RATS Working Group Internet-Draft Intended status: Standards Track Expires: July 17, 2021 H. Birkholz Fraunhofer SIT P. Uiterwijk Red Hat D. Waltermire NIST S. Bhandari Cisco J. Fitzgerald-McKay Department of Defense January 13, 2021

Reference Integrity Measurement Extension for Concise Software Identities draft-birkholz-rats-coswid-rim-02

Abstract

This document specifies the CDDL and usage description for Reference Integrity Measurements (RIM) in Remote Attestation Procedures (RATS). The specification is based on Concise Software Identification (CoSWID) and TCG Reference Integrity Manifest Information Model based on Host Integrity at Runtime and Start-up (HIRS). Extension points defined in CoSWID used to augment CoSWID tags with new attributes that can express the TCG Reference Integrity Manifest extensions.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of <u>BCP 78</u> and <u>BCP 79</u>.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <u>https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/</u>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on July 17, 2021.

Birkholz, et al. Expires July 17, 2021

CoSWID RIM

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2021 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to <u>BCP 78</u> and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<u>https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info</u>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

<u>1</u> . Introduction	 <u>2</u>
<u>1.1</u> . Requirements Notation	 <u>4</u>
2. CoSWID Attribute Extensions for RIM	 <u>4</u>
<u>2.1</u> . RIM requirements on existing CoSWID attributes	 <u>4</u>
2.2. RIM Extensions for HIRS	 <u>5</u>
2.3. RIM Extensions for Software Package Management	 <u>10</u>
2.3.1. CoSWID Version Scheme for RPM	 <u>11</u>
<u>2.4</u> . CoSWID RIM CDDL	 <u>11</u>
<u>3</u> . Privacy Considerations	 <u>13</u>
<u>4</u> . Security Considerations	 <u>13</u>
5. IANA Considerations	 <u>13</u>
<u>6</u> . References	 <u>13</u>
<u>6.1</u> . Normative References	 <u>13</u>
6.2. Informative References	 <u>14</u>
<u>6.3</u> . URIS	 <u>14</u>
Authors' Addresses	 <u>14</u>

1. Introduction

Reference Integrity Measurements describe the intended state of (composite) software components installed on a (composite) device. A measurement of all installed software components of a devices allows for assertions about the trustworthiness of the given device. In combination with a root of trust (RoT) for reporting (RTR), these measurements can be refined into evidence and enable Remote ATtestation procedureS (RATS). RATS support the decision process of whether to put trust in the trustworthiness of a device - or not.

The RATS architecture [<u>I-D.ietf-rats-architecture</u>] defines the following roles: Verifier, Attester, Endorse, and Relying Party, and Reference Value Provider. The RATS architecture also specifies that

Internet-Draft

attestation Evidence is created by Attesters and consumed by Verifiers. Ultimately, the goal is to enable a Relying Party to put trust in the trustworthiness of a remote peer (the Attester). Attestation Evidence is composed of believable assertions about an Attester's trustworthiness characteristics. In RATS, these assertions are called Claims. The Verifier conducts a set of appraisal procedures in order to assess the compliance of an Attester's trustworthiness characteristics.

A prominent appraisal procedure in RATS is the comparison of Claim values included in attestation Evidence with reference Claim values provided by Reference Value Providers (RVP, e.g. a supply chain entity). The comparison of Claim values via Reference Claim Values (RCV) is vital for the assessment of compliance metrics with respect to software components installed on an Attester. A typical objective here is the remediation of vulnerabilities discovered in certain versions of installed software components.

The Integrity Measurement Architecture (IMA) of the Linux Security Modules (LSM) provides a detailed Event Log (sometimes also referred to as a Measurement Log) that retains a sequence of hash measurements of every software sub-component (e.g. a firmware, an ELF executable, or a configuration file) that is created and appended to the sequence of measurements that composes the event log before the software component in question is started or read - "first measure, than start".

In essence, to enable this appraisal procedure conducted by Verfiers an Attester's IMA provides Event Logs that include the hash values of every started software component and therefore are part of the attestation Evidence an Attester creates. The complementary wellknown-values that Verifiers require are included in the Reference Integrity Measurements (RIM). RIMs for software components can be provided via Concise Software Identification (CoSWID) tags created or maintained by RVPs, such as the software creators, manufacturers, vendors, or other trusted third parties (e.g. a certification entity).

This document provides an extension to the CoSWID specification defined in [<u>I-D.ietf-sacm-coswid</u>]. The extension adds attributes to CoSWID tags that enable them to express RIMs. One prominent subset of these attributes are illustrated in the TCG Reference Integrity Manifest Information Model [ref] specification. These attributes are added to the existing CoSWID specification via the most general extension point the CoSWID specification provides: \$\$coswidextensions. An new map type-definition named "reference-values" is added and is defined in section [ref] of this document.

