

Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Informational
Expires: 9 April 2022

C. Bormann
Universität Bremen TZI
6 October 2021

Application-Oriented Literals in CBOR Extended Diagnostic Notation
draft-bormann-cbor-edn-literals-00

Abstract

The Concise Binary Object Representation, CBOR ([RFC 8949](#)) defines a "diagnostic notation" in order to be able to converse about CBOR data items without having to resort to binary data.

This document specifies how to add application-oriented extensions to the diagnostic notation. It then defines two such extensions for the use of CBOR diagnostic notation with CoRAL and Constrained Resource Identifiers ([draft-ietf-core-coral](#), [draft-ietf-core-href](#)).

Note

This note is to be removed before publishing as an RFC.

The content of this draft may preferably be distributed to a number of different documents. This is to be decided.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 9 April 2022.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2021 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

Internet-Draft

CBOR EDN Literals

October 2021

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the [Trust Legal Provisions](#) and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Application-Oriented Extension Literals	2
3.	The "cri" Extension	3
4.	The "dt" Extension	4
5.	IANA Considerations	4
6.	Security considerations	5
7.	References	5
7.1.	Normative References	5
7.2.	Informative References	6
	Acknowledgements	6
	Author's Address	6

[1.](#) Introduction

For the Concise Binary Object Representation, CBOR, [Section 8 of \[RFC8949\]](#) defines a "diagnostic notation" in order to be able to converse about CBOR data items without having to resort to binary data. Diagnostic notation is based on JSON, with extensions for representing CBOR constructs such as binary data and tags.

(Standardizing this together with the actual interchange format does not serve to create another interchange format, but enables the use of a shared diagnostic notation in tools for and documents about CBOR.)

This document specifies how to add application-oriented extensions to the diagnostic notation. It then defines two such extensions for the use of CBOR diagnostic notation with CoRAL and Constrained Resource Identifiers [[I-D.ietf-core-coral](#)] [[I-D.ietf-core-href](#)].

[2.](#) Application-Oriented Extension Literals

This document extends the syntax used in diagnostic notation for byte

string literals to also be available for application-oriented extensions.

As per [Section 8 of \[RFC8949\]](#), the diagnostic notation can notate byte strings in a number of [\[RFC4648\]](#) base encodings, where the encoded text is enclosed in single quotes, prefixed by an identifier (>h< for base16, >b32< for base32, >h32< for base32hex, >b64< for base64 or base64url).

This syntax can be thought to establish a name space, with the names "h", "b32", "h32", and "b64" taken, but other names being unallocated. The present specification defines additional names for this namespace, which we call `_application-extension identifiers_`. For the quoted string, the same rules apply as for byte strings. In particular, the escaping rules of JSON strings are applied equivalently for application-oriented extensions, e.g., `\\` stands for a single backslash and `\'` stands for a single quote.

An application-extension identifier is a name consisting of a lower-case ASCII letter (a-z) and zero or more additional ASCII characters that are either lower-case letters or digits (a-z0-9).

Application-extension identifiers are registered in a registry ([Section 5](#)). Prefixing a single-quoted string, an application-extension identifier is used to build an application-oriented extension literal, which stands for a CBOR data item the value of which is derived from the text given in the single-quoted string using a procedure defined in the specification for an application-extension identifier.

Examples for application-oriented extensions to CBOR diagnostic notation can be found in the following sections.

[3.](#) The "cri" Extension

The application-extension identifier "cri" is used to notate a Constrained Resource Identifier literal as per [\[I-D.ietf-core-href\]](#).

The text of the literal is a URI Reference as per [\[RFC3986\]](#) or an IRI

Reference as per [\[RFC3987\]](#).

The value of the literal is a CRI that can be converted to the text of the literal using the procedure of Section 6.1 of [\[I-D.ietf-core-href\]](#). Note that there may be more than one CRI that can be converted to the URI/IRI given; implementations are expected to favor the simplest variant available and make non-surprising choices otherwise.

As an example, the CBOR diagnostic notation

```
cri'https://example.com/bottarga/shaved'
```

is equivalent to

```
[-4, ["example", "com"], ["bottarga", "shaved"]]
```

[4.](#) The "dt" Extension

The application-extension identifier "dt" is used to notate a date/time literal that can be used as an Epoch-Based Date/Time as per [Section 3.4.2 of \[RFC8949\]](#).

The text of the literal is a Standard Date/Time String as per [Section 3.4.1 of \[RFC8949\]](#).

The value of the literal is a number representing the result of a conversion of the given Standard Date/Time String to an Epoch-Based Date/Time. If fractional seconds are given in the text (production time-fraction in [Appendix A of \[RFC3339\]](#)), the value is a floating-point number; the value is an integer number otherwise.

As an example, the CBOR diagnostic notation

```
dt'1969-07-21T02:56:16Z'
```

is equivalent to

```
-14159024
```

[5.](#) IANA Considerations

IANA is requested to create a registry [[where?]] for application-extension identifiers, with the initial content shown in Table 1.

application-extension identifier	description	reference
h	Reserved	RFC8949
b32	Reserved	RFC8949
h32	Reserved	RFC8949
b64	Reserved	RFC8949
cri	Constrained Resource Identifier	RFCthis
dt	Date/Time	RFCthis

Table 1: Initial Content of application extension identifier registry

// (Define policy; detailed template)

6. Security considerations

The security considerations of [RFC8949] and [RFC8610] apply.

// Anything else meaningful to say here?

7. References

7.1. Normative References

[I-D.ietf-core-href]

Bormann, C. and H. Birkholz, "Constrained Resource Identifiers", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, [draft-ietf-core-href-06](https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-ietf-core-href-06), 25 July 2021, <<https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-ietf-core-href-06.txt>>.

[RFC3339] Klyne, G. and C. Newman, "Date and Time on the Internet: Timestamps", [RFC 3339](https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3339), DOI 10.17487/RFC3339, July 2002, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3339>>.

[RFC3986] Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R., and L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax", STD 66, [RFC 3986](https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3986), DOI 10.17487/RFC3986, January 2005, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3986>>.

[RFC3987] Duerst, M. and M. Suignard, "Internationalized Resource Identifiers (IRIs)", [RFC 3987](https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3987), DOI 10.17487/RFC3987, January 2005, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3987>>.

[RFC8610] Birkholz, H., Vigano, C., and C. Bormann, "Concise Data Definition Language (CDDL): A Notational Convention to Express Concise Binary Object Representation (CBOR) and JSON Data Structures", [RFC 8610](https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8610), DOI 10.17487/RFC8610, June 2019, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8610>>.

[RFC8949] Bormann, C. and P. Hoffman, "Concise Binary Object Representation (CBOR)", STD 94, [RFC 8949](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8949, December 2020, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8949>>.

7.2. Informative References

[I-D.ietf-core-coral] Hartke, K., "The Constrained RESTful Application Language (CoRAL)", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, [draft-ietf-core-coral-03](#), 9 March 2020, <<https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-ietf-core-coral-03.txt>>.

[RFC4648] Josefsson, S., "The Base16, Base32, and Base64 Data Encodings", [RFC 4648](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4648, October 2006, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4648>>.

Acknowledgements

The concept of application-oriented extensions to diagnostic notation, as well as the definition for the "dt" extension were inspired by the CoRAL work by Klaus Hartke.

Author's Address

Carsten Bormann
Universität Bremen TZI
Postfach 330440
D-28359 Bremen
Germany

Phone: +49-421-218-63921

