

Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: January 16, 2014

A. Lindem
Ericsson
S. Mirtorabi
A. Roy
F. Baker
Cisco Systems
July 15, 2013

OSPFv3 LSA Extendibility
draft-acee-ospfv3-lsa-extend-01.txt

Abstract

OSPFv3 requires functional extension beyond what can be done with the fixed Link State Advertisement (LSA) format as described in [RFC 5340](#). This document extends the LSA format by allowing the optional inclusion of Type-Length-Value (TLV) tuples in the LSAs. It also covers all aspects of backward compatibility.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on January 16, 2014.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2013 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must

include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

This document may contain material from IETF Documents or IETF Contributions published or made publicly available before November 10, 2008. The person(s) controlling the copyright in some of this material may not have granted the IETF Trust the right to allow modifications of such material outside the IETF Standards Process. Without obtaining an adequate license from the person(s) controlling the copyright in such materials, this document may not be modified outside the IETF Standards Process, and derivative works of it may not be created outside the IETF Standards Process, except to format it for publication as an RFC or to translate it into languages other than English.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	3
1.1.	Requirements notation	3
1.2.	Acknowledgments	3
2.	OSPFv3 Extended LSA Types	4
3.	OSPFv3 Extended LSA TLV	5
4.	OSPFv3 E-Router-LSA	6
5.	OSPFv3 E-Network-LSA	8
6.	OSPFv3 E-Inter-Area-Prefix-LSA	10
7.	OSPFv3 E-Inter-Area-Router-LSA	12
8.	OSPFv3 E-AS-External-LSA	14
9.	OSPFv3 E-NSSA-LSA	16
10.	OSPFv3 E-Link-LSA	17
11.	OSPFv3 E-Intra-Area-Prefix-LSA	20
12.	LSA Extension Backward Compatibility	21
12.1.	Extended LSA Mixed-Mode Backward Compatibility	22
12.2.	LSA TLV Processing Backward Compatibility	22
13.	Security Considerations	23
14.	IANA Considerations	24
15.	References	25
15.1.	Normative References	25
15.2.	Informative References	25
Appendix A.	Configurable Constants	26
Authors' Addresses	27

1. Introduction

OSPFv3 requires functional extension beyond what can be done with the fixed Link State Advertisement (LSA) format as described in [RFC 5340 \[OSPFV3\]](#). This document extends the LSA format by allowing the optional inclusion of Type-Length-Value (TLV) tuples in the LSAs. Backward compatibility mechanisms are also described.

A similar extension was previously proposed in support of multi-topology routing. Additional requirements for OSPFv3 LSA extension include source/destination routing, route tagging, and others.

A final requirement is to limit the changes to OSPFv3 to those necessary for TLV-based LSAs. For the most part, the semantics of existing OSPFv3 LSA are retained for their TLV-based successor LSAs described herein. Additionally, encoding details, e.g., the representation of IPv6 prefixes as described in section A.4.1 in [RFC 5340 \[OSPFV3\]](#), have been retained. This requirement was included to increase the expedience of IETF adoption and deployment.

The following aspects of OSPFv3 LSA extension are described:

1. Extended LSA Types
2. Extended LSA Formats
3. Backward Compatibility

1.1. Requirements notation

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [\[RFC-KEYWORDS\]](#).

1.2. Acknowledgments

OSPFv3 TLV-based LSAs were first proposed in "Multi-topology routing in OSPFv3 (MT-OSPFv3)" [[MT-OSPFV3](#)].

Thanks go to Michael Barnes, Peter Psenak, Mike Dubrovskiy, and Anton Smirnov for review of the initial draft version and discussions of backward compatibility.

The RFC text was produced using Marshall Rose's xml2rfc tool.

Lindem, et al.

Expires January 16, 2014

[Page 3]

Internet-Draft

OSPFv3 LSA Extendibility

July 2013

[2.](#) OSPFv3 Extended LSA Types


In order to provide backward compatibility, new LSA codes must be allocated. There are eight fixed format TLVs defined in [RFC 5340](#) [[OSPFV3](#)]. For ease of implementation and debugging, the LSA function codes are the same as the fixed-format LSAs only with 32, i.e., 0x20, added. The alternative was to allocate a bit in the LSA Type indicating the new LSA format. However, this would have used one half the LSA function code space for the migration of the eight original fixed format LSAs.

