TLS Working Group Internet-Draft Intended status: Standards Track Expires: April 27, 2008

# MTLS: TLS Multiplexing <draft-badra-hajjeh-mtls-03.txt>

#### Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with <u>Section 6 of BCP 79</u>.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <a href="http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt">http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt</a>

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <a href="http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html">http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html</a>

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 27, 2008.

# Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2007).

#### Abstract

The Transport Layer Security (TLS) standard provides connection security with mutual authentication, data confidentiality and integrity, key generation and distribution, and security parameters negotiation. However, missing from the protocol is a way to multiplex application data over a single TLS session.

This document defines MTLS, a new TLS sub-protocol running over TLS (or DTLS) Record protocol. The MTLS design provides application multiplexing over a single TLS (or DTLS) session. Therefore, instead

of associating a TLS connection with each application, MTLS allows

Badra & Hajjeh

Expires April 2008

[Page 1]

Internet-Draft

TLS Multiplexing

October 2007

several applications to protect their exchanges over a single TLS session.

# 1. Introduction

HTTP over TLS [<u>HTTPTLS</u>], POP over TLS and IMAP over TLS [<u>POPTLS</u>] are examples of securing, respectively HTTP, POP and IMAP data exchanges using the TLS protocol [<u>TLS</u>].

TLS ([TLS], [DTLS]) is the most deployed security protocol for securing exchanges, for authenticating entities and for generating and distributing cryptographic keys. However, what is missing from the protocol is the way to multiplex application data over the same TLS session.

Actually, TLS (or DTLS) clients and servers MUST establish a TLS (or DTLS) session for each application they want to run over a transport layer. However, some applications may agree or be configured to use the same security policies or parameters (e.g. authentication method and cipher\_suite) and then to share a single TLS session to protect their exchanges. In this way, this document extends TLS to allow application multiplexing over TLS.

The document motivations included:

- o TLS is application protocol-independent. Higher-level protocol can operate on top of the TLS protocol transparently.
- o TLS is a protocol of a modular nature. Since TLS is developed in four independent protocols, the approach defined in this document can be added by extending the TLS protocol and with a total reuse of pre-existing TLS infrastructures and implementations.
- It provides a secure VPN tunnel over a transport layer. Unlike "ssh-connection" [<u>SSHCON</u>], MTLS can run over unreliable transport protocols, such as UDP.
- Establishing a single session for a number of applications
   -instead of establishing a session per application- reduces
   resource consumption, latency and messages flow that are
   associated with executing simultaneous TLS sessions.

TLS can not forbid an intruder to analyze the traffic and cannot protect data from inference. Thus, the intruder can know the type of application data transmitted through the TLS session.
 However, the extension defined in this document allows, by its design, data protection against inference.

Badra & Hajjeh	Expires April 2008	[Page 2]
----------------	--------------------	----------

Internet-Draft TLS Multiplexing October 2007

<u>1.2</u>. Requirements language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [KEYWORDS].

 $\underline{2}$ . TLS multiplexing overview and considerations

This document defines a new TLS sub-protocol called Multiplexing TLS (MTLS) to handle data multiplexing, and it specifies the content type mtls(TBA). It extends also TLS with a new extension type (TBA) allowing the negotiation of data multiplexing features.

#### 2.1. Handshake

This document defines an extension of type "data\_multiplexing". The "extension\_data" field of this extension is zero-length.

Based on the TLS Extensions [TLSEXT], a client and a server can, in an ordinary TLS handshake, negotiate the future use of MTLS. If the client does attempt to initiate a TLS connection using MTLS with a server that does not support it, it will be automatically alerted. For servers aware of MTLS but not wishing to use it, it will gracefully revert to an ordinary TLS handshake or stop the negotiation.

The negotiation usually starts with the client determining whether the server is capable of and willing to use MTLS or not. In order to allow a TLS client to negotiate the application multiplexing functionality, a new extension type SHOULD be added to the Extended Client and Extended Server Hello messages.

If the server is able of and willing to use the "data\_multiplexing" extension, it MUST reply with an empty extension of the same type. Once the Handshake is complete, the client and the server SHOULD

establish and manage many application channels using the requests/responses defined below.

