

behave  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: April 25, 2012

C. Bao, Ed.  
X. Li  
CERNET Center/Tsinghua  
University  
October 23, 2011

**Extended IPv6 Addressing for Encoding Port Range  
draft-bcx-address-fmt-extension-02**

Abstract

This document discusses an extension of the algorithmic translation between IPv4 and IPv4-translatable IPv6 addresses. The extended address format contains transport-layer port range information which allows several IPv6 nodes to share a single IPv4 address with each node managing a different range of ports. This address format extension can be used for IPv4/IPv6 translation, as well as IPv4 over IPv6 tunneling.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on October 14, 2011.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2011 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must

include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

This document may contain material from IETF Documents or IETF Contributions published or made publicly available before November 10, 2008. The person(s) controlling the copyright in some of this material may not have granted the IETF Trust the right to allow modifications of such material outside the IETF Standards Process. Without obtaining an adequate license from the person(s) controlling the copyright in such materials, this document may not be modified outside the IETF Standards Process, and derivative works of it may not be created outside the IETF Standards Process, except to format it for publication as an RFC or to translate it into languages other than English.

Table of Contents

- [1. Introduction . . . . .](#) [3](#)
- [1.1. Applicability Scope . . . . .](#) [3](#)
- [1.2. Conventions . . . . .](#) [3](#)
- [2. Extended IPv4-tranlatable IPv6 Address . . . . .](#) [3](#)
- [2.1. Port-range Coding Algorithm . . . . .](#) [4](#)
- [2.2. Extended Address Format . . . . .](#) [5](#)
- [2.3. Suffix for Port Range Encoding . . . . .](#) [6](#)
- [2.4. Stateless Suffix Translation Algorithm . . . . .](#) [7](#)
- [2.5. Partial-state Suffix Translation Algorithm . . . . .](#) [8](#)
- [2.6. ICMP Packet Handling . . . . .](#) [8](#)
- [3. IANA Considerations . . . . .](#) [8](#)
- [4. Security Considerations . . . . .](#) [8](#)
- [5. Acknowledgements . . . . .](#) [9](#)
- [6. References . . . . .](#) [9](#)
- [6.1. Normative References . . . . .](#) [9](#)
- [6.2. Informative References . . . . .](#) [9](#)
- Authors' Addresses . . . . . [9](#)



## **1. Introduction**

This document discusses an extension of the address format defined in [[I-D.ietf-behave-address-format](#)]. The original address format document specifies how an individual IPv6 address is translated to a corresponding IPv4 address, and vice versa, in cases where an algorithmic mapping is used. To face the IPv4 public address exhaustion, it is desirable to assign fractional IPv4 addresses to IPv6 nodes which can share a single IPv4 address with each node managing a different range of ports.

In [[I-D.ietf-behave-address-format](#)] [Section 3.5](#), it states:

"There have been proposals to complement stateless translation with a port-range feature. Instead of mapping an IPv4 address to exactly one IPv6 prefix, the options would allow several IPv6 nodes to share an IPv4 address, with each node managing a different range of ports. If a port range extension is needed, it could be defined later, using bits currently reserved as null in the suffix."

This document defines one of such a suffix encoding scheme and the corresponding port-range algorithm.

### **1.1. Applicability Scope**

The address format extension can be used for both IPv4/IPv6 translation and IPv4 over IPv6 tunneling. However, in this document we will use IPv4-translatable addresses in the stateless translation to discuss this specific address format extension. The descriptions of the other algorithms for their specific use case can be defined later.

### **1.2. Conventions**

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)].

## **2. Extended IPv4-tranlatable IPv6 Address**

In Section 2.2 of [[I-D.ietf-behave-address-format](#)], IPv4-embedded IPv6 address format is defined which composed of a variable length prefix, the embedded IPv4 address, and a variable length suffix, as presented in the following diagram, in which PL designates the prefix length:






Figure 1: Address Format

It is clear that only Prefix lengths (PL) with 32, 40, 48, 56 and 64 have suffix portions, with maximum suffix lengths of 56, 48, 40, 32, 24, respectively. In order to use different Prefix length and unify the port range encoding method, the suffix should be 24 bits or less. Furthermore, we choose the port-range coding suffix which is directly following the embedded IPv4 address and padding zeros after the suffix.

