

Hypertext Transfer Protocol Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Informational
Expires: January 29, 2017

C. Benfield
Hewlett Packard Enterprise
B. Fitzpatrick
Google, Inc.
July 28, 2016

**HTTP/2 Implementation Debug State
draft-benfield-http2-debug-state-00**

Abstract

This document defines a standard format and well-known URI for HTTP/2 server implementations to expose their internal state for the purposes of debugging and interoperability work.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on January 29, 2017.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2016 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

- [1. Introduction](#) [2](#)
- [1.1. Notational Conventions](#) [3](#)
- [2. Debug Output](#) [3](#)
- [2.1. Settings](#) [3](#)
- [2.2. Peer Settings](#) [4](#)
- [2.3. Outbound Flow Control Window](#) [4](#)
- [2.4. Inbound Flow Control Window.](#) [4](#)
- [2.5. Streams](#) [5](#)
- [2.6. HPACK](#) [6](#)
- [2.7. Sent GoAway](#) [7](#)
- [2.8. Additional Fields](#) [8](#)
- [3. Debug Headers](#) [8](#)
- [3.1. Flow In](#) [8](#)
- [3.2. Flow Out](#) [8](#)
- [4. IANA Considerations](#) [9](#)
- [4.1. Well-known URI](#) [9](#)
- [5. Normative References](#) [9](#)
- [Appendix A. Acknowledgements](#) [9](#)
- [Appendix B. Changelog](#) [9](#)
- Authors' Addresses [9](#)

1. Introduction

The HTTP/2 [[RFC7540](#)] specification provides an alternative framing layer for the semantics of HTTP/1.1 [[RFC7231](#)]. This alternative framing layer includes substantially greater quantities of state to be stored by all implementations. Disagreements on the state of the connection are the cause of the vast majority of interoperability errors in HTTP/2 implementations.

In general it is not possible for implementations to query the internal state of their peer, and those implementations that do expose their internal state do it using a number of different interfaces, in different places, and in different formats. This makes it hard to debug interoperability problems, particularly when those problems arise on the open web with implementations that have unknown configuration and that may not identify themselves clearly.

This document defines a standard format and well-known URI for HTTP/2 server implementations to make their internal state available for introspection. This allows both new and established implementers to do more effective testing of their implementations, as well as to enable them to more effectively diagnose and report subtle bugs in both their own and other implementations.

1.1. Notational Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#) [[RFC2119](#)].

2. Debug Output

An implementation that wishes to support the HTTP/2 debug state information does so by publishing a JSON document at a well-known URI ([\[RFC5785\]](#)): specifically, at `.well-known/h2interop/state`. This JSON document reveals aspects of the state of the specific HTTP/2 connection as seen by the implementation in question at the time of response generation.

This JSON document is represented as a single JSON object with multiple keys. The object has several mandatory keys, as well as several optional ones. The fields are outlined below.

2.1. Settings

The "settings" key in the state object is associated with a JSON object that contains the remote implementation's active settings. These are the settings that are actually in force for the connection at this time. This means that if the implementation has emitted a SETTINGS frame but has not yet received an ACK, the changes in that SETTINGS frame MUST NOT be reflected in the object.

Each setting is published along with its value. The name of each setting MUST be the same as its name in [\[RFC7540\] Section 6.5.2](#): for example, "SETTINGS_ENABLE_PUSH". The values MUST be sent as JSON integers.

An implementation MAY omit a setting from this object if it has never been emitted by the implementation. In this situation it should be assumed that the default value is in force.

A conforming implementation MUST emit this field.

Sample output:

```
"settings": {  
  "SETTINGS_MAX_CONCURRENT_STREAMS": 250,  
  "SETTINGS_MAX_FRAME_SIZE": 1048576,  
  "SETTINGS_MAX_HEADER_LIST_SIZE": 1048896  
}
```

Figure 1: Example output for settings key

2.2. Peer Settings

The "peerSettings" key in the state object is associated with a JSON object that contains the remote implementation's view of the local implementation's settings. These are the settings that are actually in force for the connection at this time.

