CCAMP Working Group Internet-Draft Intended status: Standards Track Expires: October 9, 2011 D. Ceccarelli D. Caviglia F. Fondelli Ericsson F. Zhang D. Li Huawei Technologies M. Corsi Altran D. Beller Alcatel-Lucent April 7, 2011

# Link Management Protocol (LMP) Test Messages Extensions for Evolutive Optical Transport Networks (OTN) draft-ceccarelli-ccamp-gmpls-g709-lmp-test-03

# Abstract

This document specifies Link Management Protocol (LMP) extensions for the support of enhanced Optical Transport Networks (OTN). In particular it updates LMP test messages detailing the ITU-T G.709 OTN technology specific information and extends them in order to cover also recently introduced signal types and containers defined by the ITU-T.

# Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of <u>BCP 78</u> and <u>BCP 79</u>.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <u>http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/</u>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on October 9, 2011.

#### Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2011 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

Ceccarelli, et al. Expires October 9, 2011 [Page 1]

This document is subject to <u>BCP 78</u> and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<u>http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info</u>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

# Table of Contents

$\underline{1}$ . Terminology						. <u>3</u>
$\underline{2}$ . Introduction						. <u>3</u>
<u>3</u> . Verifying Link Connectivity						. <u>3</u>
<u>3.1</u> . Encoding Type						. <u>4</u>
<u>3.2</u> . Verify Transport Mechanism .						. <u>5</u>
<u>3.3</u> . Transmission Rate						. <u>6</u>
$\underline{4}$ . Trace Monitoring						· <u>7</u>
<u>4.1</u> . TRACE Object for evolutive OT	N					· <u>7</u>
4.2. Discovery Response Message fo	r Lovor					
4.2. Discovery Response Message ru	n Layer	Adjacenc	у			
Discovery		5	-			. <u>8</u>
Discovery	· · · ·	· · · · ·	· ·			. <u>9</u>
Discovery	· · · · ·	· · · · ·	· · ·	 	:	. <u>9</u> . <u>9</u>
Discovery	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · ·	· · ·	  		. <u>9</u> . <u>9</u> . <u>10</u>
Discovery	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	  		· <u>9</u> · <u>9</u> · <u>10</u> · <u>10</u>
Discovery	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	  		. <u>9</u> . <u>9</u> . <u>10</u> . <u>10</u> . <u>10</u>
Discovery	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · ·		. <u>9</u> . <u>9</u> . <u>10</u> . <u>10</u> . <u>10</u> . <u>10</u>

### **1**. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

### **2**. Introduction

[RFC4204] defines the Link Management Protocol (LMP), which is a protocol of the Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching (GMPLS) [RFC3945] suite used to manage Traffic Engineering (TE) links. A TE link may be made by multiple physical resources interconnecting Label Switched Routers (LSRs), that are combined together for scalability reasons.

Current definition of LMP consists of two mandatory procedures:

- Control channel management: used to maintain control channels connectivity between adjacent LSRs. Such procedure is based on the exchange of a message called Config message followed by a lightweight keep-alive message exchange

- Link property correlation: used to combine multiple physical links into a single TE link.

and two optional procedures:

- Link verification: used to verify the connectivity of the physical links composing a TE link and to exchange their Interface\_Ids

- Fault management: used to suppress alarms and locate failures. This feature may not be needed in G.709 networks because fault management mechanisms are provided by the G.709 architecture.

This document defines G.709 technology specific information needed when running LMP. In particular it is focused on link verification and link property correlation functionalities and the G.709 test procedures they are based on. Such procedures require the definition of a G.709 specific TRACE object. After data links have been verified, it is possible to group them into the TE links.

# 3. Verifying Link Connectivity

[RFC4204] defines a link verification procedure based on the in-band transmission of Test messages over the data links. It is used to

verify the physical connectivity of such links, to discover data plane resources and to exchange the Interface Ids. It is also possible to use a single procedure to verify multiple data links and correlate the information collected by means of the Verify\_Id assigned to the procedure.

