

Network Working Group  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: March 11, 2018

A. Lindem  
Cisco Systems  
K. Patel  
Arrcus  
S. Zandi  
LinkedIn  
R. Raszuk  
Bloomberg LP  
September 7, 2017

OSPF Extensions for Advertising/Signaling BGP Route Reflector  
Information  
draft-acee-ospf-bgp-rr-01.txt

## Abstract

This document specifies an OSPF Router Information (RI) TLV to advertise the BGP Router Reflector capability and peering information. This information can be used by BGP Router Reflector clients to dynamically learn and establish sessions with BGP Router Reflectors in the routing domain.

## Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on March 11, 2018.

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2017 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of

Internet-Draft

OSPF BGP RR Discovery

September 2017

publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	Introduction . . . . .	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">1.1.</a>	Requirements Notation . . . . .	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	OSPF BGP Route Reflector TLV . . . . .	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	OSPF Router Information (RI) Opaque LSAs . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	Security Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	IANA Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">6.</a>	References . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">6.1.</a>	Normative References . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">6.2.</a>	Informative References . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">Appendix A.</a>	Acknowledgments . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
	Authors' Addresses . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>

## [1.](#) Introduction

This document specifies an OSPF Router Information (RI) TLV [[OSPF-RI](#)] to advertise the BGP Router Reflector [[BGP-RR](#)] capability and peering information. This information can be used by BGP Router Reflector clients to dynamically learn and establish sessions with BGP Router Reflectors in the routing domain.

### [1.1.](#) Requirements Notation

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC-KEYWORDS](#)].

## [2.](#) OSPF BGP Route Reflector TLV

The BGP Router Reflector TLV can be used to advertise the route reflector capability, local AS number, BGP peering address, and supported AFI/SAFI pairs using an OSPFv2 [[OSPF](#)] or OSPFv3 [[OSPFV3](#)] router using the OSPF Router Information LSA [[OSPF-RI](#)]. The OSPF Router Information LSA can be advertised in either area or AS scoped RI LSAs. The BGP Router Reflector TLV consists of the following

fields:

[illegible]

Length      The length will be 12 for IPv4 peering addresses or 24 for IPv6 peering addresses plus 3 \* the number of AFI/SAFI pairs.

Local AS The Router-Reflector's local AS number. This can either be used for AS match checking or certain situations where the client's AS doesn't match the route reflectors.

Address IANA Address family (1 for IPv4 or 2 for IPv6)  
Family

Address Local IPv4 or IPv6 Address used for BGP peering.

AFI/SAFI Address Family Identifier (AFI)/ Subsequent

Address Family Identifier (SAFI). The AFI/SAFI tuple 0/0 will act as a wildcard indicating all configured AFI/SAFIs.

#### OSPF BGP Route-Reflector TLV

- o The BGP Route Reflector (RR) TLV MAY be advertised multiple times with different peering addresses and AFI/SAFI pairs and MAY be advertised in multiple OSPF RI LSAs. The AFI/SAFI tuple, (0,0) will serve as a wildcard indicating all configured AFI/SAFI

Lindem, et al.

Expires March 11, 2018

[Page 3]

---

Internet-Draft

OSPF BGP RR Discovery

September 2017

tuples. This can be used in deployments where the AF deployment is fairly homogeneous.

- o If different peering addresses are advertised for the same AFI/SAFI pair, the decision of whether a BGP client establishes sessions with one or more of the advertised peering addresses is beyond the scope of this document.
- o If the BGP Router Reflector (RR) TLV has an invalid length or is otherwise malformed, it will not be used for BGP client session establishment. The occurrence of a malformed TLV SHOULD be logged.

### [3.](#) OSPF Router Information (RI) Opaque LSAs

The OSPF BGP TLV may optionally be advertised in an area-scoped or AS-scoped OSPFv2 Router Information (RI) opaque LSA or OSPFv3 Router Information (RI) LSA [\[OSPF-RI\]](#). BGP clients may then use the peering address to establish BGP sessions with the advertising route-reflector.

### [4.](#) Security Considerations

Security considerations for the base OSPF protocol are covered in [\[OSPF\]](#) and [\[OSPFV3\]](#).

### [5.](#) IANA Considerations

The document will require the following IANA actions:

1. A Router Information TLV type for the BGP Router Reflector TLV will be allocated from the OSPF Router Information (RI) TLVs registry.

## [6.](#) References

### [6.1.](#) Normative References

- [OSPF] Moy, J., "OSPF Version 2", STD 54, [RFC 2328](#), April 1998.
- [OSPF-RI] Lindem, A., Shen, N., Vasseur, J., Aggarwal, R., and S. Shaffer, "Extensions to OSPF for Advertising Optional Router Capabilities", [RFC 7770](#), January 2016.
- [OSPFV3] Coltun, R., Ferguson, D., Moy, J., and A. Lindem, "OSPF for IPv6", [RFC 5340](#), July 2008.

Lindem, et al.

Expires March 11, 2018

[Page 4]

---

Internet-Draft

OSPF BGP RR Discovery

September 2017

#### [RFC-KEYWORDS]

Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFC's to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.

### [6.2.](#) Informative References

- [BGP-RR] Bates, T., Chen, E., and R. Chandra, "BGP Route Reflection: An Alternative to Full Mesh Internal BGP (IBGP)", [RFC 4456](#), April 2006.

## [Appendix A.](#) Acknowledgments

The RFC text was produced using Marshall Rose's xml2rfc tool.

### Authors' Addresses

Acee Lindem  
Cisco Systems  
301 Midenhall Way  
Cary, NC 27513  
USA

Email: [acee@cisco.com](mailto:acee@cisco.com)

Keyur Patel  
Arrcus

Email: [keyur@arrcus.com](mailto:keyur@arrcus.com)

Shawn Zandi  
LinkedIn

Email: [szandi@linkedin.com](mailto:szandi@linkedin.com)

Robert Raszuk  
Bloomberg LP  
731 Lexington Ave  
New York City, NY 10022  
USA

Email: [robert@raszuk.net](mailto:robert@raszuk.net)