Delay-Tolerant Networking Internet-Draft Intended status: Standards Track Expires: January 2, 2018 E. Birrane JHU/APL July 1, 2017

BPSec Interoperability Cipher Suites draft-birrane-dtn-bpsec-interop-cs-00

Abstract

This document defines a set of integrity and confidentiality cipher suites suitable for testing the interoperability of Bundle Protocol Security (BPSec) implementations.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of <u>BCP 78</u> and <u>BCP 79</u>.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <u>http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/</u>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on January 2, 2018.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2017 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to <u>BCP 78</u> and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<u>http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info</u>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

<u>1</u> . Int	roduction	<u>2</u>					
<u>2</u> . Req	uirements Language	<u>3</u>					
<u>3</u> . Sui	te BIB-HMAC256-SHA256	<u>3</u>					
<u>3.1</u> .	Cipher Suite Overview	<u>3</u>					
<u>3.2</u> .	Key Considerations	<u>3</u>					
<u>3.3</u> .	Canonicalization Algorithms	<u>3</u>					
<u>3.4</u> .	Cipher Suite Parameter Definitions	<u>3</u>					
<u>3.5</u> .	Security Result Definitions	<u>4</u>					
<u>4</u> . Sui	te BCB-AES-GCM-128	<u>4</u>					
<u>4.1</u> .	Cipher Suite Overview	<u>4</u>					
<u>4.2</u> .	Key Considerations	<u>4</u>					
<u>4.3</u> .	Canonicalization Algorithms	<u>5</u>					
<u>4.4</u> .	Cipher Suite Parameter Definitions	<u>5</u>					
<u>4.5</u> .	Security Result Definitions	<u>5</u>					
<u>5</u> . IANA Considerations							
<u>5.1</u> .	Bundle Block Types	<u>6</u>					
<u>6</u> . Ref	erences	<u>6</u>					
<u>6.1</u> .	Normative References	<u>6</u>					
<u>6.2</u> .	Informative References	7					
Author'	s Address	<u>7</u>					

<u>1</u>. Introduction

The Bundle Protocol Security (BPSec) [BPSEC] specification provides inter-bundle integrity and confidentiality features for networks deploying the Bundle Protocol (BP) [BPBIS]. BPSec defines a set of BP extension blocks to carry cipher suite results and associated meta-data, but does not define a common set of supported cipher suites.

This document defines an integrity cipher suite and a confidentiality cipher suite suitable for populating BPSec Block Integrity Blocks (BIBs) and Block Confidentiality Blocks (BCBs), respectively.

This purpose of the cipher suites described in this document is twofold. First, these suites should be used to test the interoperability of BPSec implementations. Second, this specification can serve as a template to be followed by other BPSec cipher suite authors.

The intent of these cipher suite definitions is to provide a mechanism for interoperability testing. There is no claim that these cipher suites are suitable for operational deployment in any particular networking scenario.

2. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

3. Suite BIB-HMAC256-SHA256

<u>3.1</u>. Cipher Suite Overview

The integrity cipher suite provides a signed hash over the security target based on the use of the SHA-256 message digest algorithm [RFC4634] combined with HMAC [RFC2104] with a 256 bit truncation length. This formulation is based on the HMAC 256/256 algorithm defined in [COSE] Table 7: HMAC Algorithm Values.

The BIB-HMAC256-SHA256 ciphersuite has ciphersuite ID value 0x01.

<u>3.2</u>. Key Considerations

Keys used with this specification MUST be symmetric and 256 bits in length.

This cipher suite provides no requirements on the configuration or management of keys.

3.3. Canonicalization Algorithms

BIB-HMAC256-SHA256 uses the standard canonicalization algorithms defined in BPSec and operates over the entire contents of the security target data block. This ciphersuite does not include hashing over other parts of the target block header, such as the block type and block length.

<u>3.4</u>. Cipher Suite Parameter Definitions

BIB-HMAC256-SHA256 defines the following cipher suite parameters.

Expires January 2, 2018 [Page 3]

BIB-HMAC256-SHA256 Parameters

++ Parm Parm Id Name	CBOR Type 	+ Description +	+ + +
1 Key	byte	Material encoded or proteted by the key	
	string	management system and used to transport	
	(major	an ephemeral key protected by a long-	
	type 2)	term key.	