The value of this key is exactly symmetrical with the value of the "settings" key: see [Section 2.1](#) for more.

A conforming implementation MUST emit this field.

Sample output:

```
"peerSettings": {  
  "SETTINGS_HEADER_TABLE_SIZE": 4096,  
  "SETTINGS_ENABLE_PUSH": 1,  
  "SETTINGS_INITIAL_WINDOW_SIZE": 6291456,  
  "SETTINGS_MAX_FRAME_SIZE": 16384,  
  "SETTINGS_MAX_CONCURRENT_STREAMS": 1000  
}
```

Figure 2: Example output for peerSettings key

2.3. Outbound Flow Control Window

The "connFlowOut" key in the state object is associated with a JSON integer that reflects the remote peer's outbound connection window size. This represents the number of flow controlled bytes the remote implementation believes it can emit before the entire connection is blocked behind flow control.

A conforming implementation MUST emit this field.

Sample output:

```
"connFlowOut": 15724175,
```

Figure 3: Example output for connFlowOut key

2.4. Inbound Flow Control Window.

The "connFlowIn" key in the state object is associated with a JSON integer that reflects the remote peer's inbound connection window size. This represents the number of flow controlled bytes the remote implementation believes it can receive before the entire connection is blocked behind flow control.

A conforming implementation MUST emit this field.

Sample output:

```
"connFlowIn": 65535,
```

Figure 4: Example output for connFlowIn key

2.5. Streams

The "streams" key in the state object is associated with a JSON object containing state about all the active streams on the connection. A stream MUST be represented in this JSON object if it is in any state other than IDLE or CLOSED.

This JSON object has keys that are the stream IDs for the active streams. Each key has an object associated with it, with the following keys:

- o "state": This key maps to a string value representing the stream state. The stream states are represented as all-caps ASCII text with all parentheses stripped and spaces replaced with underscores. For example, "OPEN" or "HALF_CLOSED_LOCAL". This field MUST be present.
- o "flowIn": The remote peer's inbound stream window size as a JSON integer. This represents the number of flow controlled bytes the remote implementation believes it can receive on this stream before this stream is blocked behind flow control. This field MUST be present.
- o "flowOut": The remote peer's outbound stream window size as a JSON integer. This represents the number of flow controlled bytes the remote implementation believes it can send on this stream before this stream is blocked behind flow control. This field MUST be present.
- o "dataIn": The number of bytes of data the remote implementation has received on this stream. This excludes padding bytes. This field MAY be present, but is optional.
- o "dataOut": The number of bytes of data the remote implementation has sent on this stream. This excludes padding bytes. This field MAY be present, but is optional.

A conforming implementation MUST emit this field, but MAY omit any of the optional sub-fields.

Sample output:

```
"streams": {
  "5": {
    "state": "HALF_CLOSED_REMOTE",
    "flowIn": 65535,
    "flowOut": 6291456,
    "dataIn": 0,
    "dataOut": 0
  },
  "7": {
    "state": "OPEN",
    "flowIn": 65535,
    "flowOut": 6291456
  }
},
```

Figure 5: Example output for streams key

2.6. HPACK

The "hpack" key contains information about the HPACK compression state for the connection. It maps to a JSON object that represents this compression state.

This JSON object contains the following fields:

- o "inbound_table_size": The current size of the HPACK dynamic header table for the headers emitted by the local implementation, as an integer. This field **MUST** be present.
- o "outbound_table_size": The current size of the HPACK dynamic header table for the headers emitted by the remote implementation, as an integer. Note that this value **MUST** include the headers added to the compression context as part of serving this response. This field **MUST** be present.
- o "inbound_dynamic_header_table": The entries added to the HPACK dynamic header table by the local implementation. This is formatted as a JSON array of two-element JSON arrays, the first element of which contains the header name and the second element of which contains the header value. This field **MAY** be omitted.
- o "outbound_dynamic_header_table": The entries added to the HPACK dynamic header table by the remote implementation. This is formatted in the same manner as "inbound_dynamic_header_table". This field **MAY** be omitted.