Birkholz, et al. Expires July 17, 2021 [Page 3]

Furthermore, a usage profile for signed CoSWID tags is defined in this specification in support of the software-component structure of systems managed by package managers. Signed CoSWID tags that are aligned with that software model can be used to describe the contents of one or multiple of the packages that make up the contents of a system. In order to minimize the impact on the sizes of packages, it is likely that any CoSWID tags delivered as part of packages as part of a package manager managed system will not contain actual reference values, but instead a link-entry to a CoSWID tag published by the vendor in a repository.

<u>1.1</u>. Requirements Notation

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in <u>BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174]</u> when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

2. CoSWID Attribute Extensions for RIM

This specification defines two types of attribute sets that can be added to the CoSWID specification via the specified defined extension points:

- Attributes that support RIM manifests for Measured Boot (often referred to as Secure Boot) and
- 2. Attributes that support the RPM package manager structure.

<u>2.1</u>. RIM requirements on existing CoSWID attributes

As defined by NIST IR 8060 [ref], there are required "Meta Attributes" for XML SWID tags that have to be included in a SWID tag in order to compose a valid SWID RIM. In this section, these attributes are mapped to CoSWID attributes and corresponding requirements on attributes defined in the CoSWID specification to compose valid NIST IR 8060 signed Payload content in the Concise Software Identity Reference Integrity Measurement (CoSWID RIM) representation.

The 'software-meta-entry' type defined in the CoSWID specification includes the optional members 'product', 'colloquial-version', 'revision', and 'edition'. These four members MUST be included in a CoSWID RIM in order to compose a valid Reference Integrity Measurement in alignment with NIST IR 8060. Furthermore, the semantics of the text (tstr) typed values MUST convey content that allows for semantic interoperability in a given scope (e.g., an

CoSWID RIM

administrative domain). The software-meta-entries provide vital support for steering decisions made by the RATS verifier role in order to enable discovery and matching of related or additional CoSWID RIM available to or discoverable by the verifier.

2.2. RIM Extensions for HIRS

The following attributes are derived from the TCG Reference Integrity Manifest Information Model [ref] specification. These attributes support the creation of very small CoSWID RIM tags that enable the Remote Integrity Verification (RIV

[I-D.fedorkow-rats-network-device-attestation]) of small things, i.e., constrained devices in constrained network environments. In consequence, the majority of the attributes listed in this section represent metadata about firmware and supply chain entities that provide firmware for a device (platform). Analogously to the mandated software-meta-entries illustrated above, the attributes defined in the table below provide more context and enable steering decisions for the appraisal procedures of a Verifier. Consecutively, RIM have to be managed and curated in a consistent manner so that there is no significant threshold for a Verifier to make use of them during an appraisal procedure.

The design of the additional RIM attributes in this section is motivated by the vast variety of identifier types used in production today, e.g. endorsement documents [<u>I-D.ietf-rats-architecture</u>] that are enrolled or on-boarded on the Attester itself. It is vital to highlight that this variety can render semantic self-descriptiveness more difficult. Most importantly though: interoperability beats self-descriptiveness. A convergence towards a common identification scheme with respect to software components and its subset that is firmware is highly encouraged - alas not achieved at the time of creating this proposed standard. The following table defines the semantics of the set of new members that are added via the referencemeasurement-entry map. The reference-measurement-entry map is added using the \$\$coswid-extension CDDL extension point.

+	+	L	
Attribute Name	Quantity	Description	
payload-type 	0-1 	The value of this attribute MUST be one equivalent of the following three choices. 'direct': the representation used in this RIM (and referred RIMs) is	

Birkholz, et al. Expires July 17, 2021 [Page 5]

		using the CoSWID
		encoding as its
		representation.
1		'indirect': the
		representation used
	ĺ	in referred RIMs
		('Support RIMs) is
	l	using a different
		representation than
1		CoSWID as it's
		encodina.
		Analogously, a
		reference to the
	I	corresponding
	1	specification MUST be
	I	provided if the value
	 	is set to an
		aguivalent of
		lindiroot! (coo
		Linding anal name and
		binding spec-name and
		binding-spec-
		version). hybrid :
		the representation
		used in the referred
		RIMS ('Support RIMS')
		is a mix of CoSWID
		representations and
		other
		representations. In
		this case, a
		reference to the
		representation used
		MUST be included -
		even if it is the
		CoSWID representation
		- for every Support
	I	RIM (see 'binding-
		spec-name' and
		'binding-spec-
		version' definition
		in this table).
	I	
platform-configuration-uri-	0-1	A byte-comparable
global	I	reference to a
	I	Platform
		Configuration URI as
1		defined by the TCG
	I	Platform Certificate