### 2.1. Port-range Coding Algorithm

The address-sharing scheme is shown in the following figure.






Figure 2: Address Sharing Scheme

In the above figure, the IPv4-translatable-0, IPv4-translatable-1, IPv4-translatable-2, ..., IPv4-translatable-K are sharing the same IPv4 address IPv4-addr, but port number range for different IPv4-translatable addresses (i.e. port-range-0, port-range-1, port-range-2, ..., port-range-K) are not overlapped. When an IPv6 node using IPv4-translatable addresses communicates with the IPv4 Internet via a translator, it looks like a single host using IPv4 address (IPv4-addr) communicating with the IPv4 Internet.

There exist many port-range coding schemes and each one may have its advantages and disadvantages, as well as has its best application scenario. In this document, we will introduce a simple one, which we believe is suitable for the IPv4/IPv6 stateless translation. This encoding scheme uses the modulus operator to define the port number range.

If the sharing ratio is  $N$ , then:

- o Given  $K$  ( $K=0, 1, \dots, N-1$ ), the allowed port number ( $P$ ) are  $P=j*N + K-1$ , where  $j=0, 1, \dots, (65536-N)/N$ .
- o Given  $P$ , the IPv6 node index ( $K$ ) is  $K=(P\%N)$  ( $\%$  is the Modulus Operator).

For example, If  $N=128$ , then IPv6 node  $K=5$  is only allowed to use port numbers 5, 133, 261, 389, 517, 645, 773, 901, ... 65,413 as the source port, while the packets with these port numbers as the destination port number will be sent to IPv6 node  $K=5$ .

The modulus operator has several features:

1. The port ranges are not overlapped for different IPv6 nodes.
2. The individual ports for each IPv6 node are not continuous and the whole 65536 port range is equally shared by IPv6 nodes.
3. The port number range can be uniquely determined by given sharing ratio ( $N$ ) and the IPv6 node index ( $K$ ).

Based on the modulus operator, We need to encode  $N$  and  $K$  in the suffix as an extended IPv4-translatable IPv6 address.

## **2.2. Extended Address Format**

Since the transport port number is a 16 bit integer, the sharing ratio ( $N$ ) and the IPv6 node index ( $K$ ) can both have the value from 0





to 65535. In theory, 32 bits (16 bits for sharing ratio and 16 bits for IPv6 node index) are required for encoding the port range based on the modulus operation. In order to fit into 24 bit or less suffix range, we need to do compression.

First, we can use number of bits to represent the sharing ratio when the sharing ratio is bit-wise, hence 4 bits is enough for N.

Secondly, if sharing ratio N is very high, each IPv6 node can only use a small number of concurrent sessions. For example, if N=4096, each IPv6 node will have 16 concurrent sessions, which may be too small for most of the applications. Therefore, if we set the maximum sharing ratio N=4096, then 12 bits are enough for the IPv6 node index. In this case, we can design suffix which consists of 16 bits for encoding the port range.

Based on the above discussion, the extended address format is shown in the following figure.




Figure 3: Extended Address Format

Since different suffixes are more specific in the original address format defined in [[I-D.ietf-behave-address-format](#)], the routing considerations in that document are also applied here. Furthermore, the port range is embedded in the extended IPv4-translatable IPv6 addresses and bound to the IPv6 node index (K), therefore the packets containing extended IPv4-translatable IPv6 addresses as the destination can be routed to different IPv6 nodes.

