

Networking Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: May 7, 2020

Ran. Chen
Zheng. Zhang
ZTE Corporation
Senthil. Dhanaraj
Huawei
Fengwei. Qin
China Mobile
November 4, 2019

PCEP Extensions for BIER-TE
draft-chen-pce-bier-06

Abstract

Bit Index Explicit Replication (BIER)-TE shares architecture and packet formats with BIER as described in [RFC8279]. BIER-TE forwards and replicates packets based on a BitString in the packet header, but every BitPosition of the BitString of a BIER-TE packet indicates one or more adjacencies as described in [I-D.ietf-bier-te-arch]. BIER-TE Path can be derived from a Path Computation Element (PCE).

This document specifies extensions to the Path Computation Element Protocol (PCEP) that allow a PCE to compute and initiate the path for the BIER-TE.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on May 7, 2020.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2019 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

Internet-Draft

PCEP Ext for BIER-TE

November 2019

This document is subject to [BCP 78](https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Conventions used in this document	3
3.	Overview of PCEP Operation in BIER Networks	3
4.	Object Formats	3
4.1.	The OPEN Object	3
4.1.1.	The BIER-TE PCE Capability sub-TLV	3
4.2.	The RP/SRP Object	4
4.3.	END-POINTS object	4
4.4.	ERO Object	4
4.4.1.	BIER-ERO Subobject	5
4.4.2.	BIER-ERO Processing	6
5.	Security Considerations	6
6.	IANA Considerations	6
6.1.	PCEP Objects	6
6.1.1.	BIER-TE-PCE-CAPABILITY Sub-TLV Type Indicators	7
6.1.2.	New Path Setup Type	7
6.1.3.	BIER-ERO Subobject	7
6.1.4.	PCEP-Error Objects and Types	7
7.	Normative references	8
	Authors' Addresses	9

[1.](#) Introduction

Bit Index Explicit Replication (BIER)-TE shares architecture and packet formats with BIER as described in [\[RFC8279\]](#). BIER-TE forwards and replicates packets based on a BitString in the packet header, but every BitPosition of the BitString of a BIER-TE packet indicates one or more adjacencies as described in [\[I-D.ietf-bier-te-arch\]](#). BIER-TE Path can be derived from a Path Computation Element (PCE).

[RFC8231] specifies a set of extensions to PCEP that allow a PCE to

compute and recommend network paths in compliance with [[RFC4657](#)] and defines objects and TLVs for MPLS-TE LSPs.

This document uses a PCE for computing one or more BIER-TE paths taking into account various constraints and objective functions.

[2.](#) Conventions used in this document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119](#).

[3.](#) Overview of PCEP Operation in BIER Networks

BIER-TE forwards and replicates packets based on a BitString in the packet header, and every BitPosition of the BitString of a BIER-TE packet indicates one or more adjacencies as described in [[I-D.ietf-bier-te-arch](#)]. In a PCEP session, An ERO object specified in [[RFC5440](#)] can be extended to carry a BIER-TE path consists of one or more BIER-ERO subobject(s). BIER-TE computed by a PCE can be represented in the following forms:

- o An ordered set of adjacencies BitString(s) in which each bit represents that the adjacencies to which the BFR should replicate packets to in the domain.

In this document, we define a set of PCEP protocol extensions, including a new PCEP capability, a new Path Setup Type (PST), a new BIER END-POINT Object, new ERO subobjects, new PCEP error codes and procedures.

[4.](#) Object Formats

[4.1.](#) The OPEN Object

[4.1.1.](#) The BIER-TE PCE Capability sub-TLV

[[RFC8408](#)] defines the PATH-SETUP-TYPE-CAPABILITY TLV for use in the OPEN object. The PATH-SETUP-TYPE-CAPABILITY TLV contains an optional list of sub-TLVs which are intended to convey parameters that are associated with the path setup types supported by a PCEP speaker.

This document defines a new Path Setup Type (PST) for BIER as follows:

- o PST = TBD2: Path is setup using BIER Traffic Engineering technique.

A PCEP speaker MUST indicate its support of the function described in this document by sending a PATH-SETUP-TYPE-CAPABILITY TLV in the OPEN object with this new PST included in the PST list.

