

TEAS Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: 28 April 2023

V.P. Beeram
Juniper Networks
T. Saad
Cisco Systems
B. Wen
Comcast
D. Ceccarelli
Ericsson
S. Peng
R. Chen
ZTE Corporation
LM. Contreras
Telefonica
X. Liu
IBM Corporation
25 October 2022

YANG Data Model for Network Resource Partition Policy
draft-bestbar-teas-yang-nrp-policy-03

Abstract

A Network Resource Partition (NRP) is a collection of resources identified in the underlay network to support services (like IETF Network Slices) that need logical network structures with required characteristics to be created. An NRP policy is a policy construct that enables instantiation of mechanisms in support of service specific control and data plane behaviors on select topological elements associated with the NRP. This document defines a YANG data model for the management of NRP policies on NRP capable nodes and controllers in IP/MPLS networks.

Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [BCP 14](#) [[RFC2119](#)] [[RFC8174](#)] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 28 April 2023.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2022 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the [Trust Legal Provisions](#) and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	3
1.1. Terminology	4
1.2. Tree Structure	4
2. NRP Policy Data Model	4
2.1. Model Usage	4
2.2. Model Structure	4
2.3. NRP Policies	5
2.3.1. Resource Reservation	5
2.3.2. Flow Aggregate Selector	6
2.3.3. Per-Hop-Behavior	7
2.3.4. Topology	7
2.4. YANG Module	9
3. Acknowledgements	21
4. Contributors	21
5. IANA Considerations	21
6. Security Considerations	22
7. References	22
7.1. Normative References	22
7.2. Informative References	24
Appendix A. Complete Model Tree Structure	24

Beeram, et al.

Expires 28 April 2023

[Page 2]

Authors' Addresses [27](#)

[1. Introduction](#)

An IETF Network Slice [[I-D.ietf-teas-ietf-network-slices](#)] is a service that provides connectivity coupled with a set of specific commitments of network resources between a number of endpoints over a shared underlay network. The IETF Network Slice service is expressed in terms of one or more connectivity constructs. One or more connectivity constructs from one or more IETF Network Slices are mapped to a set of network resources called a Network Resource Partition (NRP). An NRP [[I-D.ietf-teas-ietf-network-slices](#)] is a collection of resources identified in the underlay network to support the IETF Network Slice service (or any other service that needs logical network structures with required characteristics to be created). An NRP Policy [[I-D.ietf-teas-ns-ip-mpls](#)] is a policy construct that enables instantiation of mechanisms in support of service specific control and data plane behaviors on select topological elements associated with the NRP.

An NRP policy specifies the rules for determining the topology associated with the NRP and dictates how an NRP can be realized in IP/MPLS networks using one of three modes. The NRP policy dictates if the partitioning of the shared network resources can be achieved in (a) just the data plane or in (b) just the control plane or in (c) both the control and data planes.

The NRP policy modes (a) and (c) require the forwarding engine on each NRP capable node to identify the traffic belonging to a specific flow aggregate and to apply the corresponding Per-Hop Behavior (PHB) that determines the forwarding treatment of the packets belonging to the flow aggregate. The identification of the flow aggregate that the packet belongs to and the corresponding forwarding treatment that needs to be applied to the packet is dictated by the NRP policy. When catering to IETF Network Slices, this flow aggregate is referred to as the Slice-Flow Aggregate [[I-D.ietf-teas-ns-ip-mpls](#)] and comprises of traffic streams from one or more connectivity constructs (belonging to one or more IETF network slices) mapped to a specific NRP.

The NRP policy modes (b) and (c) require the distributed/centralized resource reservation manager in the control plane to manage NRP resource reservation. The provisions for enabling NRP state aware traffic engineering (NRP-TE) [[I-D.ietf-teas-ns-ip-mpls](#)] are dictated by the NRP policy.

This document defines a YANG data model for the management of NRP policies on NRP capable nodes and controllers in IP/MPLS networks.

Beeram, et al.

Expires 28 April 2023

[Page 3]

1.1. Terminology

The terminology for describing YANG data models is found in [[RFC7950](#)].

The reader is expected to be familiar with the terminology specified in [[I-D.ietf-teas-ietf-network-slices](#)] and [[I-D.ietf-teas-ns-ip-mpls](#)].

1.2. Tree Structure

A simplified graphical representation of the data model is presented in [Appendix A](#) of this document. The tree format defined in [[RFC8340](#)] is used for the YANG data model tree representation.

2. NRP Policy Data Model

2.1. Model Usage

A controller that consumes the IETF Network Slice service requests determines which specific connectivity constructs from one or more slices can be grouped together. This could be based on a specific set of SLOs and SLEs, or on any administrative or operational reason. A controller function that has visibility of the underlay network and its resources maps these connectivity constructs onto the NRP. It also constructs and distributes the network wide consistent NRP policy (using the data model defined in this document) to the relevant NRP capable nodes and controllers.

