

Internet Engineering Task Force
Internet-Draft
Updates: [4253](#), [4419](#), [4432](#), [4462](#), [5656](#)
(if approved)
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: August 22, 2016

M. Baushke
Juniper Networks, Inc.
February 19, 2016

More Modular Exponential (MODP) Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange Groups for
Secure Shell (SSH)
draft-baushke-ssh-dh-group-sha2-05

Abstract

This document defines two added Modular Exponential (MODP) Groups for the Secure Shell (SSH) protocol. It also updates [[RFC4253](#)], [[RFC4419](#)], [[RFC4462](#)], and [[RFC5656](#)] by specifying the set key exchange algorithms that currently exist and which ones MUST, SHOULD, MAY, and SHOULD NOT be implemented including two new Diffie-Hellman key exchange algorithms using SHA-2 hashes.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on August 22, 2016.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2016 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect

Internet-Draft

More MODP DH kex groups for SSH

February 2016

to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

1. Overview and Rationale

Secure Shell (SSH) is a common protocol for secure communication on the Internet. In [\[RFC4253\]](#), SSH originally defined the Key Exchange Method Name `diffie-hellman-group1-sha1` which used [\[RFC2409\]](#) Oakley Group 1 (a MODP group with 768 bits) and SHA-1 [\[RFC3174\]](#). Due to recent security concerns with SHA-1 [\[RFC6194\]](#) and with MODP groups with less than 2048 bits [\[NIST-SP-800-131Ar1\]](#) implementer and users request support for larger MODP group sizes with data integrity verification using the SHA-2 family of secure hash algorithms as well as MODP groups providing more security.

The United States Information Assurance Directorate at the National Security Agency has published a FAQ [\[MFQ-U-00-815099-15\]](#) suggesting that the use of ECDH using the `nistp256` curve and SHA-2 based hashes less than SHA2-384 are no longer sufficient for transport of Top Secret information. It is for this reason that this draft moves `ecdh-sha2-nistp256` from a REQUIRED to OPTIONAL as a key exchange method. This is the same reason that the stronger MODP groups being introduced are using SHA2-512 as the hash algorithm. Group14 is already present in most SSH implementations and most implementations already have a SHA2-256 implementation, so `diffie-hellman-group14-sha256` is provided as an easy to implement and faster to use key exchange for small embedded applications.

It has been observed in [\[safe-curves\]](#) that the NIST recommended Elliptic Curve Prime Curves (P-256, P-384, and P-521) are perhaps not the best available for Elliptic Curve Cryptography Security. For this reason, none of the [RFC5656](#) curves are marked as a MUST implement.

Please send comments on this draft to ietf-ssh@NetBSD.org.

2. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [\[RFC2119\]](#).

3. Key Exchange Algorithms

This memo adopts the style and conventions of [\[RFC4253\]](#) in specifying how the use of new data key exchange is indicated in SSH.

The following new key exchange algorithms are defined:

Key Exchange Method Name	Note
diffie-hellman-group14-sha256	MAY/OPTIONAL
diffie-hellman-group16-sha512	SHOULD/RECOMMENDED
diffie-hellman-group18-sha512	MAY/OPTIONAL

Figure 1

The SHA-2 family of secure hash algorithms are defined in [\[FIPS-180-4\]](#).

The method of key exchange used for the name "diffie-hellman-group14-sha256" is the same as that for "diffie-hellman-group14-sha1" except that the SHA2-256 hash algorithm is used.

The group16 and group18 names are the same as those specified in [\[RFC3526\]](#) 4096-bit MODP Group 16 and 8192-bit MODP Group 18.

The SHA2-512 algorithm is to be used when "sha512" is specified as a part of the key exchange method name.

4. IANA Considerations

This document augments the Key Exchange Method Names in [\[RFC4253\]](#). It downgrades the use of SHA-1 hashing for key exchange methods in [\[RFC4419\]](#), [\[RFC4432\]](#), and [\[RFC4462\]](#). It also moves from MUST to MAY the ecdh-sha2-nistp256 given in [\[RFC5656\]](#).

It is desirable to also include the ssh-curves from the [\[I-D.josefsson-ssh-curves\]](#) in this list. It is not yet clear if

curve448-sha256 or curve448-sha512 will be defined in that draft. The curve25519-sha256 is currently available in some Secure Shell implementations under the name curve25519-sha256@libssh.org and is the best candidate for a fast, safe, and secure key exchange method.

IANA is requested to update the SSH algorithm registry with the following entries:

Key Exchange Method Name	Reference	Note
diffie-hellman-group-exchange-sha1	RFC4419	SHOULD NOT
diffie-hellman-group-exchange-sha256	RFC4419	MAY
diffie-hellman-group1-sha1	RFC4253	SHOULD NOT
diffie-hellman-group14-sha1	RFC4253	SHOULD
ecdh-sha2-nistp256	RFC5656	MAY
ecdh-sha2-nistp384	RFC5656	SHOULD
ecdh-sha2-nistp521	RFC5656	SHOULD
ecdh-sha2-*	RFC5656	MAY
ecmqv-sha2	RFC5656	MAY
gss-gex-sha1-*	RFC4462	SHOULD NOT
gss-group1-sha1-*	RFC4462	SHOULD NOT
gss-group14-sha1-*	RFC4462	MAY
gss-*	RFC4462	MAY
rsa1024-sha1	RFC4432	SHOULD NOT
rsa2048-sha256	RFC4432	MAY
diffie-hellman-group14-sha256	This Draft	MAY
diffie-hellman-group16-sha512	This Draft	SHOULD
diffie-hellman-group18-sha512	This Draft	MAY
curve25519-sha256	ssh-curves	MUST
curve448-sha256	ssh-curves	MAY

Figure 2

The Note in the above table is an implementation suggestion for the listed key exchange method. It is up to the end-user as to what

algorithms they choose to be able to negotiate.

