

Network Working Group  
INTERNET-DRAFT  
Category: Proposed Standard  
Expires: December 25, 2007

Bernard Aboba  
Microsoft Corporation  
Jouni Malinen  
Devicescape Software  
26 June 2007

**RADIUS Attributes for WLAN**  
**draft-aboba-radext-wlan-04.txt**

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with [Section 6 of BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on December 25, 2007.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2007). All Rights Reserved.

Abstract

This document proposes additional attributes for use by wireless LAN authenticators. The attributes defined in this document are usable both within RADIUS and Diameter.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	Introduction .....	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">1.1</a>	Terminology .....	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">1.2</a>	Requirements Language .....	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	RADIUS attributes .....	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">2.1</a>	Allowed-Called-Station-Id .....	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">2.2</a>	EAP-Key-Name .....	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">2.3</a>	EAP-Peer-Id .....	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">2.4</a>	EAP-Server-Id .....	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">2.5</a>	Mobility-Domain-Id .....	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">2.6</a>	Preauth-Timeout .....	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">2.7</a>	EAP-Lower-Layer .....	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	Table of attributes .....	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	Diameter Considerations .....	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	IANA Considerations .....	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">6.</a>	Security Considerations .....	<a href="#">12</a>
<a href="#">7.</a>	References .....	<a href="#">13</a>
<a href="#">7.1</a>	Normative References .....	<a href="#">13</a>
<a href="#">7.2</a>	Informative References .....	<a href="#">13</a>
	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS .....	<a href="#">14</a>
	AUTHORS' ADDRESSES .....	<a href="#">14</a>
	Full Copyright Statement .....	<a href="#">15</a>
	Intellectual Property .....	<a href="#">15</a>
	Disclaimer of Validity .....	<a href="#">15</a>



## **1. Introduction**

In situations where it is desirable to centrally manage authentication, authorization and accounting (AAA) for IEEE 802.11 wireless LANs [[IEEE-802.11](#)], deployment of a backend authentication and accounting server is desirable. In such situations, it is expected that IEEE 802.11 authenticators will function as AAA clients. This document defines additional attributes suitable for usage by IEEE 802.11 authenticators acting as AAA clients. The attributes defined in this document are usable both within RADIUS and Diameter.

### **1.1. Terminology**

This document uses the following terms:

#### **Access Point (AP)**

A Station that provides access to the distribution services via the wireless medium for associated Stations.

#### **Association**

The service used to establish Access Point/Station mapping and enable Station invocation of the distribution system services.

#### **authenticator**

An authenticator is an entity that require authentication from the supplicant. The authenticator may be connected to the supplicant at the other end of a point-to-point LAN segment or 802.11 wireless link.

#### **authentication server**

An authentication server is an entity that provides an authentication service to an authenticator. This service verifies from the credentials provided by the supplicant, the claim of identity made by the supplicant.

#### **Station (STA)**

Any device that contains an IEEE 802.11 conformant medium access control (MAC) and physical layer (PHY) interface to the wireless medium (WM).

#### **Supplicant**

A supplicant is an entity that is being authenticated by an authenticator. The supplicant may be connected to the authenticator at one end of a point-to-point LAN segment or 802.11 wireless link.



The String field is one or more octets, containing the layer 2 endpoint that the user's call is allowed to be terminated on, as specified in the definition of Called-Station-Id in [\[RFC2865\] Section 5.30](#) and [\[RFC3580\] Section 3.20](#). In the case of IEEE 802, the Allowed-Called-Station-Id Attribute is used to store the bridge or Access Point MAC address in ASCII format (upper case only), with octet values separated by a "-". Example: "00-10-A4-23-19-C0". In IEEE 802.11, where restrictions on both SSID and Access Point MAC address usage are intended, the SSID MUST be appended to the Access Point MAC address, separated from the MAC address with a ":". Example "00-10-A4-23-19-C0:AP1".



