match-operator-choice+
}

char-matcher =
  # for use as a matcher - like "char" but without a "tag" attribute
  element char {
    attribute cp { code-point-literal },
    # If used as a matcher (appearing in a "rule" element), the "count"
    # attribute may be present. Otherwise, it MUST be absent.
    attribute count { count-pattern }?,
    attribute comment { text }?,
    attribute ref { text }?
  }

start-matcher = element start {
  attribute comment { text }?
}

end-matcher = element end {
attribute comment { text }?

}

anchor-matcher = element anchor {
    attribute comment { text }?
}

look-ahead-matcher = element look-ahead {
    attribute comment { text }?,
    match-operators-non-pos
}

look-behind-matcher = element look-behind {
    attribute comment { text }?,
    match-operators-non-pos
}

## non-positional match operator that can be used as a direct child
## element of the choice matcher.
match-operator-choice = (  
    any-matcher | choice-matcher | start-matcher | end-matcher  
    | char-matcher | class-or-set-operator-nested | rule-matcher  
)

## non-positional match operators do not contain any anchor,
## look-behind or look-ahead elements.
match-operators-non-pos = (  
    start-matcher?,
    (any-matcher | choice-matcher | char-matcher  
     | class-or-set-operator-nested | rule-matcher)*)  
)

## positional match operators have an anchor element, which may be
## preceeded by a look-behind element, or followed by a look-ahead
## element, or both.
match-operators-pos =
    look-behind-matcher?, anchor-matcher, look-ahead-matcher?

match-operators = match-operators-non-pos | match-operators-pos

#
# Rules
#

# top-level rule must have "name" attribute
rule-declaration-top = element rule {
    attribute name { identifier },
    attribute comment { text }?,
    attribute ref { text }?,
    match-operators
}

## rule element used as a matcher (either by-ref or contains other
## match operators itself)
rule-matcher =
  element rule {
    attribute count { count-pattern }?,
    attribute comment { text }?,
    attribute ref { text }?,
    (attribute by-ref { rule-ref } | match-operators)
  }
#
# Actions
#
action-declaration = element action {
  attribute comment { text }?,
  attribute ref { text }?,
  attribute disp { text },
  ( attribute match { text } | attribute not-match { text } )?,
  ( attribute any-variant { text }
   | attribute all-variants { text }
   | attribute only-variants { text } )?
}
#
# DOCUMENT STRUCTURE
#
start = lgr
lgr = element lgr {
  attribute id { text }?,
  meta-section?,
  data-section,
  rules-section?
}

## Meta section - information recorded with a label
## generation ruleset that generally does not affect machine processing
## (except for unicode-version).
## However, if any "class-declaration" uses the "property" attribute,
## one or more unicode-version MUST be present.
meta-section = element meta {
  element version {
    attribute comment { text }?,
    text
  }?
  & element date {
    xsd:token {
      pattern = "\d{4}-\d{2}-\d{2}"
    }
  }?
  & element language { language-tag }*
  & element scope {
    # type may be "domain" or an application-defined value
    attribute type { xsd:NCName },
  }
}
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scope-value
}?
& element validity-start { text }?
& element validity-end { text }?
& element unicode-version {
   xsd:token {
      pattern = "\d+\.\d+\.\d+"
   }
}?
& element description {
   attribute type { text }?,
   text
}?
& element references {
   element reference {
      attribute id { text },
      attribute comment { text }?,
      text
   }*
}?
}
data-section = element data { (char | range)+ }

## Note that action declarations are strictly order dependent.
## class-or-set-operator-declaration and rule-declaration-top
## are weakly order dependent, they must precede first use of the
## identifier via by-ref.
rules-section = element rules {
   ( class-or-set-operator-declaration
   | rule-declaration-top
   | action-declaration)*
}
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Appendix F. Editorial Notes

This appendix to be removed prior to final publication.
Appendix F.1. Known Issues and Future Work