LSA function code	LS Type	Description
33	0x2021	E-Router-LSA
34	0x2022	E-Network-LSA
35	0x2023	E-Inter-Area-Prefix-LSA
36	0x2024	E-Inter-Area-Router-LSA
37	0x4025	E-AS-External-LSA
38	N/A	Unused (Not to be allocated)
39	0x2027	E-Type-7-LSA
40	0x0028	E-Link-LSA
41	0x2029	E-Intra-Area-Prefix-LSA

OSPFv3 Extended LSA Types

[3.](#) OSPFv3 Extended LSA TLV

The format of the TLVs within the body of the extended LSAs is the same as the format used by the Traffic Engineering Extensions to OSPF [\[TE\]](#). The variable TLV section consists of one or more nested Type/Length/Value (TLV) tuples. The format of each TLV is:




TLV Format


The Length field defines the length of the value portion in octets (thus a TLV with no value portion would have a length of 0). The TLV is padded to 4-octet alignment; padding is not included in the length

field (so a 3-octet value would have a length of 3, but the total size of the TLV would be 8 octets). Nested TLVs are also 32-bit aligned. For example, a 1-byte value would have the length field set to 1, and 3 octets of padding would be added to the end of the value portion of the TLV. Unrecognized types are ignored.

[4.](#) OSPFv3 E-Router-LSA

The E-Router-LSA has an LS Type of 0x2021 and has the same base information content as the Router-LSA, section 4.4.3.2 in [\[OSPFV3\]](#). However, unlike the existing Router-LSA, it is fully extendable and represented as TLVs.






Extended Router-LSA

All LSA Header fields are the same as defined for the Router-LSA.
The following top-level TLVs are defined:

- o 0 - Reserved
- o 1 - Router-Link TLV



```


+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|                               Neighbor Interface ID                       |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|                               Neighbor Router ID                           |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
.                                                                           .
.                               sub-TLVs                                   .
.                                                                           .
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+

```

Router-Link TLV

Like the existing Router-LSA, the LSA length is used to determine the end of the LSA including TLVs. The Router-Link TLV is only applicable to the E-Router-LSA. Inclusion in other Extended LSAs MUST be ignored.


The E-Network-LSA has an LS Type of 0x2022 and has the same base information content as the Network-LSA, section 4.4.3.3 in [OSPFV3]. However, unlike the existing Network-LSA, it is fully extendable and represented as TLVs.



E-Network-LSA

All LSA Header fields are the same as defined for the Network-LSA. The following top-level TLVs are defined:

- o 2 - Attached-Routers TLV



$+ - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - +$


Attached-Routers TLV

There are two reasons for not having a separate TLV or sub-TLV for each adjacent neighbor. The first is to discourage using the E-Network-LSA for more than its current role of solely advertising the routers attached to a multi-access network. The router's metric as well as her attributes of individual attached routers should be advertised in their respective E-Router-LSAs. The second reason is that there is only a single E-Network-LSA per multi-access link with the Link State ID set to the Designated Router's Interface ID and, consequently, compact encoding has been chosen to decrease the likelihood of the size of the E-Network-LSA requiring IPv6 fragmentation when advertised in an OSPFv3 Link State Update packet.

Like the existing Network-LSA, the LSA length is used to determine the end of the LSA including TLVs. The Attached-Routers TLV is only applicable to the E-Network-LSA. Inclusion in other Extended LSAs MUST be ignored.

6. OSPFv3 E-Inter-Area-Prefix-LSA


The E-Inter-Area-Prefix-LSA has an LS Type of 0x2023 and has the same base information content as the Inter-Area-Prefix-LSA, [section 4.4.3.4](#) in [OSPFV3]. However, unlike the existing Inter-Area-Prefix-LSA, it is fully extendable and represented as TLVs.



E-Inter-Area-Prefix-LSA

All LSA Header fields are the same as defined for the Network-LSA. The following top-level TLVs are defined:

- o 3 - Inter-Area Prefix TLV




Inter-Area Prefix TLV

In order to retain compatibility and semantics with the current OSPFv3 specification, each LSA MUST contain a single Inter-Area Prefix TLV. This will facilitate migration and avoid changes to functions such as incremental SPF computation.

Like the existing Inter-Area-Prefix-LSA, the LSA length is used to determine the end of the LSA including TLV. The Inter-Area-Prefix TLV is only applicable to the E-Inter-Area-Prefix-LSA. Inclusion in other Extended LSAs MUST be ignored.

7. OSPFv3 E-Inter-Area-Router-LSA

The E-Inter-Area-Router-LSA has an LS Type of 0x2024 and has the same base information content as the Inter-Area-Router-LSA, [section 4.4.3.5](#) in [OSPFV3]. However, unlike the Inter-Area-Router-LSA, it is fully extendable and represented as TLVs.




+++++

E-Inter-Area-Router-LSA

All LSA Header fields are the same as defined for the Inter-Area-Router-LSA. The following top-level TLVs are defined:

- o 4 - Inter-Area Router TLV



Inter-Area Router TLV

In order to retain compatibility and semantics with the current OSPFv3 specification, each LSA MUST contain a single Inter-Area Router TLV. This will facilitate migration and avoid changes to functions such as incremental SPF computation.

