Network Working Group J. Bi

Internet Draft J. Wu

Intended status: Standard Tracks Y. Wang

Expires: OCT, 2012 Tsinghua University

T. Lin

Hangzhou H3C Tech. Co., Ltd.

April 5, 2012

A SAVI solution for WLAN

draft-bi-savi-wlan-02.txt

Abstract

This document describes a source address validation solution for WLAN enabling 802.11i or other security mechanisms. This mechanism snoops NDP and DHCP to bind IP address with MAC address, and relies on the security of MAC address guaranteed by 802.11i or other mechanisms to filter IP spoofing packets. It can work in the special situations described in the charter of SAVI workgroup, such as multiple MAC addresses on one interface. This document describes three different deployment scenarios, with solutions for migration of mapping entries when hosts move from one access point to another.

Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on October 5, 2012.

Copyright Notice

Table of Contents

1. Introduction

This document describes a mechanism to perform per packet IP source address validation in WLAN. This mechanism performs ND snooping or DHCP snooping to bind allocated IP address with authenticated MAC address. Static addresses are bound to the MAC addresses of corresponding stations manually. Then the mechanism can check validity of source IP address in local packets according to the binding association. The security of MAC address is assured by 802.11i or other mechanisms, thus the binding association is secure.

The situation that one interfaces with multiple MAC addresses is a special case mentioned in the charter of SAVI. And this situation is the only special case that challenges MAC-IP binding. The mechanism to handle this situation is specified in the document.

There are three deployment scenarios specified in this document. The mechanism is deployed on different devices in different scenarios. The deployment detail is described in the document.

When hosts move from one access point to another, the migration of mapping entries may be triggered according to the specific mobility scenario. The mechanism to handle host mobility is specified in the document according to different deployment scenarios.

2. Conventions used in this document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC-2119 [RFC2119].

3. IP-MAC Binding

This section specifies the operations of binding IP addresses to MAC addresses, and the clear of binding.

3.1. Data Structures

3.1.1. IP-MAC Mapping Table

This table maps IP addresses to corresponding MAC addresses. IP address is the index of the table. One IP address can only have one corresponding MAC address, while different IP addresses can be mapped to the same MAC address.

This table is used in control process. Before creating new IP-MAC bindings, this table must first be consulted in case of conflict in binding entries. This table must be synchronized with the MAC-IP table specified in Section 3.1.2.

Each entry in IP-MAC mapping table must also record the binding state of the IP address. Addresses snooped in DHCP address assignment procedure must record its state as "DHCPv6" and addresses snooped in Duplicate Address Detection procedure must record its state as "SLAAC".

Each entry in IP-MAC mapping table has its lifetime. The address allocated by DHCP has a limited lifetime, so the related entry records its lifetime the same as that of the address. According to [RFC4862], stateless address also has a limited lifetime, and the stations set this lifetime by itself. Thus the related entry also records its lifetime the same as that of the address.

3.1.2. MAC-IP Mapping Table

This table maps MAC addresses to corresponding IP addresses. MAC address is the index of the table. It is a one-to-many mapping table, which means a MAC address can be mapped to multiple IP addresses. Though multiple MAC addresses may exist on one interface, these MAC addresses must be mapped to different IP addresses.

This table is used for filtering. IP-MAC mapping table and MAC-IP mapping table can be maintained separately on different devices, but they must be synchronized. We will specify the details in Section 4.

3.2. Pre-conditions for binding

In the binding based mechanism, the security of IP address is based on the security of the binding anchor. In WLAN, a number of security mechanisms on link layer make MAC address a strong enough binding anchor, for instance, 802.11i, WAPI, WEP.

If MAC address has no protection, attackers can spoof MAC address to succeed in validation. However, in general cases, if MAC address is not protected, more serious attack can be launched than IP spoofing attack.

3.3. Binding IP addresses to MAC addresses

All the static IP-MAC address pairs are configured into the IP-MAC Mapping Table with the mechanism enabled.

An individual procedure handles binding DHCP addresses to MAC addresses. This procedure snoops the DHCP address assignment procedure between attached hosts and DHCP server. DHCP snooping in WLAN is the same as that in wired network.

An individual procedure handles binding stateless addresses to MAC addresses. This procedure snoops Duplicate Address Detection procedure. ND snooping in WLAN is the same as that in wired network.

Different from wired network, the function of address snooping and IP-MAC table maintaining may also be separated onto different devices. Thus to prevent conflictions in binding entries, the device snoops addresses must have interactions with the device holds the IP-MAC table. We will specify the details in Section 5.1.1.