The link verification procedure works as follows:

- BeginVerify message: the local node sends a BeginVerify message over a control channel. It includes a BEGIN VERIFY object which contains all the parameters characterizing the data link like, for example, the number of data links that must be verified, the transmission interval of the Test messages or the wavelength over which the Test messages will be sent.

- BeginVerifyAck: if the remote node, upon receiving a BeginVerify message, is ready to begin the procedure, it replies with a BeginVerifyAck message. Such message specifies the desired transport mechanism for the Test messages and the Verify\_Id of the procedure assigned by the remote node.

- Data link Testing: the local node, upon receiving the BeginVerifyAck message, can begin testing the data links repeatedly sending Test messages over them. The remote node will reply either with a TestStatsSuccess or a TestStatusFailure for each data link. As a consequence the local node will send a TestStatusAck.

- End of testing: The local node can terminate the Test procedure at anytime just sending an EndVerifyMessage towards the remote node.

Evolutive OTNs need the support from LMP for the testing of all the possible data links defined by ITU-T. This document provides, at present, support to the data links defined by G.709 and G.709 amendment 3 recommendations and to G.Sup43 temporary document.

The BEGIN\_VERIFY class is defined in <u>Section 13.8 of [RFC4204]</u>. The following fields are extended: Encoding Type, Verify Transport Mechanism and Transmission Rate.

# 3.1. Encoding Type

The Encoding Type identifies the type of encoding supported by the interface. LMP encoding type is consistent with the LSP encoding types defined for RSVP-TE [RFC3471]. In particular, the value to be used for G.709 hierarchy ODU and OTU signals is "Digital Wrapper".

# <u>3.2</u>. Verify Transport Mechanism

This field defines the transport mechanism for the Test messages and its scope depends on each encoding type. It is a 16 bit mask set by the local node where each bit identifies the various mechanisms it can support for LMP test messages transmission. This document defines the field values with respect to the G.709 digital encoding (they are expressed in network byte order).

- 0x01 OTUk TTI: 64 byte Test Message

Capability of transmitting Test messages using OTUk Trail Trace Identifier (TTI) overhead with frame length of 64 bytes. See ITU G.709 <u>Section 15.2</u> and <u>Section 15.7</u> for the structure and definition. The Test message is sent according to [<u>RFC4204</u>].

- 0x02 ODUk TTI: 64 byte Test Message

Capability of transmitting Test messages using ODUk Trail Trace Identifier (TTI) overhead with frame length of 64 bytes. See ITU G.709 <u>Section 15.2</u> and <u>Section 15.8</u> for the structure and definition. The Test message is sent according to [<u>RFC4204</u>].

- 0x04 GCC0: Test Message over the GCC0

Capability of transmitting Test messages using the OTUk Overhead General Communications Channel (GCCO). See ITU G.709 <u>Section 15.7</u> for the structure and definition. The Test message is sent according to [<u>RFC4204</u>] using bit-oriented HDLC framing format [<u>RFC1662</u>].

- 0x08 GCC1/2: Test Message over the GCC1/2

Capability of transmitting Test messages using the ODUk Overhead General Communications Channels (GCC1/2). See ITU G.709 <u>Section</u> 15.8 for the structure and definition. The Test message is sent according to [RFC4204] using bit-oriented HDLC framing format [RFC1662].

- 0x10 OTUk TTI - Section Trace Correlation

Capability of transmitting OTUk Trail Trace Identifier (TTI) as defined in ITU-T G.709. The Test message is not transmitted using the OTUk TTI overhead bytes (i.e. data link), but is sent over the control channel and correlated for consistency to the received pattern. The correlation between the Interface\_Id and the in-band pattern is achieved using the TRACE Object as defined in <u>Section 4</u> of [RFC4207]. No modification to TestStatusSuccess or TestStatusFailure messages is required.

- 0x20 ODUk TTI - Path Trace Correlation

Capability of transmitting ODUk Trail Trace Identifier (TTI) as defined in ITU-T G.709. The Test message is not transmitted using the OTUk TTI overhead bytes (i.e. data link), but is sent over the control channel and correlated for consistency to the received pattern. The correlation between the Interface\_Id the Test message is sent from and the pattern sent in-band is achieved using the TRACE Object as defined in <u>Section 4 of [RFC4207]</u>. No modification to TestStatusSuccess or TestStatusFailure messages is required.