Like the existing Inter-Area-Router-LSA, the LSA length is used to determine the end of the LSA including sub-TLVs. The Inter-Area-Router TLV is only applicable to the E-Inter-Area-Router-LSA. Inclusion in other Extended LSAs MUST be ignored.

Lindem, et al. Expires January 16, 2014 [Page 13]


Internet-Draft OSPFv3 LSA Extendibility July 2013

8. OSPFv3 E-AS-External-LSA

The E-AS-External-LSA has an LS Type of 0x4025 and has the same base information content as the AS-External-LSA, section 4.4.3.6 in [\[OSPFV3\]](#). However, unlike the existing AS-External-LSA, it is fully extendable and represented as TLVs.

```


0                               1                               2                               3
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
```




E-AS-External-LSA

All LSA Header fields are the same as defined for the AS-External-LSA. The following top-level TLVs are defined:

- o 5 - External Prefix TLV





External Prefix TLV

In order to retain compatibility and semantics with the current OSPFv3 specification, each LSA MUST contain a single External Prefix TLV. This will facilitate migration and avoid changes to functions such as incremental SPF computation. Given the Referenced LS type and Referenced Link State ID from the AS-External-LSA have never been used or even specified, they have been omitted from the External Prefix TLV. If there were ever a requirement for a referenced LSA, it could be satisfied with a sub-TLV.


Like the existing AS-External-LSA, the LSA length is used to determine the end of the LSA including sub-TLVs. The External-Prefix TLV is only applicable to the E-AS-External-LSA and the E-NSSA-LSA. Inclusion in other Extended LSAs MUST be ignored.

[9.](#) OSPFv3 E-NSSA-LSA

The E-NSSA-LSA will have the same format and TLVs as the Extended AS-External-LSA [Section 8](#). This is the same relationship as exists between the NSSA-LSA, section 4.4.3.7 in [[OSPFV3](#)], and the AS-External-LSA. The NSSA-LSA will have type 0x2027 which implies area flooding scope. Future requirements may dictate that supported TLVs differ between the E-AS-External-LSA and the E-NSSA-TLV. However, future requirements are beyond the scope of this document.

10. OSPFv3 E-Link-LSA


The E-Link-LSA has an LS Type of 0x0028 and will have the same base information content as the Link-LSA, section 4.4.3.8 in [[OSPFV3](#)]. However, unlike the existing Link-LFA, it is extendable and represented as TLVs.



E-Link-LSA


The following top-level TLVs are defined:

- o 6 - Intra-Area Prefix TLV
- o 7 - IPv6 Link-Local Address TLV
- o 8 - IPv4 Link-Local Address TLV




Intra-Area Prefix TLV

Like the Link-LSA, the E-Link-LSA affords advertisement of multiple intra-area prefixes. Hence, multiple Intra-Area Prefix TLVs may be specified and the LSA length defines the end of the LSA including all TLVs. The Intra-Area-Prefix TLV is only applicable to the E-Link-LSA and the E-Intra-Area-Prefix-LSA. Inclusion in other Extended LSAs MUST be ignored.



domain, the basic backward compatibility mode will not allow mixing of LSA formats. Different formats could still be supported with multiple OSPFv3 instances and separate OSPFv3 routing domains. Additionally, a more complex mode is provided in [Section 12.1](#), where both formats of LSA coexist. An OSPFv3 instance will be configured to use either the Non-TLV-based LSAs, TLV-based LSAs, or support both (Appendix A). In order to facilitate backward compatibility, the OSPFv3 options field (as described in [Appendix A.2 of RFC 5340 \[OSPFv3\]](#)), will contain an additional options bits. The EL-bit will be used to indicate that the advertising OSPFv3 Router can receive, process, and originate TLV-based LSAs. An OSPFv3 router configured to support TLV-based LSAs WILL set its option field EL-bit in OSPFv3 Hello and Database Description packets. If "Normal" is specified for ExtendedLSASupport, the OSPFv3 router MUST NOT form adjacencies with OSPFv3 Routers sending OSPFv3 Hello and Database Description packets with the options field EL-bit clear. In this manner, OSPFv3 routing domains utilizing the new encoding will be completely isolated from those using the [RFC 5340](#) encodings.



The Options field

EL-bit

This bit indicates whether or not the OSPFv3 router supports the Extended LSA format with the bit set condition indicating support.

