

Optimizing DNS-SD query using TXT records
draft-aggarwal-dnssd-optimize-query-00

Abstract

DNS-SD allows a client to find a list of named instances of a service name over a particular transport within a domain of interest using standard DNS queries. As the number of potential responders increases, DNS-SD based discovery doesn't scale well. To mitigate the scaling issues, schemes to narrow down the search context would be needed. The document proposes to include key/value pairs in the form of a DNS TXT record along with the service name in the DNS query to assist with the discovery process. The DNS TXT record can be placed in the additional section of the query without requiring any changes to the structure of DNS messages.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on January 2, 2015.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2014 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents

carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Background	3
3.	Proposed Changes	4
4.	Realization of the proposal	4
5.	Deployment Considerations	5
6.	API Considerations	5
7.	Security Considerations	5
8.	IANA Considerations	6
9.	Acknowledgements	6
10.	Normative References	6
	Author's Address	6

[1.](#) Introduction

DNS-SD [[RFC6763](#)] in combination with mDNS [[RFC6762](#)] provide a discovery framework for service names registered with IANA over a local link. The objective of DNS-SD was to discover service instances that implement a given service. The use of mDNS scales well when the number of service instances that implement a given service are limited in number on the local link. However, when the number of wireless devices (e.g., Wi-Fi) approach hundreds of devices in a typical link, several service instances may respond when a DNS-SD query is issued for a given service name. The number of wireless devices is slated to grow further as more devices (things) are deployed as part of the Internet of Things (IoT) era.

At the same time, the DNS-SD protocol also enables discovery in various operating environments that rely on unicast DNS. Being able to narrow down the search context beyond the service name scope will be even more critical for such DNS-SD based discovery schemes to scale.

This contribution proposes one such solution.

This document proposes no change to the structure of DNS messages, and no new operation codes, response codes, resource record types, or any other new DNS protocol values.

1.1. Sample Use Cases

Some sample use cases that might experience scaling problems are mentioned below:

- o A client application is looking to find color printers on the local network
- o A lighting application needs to discover lighting fixtures or bulbs from a given manufacturer before establishing a session with each device to control the fixtures

2. Background

There are two potential mechanisms that can help a DNS-SD querier narrow down the answers of interest within the scope of DNS-SD [[RFC6763](#)]:

- o Placing TXT records in the response: DNS has an efficiency feature whereby a DNS server may place additional records in the additional section of the DNS message. These additional records are records that the client did not explicitly request, but the server has reasonable grounds to expect that the client might request them shortly, so including them can save the client from having to issue additional queries. DNS-SD clarifies that the intention of DNS-SD TXT records is to convey a small amount of useful additional information about a service. Ideally, it should not be necessary for a client to retrieve this additional information before it can usefully establish a connection to the service. For a well-designed application protocol, even if there is no information at all in the TXT record, it should be possible, knowing only the host name, port number, and protocol being used, to communicate with that listening process and then perform version- or feature-negotiation to determine any further options or capabilities of the service instance.
- o Using subtype as part of the question: DNS-SD allows a querier to send a subtype along with the service name. It does require that the subtype be 63 octets or fewer. DNS-SD RFC further clarifies that these should be documented in the protocol specification in question and/or in the "notes" field of the registration request sent to IANA.

It can be argued that mechanisms in place to narrow down the search beyond the service name are not very flexible. While nothing prevents an application implementing DNS-SD to eventually find the service instance of interest, it results in unnecessary traffic and delay. The proposal is to enable a richer search query mechanism by

explicitly adding key/value pairs in the query to avoid having to establish sessions with all services that match the service name in the question. Since DNS-SD allows a responder to include TXT records in the additional section with key-value pairs that it thinks the client may request, session establishment with the responder can be avoided if the desired key/value pairs (from the client's perspective) were included in the response.

3. Proposed Changes

DNS-SD as defined in [\[RFC6763\]](#) uses DNS TXT records to store arbitrary key/value pairs conveying additional information about the named service. Each key/value pair is encoded as its own constituent string within the DNS TXT record, in the form "key=value" (without the quotation marks). The proposal is for the client to be able to query for key/value pairs along with the service name. The DNS TXT record in the additional section of the query serves to send this additional information. Since DNS messages are allowed to have an additional section, this proposal doesn't require any changes to the structure of DNS messages.

DNS TXT record is allowed to have multiple key/value pairs. If multiple keys are present in a given TXT record, they are AND'ed and the responder must match all the keys in the TXT record. At the same time, DNS query could include more than one TXT record analogous to multiple TXT records in the response. If multiple TXT records are present in the query, they are logically OR'ed while the keys of each TXT record are AND'ed as stated above.

4. Realization of the proposal

Actual key/value pairs that can be sent are specified within the application protocol specification. Some examples to aid in the understanding of the proposal are mentioned below. They correspond to the use cases introduced earlier e.g.

- o A client application looking for color printer can add color=true in the DNS TXT record as part of the additional section of the query
- o A lighting application looking to discover bulbs by a certain manufacturer (such as Philips), can add the DNS TXT record in the additional section of the query with manuf=Philips
- o The discovery scope can be further constrained by defining additional keys within the service protocol specification. By augmenting the query with additional context, the spurious traffic

and additional delay in finding the service instance of interest is reduced.

5. Deployment Considerations

An important deployment consideration is to analyze the behavior of an existing mDNS responder and unicast DNS to the receipt of DNS-SD query with a service name in the question section and TXT records in the additional section. If mDNS responder doesn't recognize TXT records, no filtering would occur and a response will be sent only if there is a match for the service name.

Regarding the behavior of unicast DNS when the standard query carries TXT records in the additional section, the DNS will respond strictly based on the service name in the question without any filtering based on the TXT records. DNS will issue a negative response unless there is a record matching the question. In summary, unicast DNS will continue to serve DNS queries that include TXT records in the additional section.

6. API Considerations

Several high level operating systems (Android, iOS) provide service discovery APIs. For the proposed enhancement to be realized, service registration should allow for service specific key/value pairs to be registered. This capability should already exist since it is allowed as per the current DNS-SD specification. The additional impact would be for the client application to be able to query for specific key/value pairs along with the service name over a specific transport.

7. Security Considerations

The additional data (key/value pairs signifying the search context) beyond the service name in the DNS-SD query inherently reveals more information about what the client is searching for. DNS and mDNS today do not provide confidentiality, so observers already have access to potentially sensitive information such as what names one is requesting; addressing this issue is outside the scope of this extension. Even if confidentiality were to be solved, this extension still provides more information to the actual DNS/mDNS responders themselves. A client concerned about such information disclosure can simply choose not to use this extension for such queries, and thus trade off efficiency for privacy.

8. IANA Considerations

This memo includes no request to IANA.

9. Acknowledgements

Thanks to Dave Thaler for helping develop this idea and formalizing as a contribution for DNS-SD enhancements.

10. Normative References

- [RFC1034] Mockapetris, P., "Domain names - concepts and facilities", STD 13, [RFC 1034](#), November 1987.
- [RFC1035] Mockapetris, P., "Domain names - implementation and specification", STD 13, [RFC 1035](#), November 1987.
- [RFC6762] Cheshire, S. and M. Krochmal, "Multicast DNS", [RFC 6762](#), December 2012.
- [RFC6763] Cheshire, S. and M. Krochmal, "DNS-Based Service Discovery", [RFC 6763](#), December 2012.

Author's Address

Ashutosh Aggarwal
Qualcomm (QCE)
5775 Morehouse Dr
San Diego , California 92121
USA

Phone: +1 858 658 2229
Email: aggarwal@qce.qualcomm.com