String





The String field, when present, is one or more octets, containing the EAP Session-Id, as defined in [KEYFRAME]. Since the NAS operates as a pass-through in EAP, it cannot know the EAP Session-Id before receiving it from the RADIUS server. As a result, an EAP-Key-Name Attribute sent in an Access-Request MUST NOT contain any data. A RADIUS server receiving an Access-Request with an EAP-Key-Name Attribute containing data MUST silently discard the Attribute. In addition, the RADIUS server SHOULD only include this Attribute in an Access-Accept or CoA-Request if an EAP-Key-Name Attribute was present in the Access-Request.

### 2.3. EAP-Peer-Id

### Description

The EAP-Peer-Id Attribute contains a Peer-Id generated by the EAP method. Exactly how this name is used depends on the link layer in question. See [\[KEYFRAME\]](#) for more discussion. The EAP-Peer-Id Attribute MAY be included in Access-Request, Access-Accept and Accounting-Request packets. More than one EAP-Peer-Id Attribute MUST NOT be included in an Access-Request; one or more EAP-Peer-Id attributes MAY be included in an Access-Accept.

It should be noted that not all link layers use this name, and existing EAP method implementations do not generate it. Since the NAS operates as a pass-through in EAP, it cannot know the EAP-Peer-Id before receiving it from the RADIUS server. As a result, an EAP-Peer-Id Attribute sent in an Access-Request MUST NOT contain any data. A home RADIUS server receiving an Access-Request an EAP-Peer-Id Attribute with non-empty data MUST silently discard the Attribute. In addition, the home RADIUS server SHOULD include one or more EAP-Peer-Id attributes in an Access-Accept only if an empty EAP-Peer-Id Attribute was present in the Access-Request. A summary of the EAP-Peer-Id Attribute format is shown below. The fields are transmitted from left to right.

0										1										2										3									
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-
				Type										Length																				String...					
+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-

Code

TBD

Length











maximum session time after the station associates with the AP and is enabled to send data frames through it. A summary of the Preauth-Timeout Attribute format is shown below. The fields are transmitted from left to right.

0										1										2										3									
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1								
Type										Length										Value																			
Value (cont)																																							

Code

TBD

Length

6

Value

The field is 4 octets, containing a 32-bit unsigned integer with the maximum number of seconds that pre-authentication state should be retained by the NAS.

## [2.7.](#) EAP-Lower-Layer

Description

This Attribute indicates the lower layer over which EAP is transported. This Attribute MAY be sent by the NAS to the server in an Access-Request or an Accounting-Request packet. A summary of the EAP-Lower-Layer Attribute format is shown below. The fields are transmitted from left to right.

0										1										2										3									
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1								
Type										Length										Value																			
Value (cont)																																							

Code

TBD





Length

6

Value

The field is 4 octets, containing the following values:

- 1 - Wired IEEE 802.1X Version 1 (2001)
- 2 - Wired IEEE 802.1X Version 2 (2004)
- 3 - WPA
- 4 - WPA2 (no pre-authentication)
- 5 - WPA2, IEEE 802.1X pre-authentication
- 6 - IEEE 802.11r
- 7 - IEEE 802.11s
- 8 - IEEE 802.11af
- 9 - IEEE 802.16e
- 10 - IKEv2
- 11 - PPP
- 12 - PANA (no pre-authentication)

### 3. Table of attributes

The following table provides a guide to which attributes may be found in which kinds of packets, and in what quantity.

	Access-Request	Access-Accept	Access-Reject	Access-Challenge	CoA-Req	Acct-Req	#	Attribute
	0	0+	0	0	0+	0	TBD	Allowed-Called-
Station-Id								
	0-1	0-1	0	0	0-1	0	102	EAP-Key-Name
	0+	0+	0	0	0	0+	TBD	EAP-Peer-Id
	0+	0+	0	0	0	0+	TBD	EAP-Server-Id
	0-1	0	0	0	0	0-1	TBD	Mobility-Domain-
Id								
	0-1	0-1	0	0	0	0	TBD	Preauth-Timeout
	0-1	0	0	0	0	0-1	TBD	EAP-Lower-Layer

The following table defines the meaning of the above table entries.