Birkholz, et al. Expires July 17, 2021 [Page 6]

		<pre>Profile [ref TCG Platform Certificate Profile, Version 1.1] for X.509v3 certificates that MUST be identical to the URI included in a TCG Platform Certificate pointing to a resource providing a copy of the CoSWID RIM this attribute is included in.</pre>
platform-configuration-uri- local 	0-1 	A byte-comparable reference to a Platform Configuration URI defined by the TCG Platform Certificate Profile [ref TCG Platform Certificate Profile, Version 1.1] that MUST represent the resource at which a copy of this CoSWID RIM can be found within the (composite) device/platform itself.
 binding-spec-name 	1 1 	If the value of 'payload-type' is an equivalent to the enumeration 'indirect', the value of this attribute of this attribute MUST contain a global unique text (tstr) identifier referring to the specification that defines the representation of the to enable its decoding.

Birkholz, et al. Expires July 17, 2021 [Page 7]

 binding-spec-version 	1 	If the value of 'payload-type' is an equivalent to the enumeration 'indirect', the value of this attribute MUST contain a unique version number with respect to the specification
		value of 'binding- spec-name'.
platform-manufacturer-id 	0-1 	<pre> An identifier based on the IANA Private Enterprise Number registry that is assigned to firmware manufacturer. This identifier MUST be included unless the firmware manufacturer and the platform manufacturer are represented by the same text (tstr) value. Analogously, if the firmware and the nlatform manufacturer </pre>
		are represented via the same text (tstr) value, this attribute MAY be omitted.
 platform-manufacturer-name 	 0-1 	An identifier number An identifier number (uint) value that uniquely represents the firmware manufacturer. This identifier MUST be included unless the firmware manufacturer and the platform manufacturer are represented via the

Birkholz, et al. Expires July 17, 2021 [Page 8]

 			same number (unit) value, this attribute MAY be omitted.
	platform-model-name		An identifier text (tstr) value enabling the identification of a certain device model/type composite. The reliability of this identifier is not absolute. In consequence this identifier MUST NOT be omitted. In an case, the use of this identifier requires foresight and preparation as it's purpose supports semantic interoperability. Arbitrary, conflicting, or unresolvable values SHOULD be avoided.
	platform-version	0-1	A byte-comparable reference to a Platform Certificate's 'Manufacturer- Specific Identifier' extension value [ref TCG Platform Certificate Profile, Version 1.1].
	firmware-manufacturer-id	0-1	An IANA defined unique value that is a Private Enterprise Number (Platform manufacturer unique identifier) that SHOULD be included in a CoSWID RIM that covers firmware.

Birkholz, et al. Expires July 17, 2021 [Page 9]

firmware-manufacturer-name	0-1 	An identifier that is represented as the name of a platform manufacturer via a text (tstr) value that SHOULD be included in a CoSWID RIM that covers firmware.
firmware-model-name	0-1 	An identifier that represents the target platform model via a text (tstr) value that SHOULD be included in a CoSWID RIM.
firmware-version	0-1 	An identifier that is represented as the version number of a specific firmware version corresponding to a given set of platform identifiers and SHOULD be included in a CoSWID RIM.
boot-events	 0-1 	 A reference to the platform measured boot event logs that can be compared to individual events from the platform measured boot events collected at platform runtime.

CoSWID RIM

January 2021

2.3. RIM Extensions for Software Package Management

Internet-Draft

To enable very small CoSWID tags that basically are signed references to full Base RIMs for each software package that ultimately include all the hash values required by the appraisal procedure of a Verifier, the member rim-reference is added using the \$\$payloadextension CDDL extension point.

Birkholz, et al. Expires July 17, 2021 [Page 10]

Internet-Draft

+	+	+
Attribute Name	Quantity 	Description
rim-reference 	0-1 	A URI pointing to the CoSWID Base RIM that will list the payload reference measurements (hashes) in case of a minimal CoSWID tag.

2.3.1. CoSWID Version Scheme for RPM

To enable encoding version information into a CoSWID tag for RPM packages, the SWID version scheme value index TBD1 has been registered. RPM versions are defined as epoch:version-release-architecture, where the "epoch:" component is optional. Epoch is a numerical value, which should be considered zero if the epoch component is missing. Version and Release can be any string as long as they do not contain a hyphen (-). Architecture is an alphanumerical string.

Sorting of RPM versions is a multi-step process: - The epoch, version and release components are compared in that order, as soon as a difference is found, that is the overall difference. - The epoch component is compared as integers. A higher number means a higher version. - The version and release components are compared alphabetically, until a digit is encountered in both strings, at which point as many digits are consumed from both to form an integer, which is then compared. If the integers are identical, the comparison continues alphabetically. - The architecture component is never sorted. If they are different between two versions, the versions are inequal, not higher or lower.