**2.3. Suffix for Port Range Encoding**

The most significant 4 bits define the multiplexing ratio and the least significant 12 bits define the IPv6 node index. The multiplexing ratio, the suffix range and the number of corresponding



concurrent ports are as shown in the following figure.

ratio	suffix range	# of Ports
1	0000 - 0000	65,536
2	1000 - 1001	32,768
4	2000 - 2003	16,384
8	3000 - 3007	8,192
16	4000 - 400f	4,096
32	5000 - 501f	2,048
64	6000 - 603f	1,024
128	7000 - 707f	512
256	8000 - 80ff	256
512	9000 - 91ff	128
1,024	a000 - a3ff	64
2,048	b000 - b7ff	32
4,096	c000 - cfff	16

Figure 4: Suffix for Port Range Encoding

#### 2.4. Stateless Suffix Translation Algorithm

For the stateless translation, the IPv6 nodes are required to follow the port number range defined by the extended IPv4- translatable address format when communicating with the IPv4 Internet. The port number handling algorithm is:

- o If the packets are from IPv4 to IPv6, the IPv4 source addresses are translated to the IPv4-converted addresses and the source port numbers are unchanged before and after translation; the IPv4 destination addresses are translated to the extended IPv4- translatable addresses based on the destination port number and the destination port numbers are unchanged before and after translation. Note that this means that only a specific IPv6 node can receive the packets for a specific port number. When it is port 80, that specific IPv6 node can setup http redirect service for other IPv6 nodes which also provide web services with non-standard port numbers (e.g. 81, 82, etc.).
- o If the packets are from IPv6 to IPv4, the IPv6 source addresses and the source port numbers are checked, if the source port number matches the port number range defined by the extended IPv4- translatable address format, the IPv6 source addresses (which are the IPv4- translatable addresses) are translated to the IPv4 addresses and the source port numbers are unchanged before and after translation; the destination IPv6 addresses (which are the IPv4-converted addresses) are translated to the IPv4 destination



addresses and the destination port numbers are unchanged before and after translation. However, if the source port number does not match the port number range defined by the extended IPv4-translatable address format, the packets will be dropped.

### **2.5. Partial-state Suffix Translation Algorithm**

Stateless translation requires that IPv6 nodes generate source port number in the range defined by the extended IPv4-translatable address. If this condition does not hold, the partial-state suffix translation algorithm can be used.

The reason we call this partial-state is that:

- o The address mapping is fully algorithm based, as defined in [section 3.4](#). The states are used for port number mapping only.
- o There will be no port mapping table created if the the source port number from IPv6 to IPv4 is in the range defined by the extended IPv4-translatable address.
- o For the destination port number of the packet from the IPv4 to IPv6, there will be no port mapping table created.

The partial-state suffix translation algorithm can be defined later.

### **2.6. ICMP Packet Handling**

The ICMP errors should be translatable using the same algorithm (that is, an error such as Destination Unreachable includes the original TCP (or UDP) header in the ICMP payload, and the that TCP (or UDP) port number can be used to translate the ICMP packet into an IPv6-encoded packet and back again.

Since ICMP echo-request/echo-reply packets only contain identification field, not the transport port numbers, similar to NAT, special actions can be taken for translating the ICMP echo-request/echo-reply packets, which can be defined later.

## **3. IANA Considerations**

This memo adds no new IANA considerations.

## **4. Security Considerations**

There is no special security consideration.



## **5. Acknowledgements**

## **6. References**

### **6.1. Normative References**

[I-D.ietf-behave-address-format]

Bao, C., Huitema, C., Bagnulo, M., Boucadair, M., and X. Li, "IPv6 Addressing of IPv4/IPv6 Translators", [draft-ietf-behave-address-format-10](#) (work in progress), August 2010.

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.

### **6.2. Informative References**

[I-D.ietf-behave-v6v4-framework]

Baker, F., Li, X., Bao, C., and K. Yin, "Framework for IPv4/IPv6 Translation", [draft-ietf-behave-v6v4-framework-10](#) (work in progress), August 2010.

## Authors' Addresses

Congxiao Bao (editor)  
CERNET Center/Tsinghua University  
Room 225, Main Building, Tsinghua University  
Beijing, 100084  
China

Phone: +86 10-62785983  
Email: [congxiao@cernet.edu.cn](mailto:congxiao@cernet.edu.cn)

Xing Li  
CERNET Center/Tsinghua University  
Room 225, Main Building, Tsinghua University  
Beijing, 100084  
China

Phone: +86 10-62785983  
Email: [xing@cernet.edu.cn](mailto:xing@cernet.edu.cn)