2.2. Model Structure

The high-level model structure defined by this document is as shown below:


```

module: ietf-nrp-policy
augment /nw:networks:
  +--rw nrp-policies
    +--rw nrp-policy* [name]
      +--rw name                  string
      +--rw nrp-id?                uint32
      +--rw resource-reservation
      |  + .....
      +--rw flow-agg-selector
      |  + .....
      +--rw phb?                  string
      +--rw topology
        +--rw filters
          |  +--rw filter* [filter-ref]
          |  + .....
          |  +--rw resource-reservation
          |  |  + .....
          |  +--rw flow-agg-selector
          |  |  + .....
          |  +--rw phb?                  string
        +--ro filtered-topology
          + .....

```

The 'networks' container from the 'ietf-network' module [[RFC8345](#)] provides a placeholder for an inventory of nodes in the network. This container is augmented to carry a set of NRP policies.

2.3. NRP Policies

The 'nrp-policies' container carries a list of NRP policies. Each 'nrp-policy' entry is identified by a name and holds the set of attributes needed to instantiate the NRP. Each entry also carries an 'nrp-id' leaf which uniquely identifies the NRP created by the enforcement of this policy. The key elements of each nrp-policy entry are discussed in the following sub-sections.

2.3.1. Resource Reservation

The 'resource-reservation' container carries data nodes that are used to support NRP state aware bandwidth engineering. The data nodes in this container facilitate preference-based preemption of NRP state aware TE paths, sharing of resources amongst a group of NRPs and backup path bandwidth protection.

Beeram, et al.

Expires 28 April 2023

[Page 5]

```
+--rw resource-reservation
|  +-rw preference?                                uint16
|  +-rw (max-bw-type)?
|  |  +-:(bw-value)
|  |  |  +-rw maximum-bandwidth?                  uint64
|  |  +-:(bw-percentage)
|  |  |  +-rw maximum-bandwidth-percent?
|  |  |  |  rt-types:percentage
|  +-rw shared-resource-groups*                  uint32
|  +-rw protection
|  |  +-rw backup-nrp-id?                          uint32
|  |  +-rw (backup-bw-type)?
|  |  |  +-:(backup-bw-value)
|  |  |  |  +-rw backup-bandwidth?                uint64
|  |  |  +-:(backup-bw-percentage)
|  |  |  |  +-rw backup-bandwidth-percent?
|  |  |  |  |  rt-types:percentage
```

2.3.2. Flow Aggregate Selector

The 'flow-agg-selector' container carries data nodes that specify the rules for identifying which packets belong to the flow aggregate that this NRP caters to.


```

++-rw flow-agg-selector
|  +-rw mpls
|  |  +-rw (fas-type)?
|  |  |  +-:(label)
|  |  |  |  +-rw (specification-type)?
|  |  |  |  |  +-:(derived)
|  |  |  |  |  |  +-rw forwarding-label?      empty
|  |  |  |  |  |  +-:(explicit)
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  +-rw label?
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  rt-types:mpls-label
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  +-rw label-position?
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  identityref
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  +-rw label-position-offset?  uint8
|  |  |  |  |  +-:(label-ranges)
|  |  |  |  |  |  +-rw label-range* [index]
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  +-rw index                  string
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  +-rw start-label?
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  rt-types:mpls-label
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  +-rw end-label?
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  rt-types:mpls-label
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  +-rw label-position?      identityref
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  +-rw label-position-offset?  uint8
|  |  |  |  +-rw ipv4
|  |  |  |  |  +-rw destination-prefix*  inet:ipv4-prefix
|  |  |  +-rw ipv6
|  |  |  |  +-rw (fas-type)?
|  |  |  |  |  +-:(ipv6-destination)
|  |  |  |  |  |  +-rw destination-prefix*  inet:ipv6-prefix
|  |  |  |  |  +-:(ipv6-hbh-eh)
|  |  |  |  |  |  +-rw fas-hbh-eh*        uint32
|  |  |  |  +-rw acl-ref*      nrp-policy-acl-ref

```

[2.3.3. Per-Hop-Behavior](#)

The 'phb' leaf carries a name of a PHB profile available on the topological element where the policy is being enforced.

```
+-rw phb?                  string
```

[2.3.4. Topology](#)

The 'topology' container consists of a list of filters where each entry references a topology filter

[[I-D.bestbar-teas-yang-topology-filter](#)]. The topological elements that satisfy the membership criteria can optionally override the default resource-reservation, flow-agg-selector and phb specific leafs. The 'topology' container also consists of a read-only reference to the resultant filtered topology formed from the union of

Beeram, et al.