2.4. CoSWID RIM CDDL

The following CDDL specification uses the existing CDDL extension points as defined in [<u>I-D.ietf-sacm-coswid</u>]:

- o \$\$coswid-extension
- o \$\$payload-extension

```
<CODE BEGINS>
$$coswid-extension //= (reference-values => reference-values-entry)
```

reference-value-entry = {

? payload-type => direct / indirect / hybrid,

? platform-configuration-uri-global => any-uri,

```
? platform-configuration-uri-local => any-uri,
  binding-spec-name => text,
  binding-spec-version => text,
  platform-manufacturer-id => uint,
  platform-manufacturer-name => text,
  platform-model-name => text,
 ? platform-version => uint,
 ? firmware-manufacturer-id => uint,
 ? firmware-manufacturer-name => text,
 ? firmware-model-name => text,
 ? firmware-version => uint,
 ? boot-events => [ * boot-event-entry ],
  rim-link-hash => bytes,
}
boot-event-entry = {
   boot-event-number => uint,
   boot-event-type => uint,
   boot-digest-list => [ 1* hash-entry ],
   boot-event-data => bytes
}
$$payload-extension //= ( ? support-rim-type-kramdown => direct / indirect )
$$payload-extension //= ( ? support-rim-format => text )
$$payload-extension //= ( ? support-rim-uri-global => any-uri )
$$payload-extension //= ( ? rim-reference => any-uri )
reference-measurement = 58
payload-type = 59
payload-rim = 60
platform-configuration-uri-global = 61
platform-configuration-uri-local = 62
binding-spec-name = 63
binding-spec-version = 64
platform-manufacturer-id = 65
platform-manufacturer-name = 66
platform-model-name = 67
platform-version = 68
firmware-manufacturer-id = 69
firmware-manufacturer-name = 70
firmware-model-name = 71
firmware-version = 72
rim-link-hash = 73
support-rim-type-kramdown = 74
support-rim-format = 75
support-rim-uri-global = 76
rim-reference = 77
boot-events = 78
```

Birkholz, et al. Expires July 17, 2021 [Page 12]

boot-event-number = 79 boot-event-type = 80 boot-digest-list = 81 boot-event-data = 82

direct = 0
indirect = 1
hybrid = 2
<CODE ENDS>

3. Privacy Considerations

TBD

<u>4</u>. Security Considerations

To be elaborated on

5. IANA Considerations

This document has added the following entries to the SWID/CoSWID Version Scheme Values registry at <u>https://www.iana.org/assignments/</u> <u>swid</u> [1]:

Index: TBD1
Version Scheme Name: rpm
Specification: See {{rpm-version-scheme}}

<u>6</u>. References

<u>6.1</u>. Normative References

- [I-D.ietf-sacm-coswid]
 Birkholz, H., Fitzgerald-McKay, J., Schmidt, C., and D.
 Waltermire, "Concise Software Identification Tags", draftietf-sacm-coswid-16 (work in progress), November 2020.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119</u>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174</u>>.

<u>6.2</u>. Informative References

- [I-D.fedorkow-rats-network-device-attestation]
 - Fedorkow, G., Voit, E., and J. Fitzgerald-McKay, "TPMbased Network Device Remote Integrity Verification", <u>draft-fedorkow-rats-network-device-attestation-05</u> (work in progress), April 2020.

[I-D.ietf-rats-architecture] Birkholz, H., Thaler, D., Richardson, M., Smith, N., and W. Pan, "Remote Attestation Procedures Architecture", <u>draft-ietf-rats-architecture-08</u> (work in progress), December 2020.

<u>6.3</u>. URIs

[1] https://www.iana.org/assignments/swid

Authors' Addresses

Henk Birkholz Fraunhofer SIT Rheinstrasse 75 Darmstadt 64295 Germany

Email: henk.birkholz@sit.fraunhofer.de

Patrick Uiterwijk Red Hat 100 E Davie Street Raleigh 27601 Netherlands

Email: puiterwijk@redhat.com

David Waltermire National Institute of Standards and Technology 100 Bureau Drive Gaithersburg, Maryland 20877 USA

Email: david.waltermire@nist.gov

Internet-Draft

Shwetha Bhandari Cisco Systems, Inc. Cessna Business Park, Sarjapura Marathalli Outer Ring Road Bangalore, KARNATAKA 560087 India

Email: shwethab@cisco.com

Jessica Fitzgerald-McKay Department of Defense 9800 Savage Road Ft. Meade, Maryland USA

Email: jmfitz2@nsa.gov