Network Working Group

INTERNET-DRAFT Category: Standards Track

<draft-aboba-dhc-mdns-conf-01.txt>

10 March 2000

Expires: October 1, 2000

Levon Esibov Bernard Aboba Dave Thaler Microsoft

Multicast DNS Configuration Option

This document is an Internet-Draft and is in full conformance with all provisions of Section 10 of RFC2026.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet- Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html.

1. Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2000). All Rights Reserved.

2. Abstract

This document defines a new DHCP option which is passed from the DHCP Server to the DHCP Client to specify the multicast DNS configuration.

3. Introduction

Multicast DNS was first defined in [5], and behavior was further specified in [3]. The Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol (DHCP)[1] provides a framework for passing configuration information to hosts on a TCP/IP network. RFC 2132 [2] allows DHCP servers to specify configuration information for various kinds of name servers to be passed to DHCP clients. However, no information is provided as to the configuration desired for multicast DNS. The purpose of this document is to allow DHCP servers to specify the multicast DNS configuration to be used by DHCP clients.

3.1. Requirements terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY" and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [4].

3.2. DHCP terminology

This document uses the following terms:

DHCP client

DHCP client or "client" is an Internet host using DHCP to obtain configuration parameters such as a network address.

DHCP server

A DHCP server or "server" is an Internet host that returns configuration parameters to DHCP clients.

4. Format of the multicast DNS configuration option

The following diagram defines the format of the multicast DNS configuration option:

0										1										2			
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3
+-	+	+	 	+	+	 	- - +	- - +	+	 	+	- - +	- - +	- - +	- - +	- -	- -	- -	-	+ - +	- - +	- - +	+
		(Coc	de						I	Ler	ngt	th				No	ode		Тур	ре		
+-	+	+	 	+	+	 	⊢ – +	- - +	⊢ – -	 	+	⊢ – +	H - H	- - +	H - H	- -	⊢ – +	⊢ – -	-	+ - +	H - H	- - +	+

Code

TBD

Length

1

Node type

The node type field, which is one octet, describes how the host behaves as multicast DNS querier and listener. Values are defined as follows:

Value	Node Type
0x1	B-node
0x2	P-node
0x3	Reserved
0x4	M-node
0x5 - 0x7	Reserved
0x8	H-node
0x9 - 0xFF	Reserved

4.1. B-node

B-nodes MUST act as multicast DNS queriers and listeners, but MUST NOT send unicast DNS queries. As a result, they are unable to resolve names outside the scope of the multicast DNS, as defined in [3].

Hosts configured as B-nodes MUST listen for mDNS queries on both the linklocal and local scope addresses, and MUST respond to mDNS queries as described in [3].

4.2. P-node

P-nodes MUST only send unicast DNS queries, and MUST NOT listen for multicast DNS queries or respond to them in any way. Hosts configured as P-nodes MUST NOT send multicast DNS queries for any purpose, including DNS server location. By default, both IPv4 and IPv6 hosts that are configured via DHCP but do not receive a multicast DNS configuration option behave as P-nodes. If no DNS server option is provided, a P-node host will be unable to resolve names.

4.3. M-node

M-nodes MUST use multicast DNS queries for resolving names prior to querying the DNS server using unicast. M-nodes configured without a DNS server will send only multicast DNS queries, and will be unable to resolve names outside the scope of the multicast DNS, as defined in [3].

Hosts configured as M-nodes MUST listen for mDNS queries on both the linklocal and local scope addresses, and MUST respond to mDNS queries as described in [3].

4.4. H-nodes

H-nodes MUST send multicast DNS queries only if they have not been able to resolve the name via a query to a DNS server using unicast. H-nodes lacking a DNS server will send only multicast DNS gueries, and will be unable to resolve names outside the scope of the multicast DNS as defined in [3].

Hosts configured as H-nodes MUST listen for mDNS queries on both the linklocal and local scope addresses, and MUST respond to mDNS queries as described in [3]. H-nodes MUST NOT send mDNS queries without first querying the unicast DNS server if one is available.

5. Scalability considerations

Since B and M-nodes function as multicast DNS listeners as well as multicast DNS queriers of first resort, the presence of these nodes can result in considerable multicast traffic propagating within the local administrative scope zone. This could represent a scalability problem in large enterprise networks, and so in this scenario, configuration of hosts as B and M-nodes is discouraged. Instead, it is recommended that enterprise networks deploy dynamic DNS utilizing hosts configured as Pnodes, which is the default behavior where no mDNS configuration option is provided.

6. References

- [1] Droms, R., "Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol", RFC 2131, March 1997.
- [2] Alexander, S., Droms, R., "DHCP Options and BOOTP Vendor Extensions", RFC 2132, March 1997.
- [3] Esibov, L., Aboba, B., Thaler, D. "Multicast DNS", Internet draft (work in progress), draft-aboba-dnsext-mdns-00.txt, March 2000.
- [4] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, March 1997.
- [5] Braden, R., "Requirements for Internet Hosts -- Application and Support", RFC 1123, October 1989.

7. Security Considerations

The option described in this draft may be used in situations where DHCP is authenticated or unauthenticated. In situations where authenticated DHCP is not used, it is possible for a rogue DHCP server to respond to the DHCP client with an inappropriate mDNS configuration option. For

example, the rogue DHCP server could specify an mDNS configuration option of 0x1. This would cause the host to become both an mDNS querier and listener (B-node). Were hosts to be widely configured this way, this could result in propagation of mDNS queries throughout the enterprise.

8. IANA Considerations

This draft does not create any new number or name spaces for IANA administration.

9. Acknowledgements

This draft has been enriched by comments from Erik Guttman of Sun Microsystems.

10. Authors' Addresses

Levon Esibov Microsoft Corporation One Microsoft Way Redmond, WA 98052

EMail: levone@microsoft.com

Bernard Aboba Microsoft Corporation One Microsoft Way Redmond, WA 98052

Phone: +1 (425) 936-6605 EMail: bernarda@microsoft.com

Dave Thaler Microsoft Corporation One Microsoft Way Redmond, WA 98052

Phone: +1 (425) 703-8835 EMail: dthaler@microsoft.com

Intellectual Property Statement 11.

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it has made any

effort to identify any such rights. Information on the IETF's procedures with respect to rights in standards-track and standardsrelated documentation can be found in BCP-11. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementors or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF Secretariat.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights which may cover technology that may be required to practice this standard. Please address the information to the IETF Executive Director.

12. Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2000). All Rights Reserved. This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implmentation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English. The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assigns. This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE."

13. Expiration Date

This memo is filed as <<u>draft-aboba-dhc-mdns-conf-01.txt</u>>, and expires October 1, 2000.