Expires 28 April 2023

[Page 7]

the specified filters.

```
+--rw topology
  +-rw filters
    |  +-rw filter* [filter-ref]
    |  +-rw filter-ref
    |    |  nrp-policy-topo-filter-ref
    |  +-rw resource-reservation
    |    |  +-rw preference?                      uint16
    |    |  +-rw (max-bw-type)?
    |    |    |  +-:(bw-value)
    |    |    |  +-rw maximum-bandwidth?          uint64
    |    |    |  +-:(bw-percentage)
    |    |    |  +-rw maximum-bandwidth-percent?
    |    |    |    rt-types:percentage
    |    |  +-rw shared-resource-groups*        uint32
    |    +-rw protection
    |      +-rw backup-nrp-id?
    |        |  uint32
    |      +-rw (backup-bw-type)?
    |        |  +-:(backup-bw-value)
    |        |  +-rw backup-bandwidth?
    |        |    |  uint64
    |        |  +-:(backup-bw-percentage)
    |        |  +-rw backup-bandwidth-percent?
    |        |    rt-types:percentage
    +-rw flow-agg-selector
      +-rw mpls
        |  +-rw (fas-type)?
        |    |  +-:(label)
        |    |    |  +-rw (specification-type)?
        |    |    |    |  +-:(derived)
        |    |    |    |  +-rw forwarding-label?
        |    |    |    |    empty
        |    |    |  +-:(explicit)
        |    |    |    |  +-rw label?
        |    |    |    |    |  rt-types:mpls-label
        |    |    |    |  +-rw label-position?
        |    |    |    |    identityref
        |    |    |  +-rw label-position-offset?
        |    |    |    |  uint8
        |    |    |  +-:(label-ranges)
        |    |    |    +-rw label-range* [index]
        |    |    |    +-rw index
        |    |    |    |  string
        |    |    |  +-rw start-label?
        |    |    |    |  rt-types:mpls-label
        |    |    |  +-rw end-label?
```

Beeram, et al.

Expires 28 April 2023

[Page 8]

```

    |   |   |
    |   |   |       rt-types:mpls-label
    |   |   +--rw label-position?
    |   |   |       identityref
    |   |   +--rw label-position-offset?
    |   |   |           uint8
    |   |   +-rw ipv4
    |   |   |   +-rw destination-prefix*   inet:ipv4-prefix
    |   |   +-rw ipv6
    |   |   |   +-rw (fas-type)?
    |   |   |       +---(ipv6-destination)
    |   |   |       |   +-rw destination-prefix*
    |   |   |       |           inet:ipv6-prefix
    |   |   |       +---(ipv6-hbh-eh)
    |   |   |           +-rw fas-hbh-eh*          uint32
    |   |   +-rw acl-ref*   nrp-policy-acl-ref
    |   +-rw phb?           string
+-ro filtered-topology
  +-ro (filtered-topo-type)?
  +---:(network)
  |   +-ro network* [network-ref]
  |   +-ro network-ref
  |       nrp-policy-topo-network-ref
  +---:(network-elements)
  |   +-ro node* [network-ref node-ref]
  |   +-ro network-ref
  |       nrp-policy-topo-network-ref
  |   +-ro node-ref
  |       nrp-policy-topo-node-ref
  +-ro link* [network-ref link-ref]
  +-ro network-ref
  |       nrp-policy-topo-network-ref
  +-ro link-ref
  |           nrp-policy-topo-link-ref

```

[2.4. YANG Module](#)

```

<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-nrp-policy@2022-10-24.yang"
module ietf-nrp-policy {
    yang-version 1.1;
    namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-nrp-policy";
    prefix nrp-pol;

    import ietf-inet-types {
        prefix inet;
        reference
            "RFC 6991: Common YANG Data Types";
    }
    import ietf-routing-types {

```

Beeram, et al.

Expires 28 April 2023

[Page 9]

```
prefix rt-types;
reference
  "RFC 8294: Common YANG Data Types for the Routing Area";
}
import ietf-network {
  prefix nw;
  reference
    "RFC 8345: A YANG Data Model for Network Topologies";
}
import ietf-network-topology {
  prefix nt;
  reference
    "RFC 8345: A YANG Data Model for Network Topologies";
}
import ietf-access-control-list {
  prefix acl;
  reference
    "RFC 8519: YANG Data Model for Network Access Control Lists
      (ACLs)";
}
import ietf-topology-filter {
  prefix topo-filt;
  reference
    "draft-bestbar-teas-yang-topology-filter: YANG Data Model
      for Topology Filter";
}

organization
  "IETF Traffic Engineering Architecture and Signaling (TEAS)
  Working Group.";
contact
  "WG Web:  <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/teas/>
  WG List: <mailto:teas@ietf.org>

  Editor:  Vishnu Pavan Beeram
            <mailto:vbeeram@juniper.net>

  Editor:  Tarek Saad
            <mailto:tsaad.net@gmail.com>

  Editor:  Bin Wen
            <mailto:Bin_Wen@cable.comcast.com>

  Editor:  Daniele Ceccarelli
            <mailto:daniele.ceccarelli@ericsson.com>

  Editor:  Shaofu Peng
            <mailto:peng.shaofu@zte.com.cn>
```

Beeram, et al.