Options Field EL-bit

12.1. Extended LSA Mixed-Mode Backward Compatibility

An implementation MAY support configuration allowing a mixture of OSPFv3 routers supporting and not supporting TLV-based LSAs in the same OSPFv3 routing domain. In these deployments, the OSPFv3 routers

configured with a value of MixedMode for ExtendedLSASupport, (Appendix A), MUST originate both the TLV-based and non-TLV-based versions of the OSPFv3 LSAs described herein. For the purposes of Shortest Path First (SPF) computation, the TLV-based LSAs MUST be used by OSPFv3 routers supporting this specification. OSPFv3 routers configured for mixed mode operation also MUST form adjacencies with OSPFv3 Routers sending OSPFv3 Hello and Database Description packets with the options field EL-bit clear. In this manner, OSPFv3 routing domains utilizing the new encodings can be gradually migrated with a worst case cost of approximately doubling the number of LSAs in the routing domain.

[12.2.](#) LSA TLV Processing Backward Compatibility

This section defines the general rules for processing LSA TLVs. To ensure compatibility of future TLV-based LSA extensions, all implementations MUST adhere to these rules:

1. Unrecognized TLVs and sub-TLVs are ignored when parsing or processing Extended-LSAs.
2. Whether or not partial deployment of a given TLV is supported MUST be specified.
3. If partial deployment is not supported, mechanisms to ensure the corresponding feature are not deployed MUST be specified in the document defining the new TLV or sub-TLV.
4. If partial deployment is supported, backward compatibility and partial deployment MUST be specified in the document defining the new TLV or sub-TLV.

13. Security Considerations

Extendible OSPFv3 LSAs do not introduce any new security concerns beyond those described in [RFC 5340](#) [[OSPFV3](#)].

14. IANA Considerations

This specification defines nine OSPFv3 Extended LSA types as described in [Section 2](#).

This specification also creates two registries OSPFv3 Extended-LSAs TLVs and sub-TLVs. The TLV and Sub-TLV code-points in these registries are common to all Extended-LSAs and their respective definitions must define where they are applicable.

The OSPFv3 Extend-LSA TLV registry will define top-level TLVs for Extended-LSAs and should be placed in the existing OSPFv3 IANA registry. New values can be allocated via IETF Consensus or IESG Approval.

Nine initial values are allocated:

- o 0 - Reserved
- o 1 - Router-Link TLV
- o 2 - Attached-Routers TLV
- o 3 - Inter-Area Prefix TLV
- o 4 - Inter-Area Router TLV
- o 5 - External Prefix TLV
- o 6 - Intra-Area Prefix TLV
- o 7 - IPv6 Link-Local Address TLV
- o 8 - IPv4 Link-Local Address TLV

The OSPFv3 Extend-LSA sub-TLV registry will define sub-TLVs at any level of nesting for Extended-LSAs and should be placed in the existing OSPFv3 IANA registry. New values can be allocated via IETF Consensus or IESG Approval.

One initial value is allocated:

[15.](#) References

[15.1.](#) Normative References

[OSPFV3] Coltun, R., Ferguson, D., Moy, J., and A. Lindem, "OSPF for IPv6", [RFC 5340](#), July 2008.

[OSPFV3-AF] Lindem, A., Mirtorabi, S., Roy, A., Barnes, M., and R. Aggarwal, "Support of Address Families in OSPFv3", [RFC 5838](#), April 2010.

[RFC-KEYWORDS] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.

[TE] Katz, D., Yeung, D., and K. Kompella, "Traffic Engineering Extensions to OSPF", [RFC 3630](#), September 2003.

[15.2.](#) Informative References

[MT-OSPFV3] Mirtorabi, S. and A. Roy, "Multi-toplogy routing in OSPFv3 (MT-OSPFV3)", [draft-ietf-ospf-mt-ospfv3-04.txt](#) (work in progress).

[Appendix A](#). Configurable Constants

An additional global configurable constant will be added to the OSPFv3 protocol.

ExtendedLSASupport

This is an enumeration type indicating the extent to which the OSPFv3 instance supports the TLV format described herein for Extended LSAs. The valid value for the enumeration are:

- * None - Non-extended LSAs will not be originated or used in the SPF calculation.
- * Normal - Extended LSAs will not be originated and adjacencies will not be formed with OSPFv3 routers not supporting this specification.
- * MixedMode - Both extended and non-extended LSAs will be originated. OSPFv3 adjacencies will be formed with OSPFv3 routers not supporting this specification.

Lindem, et al.

Expires January 16, 2014

[Page 26]

Internet-Draft

OSPFv3 LSA Extendibility

July 2013

Authors' Addresses

Acee Lindem
Ericsson
102 Carric Bend Court
Cary, NC 27519
USA

Email: acee.lindem@ericsson.com

Sina Mirtorabi
Cisco Systems
170 Tasman Drive
San Jose, CA 95134
USA

Email: sina@cisco.com

Abhay Roy

Cisco Systems
170 Tasman Drive
San Jose, CA 95134
USA

Email: akr@cisco.com

Fred Baker
Cisco Systems
Santa Barbara, CA 93117
USA

Email: fred@cisco.com