Network Working Group

Internet-Draft

Updates: 7050 (if approved) Intended status: Standards Track

Expires: April 25, 2019

S. Cheshire D. Schinazi Apple Inc. October 22, 2018

Special Use Domain Name 'ipv4only.arpa' draft-cheshire-sudn-ipv4only-dot-arpa-11

#### Abstract

The specification for how a client discovers its network's NAT64 prefix [RFC7050] defines the special name 'ipv4only.arpa' for this purpose, but declares it to be a non-special name in that specification's Domain Name Reservation Considerations section.

Consequently, despite the well articulated special purpose of the name, 'ipv4only.arpa' was not recorded in the Special-Use Domain Names registry as a name with special properties.

As a result of this omission, in cases where software needs to give this name special treatment in order for it to work correctly, there was no clear mandate authorizing software authors to implement that special treatment. Software implementers were left with the choice between not implementing the special behavior necessary for the name queries to work correctly, or implementing the special behavior and being accused of being noncompliant with some RFC.

This document formally declares the actual special properties of the name, and adds similar declarations for the corresponding reverse mapping names.

### Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 25, 2019.

### Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2018 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<a href="http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info">http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info</a>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

#### 1. Introduction

The specification for how a client discovers its network's NAT64 prefix [RFC7050] defines the special name 'ipv4only.arpa' for this purpose, but declares it to be a non-special name in that specification's Domain Name Reservation Considerations section.

Consequently, despite the well articulated special purpose of the name, 'ipv4only.arpa' was not recorded in the Special-Use Domain Names registry [SUDN] as a name with special properties.

This omission was discussed in the Special-Use Domain Names Problem Statement [RFC8244].

As a result of this omission, in cases where software needs to give this name special treatment in order for it to work correctly, there was no clear mandate authorizing software authors to implement that special treatment. Software implementers were left with the choice between not implementing the special behavior necessary for the name queries to work correctly, or implementing the special behavior and being accused of being noncompliant with some RFC.

This document formally declares the actual special properties of the name, and adds similar declarations for the corresponding reverse mapping names.

## 2. Conventions and Terminology Used in this Section

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this section are to be interpreted as described in "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here [RFC2119] [RFC8174].

# 3. Specialness of 'ipv4only.arpa'

The hostname 'ipv4only.arpa' is peculiar in that it was never intended to be treated like a normal hostname.

A typical client never looks up the IPv4 address records for 'ipv4only.arpa', because it is already known, by specification [RFC7050], to have exactly two IPv4 address records, 192.0.0.170 and 192.0.0.171. No client ever has to look up the name in order to learn those two addresses.

In contrast, clients often look up the IPv6 AAAA address records for 'ipv4only.arpa', which is contrary to general DNS expectations, given that it is already known, by specification [RFC7050], that no such IPv6 AAAA address records exist. And yet, clients expect to receive, and do in fact receive, positive answers for these IPv6 AAAA address records that are known to not exist.

This is clearly not a typical DNS name. In normal operation, clients never query for the two records that do in fact exist; instead they query for records that are known to not exist, and then get positive answers to those abnormal queries. Clients are using DNS to perform queries for this name, but they are certainly not using DNS to learn legitimate answers from the name's legitimate authoritative server. Instead, these clients have, in effect, co-opted the DNS protocol as an impromptu client-to-middlebox communication protocol, to communicate with the NAT64/DNS64 [RFC6146] [RFC6147] gateway, if present, and request that it disclose the prefix it is using for IPv6 address synthesis.

It is this use of specially-crafted DNS queries as an impromptu client-to-middlebox communication protocol that makes the name 'ipv4only.arpa' most definitely a special name, and one that needs to be listed in IANA's registry along with other DNS names that have special uses [SUDN].

# 4. Consequences of 'ipv4only.arpa' previously being declared unspecial

As a result of the original specification [RFC7050] not formally declaring 'ipv4only.arpa' to have special properties, there was no mandate for any DNS software to treat this name specially. Consequently, queries for this name had to be handled normally, resulting in unnecessary queries to the authoritative 'arpa' name servers.

Having millions of devices around the world issue these queries generated pointless additional load on the authoritative 'arpa' name servers, which was completely unnecessary when the name 'ipv4only.arpa' is defined, by Internet Standard, to have exactly two IPv4 address records, 192.0.0.170 and 192.0.0.171, and no other IPv4 or IPv6 address records.

Also, at times, for reasons that remain unclear, the authoritative 'arpa' name servers have been observed to be slow or unresponsive. The failures of these 'ipv4only.arpa' queries result in unnecessary failures of software that depends on them for DNS64 [RFC6147] address synthesis.

Even when the authoritative 'arpa' name servers are operating correctly, having to perform an unnecessary query to obtain an answer that is already known in advance can add precious milliseconds of delay for no reason.

A more serious problem occurs when a device is configured to use a recursive resolver other than the one it learned from the network. Typically a device joining a NAT64 network will learn the recursive resolver recommended for that network either via IPv6 Router Advertisement Options for DNS Configuration [RFC8106] or via DNS Configuration options for DHCPv6 [RFC3646]. On a NAT64 network it is essential that the client use the DNS64 recursive resolver recommended for that network, since only that recursive resolver can be relied upon to know the appropriate prefix(es) to use for synthesizing IPv6 addresses that will be acceptable to the NAT64 gateway.

However, it is increasingly common for users to manually override their default DNS configuration because they wish to use some other public recursive resolver on the Internet, which may offer better speed, better reliability, or better privacy than the local network's default recursive resolver. At the time of writing, examples of widely known public recursive resolver services include 1.1.1.1, 8.8.8.8, and 9.9.9.9.

