

PCE
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: 25 August 2024

R. Chen
ZTE Corporation
S. Sidor
Cisco Systems, Inc.
C. Zhu
ZTE Corporation
A. Tokar
M. Koldychev
Cisco Systems, Inc.
22 February 2024

**PCEP Extensions for sid verification for SR-MPLS
draft-chen-pce-sr-mpls-sid-verification-08**

Abstract

This document defines a new flag for indicating the headend is explicitly requested to verify SID(s) by the PCE.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 25 August 2024.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2024 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the [Trust Legal Provisions](#) and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

Table of Contents

- [1.](#) Introduction [2](#)
- [1.1.](#) Requirements Language [2](#)
- [2.](#) SID verification flag(V-Flag) [3](#)
- [2.1.](#) V-Flag in SR-ERO Subobject [3](#)
- [2.2.](#) V-Flag in SR-RR0 Subobject [3](#)
- [2.3.](#) SID verification Processing [3](#)
- [3.](#) Acknowledgements [3](#)
- [4.](#) IANA Considerations [3](#)
- [4.1.](#) SR-ERO Subobject [3](#)
- [5.](#) Security Considerations [4](#)
- [6.](#) Normative References [4](#)
- Authors' Addresses [4](#)

1. Introduction

[RFC9256] describes the "SID verification" bit usage. SID verification is performed when the headend is explicitly requested to verify SID(s) by the controller via the signaling protocol used. Implementations MAY provide a local configuration option to enable verification on a global or per policy or per candidate path basis.

[RFC8664] specifies extensions to the Path Computation Element Communication Protocol (PCEP) that allow a stateful PCE to compute and initiate Traffic-Engineering (TE) paths, as well as a Path Computation Client (PCC) to request a path subject to certain constraints and optimization criteria in SR networks.

This document defines a new flag for indicating the headend is explicitly requested to verify SID(s) by the PCE.

1.1. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [BCP 14](#) [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

2. SID verification flag(V-Flag)

2.1. V-Flag in SR-ERO Subobject

[Section 4.3.1](#) in Path Computation Element Communication Protocol (PCEP) Extensions for Segment Routing [[RFC8664](#)] describes a new ERO subobject referred to as the "SR-ERO subobject" to carry a SID and/or NAI information. A new flag is proposed in this document in the SR-ERO Subobject [[RFC8664](#)] for indicating the pcc is explicitly requested to verify SID(s) by the PCE.

V 1bit TBD : When the V-Flag is set then PCC MUST consider the "SID verification".

2.2. V-Flag in SR-RRO Subobject

The format of the SR-RRO subobject is the same as that of the SR-ERO subobject, but without the L-Flag, per [[RFC8664](#)].

The V flag has no meaning in the SR-RRO and is ignored on receipt at the PCE.

2.3. SID verification Processing

On receiving an SR-ERO with the V-flag is set, a PCC MUST verify SID(s) as described in [Section 5.1 in \[RFC9256\]](#).

If a PCC "Verification fails" for a SID, it MUST report this error by including the LSP-ERROR-CODE TLV with LSP error-value "SID Verification fails" in the LSP object in the PCRpt message to the PCE.

3. Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Dhruv Dhody and John Scudder for their useful comments and suggestions.

4. IANA Considerations

4.1. SR-ERO Subobject

This document defines a new bit value in the sub-registry "SR-ERO Flag Field" in the "Path Computation Element Protocol (PCEP) Numbers" registry.

| Bit | Name | Reference |
|-----|---------------------|---------------|
| TBD | SID verification(V) | This document |

Figure 1

5. Security Considerations

TBD.

6. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in [RFC 2119](#) Key Words", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 8174](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.
- [RFC8664] Sivabalan, S., Filsfils, C., Tantsura, J., Henderickx, W., and J. Hardwick, "Path Computation Element Communication Protocol (PCEP) Extensions for Segment Routing", [RFC 8664](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8664, December 2019, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8664>>.
- [RFC9256] Filsfils, C., Talaulikar, K., Ed., Voyer, D., Bogdanov, A., and P. Mattes, "Segment Routing Policy Architecture", [RFC 9256](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC9256, July 2022, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9256>>.

Authors' Addresses

Ran Chen
ZTE Corporation
Nanjing
China
Email: chen.ran@zte.com.cn

Samuel Sidor
Cisco Systems, Inc.
Email: ssidor@cisco.com

Chun Zhu
ZTE Corporation
Nanjing
China
Email: zhu.chun1@zte.com.cn

Alex Tokar
Cisco Systems, Inc.
Email: atokar@cisco.com

Mike Koldychev
Cisco Systems, Inc.
Email: mkoldych@cisco.com

