Network Working Group Internet-Draft

Intended status: Standards Track

Expires: November 2, 2018

D. Wiggins Lincoln Laboratory L. Berger LabN Consulting, L.L.C. May 1, 2018

# DLEP IEEE 802.1Q Aware Credit Window Extension draft-berger-manet-dlep-ether-credit-extension-00

#### Abstract

This document defines an extension to the DLEP protocol that enables a Ethernet IEEE 802.1Q aware credit-window scheme for destination-specific and shared flow control.

#### Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of  $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}}$  78 and  $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}}$  79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/">https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/</a>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on November 2, 2018.

# Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2018 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to <a href="BCP-78">BCP-78</a> and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents

(<a href="https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info">https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info</a>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

# Table of Contents

<u>1</u> .	Int	roduc	ction																	<u>2</u>
1.	<u>.1</u> .	Key	Words																	3
<u>2</u> .	Ext	ensid	on Usa	ge a	and	Ic	len	ti	fi	.ca	ti	.or	1							<u>3</u>
<u>3</u> .	Man	ageme	ent Co	nsid	dera	ati	.on	S												<u>3</u>
<u>4</u> .	Sec	urity	/ Cons	ider	at	ion	ıs													<u>4</u>
<u>5</u> .	IAN	A Cor	nsidera	atio	ons															<u>4</u>
<u>5</u> .	<u>. 1</u> .	Exte	ension	Тур	oe \	/al	ue													<u>4</u>
<u>6</u> .	Ref	erenc	ces .																	<u>5</u>
<u>6</u> .	<u>. 1</u> .	Norm	native	Ref	ere	enc	es													<u>5</u>
<u>6</u> .	<u>. 2</u> .	Info	ormativ	ve F	Refe	ere	enc	es												<u>5</u>
Appe	<u>endi</u>	<u>х А</u> .	Ackn	owle	edgr	nen	ıts													<u>6</u>
Auth	nors	' Add	dresse	s .																6

# 1. Introduction

The Dynamic Link Exchange Protocol (DLEP) is defined in [RFC8175]. It provides the exchange of link related control information between DLEP peers. DLEP peers are comprised of a modem and a router. DLEP defines a base set of mechanisms as well as support for possible extensions. This document defines one such extension.

The base DLEP specification does not include any flow control capability. There are various flow control techniques theoretically possible with DLEP. For example, a DiffServ aware credit window extension is defined in [I-D.ietf-manet-dlep-da-credit-extension].

This document defines a DLEP extension which provides a flow control mechanism for traffic sent from a router to a modem. Flow control is provided using one or more logical "Credit Windows", each of which will typically be supported by an associated virtual or physical queue. Traffic sent by a router will use traffic flow classification information provided by the modem to identify which traffic is associated with each credit window. (For general background on traffic classification see [RFC2475] Section 2.3.) Credit windows may be shared or dedicated on a per flow basis. The extension is structured to allow for reuse of the defined credit window based flow control with different traffic classification techniques.

This document uses the traffic classification and credit window control mechanisms defined in [I-D.ietf-manet-credit-flow-control] to provided credit window based flow control based on on DLEP destination and Ethernet VLANs and Priority Code Points. Ethernet Priority Code Point support is defined as part of the IEEE 802.10 [IEEE.802.10\_2014] tag format and includes a 3 bit "PCP" field. The tag format also includes a 12 bit VLAN identifier (VID) field. The defined mechanism allows for credit windows to be shared across

traffic sent to multiple DLEP destinations VLANs, and PCPs, or used exclusively for traffic sent to a particular destination and/or VLAN and/or PCP. The extension also supports the "wildcard" matching of any PCP or VID.

The extension defined in this document is referred to as "IEEE 802.1Q Aware Credit Window" or, more simply, the "Ethernet Credit" extension. The reader should be familiar with both the traffic classification and credit window control mechanisms defined in [I-D.ietf-manet-credit-flow-control].