Expires 28 April 2023

[Page 10]

Editor: Ran Chen
<mailto:chen.ran@zte.com.cn>

Editor: Luis M. Contreras
<mailto:luismiguel.contrerasmurrillo@telefonica.com>

Editor: Xufeng Liu
<mailto:xufeng.liu.ietf@gmail.com>";

description
"This YANG module defines a data model for managing Network Resource Partition Policies on Network Resource Partition capable nodes and controllers.

Copyright (c) 2022 IETF Trust and the persons identified as authors of the code. All rights reserved.

Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject to the license terms contained in, the Revised BSD License set forth in [Section 4.c](#) of the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>).

This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX (<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfcXXXX>); see the RFC itself for full legal notices.";

```
revision 2022-10-24 {  
    description  
        "Initial revision.";  
    reference  
        "RFC XXXX: YANG Data Model for Network Resource Partition  
        Policies.";  
}
```

```
/*  
 * I D E N T I T I E S  
 */  
/*  
 * Identity:  
 * MPLS Flow Aggregate Selector (FAS) Label Position Type.  
 */
```

```
identity fas-mpls-label-position-type {  
    description  
        "Base identity for the position of the MPLS FAS label.";  
}
```



```
identity fas-mpls-label-position-top {
    base fas-mpls-label-position-type;
    description
        "MPLS FAS label is at the top of the label stack.";
}

identity fas-mpls-label-position-bottom {
    base fas-mpls-label-position-type;
    description
        "MPLS FAS label is either at the bottom or at a specific
         offset from the bottom of the label stack.";
}

identity fas-mpls-label-position-indicator {
    base fas-mpls-label-position-type;
    description
        "MPLS FAS is preceded by a special purpose
         indicator label in the label stack.";
}

/*
 * T Y P E D E F S
 */

typedef nrp-policy-acl-ref {
    type leafref {
        path "/acl:acls/acl:acl/acl:name";
    }
    description
        "This type is used to reference an ACL.";
}

typedef nrp-policy-topo-filter-ref {
    type leafref {
        path "/nw:networks/topo-filt:topology-filters/"
            + "topo-filt:topology-filter/topo-filt:name";
    }
    description
        "This type is used to reference a Topology Filter.";
}

typedef nrp-policy-topo-network-ref {
    type leafref {
        path "/nw:networks/nw:network/nw:network-id";
    }
    description
        "This type is used to reference a network.";
}
```

Beeram, et al.

Expires 28 April 2023

[Page 12]

```
typedef nrp-policy-topo-node-ref {
    type leafref {
        path "/nw:networks/nw:network/nw:node/"
            + "nw:node-id";
    }
    description
        "This type is used to reference a node.";
}

typedef nrp-policy-topo-link-ref {
    type leafref {
        path "/nw:networks/nw:network/nt:link/"
            + "nt:link-id";
    }
    description
        "This type is used to reference a link.";
}

/*
 * G R O U P I N G S
 */
/*
 * Grouping - MPLS FAS label location specific fields
 */

grouping nrp-pol-fas-mpls-label-location {
    description
        "Grouping for MPLS FAS label location specific fields.";
    leaf label-position {
        type identityref {
            base fas-mpls-label-position-type;
        }
        description
            "MPLS FAS label position.";
    }
    leaf label-position-offset {
        when "derived-from-or-self(..../label-position,"
            + "'nrp-pol:fas-mpls-label-position-bottom')" {
            description
                "MPLS label position offset is relevant only when the
                label-position is set to 'bottom'.";
        }
        type uint8;
        description
            "MPLS label position offset.";
    }
}
```

Beeram, et al.

Expires 28 April 2023

[Page 13]

```
/*
 * Grouping - Flow-Aggregate Selector (FAS)
 */

grouping np-pol-flow-agg-selector {
    description
        "Grouping for Flow-Aggregate Selector (FAS).";
    container flow-agg-selector {
        description
            "Container for FAS.";
        container mpls {
            description
                "Container for MPLS FAS.";
            choice fas-type {
                description
                    "Choices for MPLS FAS.";
                case label {
                    choice specification-type {
                        description
                            "Choices for MPLS label specification.";
                    }
                    case derived {
                        leaf forwarding-label {
                            type empty;
                            description
                                "MPLS FAS Label is derived from
                                forwarding label.";
                        }
                    }
                    case explicit {
                        leaf label {
                            type rt-types:mpls-label;
                            description
                                "MPLS FAS Label is explicitly
                                specified.";
                        }
                        uses np-pol-fas-mpls-label-location;
                    }
                }
            }
            case label-ranges {
                list label-range {
                    key "index";
                    unique "start-label end-label";
                    description
                        "Any label from the specified set of MPLS label
                        ranges can be used as the FAS.";
                    leaf index {
                        type string;
```

Beeram, et al.

