Workgroup: Internet Engineering Task Force

Internet-Draft:

draft-chen-idr-bgp-ls-security-capability-00

Published: 6 March 2023

Intended Status: Informational

Expires: 7 September 2023

Authors: Chen, Ed. L. Su

China Mobile China Mobile

the extensions of BGP-LS to carry security capabilities

Abstract

The goal is to collect the security capabilities of nodes, which will be one of the factors to form the routing topology, and use the routing programming capabilities to form a secure routing path.

The BGP-LS protocol is extended to carry the security capabilities of the node. The controller collects topology information, forms a topology path with security capabilities according to security requirements, and supports SRv6 path sending to execute node forwarding through programming.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 7 September 2023.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2023 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents

(https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with

respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

Table of Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. BGP-LS node type carries security capability
 - 2.1. Collection model of security capabilities
 - 2.2. New Node Attribute TLVs
 - 2.3. Usage of new attribute
- 3. BGP-LS Link type carries security capability
 - 3.1. Collection model of security capabilities
 - 3.2. New Link Attribute TLVs
 - 3.3. Useage of new attribute
- 4. BGP-LS Prefix type carries security capability
 - 4.1. Collection model of security capabilities
 - 4.2. New Link Attribute TLVs
 - 4.3. Usage of new attribute
- 5. IANA Considerations
- 6. Security Considerations

<u>Authors' Addresses</u>

1. Introduction

SRv6 (Segment Routing IPv6, IPv6 segment routing) is based on source routing and centralized routing. It can realize network intelligent programming and select forwarding paths according to customer needs. At present, there is a lack of effective technical means to inject security factors into the process of collecting network topology and centralized routing to achieve safe routing path forwarding.

The most important reason for using BGP-LS as the extended basic protocol is that BGP-LS shields the differences of other routing protocols, and the underlying routing protocol types do not need to be considered when transmitting security capabilities.

RFC7752 standardized North-Bound Distribution of Link-State and Traffic Engineering (TE) Information Using BGP, describes a mechanism by which link-state and TE information can be collected from networks and shared with external components using the BGP routing protocol, using a new BGP Network Layer Reachability Information (NLRI) encoding format.

BGP-LS is a new way to collect network topology. The topology information discovered by the IGP protocol is summarized by the BGP protocol and sent to the upper controller. With the powerful routing and routing capabilities of the BGP protocol, there are three types

of BGP-LS routes, which are used to carry node, link and route prefix information respectively. The three routes cooperate with each other to complete the transmission of topology information. The node routing function is to record the node information of the topology, the link routing function is to record the link information between two devices, and the address prefix routing function is to record the network segment information that the node can reach.

The state information NLRI collected by BGP-LS is described in TLV (type/length/value triplet) format. Each link state described by NLRI can identify a node, link or prefix. Therefore, three types of NLRI are newly set in the standard, of which type 3 and 4 are used to distinguish the prefix of IPv4 and IPv6. There are only two types of NLRI attributes in the original BGP protocol: MP_ REACH_ NLRI, attribute type 14; MP_ UNREACH_ NLRI, attribute type 15.

2. BGP-LS node type carries security capability

2.1. Collection model of security capabilities




Figure1: Router and attached security products are used as node units

2.2. New Node Attribute TLVs

The Local Node Descriptors TLV contains Node Descriptors for the node anchoring the local end of the link. This is a mandatory TLV in all three types of NLRIs (node, link, and prefix).

Figure 2: Local Node Descriptors TLV Format

Node attribute TLVs are the TLVs that may be encoded in the BGP-LS attribute with a Node NLRI. The following Node Attribute TLVs are defined:

+		.+	++
	TLV Code	Description	Length
	Point		
	263	Multi-Topology	variable
		Identifier	Ι Ι
	1024	Node Flag Bits	1
	1025	Opaque Node	variable
		Attribute	l I
	1026	Node Name	variable
	1027	IS-IS Area	variable
		Identifier	I I
	1028	IPv4 Router-ID of	4
		Local Node	
	1029	IPv6 Router-ID of	16
		Local Node	I I
+		+	++

Table 3: Node Attribute TLVs

The security capability is transferred by adding the security capability attribute to the attributes of the local node.

+	TLV Code Point	-+ Description 	++ Length
	1030	Node Security Capability	variable

Table 4: New Node Attribute TLV

2.3. Usage of new attribute

When programming the routing path, take the security capability requirement as one of the inputs. The description of the security capability requirement can be structured or one-dimensional matrix, which only needs to be consistent with the router's security capability description; There are many routing rules. After introducing security capability requirements, it is necessary to dynamically adjust the security capability as the position of routing rules according to the requirements. The main rule strategies are: ① Select the routing node that meets the security requirements as the forwarding node when the path is reachable; ② Select the shortest path when all the safety requirements are met; ③ When the same path length and security requirements are met, select the path with small load for forwarding.