Table 1

3.5. Security Result Definitions

BIB-HMAC256-SHA256 defines the following security results.

BIB-HMAC256-SHA256 Security Results

+ Re: 	+- sult Id	Result Name	CBOR Type	+ Description 	+
:	1 	Tag	byte string (major type 2)	The tag produced by HMAC.	+ +

Table 2

4. Suite BCB-AES-GCM-128

4.1. Cipher Suite Overview

The confidentiality cipher suite provides cipher text to replace the data contents of the target block using the AES cipher operating in GCM mode [AES-GCM]. This formulation is based on the A128GCM algorithm defined in [COSE] Table 9: Algorithm Value for AES-GCM.

The BCB-AES-GCM-128 ciphersuite has ciphersuite ID value 0x02.

4.2. Key Considerations

Keys used with this specification MUST be symmetric and 128 bits in length.

This cipher suite provides no requirements on the configuration or management of keys.

4.3. Canonicalization Algorithms

BCB-AES-GCM-128 uses the standard canonicalization algorithms defined in BPSec and operates over the entire contents of the security target data block. This ciphersuite does not include encryption over other parts of the target block header, such as the block type and block length.

4.4. Cipher Suite Parameter Definitions

BCB-AES-GCM-128 defines the following cipher suite parameters. It should be noted in this specification there is no additional authenticated data passed in to the AES-GCM cipher. The plaintext is the only data input and MUST be the data contents of the target block.

+	+	+	++
Parm Id	Parm Name +	CBOR Type	Description
1 2 	Key Initialization Vector 	byte string (major type 2) byte string (major type 2)	Material encoded or proteted by the key management system and used to transport an ephemeral key protected by a long-term key. The initialization vector. A random value between 8-16 bytes. 12 bytes is recommended.

BCB-AES-GCM-128 Parameters

Table 3

4.5. Security Result Definitions

BCB-AES-GCM-128 defines the following security results. It should be noted that cipher-text is not a security result as the resultant cipher text is stored in the target block. When operating in GCM mode, AES produces cipher-text of the same size as its plain-text and, therefore, no security results are necessary to capture padding information.

BCB-AES-GCM-128 Security Results

+----+ | Result | Result Name | CBOR Type | Description | Id | | | +----+ | 1 | Authentication | byte string | Output from the AES-GCM | | Tag | (major type | cipher. This value MUST | | 2) | be 16 bytes long. |

Table 4

5. IANA Considerations

5.1. Bundle Block Types

This specification allocates two block types from the existing "BPSec Cipher Suite IDs" registry defined in [BPSEC].

Additional BPSec Cipher Suite IDs:

+		-+-		+ •			+
	Value		Description	Ι	Ret	Ference	
+		-+-		+ -			+
	1		BIB-HMAC256-SHA256	Ι	This	document	
	2		BCB-AES-GCM-128	Ι	This	document	
+		-+-		+ -			+

Table 5

6. References

6.1. Normative References

- [AES-GCM] Dworkin, M., "NIST Special Publication 800-38D: Recommendation for Block Cipher Modes of Operation: Galois/Counter Mode (GCM) and GMAC.", November 2007.
- [BPBIS] Burleigh, S., Fall, K., and E. Birrane, "Bundle Protocol", draft-ietf-dtn-bpbis-06 (work in progress), July 2016.
- Krawczyk, H., Bellare, M., and R. Canetti, "HMAC: Keyed-[RFC2104] Hashing for Message Authentication", RFC 2104, DOI 10.17487/RFC2104, February 1997, <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2104>.

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>.
- [RFC4634] Eastlake 3rd, D. and T. Hansen, "US Secure Hash Algorithms (SHA and HMAC-SHA)", <u>RFC 4634</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC4634, July 2006, <<u>http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4634</u>>.

6.2. Informative References

- [BPSEC] Birrane, E. and K. McKeever, "Bundle Security Protocol", draft-ietf-dtn-bpsec-05 (work in progress), October 2015.
- [COSE] Schaad, J., "CBOR Object Signing and Encryption (COSE)", draft-ietf-cose-msg-24 (work in progress), November 2016.

Author's Address

Edward J. Birrane, III The Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory 11100 Johns Hopkins Rd. Laurel, MD 20723 US Phone: +1 443 778 7423 Email: Edward.Birrane@jhuapl.edu

Expires January 2, 2018 [Page 7]