Expires 28 April 2023

[Page 14]

```
        description
          "A string that uniquely identifies a label
           range.";
      }
      leaf start-label {
        type rt-types:mpls-label;
        must '. <= ../end-label' {
          error-message
            "The start-label must be less than or equal "
            + "to end-label";
        }
        description
          "Label-range start.";
      }
      leaf end-label {
        type rt-types:mpls-label;
        must '. >= ../start-label' {
          error-message
            "The end-label must be greater than or equal "
            + "to start-label";
        }
        description
          "Label-range end.";
      }
      uses nrp-pol-fas-mpls-label-location;
    }
  }
}
container ipv4 {
  description
    "Container for IPv4 FAS.";
  leaf-list destination-prefix {
    type inet:ipv4-prefix;
    description
      "Any prefix from the specified set of IPv4
       destination prefixes can be the FAS.";
  }
}
container ipv6 {
  description
    "Container for IPv6 FAS.";
  choice fas-type {
    description
      "Choices for IPv6 FAS.";
    case ipv6-destination {
      leaf-list destination-prefix {
        type inet:ipv6-prefix;
```

Beeram, et al.

Expires 28 April 2023

[Page 15]

```
        description
          "Any prefix from the specified set of IPv6
           destination prefixes can be the FAS.";
      }
    }
  case ipv6-hbh-eh {
    leaf-list fas-hbh-eh {
      type uint32;
      description
        "Set of FAS values carried in Hop-by-Hop
         Option of IPv6 extension header.";
    }
  }
  leaf-list acl-ref {
    type npo-policy-acl-ref;
    description
      "Flow Aggregate selection is done based on the
       specified list of ACLs.";
  }
}
}

/*
 * Grouping - NRP Policy Resource Reservation
 */
grouping npo-pol-resource-reservation {
  description
    "Grouping for NRP policy resource reservation.";
  container resource-reservation {
    description
      "Container for NRP policy resource reservation.";
    leaf preference {
      type uint16;
      description
        "Control plane preference for the corresponding
         Network Resource Partition (NRP). A higher
         preference indicates a more favorable resource
         reservation than a lower preference.";
    }
    choice max-bw-type {
      description
        "Choice of maximum bandwidth specification.";
      case bw-value {
        leaf maximum-bandwidth {
          type uint64;
```

Beeram, et al.

Expires 28 April 2023

[Page 16]

```
description
  "The maximum bandwidth allocated to an NRP
   - specified as absolute value.";
}
}
case bw-percentage {
  leaf maximum-bandwidth-percent {
    type rt-types:percentage;
    description
      "The maximum bandwidth allocated to an NRP
       - specified as percentage of link
       capacity.";
    }
}
leaf-list shared-resource-groups {
  type uint32;
  description
    "List of shared resource groups that an NRP
     shares its allocated resources with.";
}
container protection {
  description
    "Container for NRP protection reservation.";
  leaf backup-nrp-id {
    type uint32;
    description
      "The ID that identifies the NRP used for
       backup paths that protect primary paths
       setup over a specific NRP.";
  }
  choice backup-bw-type {
    description
      "Choice of backup bandwidth specification.";
    case backup-bw-value {
      leaf backup-bandwidth {
        type uint64;
        description
          "The maximum bandwidth on a network resource that
           is allocated for backup traffic - specified as
           absolute value.";
      }
    }
    case backup-bw-percentage {
      leaf backup-bandwidth-percent {
        type rt-types:percentage;
        description
          "The maximum bandwidth on a network resource that
```

Beeram, et al.

Expires 28 April 2023

[Page 17]

```
        is allocated for backup traffic - specified as
        percentage of the link capacity.";
```

```
    }
}
}
}
}

/*
 * Grouping - NRP policy - PHB (NRP-PHB)
 */

grouping npn-pol-phb {
    description
        "Grouping for NRP-PHB.";
    leaf phb {
        type string;
        description
            "PHB profile identifier.";
    }
}

/*
 * Grouping - NRP Policy - Topology
 */

grouping npn-pol-topology {
    description
        "Grouping for NRP topology.";
    container topology {
        description
            "Container for NRP topology.";
        container filters {
            description
                "Container for filters.";
            list filter {
                key "filter-ref";
                description
                    "List of filters.";
                leaf filter-ref {
                    type npn-policy-topo-filter-ref;
                    description
                        "Reference to a specific topology filter from the
                        list of global topology filters.";
                }
            }
            uses npn-pol-resource-reservation;
            uses npn-pol-flow-agg-selector;
        }
    }
}
```

Beeram, et al.

