

Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: June 16, 2011

B. Campbell, Ed.  
Ping Identity Corp.  
C. Mortimore  
Salesforce.com  
December 13, 2010

**SAML 2.0 Bearer Assertion Grant Type Profile for OAuth 2.0**  
**draft-campbell-oauth-saml-01**

Abstract

This specification defines the use of a SAML 2.0 bearer Assertion as means for requesting an OAuth 2.0 access token.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on June 16, 2011.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2010 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	<a href="#">Introduction . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">1.1.</a>	<a href="#">Notational Conventions . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	<a href="#">SAML Assertion Access Token Request . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">2.1.</a>	<a href="#">Client Requests Access Token . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">2.2.</a>	<a href="#">Assertion Format and Processing Requirements . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">2.3.</a>	<a href="#">Error Response . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">2.4.</a>	<a href="#">Example (non-normative) . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	<a href="#">Security Considerations . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	<a href="#">IANA Considerations . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">Appendix A.</a>	<a href="#">Contributors . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">Appendix B.</a>	<a href="#">Document History . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	<a href="#">References . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">5.1.</a>	<a href="#">Normative References . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">5.2.</a>	<a href="#">Informative References . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">11</a>
	<a href="#">Authors' Addresses . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">11</a>



## **1. Introduction**

The Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML) 2.0 [[OASIS.saml-core-2.0-os](#)], is an XML-based framework that allows for identity and security information to be shared across security domains. The SAML specification, while primarily targeted at providing cross domain web browser single sign-on, was also designed to be modular and extensible to facilitate use in other contexts. The Assertion, an XML security token, is a fundamental construct of SAML that is often adopted for use in other protocols and specifications. An Assertion is generally issued by an identity provider and consumed by a service provider who relies on its content to identify the Assertion's subject for security related purposes.

OAuth 2.0 [[I-D.ietf.oauth-v2](#)] provides a method for making authenticated HTTP requests to a resource using an access token. Access tokens are issued to third-party clients by an authorization server (AS) with the (sometimes implicit) approval of the resource owner. OAuth defines multiple profiles for obtaining access tokens to support a wide range of client types and user experiences. One such method is one in which the client trades an 'assertion' (not specifically a SAML Assertion) for an access token using the so-called 'assertion grant\_type'. However OAuth 2.0 leaves the specific format and validation of the assertion out of scope.

This specification profiles the use of a SAML 2.0 bearer Assertion in requesting an access token using the assertion grant\_type from OAuth 2.0. The format and processing rules for the SAML Assertion defined in this specification are intentionally similar, though not identical, to those in the Web Browser SSO Profile defined in [[OASIS.saml-profiles-2.0-os](#)] reusing, to the extent reasonable, concepts and patterns from that well-established profile.

### **1.1. Notational Conventions**

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#) [[RFC2119](#)].

Unless otherwise noted, all the protocol parameter names and values are case sensitive.

## **2. SAML Assertion Access Token Request**

A SAML Assertion can be used to request an access token when a client wishes to utilize an existing trust relationship, expressed through the semantics of (and digital signature calculated over) the SAML



Assertion, without a direct user approval step at the authorization server.

The process by which the client obtains the SAML Assertion, prior to exchanging it with the authorization server, is out of scope.



Figure 1: Assertion Access Token Request

The request/response flow illustrated in Figure 1 includes the following steps:

- (A) The client sends an access token request to the authorization server with an appropriate OAuth grant\_type and includes a SAML 2.0 Assertion.
- (B) The authorization server validates the Assertion per the processing rules defined in this specification and issues an access token.

### 2.1. Client Requests Access Token

The client requests an access token by making an HTTP "POST" request to the token endpoint using SAML Assertion as the access grant. The client makes an access token request, as defined in OAuth, with the following parameter definitions taking precedence in the constructed URI:

grant\_type

REQUIRED. The value of the grant\_type parameter MUST be "http://oauth.net/grant\_type/assertion/saml/2.0/bearer"

assertion

REQUIRED. The value of the assertion parameter MUST contain a single SAML 2.0 Assertion. The SAML Assertion XML data MUST be encoded using base64url, where the encoding adheres to the definition in [Section 5 of RFC4648](#) [RFC4648] and where the padding bits are set to zero. To to avoid the need for



subsequent encoding steps (by "application/x-www-form-urlencoded" [[W3C.REC-html401-19991224](#)], for example), the base64url encoded data SHOULD NOT be line wrapped and pad characters ("=") SHOULD NOT be included.

## **2.2. Assertion Format and Processing Requirements**

Prior to issuing an access token response as described in [[I-D.ietf.oauth-v2](#)], the authorization server MUST validate the Assertion according to the criteria below. Application of additional restrictions and policy are at the discretion of the authorization server.