3.4. Binding Migration

Different from wired network, SAVI for WLAN must handle migration of

binding entries when mobile hosts move from one access point to another. After movement, hosts will not perform another address allocation procedure to obtain new IP addresses, but continue to use the existing IP address. Thus binding entries in the foreign device that the mobile hosts access to cannot be established by snooping. A new mechanism is needed to correctly migrate the binding entry related to the IP address of the mobile host from the home device to the foreign device. We will specify the details in Section 5, according to deferent deployment scenarios.

3.5. Binding Clearing

Three kinds of events will trigger binding clearing:

1. The lifetime of an IP address in one entry has expired. This IP entry MUST be cleared.

2. A station leaves this access point. The entries for all the related MAC addresses MUST be deleted.

3. A DHCP RELEASE message is received from the owner of corresponding IP address. This IP entry MUST be deleted.

4. Source Address Validation

This section describes on source address validation procedure on packet. In this procedure, all the frames are assumed to have passed the verifications of 802.11i or other security mechanisms.

This procedure has the following steps:

1. Extract the IP source and MAC source from the frame. Lookup the MAC address in the MAC-IP Mapping Table and check if the MAC-IP pair exists. If yes, forward the packet. Or else go to next step.

2. Lookup the IP address in the IP-MAC Mapping Table and check if the IP address exists. If no, insert a new entry into the IP-MAC Mapping Table and forward the packet. If yes, check whether The MAC address in the entry is the same as that in the frame. If yes, forward the packet. Else drop the packet.

5. Deployment Scenarios

This section specifies three deployment scenarios including two under centralized WLAN and one under autonomous WLAN. The deployment details and solutions for host mobility between access points are described respectively in each scenario.

5.1. Centralized WLAN

Centralized WLAN is comprised of FIT Access Points (AP) and Access Controllers (AC). In this scenario, this document proposes the following two deployment solutions.

5.1.1. AP Filtering

In this scenario, AC maintains IP-MAC Mapping Table while AP maintains MAC-IP Mapping Table and perform address snooping. Packet filtering will be performed also on AP as specified in Section 4.

5.1.1.1. Candidate Binding

AP executes the procedure specified in Section 3.3. Candidate binding is generated after snooping procedure. Candidate binding must be confirmed by AC to be valid.

After a candidate binding is generated, AC is notified and checks whether the binding is valid or not. The validity of a candidate binding is determined if the binding does not violate any existing bindings in the IP-MAC Mapping Table. Otherwise if an address is not suitable for a host to use, AC notifies the corresponding AP. If the candidate binding is valid, AC adds an entry into the IP-MAC Mapping Table and notifies AP. Afterwards AP also adds an entry into the local MAC-IP Mapping Table.

5.1.1.2. CAPWAP Extension

CAPWAP protocol is used for communication between AP and AC. A new CAPWAP protocol message element is introduced, which extends the [CAPWAP]. The host IP message element is used by both AP and AC to exchange the binding information of hosts.

The host IP message element can be used in the process of confirmation of candidate binding. When AP generates a candidate binding, it reports the MAC address and related IP addresses to AC using this message, with suggestions of the state and lifetime of each IP address as specified in Section 3.1.1. After AC checks the validation of the candidate binding, it replies using a message of the same format to inform AP the validation of each IP address with suggestions of its state and lifetime.

The host IP message element also can be used in the process of

binding migration. In mobility scenario, foreign device the mobile hosts accesses to need to request related bindings from home devices, and host IP message element can be used for interactions between them. Details will be specified in the following sections according to different deployment scenarios.





Radio ID: An 8-bit value representing the radio, whose value is between 1 and 31.

Total Length: Total length of the following fields.

Sender ID: An 8-bit value representing the sender of the message. AP is represented by value 1 and AC is represented by value 2.

Length: The length of the Value field.

Description: A 16-bit value for descriptions of the sender(AP or AC).

MAC flag: An 8-bit value representing that the sub-field's type is MAC address, whose value is 1.

Length: The length of the MAC Address field. The formats and lengths specified in [EUI-48] and [EUI-64] are supported.

MAC Address: A MAC address of the host.

IPv4 flag: An 8-bit value representing that the sub-field's type is

IPv4 address, whose value is 2.

Length: The length of the IPv4 Address field.

IPv4 Address: An IPv4 address of the host. There may exist many entries, and each entry is comprised of an IPv4 address, an 8-bit value for address state (only value 1 is used for now), and a 32-bit value for lifetime.

IPv6 flag: An 8-bit value representing that the sub-field's type is IPv6 address, whose value is 3.

Length: The length of the IPv6 Address field.

IPv6 Address: An IPv6 address of the host. There may exist many entries, and each entry is comprised of an IPv6 address, an 8-bit

value of address state (also one value for now), and a 32-bit value lifetime.

