IDR R. Chen Internet-Draft S. Peng

Intended status: Standards Track ZTE Corporation

P. Psenak Cisco Systems

10 September 2021

Updates to Algorithm Related Adjacency SID Advertisement in RF9085 draft-chen-idr-bgp-ls-algorithm-related-adj-sid-00

Abstract

This draft updates [RFC9085] to defines extensions to the Border Gateway Protocol-Link State (BGP-LS) address family in order to carry algorithm Related Adjacency SID.

Status of This Memo

Expires: 14 March 2022

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}}$ 78 and $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}}$ 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 14 March 2022.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2021 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

$\underline{1}$. Introduction	2
<u>1.1</u> . Requirements Language	2
2. BGP-LS Extensions for link Attribute	2
2.1. Adjacency SID per Algorithm TLV	2
2.2. LAN Adjacency SID per Algorithm TLV	3
$\underline{3}$. Acknowledgements	5
$\underline{4}$. IANA Considerations	5
$\underline{5}$. Security Considerations	5
<u>6</u> . References	5
<u>6.1</u> . Normative References	5
Authors' Addresses	6

1. Introduction

[I-D.ietf-lsr-algorithm-related-adjacency-sid] defines the algorithm identifier can be included as part of an Adjacency-SID advertisement for SR-MPLS.

[RFC9085] defines extensions to the Border Gateway Protocol-Link State (BGP-LS) address family in order to carry SR information via BGP, and an algorithm identifier is included as part of the Prefix-SID TLV advertisement. This draft updates [RFC9085] and defines extensions to the Border Gateway Protocol-Link State (BGP-LS) address family in order to carry algorithm Related Adjacency SID.

1.1. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

2. BGP-LS Extensions for link Attribute

2.1. Adjacency SID per Algorithm TLV

The Adjacency SID per Algorithm TLV is used in order to advertise information related to an algorithm Related Adjacency SID. This information is derived from the Adjacency Segment Identifier (Adj-SID) per Algorithm Sub-TLV of ISIS/OSPFv2/OSPFv3(Section 4 of [I-D.ietf-lsr-algorithm-related-adjacency-sid]).

The Adjacency SID per Algorithm TLV has the following format:

Chen, et al. Expires 14 March 2022

[Page 2]

Figure 1

where:

Type: TBD1 (Suggested value to be assigned by IANA)

Length: variable.

Flags: 1-octet value that should be set as:

- * IS-IS Adj-SID per Algorithm flags as defined in Section 4.1.1 of [I-D.ietf-lsr-algorithm-related-adjacency-sid]).
- * OSPFv2 Adj-SID per Algorithm Sub-TLV as defined in Section 4.2.1 of [I-D.ietf-lsr-algorithm-related-adjacency-sid]).
- * OSPFv3 Adj-SID per Algorithm Sub-TLV as defined in Section 4.3.1 of [I-D.ietf-lsr-algorithm-related-adjacency-sid]).

Weight: 1 octet carrying the weight used for load-balancing purposes. The use of weight is described in <u>Section 3.4 of [RFC8402]</u>.

Algorithm: Refer to ISIS/OSPFv2/OSPFv3 Adj-SID per Algorithm([<u>I-D.ietf-lsr-algorithm-related-adjacency-sid</u>]).

Reserved: 1-octet that MUST be set to 0 and ignored on receipt.

SID/Label/Index:Refer to ISIS/OSPFv2/OSPFv3 Adj-SID per Algorithm([I-D.ietf-lsr-algorithm-related-adjacency-sid]).

2.2. LAN Adjacency SID per Algorithm TLV

This information is derived from LAN-Adj-SID per Algorithm Sub-TLV of ISIS/OSPFv2/OSPFv3(Section 4 of [I-D.ietf-lsr-algorithm-related-adjacency-sid]).

The LAN Adjacency SID per Algorithm TLV has the following format:

Figure 2

where:

Type: TBD2 (Suggested value to be assigned by IANA)

Length: variable.

Flags: 1-octet value that should be set as:

- * IS-IS LAN-Adj-SID per Algorithm flags as defined in Section 4.1.2 of [I-D.ietf-lsr-algorithm-related-adjacency-sid]).
- * OSPFv2 LAN-Adj-SID per Algorithm Sub-TLV as defined in Section 4.2.2 of [I-D.ietf-lsr-algorithm-related-adjacency-sid]).
- * OSPFv3 LAN-Adj-SID per Algorithm Sub-TLV as defined in Section 4.3.2 of [I-D.ietf-lsr-algorithm-related-adjacency-sid]).

Weight: 1 octet carrying the weight used for load-balancing purposes. The use of weight is described in <u>Section 3.4 of [RFC8402]</u>.

Algorithm: Refer to ISIS/OSPFv2/OSPFv3 LAN-Adj-SID per Algorithm([I-D.ietf-lsr-algorithm-related-adjacency-sid]).

Reserved: 1-octet that MUST be set to 0 and ignored on receipt.

Neighbor ID/IS-IS System ID: Refer to ISIS/OSPFv2/OSPFv3 LAN-Adj-SID per Algorithm([<u>I-D.ietf-lsr-algorithm-related-adjacency-sid</u>]).

Chen, et al. Expires 14 March 2022

[Page 4]

SID/Label/Index:Refer to ISIS/OSPFv2/OSPFv3 LAN-Adj-SID per Algorithm([I-D.ietf-lsr-algorithm-related-adjacency-sid]).

3. Acknowledgements

TBD.

4. IANA Considerations

This document makes the following Link Attribute TLVs registry under the "Border Gateway Protocol-Link State (BGP-LS) Parameter".

+=====+================================
Type Description
+====+
TBD1 Adjacency SID per Algorithm
TBD2 LAN Adjacency SID per Algorithm

Figure 3

5. Security Considerations

Procedures and protocol extensions defined in this document do not affect the BGP-LS security model. See the "Security Considerations" section of [RFC9085] for a discussion of SR BGP-LS security.

6. References

6.1. Normative References

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate
Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119,
DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997,
<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>.

[RFC8402] Filsfils, C., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>RFC 8402</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC8402, July 2018, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8402>.

Authors' Addresses

Ran Chen ZTE Corporation Nanjing China

Email: chen.ran@zte.com.cn

Shaofu Peng ZTE Corporation Nanjing China

Email: peng.shaofu@zte.com.cn

Peter Psenak Cisco Systems Slovakia

Email: ppsenak@cisco.com