- 0 This Attribute MUST NOT be present in packet.
- 0+ Zero or more instances of this Attribute MAY be present in the packet.
- 0-1 Zero or one instance of this Attribute MAY be present in the packet.

### 4. Diameter Considerations

The EAP-Key-Name Attribute is already defined as a RADIUS Attribute

within Diameter EAP [[RFC4072](#)].

When used in Diameter, the other attributes defined in this specification can be used as Diameter AVPs from the Code space 1-255 (RADIUS Attribute compatibility space). No additional Diameter Code values are therefore allocated. The data types and flag rules for the attributes are as follows:

		+-----+							
		AVP Flag rules							
		-----+-----+-----+-----					-----+		
				SHLD		MUST			
Attribute Name	Value Type	MUST	MAY	NOT	NOT	ENCR			
-----		-----+-----+-----+-----					-----		
Allowed-Called-Station-Id	UTF8String	M	P		V	Y			
EAP-Peer-Id	UTF8String	M	P		V	Y			
EAP-Server-Id	UTF8String	M	P		V	Y			
Mobility-Domain-Id	OctetString		P, M		V	Y			
Preauth-Timeout	Unsigned32	M	P		V	Y			
EAP-Lower-Layer	Unsigned32	M	P		V	Y			
-----		-----+-----+-----+-----					-----		

The attributes in this specification have no special translation requirements for Diameter to RADIUS or RADIUS to Diameter gateways; they are copied as is, except for changes relating to headers, alignment, and padding. See also [\[RFC 3588\] Section 4.1](#) and [\[RFC 4005\] Section 9](#).

What this specification says about the applicability of the attributes for RADIUS Access-Request packets applies in Diameter to AA-Request [RFC 4005] or Diameter-EAP-Request [RFC 4072]. What is said about Access-Challenge applies in Diameter to AA-Answer [RFC 4005] or Diameter-EAP-Answer [RFC 4072] with Result-Code AVP set to DIAMETER\_MULTI\_ROUND\_AUTH.

What is said about Access-Accept applies in Diameter to AA-Answer or Diameter-EAP-Answer messages that indicate success. Similarly, what is said about RADIUS Access-Reject packets applies in Diameter to AA-Answer or Diameter-EAP-Answer messages that indicate failure.

What is said about COA-Request applies in Diameter to Re-Auth-Request [RFC 4005].

What is said about Accounting-Request applies to Diameter Accounting-Request [\[RFC 4005\]](#) as well.



## 5. IANA Considerations

This document uses the RADIUS [[RFC2865](#)] namespace, see <http://www.iana.org/assignments/radius-types>. This specification requires assignment of a RADIUS attribute types for the following attributes:

Attribute	Type
=====	====
Allowed-Called-Station-Id	TBD
EAP-Peer-Id	TBD
EAP-Server-Id	TBD
Mobility-Domain-Id	TBD
Preauth-Timeout	TBD
EAP-Lower-Layer	TBD

This specification allocates the following decimal values for the EAP-Lower-Layer Attribute:

- 1 - Wired IEEE 802.1X Version 1 (2001)
- 2 - Wired IEEE 802.1X Version 2 (2004)
- 3 - WPA
- 4 - WPA2 (no pre-authentication)
- 5 - WPA2, IEEE 802.1X pre-authentication
- 6 - IEEE 802.11r
- 7 - IEEE 802.11s
- 8 - IEEE 802.11af
- 9 - IEEE 802.16e
- 10 - IKEv2
- 11 - PPP
- 12 - PANA (no pre-authentication)

Additional values are allocated as described in [[RFC3575](#)] [Section 2.1](#) (Designated Expert).

## 6. Security Considerations

Since this document describes the use of RADIUS for purposes of authentication, authorization, and accounting in WLANs, it is vulnerable to all of the threats that are present in other RADIUS applications. For a discussion of these threats, see [[RFC2607](#)], [[RFC2865](#)], [[RFC3162](#)], [[RFC3576](#)], [[RFC3579](#)], and [[RFC3580](#)].



