Network Working Group Internet-Draft

Intended status: Standards Track

Expires: September 12, 2019

C. Bormann Universitaet Bremen TZI March 11, 2019

On Media-Types, Content-Types, and related terminology draft-bormann-core-media-content-type-format-00

Abstract

There is a lot of confusion about media-types, content-types, and related terminology.

This memo is an attempt at clearing it up.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}}$ 78 and $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}}$ 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on September 12, 2019.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2019 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP-78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents
(https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

<u>1</u> .	Introduc	tion															2
<u>2</u> .	Media-Ty	pe .															2
<u>3</u> .	Content-	Туре															3
<u>4</u> .	Content-	Coding	J														3
<u>5</u> .	Content-	Format	:														4
<u>6</u> .	Abbrevia	tions															4
<u>7</u> .	Discussi	on .															4
<u>8</u> .	IANA Con	sidera	nti	ons													5
<u>9</u> .	Security	Consi	de	at.	ior	าร											<u>5</u>
<u> 10</u> .	Referenc	es .															5
10	<u>0.1</u> . Nor	mative	Re	efe	rer	nce	es										5
10	<u>0.2</u> . Inf	ormati	ve	Re	fer	er	ice	s									<u>5</u>
Ackr	nowledgem	ents															<u>6</u>
Auth	nor's Add	ress															6

1. Introduction

[RFC1590] introduced media types and their registration. That document took MIME types from [RFC1521] and gave them a new name. At that time, the term "media type" was often used just for the major type ("text", "audio"), and what we call a media-type now was the combination of a type and a subtype. This lives on in [RFC6838], which does not even have an ABNF production for media type:

2. Media-Type

However, the term "media type" is now generally used for a registered combination of a type-name and a subtype-name, as in

```
Media-Type = type-name "/" subtype
```

For the purposes of this memo, we define:

Media-Type: A combination of a type-name and a subtype-name registered in [IANA.media-types], conventionally identified by the two names separated by a slash.

3. Content-Type

Media types have parameters [RFC6838], some of which are mandatory. In HTTP and many other protocols, these are then used in a "Content-Type" header field. HTTP [RFC7231] uses:

We don't follow the inclusive use established by [RFC2616], parts of which became [RFC7231], to use the term media-type for a Media-Type with parameters; note that [RFC2616] was quite confused about this by claiming (Section 3.7):

Media-type values are registered with the Internet Assigned Number Authority (IANA [19]).

This clearly reverts to the understanding of Media-Type we use. We instead define as a separate term:

Content-Type: A Media-Type, optionally associated with parameters (separated from the media type name and from each other by a semicolon).

Removing the legacy HTAB characters now shunned in polite conversion, we define the conventional textual representation of a Content-Type as:

```
Content-Type = media-type *( *SP ";" *SP parameter )
```

4. Content-Coding

[RFC2616] also introduced the term Content-Coding, a registered name for an encoding transformation that has been or can be applied to a representation:

```
content-coding = token
```

Confusingly, in HTTP the Content-Coding is then given in a header field called "Content-Encoding"; we NEVER use this term (except when we are in error). Instead we define:

Content-Coding: a registered name for an encoding transformation that has been or can be applied to a representation.

Content-Codings are registered in the HTTP Content Coding Registry, a subregistry of [IANA.http-parameters]. We often use the "identity" Content-Coding, which is the identity transformation, and often fail to identify that Content-Coding by name, instead calling it "no Content-Coding".

5. Content-Format

CoAP [RFC7252] defines a Content-Format as the combination of a Content-Type and a Content-Coding, identified by a numeric identifier defined by the "CoAP Content-Formats" registry (a subregistry of [IANA.core-parameters]), but in more confusing words (it did not have the benefit of the present memo).

Content-Format: the combination of a Content-Type and a Content-Coding, identified by a numeric identifier defined by the "CoAP Content-Formats" registry.

Note that there is no conventional string representation of just the combination of a Content-Type and a Content-Coding; Content-Formats are always identified by their registered Content-Format numbers.

6. Abbreviations

Media-Types are sometime abbreviated as "mt", and Content-Types as "ct". We do not propose to use those abbreviations: Where the long form of the values can be used, the long form "Content-Type" can also be used to name them.

For historical reasons, both $[\underbrace{RFC6690}]$ and $[\underbrace{RFC7252}]$ use the abbreviation "ct" for Content-Format (think first and last character).

For Content-Coding, the abbreviation "cc" can be used.

7. Discussion

The ABNF given here is provisional and needs ot be cleaned up: We need to unify the various forms of reg-name, token, etc. We need to define parameter. We also need to typographically differentiate foreign ABNF just shown for illustration from the normative ABNF of this memo.

We need to discuss case-insensitivity, which is usually rather insensitive.

8. IANA Considerations

While this memo talks a lot about IANA registries, it does not require any action from IANA.

9. Security Considerations

Confusion about terminology may, in the worst case, cause security problems. No other security considerations are knwon to be raised by the present memo.

10. References

10.1. Normative References

10.2. Informative References

- [RFC1521] Borenstein, N. and N. Freed, "MIME (Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions) Part One: Mechanisms for Specifying and Describing the Format of Internet Message Bodies", RFC 1521, DOI 10.17487/RFC1521, September 1993, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc1521.

- [RFC6690] Shelby, Z., "Constrained RESTful Environments (CoRE) Link Format", RFC 6690, DOI 10.17487/RFC6690, August 2012, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6690.
- [RFC6838] Freed, N., Klensin, J., and T. Hansen, "Media Type
 Specifications and Registration Procedures", BCP 13,
 RFC 6838, DOI 10.17487/RFC6838, January 2013,
 https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6838>.
- [RFC7252] Shelby, Z., Hartke, K., and C. Bormann, "The Constrained
 Application Protocol (CoAP)", RFC 7252,
 DOI 10.17487/RFC7252, June 2014,
 https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7252.

Acknowledgements

Matthias Kovatsch forced the author to make up his mind about this. Ari Keranen forced him to write it up, then.

Author's Address

Carsten Bormann Universitaet Bremen TZI Postfach 330440 Bremen D-28359 Germany

Phone: +49-421-218-63921 Email: cabo@tzi.org