- o The Assertion's <Issuer> element MUST contain a unique identifier for the entity that issued the Assertion; the Format attribute MUST be omitted or have a value of "urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:entity".
- o The Assertion MUST contain a <Subject> element. The subject MAY identify the resource owner for whom the access token is being requested.
- o The <Subject> element MUST contain at least one <SubjectConfirmation> element that allows the authorization server to confirm it as a bearer Assertion. Conditions for bearer subject confirmation are described below.
  - \* The <SubjectConfirmation> MUST have a Method attribute with a value of "urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:cm:bearer" and MUST contain a <SubjectConfirmationData> element.
  - \* The <SubjectConfirmationData> element MUST have a Recipient attribute with a value indicating the token endpoint URL of the authorization server. The authorization server MUST verify that the value of the Recipient attribute matches the token endpoint URL (or an acceptable alias) to which the Assertion was delivered.
  - \* The <SubjectConfirmationData> element MUST have a NotOnOrAfter attribute that limits the window during which the Assertion can be confirmed. The authorization server MUST verify that the NotOnOrAfter instant has not passed, subject to allowable clock skew between systems. The authorization server MAY ensure that bearer Assertions are not replayed, by maintaining the set of used ID values for the length of time for which the Assertion would be considered valid based on the NotOnOrAfter attribute in the <SubjectConfirmationData>.





- \* The <SubjectConfirmationData> element MAY also contain an Address attribute limiting the client address from which the Assertion can be delivered. Verification of the Address is at the discretion of the authorization server.
- o If the Assertion issuer authenticated the subject, the Assertion SHOULD contain a single <AuthnStatement> representing that authentication event.
- o If the Assertion was issued with the intention that the client act autonomously on behalf of the subject, an <AuthnStatement> SHOULD NOT be included. The client SHOULD be identified in the <NameID> or similar element the <SubjectConfirmation> element or by other available means like [[OASIS.saml-deleg-cs](#)].
- o Other statements, in particular, <AttributeStatement> elements MAY be included in the Assertion.
- o The Assertion MUST contain an <AudienceRestriction> element with an <Audience> element containing a URI reference that identifies the authorization server, or the service provider SAML entity of its controlling domain, as an intended audience. The authorization server MUST verify that it is an intended audience for the Assertion.
- o The Assertion MUST be digitally signed by the issuer and the authorization server MUST verify the signature.
- o Encrypted elements MAY appear in place of their plain text counterparts as defined in [[OASIS.saml-core-2.0-os](#)].
- o The authorization server MUST verify that the Assertion is valid in all other respects per [[OASIS.saml-core-2.0-os](#)] such as (but not limited to) evaluating all content within the Conditions element including the NotOnOrAfter and NotBefore attributes, rejecting unknown condition types, etc.

### **[2.3.](#) Error Response**

If the Assertion is not valid, or its subject confirmation requirements cannot be met, the the authorization server MUST construct an error response as defined in [[I-D.ietf.oauth-v2](#)]. The value of the error parameter MUST be the "invalid\_grant" error code. The authorization server MAY include additional information regarding the reasons the Assertion was considered invalid using the error\_description or error\_uri parameters.



For example:

```
HTTP/1.1 400 Bad Request
Content-Type: application/json
Cache-Control: no-store
```

```
{
  "error": "invalid_grant",
  "error_description": "Audience validation failed"
}
```

#### [2.4.](#) Example (non-normative)

Though non-normative, the following examples illustrate what a conforming Assertion and access token request would look like.



Below is an example SAML 2.0 Assertion (whitespace formatting is for display purposes only):

```
<Assertion IssueInstant="2010-10-01T20:07:34.619Z"
  ID="ef1xsbZxPV2oqjd7HTLRLIB1Bb7"
  Version="2.0"
  xmlns="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:assertion">
  <Issuer>https://saml-idp.example.com</Issuer>
  <ds:Signature xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">
    [...omitted for brevity...]
  </ds:Signature>
  <Subject>
    <NameID
      Format="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.1:nameid-format:emailAddress">
      brian@example.com
    </NameID>
    <SubjectConfirmation
      Method="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:cm:bearer">
      <SubjectConfirmationData
        NotOnOrAfter="2010-10-01T20:12:34.619Z"
        Recipient="https://authz.example.net/token.oauth2"/>
      </SubjectConfirmation>
    </Subject>
    <Conditions>
      <AudienceRestriction>
        <Audience>https://saml-sp.example.net</Audience>
      </AudienceRestriction>
    </Conditions>
    <AuthnStatement AuthnInstant="2010-10-01T20:07:34.371Z">
      <AuthnContext>
        <AuthnContextClassRef>
          urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:ac:classes:X509
        </AuthnContextClassRef>
      </AuthnContext>
    </AuthnStatement>
  </Assertion>
```