3. BGP-LS Link type carries security capability

3.1. Collection model of security capabilities




Figure 5: The peer node transmits the security capability through the li

The router and its attached security products are the basic units. When collecting status information, only some nodes can directly transmit the node status information to the controller through the BGP-LS protocol. Other nodes that do not directly transmit the node information need to transmit the node information to the direct node to achieve the transmission of security capability information. Therefore, for non direct nodes, It is required to report its own

security capability information through the BGP-LS link state data packet.

3.2. New Link Attribute TLVs

```
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-+-+-+-+-+-+
| Protocol-ID |
Identifier
          (64 bits)
//
     Local Node Descriptors (variable)
                    //
Remote Node Descriptors (variable)
Link Descriptors (variable)
                       //
```

Figure 6: The Link NLRI Format

+	+	++
TLV Code	Description	IS-IS TLV
Point	i i	/Sub-TLV
+	+	++
1028	IPv4 Router-ID of	134/
i	Local Node	I İ
1029	IPv6 Router-ID of	140/
İ	Local Node	i i
1030	IPv4 Router-ID of	134/
İ	Remote Node	
1031	IPv6 Router-ID of	140/
	Remote Node	
1088	Administrative	22/3
1	group (color)	
1089	Maximum link	22/9
1	bandwidth	
1090	Max. reservable	22/10
1	link bandwidth	
1091	Unreserved	22/11
1	bandwidth	
1092	TE Default Metric	22/18
1093	Link Protection	22/20
1	Type	[
1094	MPLS Protocol Mask	
1095	IGP Metric	
1096	Shared Risk Link	
	Group	
1097	Opaque Link	
1	Attribute	
1098	Link Name	
+	+	++

Table 7: Link Attribute TLVs

The new attribute describes the link security capability and transmits the link security capability information through this attribute.

 	TLV Code Point	•	 	IS-IS TLV /Sub-TLV	
Ì	1099	Link security info	İ		İ

Table 8: New Link Attribute TLVs

3.3. Useage of new attribute




Figure 9: Assosiation security capability interaction

The Assosiation security capability depends on the security capability enabled by the node. As a node directly connected to the controller, node B first interacts with the enabled security capability information of the opposite end in a two-way manner, and then the opposite end initiates the transmission of the assosiation security capability information.

The decision of assosiation security capability can be divided into two situations: one is under the same security domain, and the other is under different security domains. 1. The decision rules for link security capabilities under different security domains are as follows: SCing represents the enabled security capabilities of a node. Example: SCing A=[1,0,0,1,0,.....] SCing B=[1,1,0,1,0,.....] SCing Assosiation<A, B> = SCing A && SCing B

When the link passes through more than two nodes, it is necessary to logically and operate the security capabilities of all nodes in the path to obtain the link security capabilities.

1. The decision rules of assosiation security capability in the same security domain are as follows: SCing indicates the security capability of a node that has been enabled. Example: SCing A=[1,0,0,1,0,....],SCing B=[1,1,0,1,0,....],SCing Assosiation<A,B> = SCing A || SCing B

When the link passes through more than two nodes, it is necessary to logically or operate the security capabilities of all nodes in the path to obtain the link security capabilities.

4. BGP-LS Prefix type carries security capability

4.1. Collection model of security capabilities




Figure 10: Security capability is transferred between ASs through Prefix

The router and its attached security products are the basic units. When collecting the status information, only some nodes can directly transmit the node status information to the controller through the BGP-LS protocol. Other nodes that do not directly transmit the node information need to transmit the node information to the directly connected node to achieve the transmission of security capability information. In the figure, nodes A and E are direct connected nodes, which are connected to their respective controllers. Nodes A and E are responsible for collecting the security capabilities of other nodes in their respective fields.

4.2. New Link Attribute TLVs

The IPv4 and IPv6 Prefix NLRIs (NLRI Type = 3 and Type = 4) use the same format, as shown in the following figure.

```
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4
```

Figure 11: The IPv4/IPv6 Topology Prefix NLRI Format

+	TLV Code	-+	++
	Point	Description 	Length
+		-+	++
	1152	IGP Flags	1
	1153	IGP Route Tag	4*n
	1154	IGP Extended Route	8*n
		Tag	1
	1155	Prefix Metric	4
	1156	OSPF Forwarding	4
		Address	1
	1157	Opaque Prefix	variable
		Attribute	1
+		-+	++

Table 12: Prefix Attribute TLVs

An AS has at least one super direct connection node, which has the security capability information of all nodes under the AS. By adding new attributes to Prefix, the security capabilities of the entire AS can be transferred.

 	TLV Code Point	-+	Length
İ	1158	AS security capabilities	variable

AS Security capabilities means the security capability information of all nodes under the AS, that is, the security capability information of all nodes is spliced, such as {[IP address (A)+node security capability], [IP address (B)+node security capability]...}.

4.3. Usage of new attribute

5. IANA Considerations

This memo includes no request to IANA.

6. Security Considerations

TBD

Authors' Addresses

Meiling Chen (editor) China Mobile BeiJing China

Email: chenmeiling@chinamobile.com

Li Su China Mobile BeiJing China

Email: suli@chinamobile.com