These are being tracked at http://trac.tools.ietf.org/wg/lager/trac/report/1

Appendix F.2. Change History

draft-davies-idntables-00 Initial draft.
draft-davies-idntables-01 Add an XML Namespace, and fix other XML nits. Add support for sequences of code points. Improve on consistently using Unicode nomenclature.
draft-davies-idntables-02 Add support for validity periods.
draft-davies-idntables-03 Incorporate requirements from the Label Generation Ruleset Procedure for the DNS Root Zone. These requirements include a detailed grammar for specifying whole-label variants, and the ability to explicitly declare of the actions associated with a specific variant. The document also consistently applies the term "Label Generation Ruleset", rather than "IDN table", to reflect the policy term now being used to describe these.
draft-davies-idntables-04 Support reference information per [RFC3743]. Update description in response to feedback. Extend the context rules to "char" elements and allow for inverse matching ("not-when"). Extend the description of label processing and implied actions, and allow for actions that reference disposition attributes on any or all variant mappings used in the generation of a variant label.
draft-davies-idntables-05 Change the name of the "disposition" attribute to "disp". Add comment attribute on version and reference elements. Allow empty "cp" attributes in char elements to support expressing symmetric mapping of null variants. Describe use of variants that map identically. Clarify how actions are triggered, in particular based on variant dispositions, as well as description of default actions. Revise description of processing a label and its variants. Move example table at the head of appendices. Add "only-variants" attribute. Change "name" attribute to "by-ref" attribute for referencing named classes and rules. Change "not" to "complement". Remove "match" attribute on rules as redundant if "start" and "end" are supported. Rename "match" element to "anchor" as better fitting it’s function and removing confusion with both the "match" attribute on actions as well as the generic term Match Operator. Augmented the examples relevant to [RFC3743].
draft-davies-idntables-06 Extend the discussion of reflexive variants and their use; includes update of the appendix on converting tables in the style of [RFC3743]. Improve description of tagging and clarify that it doesn’t apply to sequences. Specify that root zone uses ".". Add an appendix with an Indic Syllable Structure example. Extend count attribute to allow maximal counts.

draft-davies-idntables-07 Change "byref" to "by-ref". Add list of recommended properties. Change "location" to "positional" for collective name of start/end match operators. Use from-tag instead of by-ref for tag-based classes. Made optional or mutually exclusive nature of some attributes more explicit. Allowing "comment" attributes on all child elements of "rules" except "char" and "range" elements used as child elements of "class". Recast the design goals and requirements at the start of the document. Reword aspects of the document to make it clear the format’s application is not limited only to domain names.

draft-davies-idntables-08 Change "domain" to scope with type="domain". Reword in several places for clarity. Flesh out note on security. Change "disp" to "type" for variants, to mark that these attributes do not necessarily correspond one-to-one to variant label dispositions. Add example of variant type triggers. Remove "long form" of class definition.

draft-davies-idntables-09 Grammatical updates, clarity improvements. Altered some DNS-specific terminology.

draft-davies-idntables-10 Added convention for out-of-repertoire variants, additional examples of when rules in the context of symmetry, isolated minor copy editing. Use aURN as the XML namespace (provisional). Specify a media type for the file.

draft-ietf-lager-specification-00 Update to reflect adoption as a work item by the IETF LAGER working group.

draft-ietf-lager-specification-01 Update to reflect decisions in first interim meeting of IETF LAGER working group. Correcting a number of typos, added section on contextual conditions, clarified language on how actions are triggered, and changed "block", "allocate" and "activate" to "blocked", "allocatable". Other minor changes.

draft-ietf-lager-specification-02 Minor changes.

draft-ietf-lager-specification-03 Update to fix a typo in the schema, and clarify the use of reflexive variants in checking label validity. Added security consideration for naive implementations of permuted labels and WLE rules. Added discussion of error conditions under which duplicate variant labels might be created. Other minor changes.
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