Figure 2: Example SAML 2.0 Assertion



To present the Assertion shown in the previous example as part of an access token request, for example, the client might make the following HTTPS request (line breaks are for display purposes only):

```
POST /token.oauth2 HTTP/1.1
Host: authz.example.net
Content-Type: application/x-www-form-urlencoded

grant_type=http%3A%2F%2Foauth.net%2Fgrant_type%2Fassertion%2F
saml%2F2.0%2Fbearer&assertion=PEFzc2VydGlvbiBJc3N1ZU1uc3RhbnQ
[...omitted for brevity...]V0aG5TdGF0ZW1lbnQ-PC9Bc3NlcnRpb24-
```

Figure 3: Example Request

### 3. Security Considerations

No additional considerations beyond those described within the OAuth 2.0 Protocol [[I-D.ietf.oauth-v2](#)] and in the Security and Privacy Considerations for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML) V2.0 [[OASIS.saml-sec-consider-2.0-os](#)].

### 4. IANA Considerations

This document has no actions for IANA.

### [Appendix A](#). Contributors

The following people contributed wording and concepts to this document: Paul Madsen, Patrick Harding, Peter Motyka, Peter Saint-Andre, Ian Barnett, Eric Fazendin, Torsten Lodderstedt, Scott Cantor and David Waite

### [Appendix B](#). Document History

[[ to be removed by RFC editor before publication as an RFC ]]

-01

- o Updated to reference [draft-ietf-oauth-v2-11](#) and reflect changes from -10 to -11.
- o Updated examples.





- o Relaxed processing rules to allow for more than one SubjectConfirmation element.
- o Removed the 'MUST NOT contain a NotBefore attribute' on SubjectConfirmationData.
- o Relaxed wording that ties the subject of the Assertion to the resource owner.
- o Added some wording about identifying the client when the subject hasn't directly authenticated including an informative reference to SAML V2.0 Condition for Delegation Restriction.
- o Added a few examples to the language about verifying that the Assertion is valid in all other respects.
- o Added some wording to the introduction about the similarities to Web SSO in the format and processing rules
- o Changed the grant\_type (was assertion\_type) URI from [http://oauth.net/assertion\\_type/saml/2.0/bearer](http://oauth.net/assertion_type/saml/2.0/bearer) to [http://oauth.net/grant\\_type/assertion/saml/2.0/bearer](http://oauth.net/grant_type/assertion/saml/2.0/bearer)
- o Changed title to include "Grant Type" in it.
- o Editorial updates based on feedback from the WG and others (including capitalization of Assertion when referring to SAML).

-00

- o Initial I-D

## **5. References**

### **5.1. Normative References**

[I-D.ietf.oauth-v2]

Hammer-Lahav, E., Ed., Recordon, D., and D. Hardt, "The OAuth 2.0 Protocol Framework", ID [draft-ietf-oauth-v2-11](#), Dec 2010.

[OASIS.saml-core-2.0-os]

Cantor, S., Kemp, J., Philpott, R., and E. Maler, "Assertions and Protocol for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML) V2.0", OASIS Standard saml-core-2.0-os, March 2005.



- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC4648] Josefsson, S., "The Base16, Base32, and Base64 Data Encodings", [RFC 4648](#), October 2006.

## **[5.2.](#) Informative References**

- [OASIS.saml-deleg-cs]  
Cantor, S., Ed., "SAML V2.0 Condition for Delegation Restriction", Nov 2009.
- [OASIS.saml-profiles-2.0-os]  
Hughes, J., Cantor, S., Hodges, J., Hirsch, F., Mishra, P., Philpott, R., and E. Maler, "Profiles for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML) V2.0", OASIS Standard OASIS.saml-profiles-2.0-os, March 2005.
- [OASIS.saml-sec-consider-2.0-os]  
Hirsch, F., Philpott, R., and E. Maler, "Security and Privacy Considerations for the OASIS Security Markup Language (SAML) V2.0", OASIS Standard saml-sec-consider-2.0-os, March 2005.
- [W3C.REC-html401-19991224]  
Hors, A., Jacobs, I., and D. Raggett, "HTML 4.01 Specification", World Wide Web Consortium Recommendation REC-html401-19991224, December 1999, <<http://www.w3.org/TR/1999/REC-html401-19991224>>.

## Authors' Addresses

Brian Campbell (editor)  
Ping Identity Corp.

Email: [brian.d.campbell@gmail.com](mailto:brian.d.campbell@gmail.com)

Chuck Mortimore  
Salesforce.com

Email: [cmortimore@salesforce.com](mailto:cmortimore@salesforce.com)