Expires 28 April 2023

[Page 18]

```
    uses npo-pol-phb;
}
}
container filtered-topology {
    config false;
    description
        "Container for filtered topology.";
    choice filtered-topo-type {
        description
            "Choices for filtered topology.";
    case network {
        list network {
            key "network-ref";
            description
                "List of networks.";
            leaf network-ref {
                type npo-policy-topo-network-ref;
                description
                    "Reference to a specific network.";
            }
        }
    }
    case network-elements {
        list node {
            key "network-ref node-ref";
            description
                "List of nodes.";
            leaf network-ref {
                type npo-policy-topo-network-ref;
                description
                    "Reference to the network that
                     the node belongs to.";
            }
            leaf node-ref {
                type npo-policy-topo-node-ref;
                description
                    "Reference to a specific node.";
            }
        }
        list link {
            key "network-ref link-ref";
            description
                "List of links.";
            leaf network-ref {
                type npo-policy-topo-network-ref;
                description
                    "Reference to the network that
                     the link belongs to.";
```

Beeram, et al.

Expires 28 April 2023

[Page 19]

```
        }
        leaf link-ref {
            type nrp-policy-topo-link-ref;
            description
                "Reference to a specific link.";
        }
    }
}
}

/*
 * Grouping - Network Resource Partition Policies
 */

grouping nrp-pol {
    description
        "Grouping for NRP policies.";
    container nrp-policies {
        description
            "Container for nrp policies.";
        list nrp-policy {
            key "name";
            unique "nrp-id";
            description
                "List of NRP policies.";
            leaf name {
                type string;
                description
                    "A string that uniquely identifies the NRP policy.";
            }
            leaf nrp-id {
                type uint32;
                description
                    "A 32-bit ID that uniquely identifies the NRP
                     created by the enforcement of this NRP policy.";
            }
            uses nrp-pol-resource-reservation;
            uses nrp-pol-flow-agg-selector;
            uses nrp-pol-phb;
            uses nrp-pol-topology;
        }
    }
}
/*
*/
```

Beeram, et al.

Expires 28 April 2023

[Page 20]

```
* Augment - Network Resource Partition Policies.  
*/  
  
augment "/nw:networks" {  
    description  
        "Augment networks with network resource partition  
        policies.;"  
    uses npo-pol;  
}  
}  
<CODE ENDS>
```

3. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Krzysztof Szarkowicz for his input from discussions.

4. Contributors

The following individuals contributed to this document:

Colby Barth
Juniper Networks
Email: cbarth@juniper.net

Srihari R. Sangli
Juniper Networks
Email: ssangli@juniper.net

Chandra Ramachandran
Juniper Networks
Email: csekar@juniper.net

5. IANA Considerations

This document registers the following URI in the IETF XML registry [[RFC3688](#)]. Following the format in [[RFC3688](#)], the following registration is requested to be made.

URI: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-nrp-policy
Registrant Contact: The TEAS WG of the IETF.
XML: N/A, the requested URI is an XML namespace.

This document registers a YANG module in the YANG Module Names registry [[RFC6020](#)].


```
name: ietf-nrp-policy
namespace: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-nrp-policy
prefix: nrp-pol
reference: RFCXXXX
```

6. Security Considerations

The YANG module specified in this document defines a schema for data that is designed to be accessed via network management protocols such as NETCONF [[RFC6241](#)] or RESTCONF [[RFC8040](#)]. The lowest NETCONF layer is the secure transport layer, and the mandatory-to-implement secure transport is Secure Shell (SSH) [[RFC6242](#)]. The lowest RESTCONF layer is HTTPS, and the mandatory-to-implement secure transport is TLS [[RFC8446](#)].

The Network Configuration Access Control Model (NACM) [[RFC8341](#)] provides the means to restrict access for particular NETCONF or RESTCONF users to a preconfigured subset of all available NETCONF or RESTCONF protocol operations and content.

The data nodes defined in this YANG module that are writable/creatable/deletable (i.e., config true, which is the default) may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. Write operations (e.g., edit-config) to these data nodes without proper protection can have a negative effect on network operations. These are the subtrees and data nodes and their sensitivity/vulnerability:

- * "/networks/nrp-policies": This subtree specifies the configurations for NRP policies on a given network element. By manipulating these data nodes, a malicious attacker may cause unauthorized and improper behavior to be provided for the flow aggregate traffic on the network element.

The readable data nodes in this YANG module may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. It is thus important to control read access (e.g., via get, get-config, or notification) to these data nodes. These are the subtrees and data nodes and their sensitivity/vulnerability:

- * "/networks/nrp-policies": Unauthorized access to this subtree can disclose the NRP policy definitions on the network element.