5.1.1.3. Mobility Solution

When a host moves from one AP to another, layer-2 association happens before IP packet transfer. Home AP deletes the binding when mobile host is disconnected, and foreign AP immediately requests the bound addresses with the associated MAC from AC using host IP message element specified in Section 5.1.1.2. AC return the binding with suggestions of its state and lifetime also using the new CAPWAP protocol message. After AP get the addresses should be bound, the binding migration is completed.

In WLAN, a host can move from an AC to another AC while keeping using the same IP address. To be compatible with such scenario, ACs must communicate to perform the binding migration.

CAPWAP extensions specified in Section 5.1.1.2 can also be used for communications between AC. The procedure of binding migration is the similar to that in the previous scenario. Home AC deletes the binding when mobile host is disconnected, and foreign AC requests the bound addresses with the associated MAC from Home AC.

5.1.2. AC Filtering

In this scenario, AC maintains both MAC-IP and IP-MAC Mapping Table

and performs both address snooping and packet filtering. So all the packets must be firstly be forwarded to AC. AC executes the procedure specified in Section 3.3 and check the validity of IP-MAC pairs by consulting the local IP-MAC mapping table. No extra procedures are needed to establish the IP-MAC bindings. AC executes the procedure specified in Section 4 for packet filtering.

Mobility within one AC does not trigger any binding migration. Mobility between different ACs triggers binding migration. Home AC deletes the binding when mobile host is disconnected, and foreign AC requests the bound addresses with the associated MAC from Home AC. CAPWAP extensions specified in Section 5.1.1.2 can be used for communications between AC.

5.2. Autonomous WLAN

Autonomous WLAN is comprised of FAT Access Points. In this scenario, FAT AP maintains both MAC-IP and IP-MAC Mapping Table and performs both address snooping and packet filtering. FAT AP executes the procedure specified in Section 3.3 and check the validity of IP-MAC

pairs by consulting the local IP-MAC mapping table. No extra procedures are needed to establish the IP-MAC bindings. FAT AP executes the procedure specified in Section 4 for packet filtering.

Mobility between different FAT APs will trigger binding migration. Home FAT AP deletes the binding when mobile host is disconnected, and foreign FAT AP requests the bound addresses with the associated MAC from Home FAT AP. CAPWAP extensions specified in Section 5.1.1.2 can be used for communications between FAT AP.

6. Security Considerations

The security of address allocation methods matters the security of this mechanism. Thus it is necessary to improve the security of stateless auto-configuration and DHCP firstly.

7. IANA Considerations

There is no IANA Consideration currently.

8. Conclusions

This solution can satisfy the requirements of SAVI charter in WLAN enabling 802.11i or other security mechanisms.

9. Contributors

Guang Yao

Tsinghua University

Network Research Center, Tsinghua University

Beijing 100084

China

EMail: yaog@netarchlab.tsinghua.edu.cn

Yang Shi

Hangzhou H3C Tech. Co., Ltd.

Beijing 100085

China

EMail: rishyang@gmail.com

Hao Wang

Hangzhou H3C Tech. Co., Ltd.

Beijing 100085

China

EMail: hwang@h3c.com

10. Acknowledgments

This document was prepared using 2-Word-v2.0.template.dot.

11. References

11.1. Normative References

[1] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.

[2] Crocker, D. and Overell, P.(Editors), "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", RFC 2234, Internet Mail Consortium and Demon Internet Ltd., November 1997.

[3] IEEE 802.11i-2004: Amendment 6: Medium Access Control (MAC) Security Enhancements

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.

[RFC4862] Thomson, S., Narten, T. and Jinmei, T., "IPv6 Stateless Autoconfiguration", RFC4862, September, 2007.

[RFC3315] R. Droms, Ed., J. Bound, B. Volz, T. Lemon, C. Perkins, and M. Carney, "Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol for IPv6 (DHCPv6)", RFC3315, July, 2003.

[RFC5415] Control And Provisioning of Wireless Access Points (CAPWAP) Protocol Specification

11.2. Informative References

Authors' Addresses

Jun Bi

Tsinghua University

Network Research Center, Tsinghua University

Beijing 100084

China

EMail: junbi@cernet.edu.cn

Jianping Wu

Tsinghua University

Computer Science, Tsinghua University

Beijing 100084

China

EMail: jianping@cernet.edu.cn

You Wang

Tsinghua University

Network Research Center, Tsinghua University

Beijing 100084

China

EMail: wangyou10@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn

Tao Lin

Hangzhou H3C Tech. Co., Ltd.

Beijing 100085

China

EMail: lintaog@gmail.com