## 2.1.1. Opening and closing connections

Once the Handshake is complete, both the client and the server can start data multiplexing using a set of requests/responses defined below. All requests/requests will pass through MTLS layer and are formatted into MTLS packets, depending on each request/response.

The sender MAY request the opening of many channels. For each channel, the MTLS layer generates and sends the following request:

Badra & Hajjeh	Expires April 2008	[Page 3]
Internet-Draft	TLS Multiplexing	October 2007
<pre>struct {</pre>		
uint8	type;	
opaqu	e sender_channel_id[2];	
uint3	<pre>2 sender_window_length;</pre>	
uint3	<pre>2 sender_max_packet_length;</pre>	
opaqu	<pre>e source_address_machine&lt;47&gt;;</pre>	
opaqu	e source_port[2];	

opaque destination\_address\_machine<4..7>; opaque destination\_port[2];

} RequestEstablishmentChannel;

The field "type" specifies the MTLS packet type (types are summarized below), the "max\_packet\_length" and the "sender\_channel\_id" are used as described below. The "source\_address\_machine" MAY carry either the numeric IP address or the domain name of the host from where the application originates the data multiplexing request and the "port" is the port on the host from where the connection originated.

The sender initializes its "window\_length" with the data length (in octets), specifying how many bytes the receiver can maximally send on the channel before receiving a new window length (available free space). Each end of the channel establishes a "receive buffer" and a "send buffer".

The sender initializes its "max\_packet\_length" with the data length (in octets), specifying the maximal packet's length in octets the receiver can send on the channel.

The "destination\_address\_machine" and "destination\_port" specify the TCP/IP host and port where the recipient should connect the channel. The "destination\_address\_machine" MAY be either a domain name or a numeric IP address.

The receiver decides whether it can open the channel, and replies with one of the following messages:

struct	{
	uint8 type;
	<pre>opaque sender_channel_id[2];</pre>
	<pre>opaque receiver_channel_id[2];</pre>
	<pre>uint32 receiver_window_length;</pre>
	<pre>uint32 max_packet_length;</pre>
}	RequestEstablishmentSuccess;
	-

struct {
 uint8 type;
 opaque sender\_channel\_id[2];
 opaque error<0..2^16>;
 RequestEchecChannel;

Badra	& Hajjoh	Expires April 2008	
Daura	а пајјен	Expires April 2008	[Page 4]

Internet-Draft TLS Multiplexing October 2007

The field "error" conveys a description of the error.

If an error occurs at the MTLS layer, the established secure session is still valid and no alert of any type is sent by the TLS Record.

Each MTLS channel has its identifier computed as:

channel\_id = sender\_channel\_id" + "receiver\_channel\_id

Where "+" indicates concatenation.

The following packet MAY be sent to notify the receiver that the sender will not send any more data on this channel and that any data received after a closure request will be ignored. The sender of the closure request MAY close its "receive buffer" without waiting for the receiver's response. However, the receiver MUST respond with a confirmation of the closure and close down the channel immediately, discarding any pending writes.

struct {
 uint8 type;

- opaque channel\_id[4];
  } CloseChannel:
- } CloseChannel;

struct {

uint8 type; opaque channel\_id[4]; } ConfirmationCloseChannel;

# 2.2. MTLS sub-protocol

The structure of the MTLS packet is described below. The "sender\_channel\_id" and "receiver\_channel\_id" are the same gererated during the connection establishment. The length conveys the data length of the current packet.

Each entity maintains its "max\_packet\_length" (that is originally initialized during the connection establishment) to a value not bigger than the maximum size of this entity's "receive buffer". For each received packet, the entity MUST subtract the packet's length from the "max\_packet\_length". The result is always positive since the packet's length is always less than or equal to the current "max\_packet\_length".

The free space of the "receive buffer" MAY increase in length. Consequently, the entity MUST inform the other end about this increase, allowing the other entity to send packet with length bigger than the old "max\_packet\_length" but smaller or equal than the new value.

Badra & Hajjeh	Expires April 2008	[Page 5]
----------------	--------------------	----------

Internet-Draft

TLS Multiplexing

October 2007

The entity MAY indicate this increase by sending an Acknowledgment packet. The Acknowledgment packet carries the available free space ("free\_space" field in octets) the receiver of that packet can send on the channel before receiving a new window length.

