

Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Informational
Expires: August 8, 2012

M. Amundsen
February 5, 2012

The Item and Collection Link Relations
draft-amundsen-item-and-collection-link-relations-05

Abstract

[RFC 5988](#) standardized a means of indicating the relationships between resources on the Web. This specification defines a pair of reciprocal link relation types that may be used to express the relationship between a collection and its members.

Editorial Note (To be removed by RFC Editor)

Distribution of this document is unlimited. Comments should be sent to the IETF Apps-Discuss mailing list (see <https://www.ietf.org/mailman/listinfo/apps-discuss>).

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on August 8, 2012.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2012 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents

Internet-Draft The Item and Collection Link Relations February 2012

carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

1. Introduction

[RFC 5988](#) standardized a means of indicating the relationships between resources on the Web. This specification defines a pair of reciprocal link relation types that may be used to express the relationship between a collection and its members.

These link relation types can be applied to a wide range of use cases across multiple media types. For example, the 'collection' and 'item' link relation types are used in these media types:

1. OpenSearch 1.1: see Section 4.5.4.1 of [[OpenSearch](#)]
2. Maze+XML: see Section 3 of [[Maze](#)]
3. Collection+JSON: see Section 5 of [[CollectionJSON](#)]

2. Link Relations

The following link relations are defined.

2.1. 'item'

When included in a resource which represents a collection, the 'item' link relation identifies a target resource that represents a member of that collection.

For example, if a resource represents a catalog of products, that same representation may include one or more links to resources which represent members of that catalog.

```
<html>
...
  <h1>Product Group X Listing</h1>
  ...
  <a href="..." rel="item">View Product X001</a>
  <a href="..." rel="item">View Product X002</a>
```

...
</html>

or, in the case of a Link Header field

Internet-Draft The Item and Collection Link Relations February 2012

Link: <...>; rel="item"; title="View Product X001"
Link: <...>; rel="item"; title="View Product X002"

[2.2.](#) 'collection'

When included in a resource which represents a member of a collection, the 'collection' link relation identifies a target resource that represents a collection of which the context resource is a member.

For example, if a resource represents a single product in a catalog, that same representation may include a link to a resource which represents a product group to which this single product belongs:

Return to Product Group X

or, in the case of a Link Header field

Link: <...>; rel="collection"; title="Return to Product Group X"

Since it is possible that a resource could be a member of multiple collections, multiple 'collection' link relations may appear within the same representation:

View other widgets
View all discontinued items

The target resource representation need not be restricted to representing a list. It may simply be a document that provides details on the collection of which the context resource is a member:

Link: <...>; rel="collection";
 title="Shakespeare's Collected Works - A History"

It should also be noted that that same link might represent an 'item' in one collection as well as a 'collection' itself. In this case

both Link Relation values can be applied to the same link:

```
Link: <...>; rel="collection item";  
      title="A Review of Issac Asimov's Collected Works - Vol. I"
```

[3.](#) IANA Considerations

IANA is asked to register the 'collection' and 'item' Link Relations below as per [[RFC5988](#)].

[3.1.](#) 'item' Link Relation Registration

Relation Name:

item

Description:

The target IRI points to a resource that is a member of the collection represented by the context IRI.

Reference:

See [Section 2](#)

[3.2.](#) 'collection' Link Relation Registration

Relation Name:

collection

Description:

The target IRI points to a resource which represents a collection of which the context IRI is a member.

Reference:

See [Section 2](#)

[4.](#) Security Considerations

The two link relation types defined in this document do not introduce any new security issues to those which are discussed in [Section 7 of RFC5988](#) [[RFC5988](#)].

[5.](#) Internationalisation Considerations

The 'item' and 'collection' link relation types do not have any internationalization considerations other than those which are discussed in [Section 8 of RFC5988](#) [[RFC5988](#)].

[6.](#) References

[6.1.](#) Normative References

[RFC5988] Nottingham, M., "Web Linking", [RFC 5988](#), October 2010.

Amundsen

Expires August 8, 2012

[Page 4]

Internet-Draft The Item and Collection Link Relations February 2012

[6.2.](#) Informative References

[OpenSearch] Clinton, D., "Open Search 1.1", Work in Progress , March 2011, <<http://www.opensearch.org/Specifications/OpenSearch/1.1/>>.

[Maze] Amundsen, M., "Maze+XML - Format", Web Page , December 2010, <<http://amundsen.com/media-types/maze/format/>>.

[CollectionJSON] Amundsen, M., "Collection+JSON - Document Format", Web Page , July 2011, <<http://amundsen.com/media-types/collection/format/>>.

[Appendix A.](#) Acknowledgements

The author gratefully acknowledges the contributions of Julian Reschke and Mykyta Yevstifeyev.

Author's Address

Mike Amundsen

EMail: mca@amundsen.com
URI: <http://amundsen.com>