This document also defines the BIER-TE-PCE-CAPABILITY sub-TLV. PCEP speakers use this sub-TLV to exchange BIER capability. If a PCEP

speaker includes PST=TBD1 in the PST List of the PATH-SETUP-TYPE-CAPABILITY TLV then it MUST also include the BIER-TE-PCE-CAPABILITY sub-TLV inside the PATH-SETUP-TYPE-CAPABILITY TLV.

The format of the BIER-TE-PCE-CAPABILITY sub-TLV is shown in the following figure:




Figure 1 BIER-TE-PCE-CAPABILITY sub-TLV format

The code point for the TLV type is to be defined by IANA.

Length: 4 bytes.

The "Reserved" (2 octet) and "Flags" (2 octet) fields are currently unused, and MUST be set to zero on transmission and ignored on reception.

4.2. The RP/SRP Object

In order to setup an BIER-TE, a new PATH-SETUP-TYPE TLV MUST be

contained in RP/SRP object. This document defines a new Path Setup Type (PST=TBD2) for BIER-TE.

4.3. END-POINTS object

The END-POINTS object which is defined in [[RFC8306](#)] is used in a PCReq message to specify the BIER information of the path for which a path computation is requested. To represent the end points for a BIER path efficiently, we reuse the P2MP END-POINTS object body for IPv4(Object-Type 3) and END-POINTS object body for IPv6 (Object-Type 4) which is defined in [[RFC8306](#)].

4.4. ERO Object

BIER-TE consists of one or more adjacencies BitStrings where every BitPosition of the BitString indicates one or more adjacencies, as described in([RFC8279](#)).

The ERO object specified in [[RFC5440](#)] is used to encode the path of a TE LSP through the network. The ERO is carried within a PCRep message to provide the computed TE LSP if the path computation was successful. In order to carry BIER-TE explicit paths, this document defines a new ERO subobjects referred to as "BIER-ERO subobjects" whose formats are specified in the following section. An BIER-ERO subobjects carrying a adjacencies BitStrings consists of one or more BIER-ERO subobject(s).

4.4.1. BIER-ERO Subobject

```

0                               1                               2                               3
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|L|   Type=TBD3 |           Length           |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|   BS Length   | subdomain-id |           SI           |   Reserved   |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|               Adjacency BitString (first 32 bits)               ~
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+

```




Figure 3

The 'L' Flag: Indicates whether the subobject represents a loose-hop in the LSP[RFC3209]. If the bit is not set, the subobject represents a strict hop in the explicit route.

Type: TBD3

Length: 1 octet ([RFC3209]). Contains the total length of the subobject in octets. The Length MUST be at least 8, and MUST be a multiple of 4.

BS Length: A 1 octet field encodes the length in bits of the BitString as per [RFC8296], the maximum length of the BitString is 5, it indicates the length of BitString is 1024. It is used to refer to the number of bits in the BitString.

subdomain-id: Unique value identifying the BIER subdomain. 1 octet.

SI: Set Identifier ([Section 1 of \[RFC8279\]](#) used in the encapsulation for this BIER subdomain for this BitString length, 1 octet.

The "Reserved" (1 octets) fields are currently unused, and MUST be set to zero on transmission and ignored on reception.

Adjacency BitString: a variable length field encoding the Adjacency BitString where every BitPosition of the BitString indicates one or more adjacencies.the length of this field is according the BS length. The minimum value of this field is 64 bits, and the maximum value of this field is 1024 bits.

Notice:

The maximum value of BS Length is limited to the 1024 bits, in case

the BIER-ERO Subobject is too long.

[4.4.2.](#) BIER-ERO Processing

The ERO and SR-ERO subobject processing remains as per [\[RFC5440\]](#).

If a PCC receives an BIER-ERO subobject in which either BitStringLength or Adjacency BitString or SI is absent, it MUST consider the entire BIER-ERO subobject invalid and send a PCErr message with Error-Type = 10 ("Reception of an invalid object"), Error-Value = TBD5 ("BitStringLength is absent ") or Error-Value = TBD6 ("Adjacency BitString is absent") or Error-Value = TBD7 ("SI is absent ").

If a PCC receives an BIER-ERO subobject in which BitStringLength values are not chosen from: 64, 128, 256, 512, 1024, as it described in ([\[RFC8279\]](#)). The PCC MUST send a PCErr message with Error-Type =10 ("Reception of an invalid object") and Error-Value = TBD8 ("Invalid BitStringLength").