7. References

7.1. Normative References

[I-D.bestbar-teas-yang-topology-filter]

Beeram, V. P., Saad, T., Gandhi, R., and X. Liu, "YANG Data Model for Topology Filter", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, [draft-bestbar-teas-yang-topology-filter-03](https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-bestbar-teas-yang-topology-filter-03), 7 March 2022, <<https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-bestbar-teas-yang-topology-filter-03.txt>>.

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC3688] Mealling, M., "The IETF XML Registry", [BCP 81](#), [RFC 3688](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3688, January 2004, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3688>>.
- [RFC6020] Bjorklund, M., Ed., "YANG - A Data Modeling Language for the Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", [RFC 6020](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6020, October 2010, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6020>>.
- [RFC6241] Enns, R., Ed., Bjorklund, M., Ed., Schoenwaelder, J., Ed., and A. Bierman, Ed., "Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", [RFC 6241](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6241, June 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6241>>.
- [RFC6242] Wasserman, M., "Using the NETCONF Protocol over Secure Shell (SSH)", [RFC 6242](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6242, June 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6242>>.
- [RFC7950] Bjorklund, M., Ed., "The YANG 1.1 Data Modeling Language", [RFC 7950](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7950, August 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7950>>.
- [RFC8040] Bierman, A., Bjorklund, M., and K. Watsen, "RESTCONF Protocol", [RFC 8040](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8040, January 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8040>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in [RFC 2119](#) Key Words", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 8174](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.
- [RFC8341] Bierman, A. and M. Bjorklund, "Network Configuration Access Control Model", STD 91, [RFC 8341](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8341, March 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8341>>.

- [RFC8345] Clemm, A., Medved, J., Varga, R., Bahadur, N., Ananthakrishnan, H., and X. Liu, "A YANG Data Model for Network Topologies", [RFC 8345](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8345, March 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8345>>.
- [RFC8446] Rescorla, E., "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.3", [RFC 8446](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8446, August 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8446>>.

[7.2. Informative References](#)

- [I-D.ietf-teas-ietf-network-slices]
 Farrel, A., Drake, J., Rokui, R., Homma, S., Makhijani, K., Contreras, L. M., and J. Tantsura, "Framework for IETF Network Slices", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, [draft-ietf-teas-ietf-network-slices-15](#), 21 October 2022, <<https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-ietf-teas-ietf-network-slices-15.txt>>.
- [I-D.ietf-teas-ns-ip-mpls]
 Saad, T., Beeram, V. P., Dong, J., Wen, B., Ceccarelli, D., Halpern, J., Peng, S., Chen, R., Liu, X., Luis Contreras, M., Rokui, R., and L. Jalil, "Realizing Network Slices in IP/MPLS Networks", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, [draft-ietf-teas-ns-ip-mpls-00](#), 16 June 2022, <<https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-ietf-teas-ns-ip-mpls-00.txt>>.
- [RFC8340] Bjorklund, M. and L. Berger, Ed., "YANG Tree Diagrams", [BCP 215](#), [RFC 8340](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8340, March 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8340>>.

[Appendix A. Complete Model Tree Structure](#)

```

module: ietf-nrp-policy

augment /nw:networks:
  +-rw nrp-policies
    +-rw nrp-policy* [name]
      +-rw name                      string
      +-rw nrp-id?                  uint32
      +-rw resource-reservation
        | +-rw preference?          uint16
        | +-rw (max-bw-type)?
        | | +-:(bw-value)
        | | | +-rw maximum-bandwidth?   uint64
        | | | +-:(bw-percentage)
        | | | +-rw maximum-bandwidth-percent?
  
```



```
| | rt-types:percentage
| +-rw shared-resource-groups*          uint32
| +-rw protection
|   +-rw backup-nrp-id?                uint32
|   +-rw (backup-bw-type)?
|     +---:(backup-bw-value)
|       | +-rw backup-bandwidth?        uint64
|       +---:(backup-bw-percentage)
|         +-rw backup-bandwidth-percent?
|           rt-types:percentage
+-rw flow-agg-selector
| +-rw mpls
|   +-rw (fas-type)?
|     +---:(label)
|       | +-rw (specification-type)?
|         +---:(derived)
|           | +-rw forwarding-label?    empty
|           +---:(explicit)
|             +-rw label?
|               | rt-types:mpls-label
|               +-rw label-position?
|                 | identityref
|                 +-rw label-position-offset? uint8
|     +---:(label-ranges)
|       +-rw label-range* [index]
|         +-rw index                  string
|         +-rw start-label?
|           | rt-types:mpls-label
|           +-rw end-label?
|             | rt-types:mpls-label
|             +-rw label-position?      identityref
|             +-rw label-position-offset? uint8
| +-rw ipv4
|   +-rw destination-prefix*   inet:ipv4-prefix
| +-rw ipv6
|   +-rw (fas-type)?
|     +---:(ipv6-destination)
|       | +-rw destination-prefix*   inet:ipv6-prefix
|       +---:(ipv6-hbh-eh)
|         +-rw fas-hbh-eh*          uint32
| +-rw acl-ref*    npo-policy-acl-ref
+-rw phb?          string
+-rw topology
  +-rw filters
    +-rw filter* [filter-ref]
    +-rw filter-ref
      | npo-policy-topo-filter-ref
    +-rw resource-reservation
```

Beeram, et al.