If the length of the "receive buffer" does not change, Acknowledgment packet will never be sent.

In the case where the "receive buffer" of an entity fills up, the other entity MUST wait for an Acknowledgment packet before sending any more MTLSPlaintext packets.

```
opaque channel_id[4];
uint32 length;
opaque data[MTLSPlaintext.length];
} MTLSPlaintext;
```

struct {
 uint8 type;
 opaque channel\_id[4];
 uint32 free\_space;
 Acknowledgment;

The TLS Record Layer receives data from MTLS, supposes it as uninterpreted data and applies the fragmentation and the cryptographic operations on it, as defined in [<u>TLS</u>]. The type is set to mtls(TBA).

Note: multiple MTLS fragments MAY be coalesced into a single TLSPlaintext record.

Received data is decrypted, verified, decompressed, and reassembled, then delivered to MTLS sub-protocol. Next, the MTLS sends data to the appropriate application using the channel identifier and the length value.

enum {

	<pre>change_cipher_spec(20), alert(21), handshake(22),</pre>
	application_data(23), mtls(TBA), (255)
}	ContentType;

2.3. MTLS Message Types

Additional message types can be supported by MTLS.

RequestEstablishmentChannel	0x01
RequestEstablishmentSuccess	0x02
RequestEchecChannel	0x03
CloseChannel	0x04

Badra 8	& Hajjeh	Expires April 2008	[Page 6]

Internet-Draft TLS Multiplexing October 2007

cont trillat fonctosechannet	0X03
MTLSPlaintext	0x06
Acknowledgment	0x07

3. Security Considerations

Security issues are discussed throughout this document, and in

[<u>TLS</u>], [<u>DTLS</u>] and [<u>TLSEXT</u>] documents.

If a fatal error related to any channel or a connection of an arbitrary application occurs, the secure session MUST NOT be resumed. This is logic since the Record protocol does not distinguish between the MTLS channels. However, if an error occurs at the MTLS layer, both parties immediately close the related channels, but not the TLS session (no alert of any type is sent by the TLS Record).

4. IANA Considerations

This section provides guidance to the IANA regarding registration of values related to the TLS protocol.

There are name spaces that require registration: the mtls content type, the data\_multiplexing extension, and the MTLS message types.

- 5. References
- 5.1. Normative References
  - [TLS] Dierks, T., Rescorla, E., "The TLS Protocol Version 1.1", <u>RFC 4346</u>, April 200P.
  - [TLSEXT] Blake-Wilson, S., et. al., "Transport Layer Security (TLS) Extensions", <u>RFC 4346</u>, April 2006.
  - [DTLS] Rescorla, E., Modadugu, N., "Datagram Transport Layer Security", <u>RFC 4347</u>, April 2006.
  - [KEYWORDS] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>RFC 2119</u>, March 1997.

5.2. Informative References

- [HTTPTLS] Rescorla, E., "HTTP Over TLS", <u>RFC 2818</u>, May 2000.
- [POPTLS] Newman, C., "Using TLS with IMAP, POP3 and ACAP", <u>RFC</u> 2595, June 1999.
- [SSHCON] Lonvick, C., "SSH Connection Protocol", <u>RFC 4254</u>, January 2005.

Badra & Hajjeh	Expires April 2008	[Page 7]

Internet-Draft

Author's Addresses

Mohamad Badra LIMOS Laboratory - UMR6158, CNRS France Email: badra@isima.fr Ibrahim Hajjeh ESRGroups, Security WG France Email: Ibrahim.Hajjeh@esrgroups.org

Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2007).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in  $\underline{\text{BCP } 78}$ , and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY, THE IETF TRUST AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in <u>BCP 78</u> and <u>BCP 79</u>.

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <a href="http://www.ietf.org/ipr">http://www.ietf.org/ipr</a>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.

Internet-Draft TLS Multiplexing Octob	er	2007
---------------------------------------	----	------

Acknowledgement

Funding for the RFC Editor function is provided by the IETF Administrative Support Activity (IASA).

Badra & Hajjeh Expires April 2008

[Page 9]