#### <u>3.3</u>. Transmission Rate

The transmission rate of the data links where the link verification procedure can be performed is defined into the TransmissionRate field of the BEGIN\_VERIFY class ([RFC4204] Section 13.8). Values are expressed in IEEE floating point format using a 32-bit number field and expressed in bytes per second. The following table defines the values to be used in OTNs. Non normative Signal Types are marked with (\*):

+		+
Signal Type	Bit-rate (k	bps)   Value (Bytes/Sec)
ODU0	1 244 16	0   0x4D1450C0
0DU1	2 498 77	5   0x4D94F048
OTU1	2 666 05	7   0x4D9EE8CD
0DU2	10 037 27	4   0x4E959129
0TU2	10 709 22	6   0x4E9F9475
0DU2e   +	10 399 52	5   0x4E9AF70A
0DU3	40 319 21	9   0X4F963367
OTU3	43 018 41	6   0X4FA0418F
0DU3e1*	41 774 36	4   0x4F9B9F23
0TU3e1*	44 570 97	4   0x4FA60A31
0DU3e2*	41 785 96	8   0x4F9BAA34
0TU3e2*	44 583 35	5   0x4FA61600
0DU4	104 794 44	5   0x504331E3
0TU4	111 809 973	3   0x50504326

3

+----+

Transmission Rate values (Bytes/Sec)

#### 4. Trace Monitoring

[RFC4207] describes the set of trace monitoring procedures that allow a node to do trace monitoring by using the G.709 hierarchy capabilities.

This document defines a new C-Type of the TRACE Object class used for Trace Monitoring features as defined in [RFC4207].

### 4.1. TRACE Object for evolutive OTN

The TRACE Object Class assigned by IANA is 21. A new C-Type is TBA and value 2 is suggested. The TRACE Object format is the same as defined in [RFC4207] and is shown in the following:

0 2 1 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 |N| C-Type | Class | Length Trace Type | Trace Length | 11 11 Trace Message 

#### TRACE Object Class

Trace Type: 16 bits

The Trace Type field is used to identify the type of the trace message. The following values are defined and all other values are reserved and should be sent as zero and ignored on receipt.

1 = OTUK TTI 2 = ODUK TTI 3 = Level 1 ODUKT TTI 4 = Level 2 ODUKT TTI 5 = Level 3 ODUKT TTI 6 = Level 4 ODUKT TTI 7 = Level 5 ODUKT TTI 8 = Level 6 ODUKT TTI (default for layer adjacency discovery)

It shall be noted that an Amendment to ITU-T G.7714.1 is planned to be approved in June 2010 that defines an extension for OTN layer adjacency discovery based on the ODUk TCM function (ODUkT) providing 6 TCM levels. By default the TCM level 6 SHALL be used.

Trace Length: 16 bits

Expresses the length of the trace message in bytes (as specified by the Trace Type).

Trace Message:

This field includes the value of the expected message to be received in-band. The valid length and value combinations are determined by the ITU.T G.709 recommendation. The message MUST be padded with zeros to a 32-bit boundary, if necessary. Trace Length does not include padding zeroes.

This object is non negotiable.

# <u>4.2</u>. Discovery Response Message for Layer Adjacency Discovery

ITU-T Recommendation G.7714.1 [ITUT-G.7714.1] describes an automatic layer adjacency discovery procedure that can be applied to the ITU-T G.709 OTN technology. The discovery message can be sent to the adjacent node via the Trail Trace Identifier (TTI) and <u>Appendix I</u>II of G.7714.1 describes how the discovery response message can be sent back to the originator of the discovery message (discovery agent in G.7714.1 terminology) using the LMP protocol.