[5.](#) Security Considerations

TBD.

[6.](#) IANA Considerations

[6.1.](#) PCEP Objects

IANA has made the following Object-Type allocations from the "PCEP Objects" sub-registry.

[6.1.1.](#) BIER-TE-PCE-CAPABILITY Sub-TLV Type Indicators

vlaue	Meaning	Reference
-----	-----	-----
TBD1	BIER-TE-PCE-CAPABILITY	This Document

[6.1.2.](#) New Path Setup Type

Value	Meaning	Reference
TBD2	Path is setup using BIER Traffic Engineering technique	This Document

[6.1.3.](#) BIER-ERO Subobject

This document defines a new subobject type for the BIER explicit route object (ERO). The code points for subobject types of these objects is maintained in the RSVP parameters registry.

Object	Sub-Object	Sub-Object Type
EXPLICIT_ROUTE	BIER-ERO (PCEP-specific)	TBD3

[6.1.4.](#) PCEP-Error Objects and Types

IANA is requested to allocate code-points in the "PCEP-ERROR Object Error Types and Values" subregistry for the following new error-types and error-values:

-----	-----	-----
10	Reception of an invalid object	RFC5440
	Error-value = TBD4	This document
	BitStringLength is absent	
	Error-value = TBD5	This document
	Adjacency BitString is absent	
	Error-value = TBD6	This document
	SI is absent	
	Error-value = TBD7	This document
	Invalid BitStringLength	

7. Normative references

- [I-D.ietf-bier-te-arch]
Eckert, T., Cauchie, G., and M. Menth, "Traffic Engineering for Bit Index Explicit Replication (BIER-TE)", [draft-ietf-bier-te-arch-05](#) (work in progress), November 2019.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC3209] Awduche, D., Berger, L., Gan, D., Li, T., Srinivasan, V., and G. Swallow, "RSVP-TE: Extensions to RSVP for LSP Tunnels", [RFC 3209](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3209, December 2001, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3209>>.
- [RFC4657] Ash, J., Ed. and J. Le Roux, Ed., "Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication Protocol Generic Requirements", [RFC 4657](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4657, September 2006, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4657>>.
- [RFC5440] Vasseur, JP., Ed. and JL. Le Roux, Ed., "Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication Protocol (PCEP)", [RFC 5440](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5440, March 2009, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5440>>.

- [RFC8231] Crabbe, E., Minei, I., Medved, J., and R. Varga, "Path Computation Element Communication Protocol (PCEP) Extensions for Stateful PCE", [RFC 8231](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8231, September 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8231>>.
- [RFC8279] Wijnands, IJ., Ed., Rosen, E., Ed., Dolganow, A., Przygienda, T., and S. Aldrin, "Multicast Using Bit Index Explicit Replication (BIER)", [RFC 8279](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8279, November 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8279>>.
- [RFC8296] Wijnands, IJ., Ed., Rosen, E., Ed., Dolganow, A., Tantsura, J., Aldrin, S., and I. Meilik, "Encapsulation for Bit Index Explicit Replication (BIER) in MPLS and Non-MPLS Networks", [RFC 8296](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8296, January 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8296>>.
- [RFC8306] Zhao, Q., Dhody, D., Ed., Palleti, R., and D. King, "Extensions to the Path Computation Element Communication Protocol (PCEP) for Point-to-Multipoint Traffic Engineering Label Switched Paths", [RFC 8306](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8306, November 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8306>>.
- [RFC8408] Sivabalan, S., Tantsura, J., Minei, I., Varga, R., and J. Hardwick, "Conveying Path Setup Type in PCE Communication Protocol (PCEP) Messages", [RFC 8408](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8408, July 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8408>>.

Authors' Addresses

Ran Chen
ZTE Corporation

Email: chen.ran@zte.com.cn

Zheng Zhang
ZTE Corporation

Email: zhang.zheng@zte.com.cn

Senthil Dhanaraj
Huawei

Email: senthil.dhanaraj.ietf@gmail.com

Chen, et al.

Expires May 7, 2020

[Page 9]

Internet-Draft

PCEP Ext for BIER-TE

November 2019

Fengwei Qin
China Mobile

Email: qinfengwei@chinamobile.com