The guidance of this document is that the SHA-1 algorithm hashing SHOULD NOT be used. If it is used, it should only be provided for backwards compatibility, should not be used in new designs, and should be phased out of existing key exchanges as quickly as possible because of its known weaknesses. Any key exchange using SHA-1 SHOULD NOT be in a default key exchange list if at all possible. If they are needed for backward compatibility, they SHOULD be listed after all of the SHA-2 based key exchanges.

The [RFC4253](#) REQUIRED diffie-hellman-group14-sha1 method SHOULD be retained for compatibility with older Secure Shell implementations. It is intended that this key exchange be phased out as soon as possible.

5. Security Considerations

The security considerations of [\[RFC4253\]](#) apply to this document.

The security considerations of [\[RFC3526\]](#) suggest that these MODP groups have security strengths given in this table. They are based on [\[RFC3766\]](#) Determining Strengths For Public Keys Used For Exchanging Symmetric Keys.

Group modulus security strength estimates ([RFC3526](#))

Group	Modulus	Strength Estimate 1		Strength Estimate 2	
		in bits	exponent size	in bits	exponent size
14	2048-bit	110	220-	160	320-
15	3072-bit	130	260-	210	420-
16	4096-bit	150	300-	240	480-
17	6144-bit	170	340-	270	540-
18	8192-bit	190	380-	310	620-

+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+

Figure 3

Many users seem to be interested in the perceived safety of using the SHA2-based algorithms for hashing.

[6.](#) References

[6.1.](#) Normative References

- [FIPS-180-4]
National Institute of Standards and Technology, "Secure Hash Standard (SHS)", FIPS PUB 180-4, August 2015, <<http://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/FIPS/NIST.FIPS.180-4.pdf>>.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC3526] Kivinen, T. and M. Kojo, "More Modular Exponential (MODP) Diffie-Hellman groups for Internet Key Exchange (IKE)", [RFC 3526](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3526, May 2003, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3526>>.

Baushke

Expires August 22, 2016

[Page 5]

Internet-Draft

More MODP DH kex groups for SSH

February 2016

- [RFC4253] Ylonen, T. and C. Lonvick, Ed., "The Secure Shell (SSH) Transport Layer Protocol", [RFC 4253](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4253, January 2006, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4253>>.

[6.2.](#) Informative References

- [I-D.josefsson-ssh-curves]
Adamantiadis, A. and S. Josefsson, "Secure Shell (SSH) Key Exchange Method using Curve25519 and Curve448", [draft-josefsson-ssh-curves-03](#) (work in progress), November 2015.
- [MFQ-U-00-815099-15]
"National Security Agency/Central Security Service", "CNSA Suite and Quantum Computing FAQ", January 2016, <<https://www.iad.gov/iad/library/ia-guidance/ia-solutions->

for-classified/algorithm-guidance/cnsa-suite-and-quantum-computing-faq.cfm>.

[NIST-SP-800-131Ar1]

Barker, and Roginsky, "Transitions: Recommendation for the Transitioning of the Use of Cryptographic Algorithms and Key Lengths", NIST Special Publication 800-131A Revision 1, November 2015, <<http://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/SpecialPublications/NIST.SP.800-131Ar1.pdf>>.

[RFC2409] Harkins, D. and D. Carrel, "The Internet Key Exchange (IKE)", [RFC 2409](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2409, November 1998, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2409>>.

[RFC3174] Eastlake 3rd, D. and P. Jones, "US Secure Hash Algorithm 1 (SHA1)", [RFC 3174](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3174, September 2001, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3174>>.

[RFC3766] Orman, H. and P. Hoffman, "Determining Strengths For Public Keys Used For Exchanging Symmetric Keys", [BCP 86](#), [RFC 3766](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3766, April 2004, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3766>>.

[RFC4419] Friedl, M., Provos, N., and W. Simpson, "Diffie-Hellman Group Exchange for the Secure Shell (SSH) Transport Layer Protocol", [RFC 4419](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4419, March 2006, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4419>>.

[RFC4432] Harris, B., "RSA Key Exchange for the Secure Shell (SSH) Transport Layer Protocol", [RFC 4432](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4432, March 2006, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4432>>.

Baushke

Expires August 22, 2016

[Page 6]

Internet-Draft

More MODP DH kex groups for SSH

February 2016

[RFC4462] Hutzelman, J., Salowey, J., Galbraith, J., and V. Welch, "Generic Security Service Application Program Interface (GSS-API) Authentication and Key Exchange for the Secure Shell (SSH) Protocol", [RFC 4462](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4462, May 2006, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4462>>.

[RFC5656] Stebila, D. and J. Green, "Elliptic Curve Algorithm Integration in the Secure Shell Transport Layer",

[RFC 5656](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5656, December 2009,
<<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5656>>.

[RFC6194] Polk, T., Chen, L., Turner, S., and P. Hoffman, "Security Considerations for the SHA-0 and SHA-1 Message-Digest Algorithms", [RFC 6194](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6194, March 2011, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6194>>.

[safe-curves]
Bernstein, and Lange, "SafeCurves: choosing safe curves for elliptic-curve cryptography.", February 2016, <<https://safecurves.cr.yp.to/>>.

Author's Address

Mark D. Baushke
Juniper Networks, Inc.
1133 Innovation Way
Sunnyvale, CA 94089-1228
US

Phone: +1 408 745 2952
Email: mdb@juniper.net
URI: <http://www.juniper.net/>