As defined in [ITUT-G.7714.1], the TraceMonitor message [RFC4207] is used to convey the discovery response message. The following mapping table shows how the discovery response message attributes are mapped to TraceMonitor message objects or other fields of the LMP message (see G.7714.1, section 11 for the description of the attributes):

### Internet-Draft

L

April 2011

G.7714.1 discovery response   message attribute	TraceMonitor/LMP message field
<pre><received da="" dcn="" id="">  </received></pre>	<trace>: received discovery message</trace>
<pre><received tcp-id="">  </received></pre>	<trace>: received discovery message</trace>
<sent da="" dcn="" id="">  </sent>	IP source address in the IP header
<sent tcp-id="" tx="">  </sent>	identical to <sent rx="" tcp-id=""></sent>
   Sent Rx TCP-ID> 	<local_interface_id></local_interface_id>

The received TTI, more specifically the discovery message in the SAPI field contains the <Received DA DCN ID> and the <Received TCP-ID>. These attributes are included in the discovery response message by copying the received TTI into the <TRACE> field of the TraceMonitor message.

The IP address of the node sending the discovery response message corresponds to the <Sent DA DCN ID> and is the IP source address in the IP header of the LMP TraceMonitor message.

Typically, the Trail Connection Point (TCP-)IDs in transmit and receive direction are identical for OTN equipment, i.e., the <Sent Rx TCP-ID> is identical to the <Sent Tx TCP-ID>. The <Sent Rx TCP-ID> identifies the TCP on which the Discovery Message was received and corresponds to the <LOCAL\_INTERFACE\_ID> object in the TraceMonitor message.

### **<u>5</u>**. Security Considerations

TBD

### <u>6</u>. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Attila Takacs, Andras Kern, Sergio Belotti and Pietro Grandi for the kind review of the ID and the valuable comments provided.

### 7. IANA Considerations

A new C-Type value for the Trace Object Class (21) is TBA by IANA.

#### 8. References

#### 8.1. Normative References

- [ITUT-G.7714.1] ITU-T, "Protocol for automatic discovery in SDH and OTN networks, G.7714.1 Recommendation", April 2003.
- [RFC1662] Simpson, W., "PPP in HDLC-like Framing", STD 51, <u>RFC 1662</u>, July 1994.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, March 1997.
- [RFC3471] Berger, L., "Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching (GMPLS) Signaling Functional Description", <u>RFC 3471</u>, January 2003.
- [RFC3945] Mannie, E., "Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching (GMPLS) Architecture", <u>RFC 3945</u>, October 2004.
- [RFC4204] Lang, J., "Link Management Protocol (LMP)", <u>RFC 4204</u>, October 2005.
- [RFC4207] Lang, J. and D. Papadimitriou, "Synchronous Optical Network (SONET)/Synchronous Digital Hierarchy (SDH) Encoding for Link Management Protocol (LMP) Test Messages", <u>RFC 4207</u>, October 2005.

# 8.2. Informative References

[ITUT-G.709]

ITU-T, "Interface for the Optical Transport Network (OTN)", G.709 Recommendation (and Amendment 1), February 2001.

April 2011

Authors' Addresses Daniele Ceccarelli Ericsson Via A. Negrone 1/A Genova - Sestri Ponente Italy Email: daniele.ceccarelli@ericsson.com Diego Caviglia Ericsson Via A. Negrone 1/A Genova - Sestri Ponente Italy Email: diego.caviglia@ericsson.com Francesco Fondelli Ericsson Via A. Negrone 1/A Genova - Sestri Ponente Italy Email: francesco.fondelli@ericsson.com Fatai Zhang Huawei Technologies F3-5-B R&D Center, Huawei Base Shenzhen 518129 P.R.China Bantian, Longgang District Phone: +86-755-28972912 Email: zhangfatai@huawei.com Dan Li Huawei Technologies F3-5-B R&D Center, Huawei Base Shenzhen 518129 P.R.China Bantian, Longgang District Phone: +86-755-28973237 Email: danli@huawei.com

Marco Corsi Altran Via A. Negrone 1/A Genova - Sestri Ponente Italy

Email: marco.corsi@altran.it

Dieter Beller Alcatel-Lucent Lorenzstrasse 10 Stuttgart 70435 Germany

Email: dieter.beller@alcatel-lucent.com