Expires 28 April 2023

[Page 25]

```
|   +-+rw preference?                      uint16
|   +-+rw (max-bw-type)?
|   |   +--+:(bw-value)
|   |   |   +-+rw maximum-bandwidth?      uint64
|   |   +--+:(bw-percentage)
|   |   |   +-+rw maximum-bandwidth-percent?
|   |   |   |   rt-types:percentage
|   +-+rw shared-resource-groups*        uint32
|   +-+rw protection
|   |   +-+rw backup-nrp-id?
|   |   |   uint32
|   |   +-+rw (backup-bw-type)?
|   |   |   +--+:(backup-bw-value)
|   |   |   |   +-+rw backup-bandwidth?
|   |   |   |   |   uint64
|   |   |   +--+:(backup-bw-percentage)
|   |   |   |   +-+rw backup-bandwidth-percent?
|   |   |   |   |   rt-types:percentage
|   +-+rw flow-agg-selector
|   |   +-+rw mpls
|   |   |   +-+rw (fas-type)?
|   |   |   |   +--+:(label)
|   |   |   |   |   +-+rw (specification-type)?
|   |   |   |   |   |   +--+:(derived)
|   |   |   |   |   |   |   +-+rw forwarding-label?
|   |   |   |   |   |   |   empty
|   |   |   |   |   +--+:(explicit)
|   |   |   |   |   |   +-+rw label?
|   |   |   |   |   |   |   rt-types:mpls-label
|   |   |   |   +-+rw label-position?
|   |   |   |   |   identityref
|   |   |   +-+rw label-position-offset?
|   |   |   |   |   uint8
|   |   |   +--+:(label-ranges)
|   |   |   |   +-+rw label-range* [index]
|   |   |   |   |   +-+rw index
|   |   |   |   |   |   string
|   |   |   |   +-+rw start-label?
|   |   |   |   |   rt-types:mpls-label
|   |   |   +-+rw end-label?
|   |   |   |   rt-types:mpls-label
|   |   |   +-+rw label-position?
|   |   |   |   identityref
|   |   |   +-+rw label-position-offset?
|   |   |   |   |   uint8
|   +-+rw ipv4
|   |   +-+rw destination-prefix*    inet:ipv4-prefix
|   +-+rw ipv6
```

Beeram, et al.

Expires 28 April 2023

[Page 26]

```
|   |   |   +-rw (fas-type)?
|   |   |   +-:(ipv6-destination)
|   |   |   |   +-rw destination-prefix*
|   |   |   |   |   inet:ipv6-prefix
|   |   |   +-:(ipv6-hbh-eh)
|   |   |   |   +-rw fas-hbh-eh*          uint32
|   |   +-rw acl-ref*    nrp-policy-acl-ref
|   +-rw phb?           string
+-ro filtered-topology
  +-ro (filtered-topo-type)?
  +-:(network)
  |   +-ro network* [network-ref]
  |   +-ro network-ref
  |   |   nrp-policy-topo-network-ref
  +-:(network-elements)
  |   +-ro node* [network-ref node-ref]
  |   |   +-ro network-ref
  |   |   |   nrp-policy-topo-network-ref
  |   |   +-ro node-ref
  |   |   |   nrp-policy-topo-node-ref
  +-ro link* [network-ref link-ref]
  |   +-ro network-ref
  |   |   nrp-policy-topo-network-ref
  +-ro link-ref
  |   nrp-policy-topo-link-ref
```

Authors' Addresses

Vishnu Pavan Beoram
Juniper Networks
Email: vbeeram@juniper.net

Tarek Saad
Cisco Systems
Email: tsaad.net@gmail.com

Bin Wen
Comcast
Email: Bin_Wen@cable.comcast.com

Daniele Ceccarelli
Ericsson
Email: daniele.ceccarelli@ericsson.com

Shaofu Peng
ZTE Corporation
Email: peng.shaofu@zte.com.cn

Ran Chen
ZTE Corporation
Email: chen.ran@zte.com.cn

Luis M. Contreras
Telefonica
Email: luismiguel.contrerasmurillo@telefonica.com

Xufeng Liu
IBM Corporation
Email: xufeng.liu.ietf@gmail.com