This document defines a new DLEP Extension Type Value in <u>Section 2</u> which is used to indicate support for the extension.

#### 1.1. Key Words

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in <a href="https://example.com/BCP14">BCP 14 [RFC2119]</a> [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

# 2. Extension Usage and Identification

The extension defined in this document is composed of the mechanisms and processing defined in [I-D.ietf-manet-credit-flow-control]. To indicate that the IEEE 802.1Q Aware Credit Window Extension is to be used, an implementation MUST include the IEEE 802.1Q Aware Credit Window Type Value in the Extensions Supported Data Item. The Extensions Supported Data Item is sent and processed according to [RFC8175]. Any implementation that indicates use of the IEEE 802.1Q Aware Credit Window Extension MUST support all Messages, Data Items, the Ethernet Traffic Classification Sub Data Item, and all related processing defined in [I-D.ietf-manet-credit-flow-control].

The IEEE 802.1Q Aware Credit Window Extension Type Value is TBA1, see Section 5.

### 3. Management Considerations

This section provides several network management guidelines to implementations supporting the IEEE 802.1Q Aware Credit Window Extension.

The use of the extension defined in this document SHOULD be configurable on both modems and routers.

Modems SHOULD support the configuration of PCP to credit window (queue) mapping.

Modems MAY support the configuration of PCP to credit window (queue) mapping on a per VLAN basis. Note that VID value of zero (0) is used by [I-D.ietf-manet-credit-flow-control] to indicate that VID is ignored and any VID value is used in traffic classification.

When VLANs are supported by a modem without support from PCPs, the modem SHOULD support the configuration of VLAN to credit window (queue) mapping.

Modems MAY support the configuration of the number of credit windows (queues) to advertise to a router.

Routers may have limits on the number of queues that they can support and, perhaps, even limits in supported credit window combinations, e.g., if per destination queues can even be supported at all. When modem-provided credit window information exceeds the capabilities of a router, the router MAY use a subset of the provided credit windows. Alternatively, a router MAY reset the session and indicate that the extension is not supported. In either case, the mismatch of capabilities SHOULD be reported to the user via normal network management mechanisms, e.g., user interface or error logging.

#### 4. Security Considerations

This document defines a DLEP extension that uses base DLEP mechanisms and the credit window control and flow mechanisms defined in [I-D.ietf-manet-credit-flow-control]. The use of those mechanisms, and the introduction of a new extension, do not inherently introduce any additional threats above those documented in [RFC8175]. The approach taken to Security in that document applies equally to the mechanism defined in this document.

# 5. IANA Considerations

This document requests one assignment by IANA. All assignments are to registries defined by  $[\mbox{RFC8175}]$ .

# **5.1**. Extension Type Value

This document requests 1 new assignment to the DLEP Extensions Registry named "Extension Type Values" in the range with the "Specification Required" policy. The requested value is as follows:




Table 1: Requested Extension Type Value

#### 6. References

#### 6.1. Normative References

# [IEEE.802.1Q\_2014]

IEEE, "IEEE Standard for Local and metropolitan area networks--Bridges and Bridged Networks", IEEE 802.1Q-2014, DOI 10.1109/ieeestd.2014.6991462, December 2014, <a href="http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/servlet/">http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/servlet/</a> opac?punumber=6991460>.

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate
  Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119,
  DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997,
  <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119">https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119</a>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC
  2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174,
  May 2017, <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174">https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174</a>>.

# **6.2**. Informative References

# <u>Appendix A</u>. Acknowledgments

The document was motivated by discussions in the MANET working group. Many useful comments were received from contributors to the MANET working group.

# Authors' Addresses

David Wiggins Lincoln Laboratory Massachusetts Institute of Technology 244 Wood Street Lexington, MA 02421-6426

Email: David.Wiggins@ll.mit.edu

Lou Berger LabN Consulting, L.L.C.

Email: lberger@labn.net