A conforming implementation MAY omit this field. If it does include this field, it MAY omit any optional sub-fields.

Sample output:

```
"hpack": {
  "inbound_table_size": 340,
  "inbound_dynamic_header_table": [
    [
      "accept-encoding",
      "gzip, deflate, sdch, br"
    ],
    [
      "upgrade-insecure-requests",
      "1"
    ],
    [
      "cache-control",
      "max-age=0"
    ],
    [
      ":authority",
      "shootout.lukasa.co.uk"
    ]
  ],
  "outbound_table_size": 137,
  "outbound_dynamic_header_table": [
    [
      "content-type",
      "application/json"
    ],
    [
      "server",
      "TwistedWeb/16.3.0"
    ]
  ]
}
```

Figure 6: Example output for hpack key

2.7. Sent GoAway

The "sentGoAway" field tracks whether or not a GOAWAY frame ([\[RFC7540\] Section 6.8](#)) has been sent on the connection by the remote implementation. The value of this field is boolean.

A conforming implementation MAY omit this field.

Sample output:

```
"sentGoAway": false,
```

Figure 7: Example output for sentGoAway key

2.8. Additional Fields

In addition to these fields, implementations MAY add their own debugging information, as appropriate, to the JSON object. These MUST be keyed off keys other than the ones defined in this document. For example, some implementations are known to expose the number of threads they currently have active in the "threads" field.

3. Debug Headers

One of the most common issues when implementing HTTP/2 is to have problems with flow control windows. This is why the "connFlowOut" ([Section 2.3](#)) and "connFlowIn" ([Section 2.4](#)) fields are defined in the JSON document.

However, it's possible that the two implementations disagree on the size of this window, and that the server believes that it cannot send the response body because it's blocked behind flow control. For this reason, a small amount of debugging data MUST be inserted into the response headers for this JSON document. This ensures that it is possible for implementations to discover that they have inadvertently blocked the debug response behind flow control, and to take action to widen the flow control window so that the response can be delivered.

The following header fields MUST be emitted by implementations.

3.1. Flow In

The "conn-flow-in" header field contains the size of the remote implementation's inbound flow control window. The field value contains only the size of that window in octets. This MUST be calculated the same way that the implementation calculates "connFlowIn" ([Section 2.4](#)).

3.2. Flow Out

The "conn-flow-out" header field contains the size of the remote implementation's outbound flow control window. The field value contains only the size of that window in octets. This MUST be calculated the same way that the implementation calculates "connFlowOut" ([Section 2.3](#)).

4. IANA Considerations

4.1. Well-known URI

This document establishes a single well-known URI, with the suffix "h2interop/state".

5. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC5785] Nottingham, M. and E. Hammer-Lahav, "Defining Well-Known Uniform Resource Identifiers (URIs)", [RFC 5785](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5785, April 2010, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5785>>.
- [RFC7231] Fielding, R., Ed. and J. Reschke, Ed., "Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Semantics and Content", [RFC 7231](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7231, June 2014, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7231>>.
- [RFC7540] Belshe, M., Peon, R., and M. Thomson, Ed., "Hypertext Transfer Protocol Version 2 (HTTP/2)", [RFC 7540](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7540, May 2015, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7540>>.

Appendix A. Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the attendees of the 2016 HTTP Workshop in Stockholm for their feedback on early prototype implementations of this debugging feature.

Appendix B. Changelog

(This appendix to be deleted by the RFC editor.)

Authors' Addresses

Cory Benfield
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Email: cory@lukasa.co.uk

Brad Fitzpatrick
Google, Inc.

Email: brad@danga.com