## [7.](#) References

### [7.1.](#) Normative references

[IEEE-802.11]

Information technology - Telecommunications and information exchange between systems - Local and metropolitan area networks - Specific Requirements Part 11: Wireless LAN Medium Access Control (MAC) and Physical Layer (PHY) Specifications, IEEE Std. 802.11-2007, 2007.

[IEEE-802.11r]

Draft Amendment to Standard for Information technology - Telecommunications and information exchange between systems - Local and metropolitan area networks - Specific Requirements Part 11: Wireless LAN Medium Access Control (MAC) and Physical Layer (PHY) Specifications: Amendment 2: Fast BSS Transition, IEEE P802.11r/D6.0, June 2007.

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [RFC 2119](#), March, 1997.

[RFC2865] Rigney, C., Rubens, A., Simpson, W. and S. Willens, "Remote Authentication Dial In User Service (RADIUS)", [RFC 2865](#), June 2000.

[RFC3575] Aboba, B., "IANA Considerations for RADIUS", [RFC 3575](#), July 2003.

[RFC3748] Aboba, B., Blunk, L., Vollbrecht, J., Carlson, J. and H. Levkowetz, "Extensible Authentication Protocol (EAP)", [RFC 3748](#), June 2004.

[RFC4072] Eronen, P., Hiller, T. and G. Zorn, "Diameter Extensible Authentication Protocol (EAP) Application", [RFC 4072](#), August 2005.

[KEYFRAME]

Aboba, B., Simon, D., Eronen, P. and H. Levkowetz, "EAP Key Management Framework", [draft-ietf-eap-keying-19.txt](#), June 2007.

### [7.2.](#) Informative references

[RFC2607] Aboba, B. and J. Vollbrecht, "Proxy Chaining and Policy Implementation in Roaming", [RFC 2607](#), June 1999.





- [RFC3162] Aboba, B., Zorn, G. and D. Mitton, "RADIUS and IPv6", [RFC 3162](#), August 2001.
- [RFC3575] Aboba, B., "IANA Considerations for RADIUS", [RFC 3575](#), July 2003.
- [RFC3576] Chiba, M., Dommetty, G., Eklund, M., Mitton, D. and B. Aboba, "Dynamic Authorization Extensions to Remote Authentication Dial In User Service (RADIUS)", [RFC 3576](#), July 2003.
- [RFC3579] Aboba, B. and P. Calhoun, "RADIUS Support for Extensible Authentication Protocol (EAP)", [RFC 3579](#), September 2003.
- [RFC3580] Congdon, P., Aboba, B., Smith, A., Zorn, G. and J. Roese, "IEEE 802.1X Remote Authentication Dial In User Service (RADIUS) Usage Guidelines", [RFC 3580](#), September 2003.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge Dorothy Stanley of Aruba Networks, Yoshihiro Ohba of Toshiba, and the contributors to the IEEE 802.11 review of this document.

#### Authors' Addresses

Bernard Aboba  
Microsoft Corporation  
One Microsoft Way  
Redmond, WA 98052

EMail: [bernarda@microsoft.com](mailto:bernarda@microsoft.com)  
Phone: +1 425 706 6605  
Fax: +1 425 936 7329

Jouni Malinen  
Devicescape Software, Inc.  
900 Cherry Avenue  
San Bruno, CA 94066

EMail: [jkm@devicescape.com](mailto:jkm@devicescape.com)  
Phone: +1 650 829 2600  
Fax: +1 650 829 2601



## Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2007).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in [BCP 78](#), and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY, THE IETF TRUST AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

## Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at [ietf-ipr@ietf.org](mailto:ietf-ipr@ietf.org).

## Acknowledgment

Funding for the RFC Editor function is provided by the IETF Administrative Support Activity (IASA).



## Open issues

Open issues relating to this specification are tracked on the following web site:

<http://www.drizzle.com/~aboba/RADEXT/>