Another common scenario is the use of corporate VPN client software, which overrides the local network's default configuration to divert DNS requests to the company's own private internal recursive resolver, because the local network's recursive resolver will typically be unable to provide answers for the company's private internal host names. Similarly, the company's private internal recursive resolver may not be able to synthesize IPv6 addresses correctly for use with the local network's NAT64 gateway, because it is unlikely to be aware of the NAT64 prefix in use on the local network. It is clear that a single recursive resolver cannot meet both needs. The local network's recursive resolver cannot give answers for some company's private internal host names, and some company's private internal recursive resolver cannot give correctly synthesized IPv6 addresses suitable for the local network's NAT64 gateway.

The conflict here arises because DNS is being used for two unrelated purposes. The first purpose is retrieving data from a (nominally) global database -- generally retrieving the IP address(es) associated with a hostname. The second purpose is using the DNS protocol as a middlebox communication protocol, to interrogate the local network infrastructure to discover the IPv6 prefix(es) in use by the local NAT64 gateway for address synthesis.

Possibly this problem could have been avoided if we had forced all NAT64 gateways to use the same Well-Known Prefix for IPv6 address synthesis [RFC6052]. If the decision had been made to use a single fixed Well-Known Prefix, then there would have been no need for clients to discover the local network's NAT64 prefix, and no need for the 'ipv4only.arpa' [RFC7050] query. However, that was not the decision that was made.

This document leverages operational experience to update the Domain Name Reservation Considerations [RFC6761] section of the earlier specification [RFC7050] with one that accurately lists the actual special properties of the name 'ipv4only.arpa', so that software can legitimately implement the correct behavior necessary for better performance, better reliability, and correct operation.

### 5. Security Considerations

Hard-coding the known answers for 'ipv4only.arpa' gueries in recursive resolvers reduces the risk of malicious devices intercepting those gueries and returning incorrect answers, particularly in the case of recursive resolvers that do not perform DNSSEC validation.

One of the known concerns with DNS64 [RFC6147] is that it interferes with DNSSEC. DNSSEC may cryptographically assert that a name has no IPv6 AAAA records, while at the same time DNS64 address synthesis is contradicting this and claiming that IPv6 AAAA records do exist.

Section 3 of the DNS64 specification [RFC6147] discusses this:

... DNS64 receives a query with the DO bit set and the CD bit set. In this case, the DNS64 is supposed to pass on all the data it gets to the guery initiator. This case will not work with DNS64, unless the validating resolver is prepared to do DNS64 itself.

The NAT64 Prefix Discovery specification [RFC7050] provides the mechanism for the query initiator to learn the NAT64 prefix so that it can do its own validation and DNS64 synthesis as described above. With this mechanism the client can (i) interrogate the local NAT64/ DNS64 gateway with an 'ipv4only.arpa' query to learn the IPv6 address synthesis prefix, (ii) query for the (signed) IPv4 address records itself, and then (iii) perform its own IPv6 address synthesis locally, combining the IPv6 address synthesis prefix learned from the local NAT64/DNS64 gateway with the secure DNSSEC-signed data learned from the global Domain Name System.

It is conceivable that over time, if DNSSEC is successful, the majority of clients could move to this validate-and-synthesizelocally model, which reduces the DNS64 machinery to the vestigial role of simply responding to the 'ipv4only.arpa' query to report the local IPv6 address synthesis prefix. In no case does the client care what answer(s) the authoritative 'arpa' name servers might give for that query. The 'ipv4only.arpa' query is being used purely as a local client-to-middlebox communication message.

This approach is even more attractive if it does not create an additional dependency on the authoritative 'arpa' name servers to answer a query that is unnecessary because the NAT64/DNS64 gateway already knows the answer before it even issues the query. Avoiding this unnecessary query improves performance and reliability for the client, and reduces unnecessary load for the authoritative 'arpa' name servers.

Note that there are two security paths to consider here: The path from the authoritative server to the DNS64 recursive resolver, and the path from the DNS64 recursive resolver to the ultimate client. On either or both paths there may be one or more DNS64-unaware recursive resolvers.

The path from the authoritative server to the DNS64 recursive resolver (queries for IPv4 address records) need not be protected by DNSSEC, because the DNS64 recursive resolver already knows, by specification, what the answers are. Run-time cryptographic signatures are not needed to verify the value compile-time constants.

The path from the DNS64 recursive resolver to the ultimate client (queries for IPv6 address records) \*cannot\* be protected by DNSSEC, because the DNS64 recursive resolver is synthesizing IPv6 address answers that cannot be assumed to be signed by the authoritative server.

Consequently, the ipv4only.arpa zone MUST be an insecure delegation.

#### 6. IANA Considerations

[Once published, this should say]

IANA has recorded the following names in the Special-Use Domain Names registry [SUDN]:

```
ipv4only.arpa.
170.0.0.192.in-addr.arpa.
171.0.0.192.in-addr.arpa.
```

IANA has recorded the following IPv4 addresses in the IPv4 Special-Purpose Address Registry [SUv4]:

192.0.0.170 192.0.0.171

### 7. Domain Name Reservation Considerations

# 7.1. Special Use Domain Name 'ipv4only.arpa'

The name 'ipv4only.arpa' is defined, by Internet Standard, to have two IPv4 address records with rdata 192.0.0.170 and 192.0.0.171.

When queried via a DNS64 [RFC6147] recursive resolver, the name 'ipv4only.arpa' is also defined to have IPv6 AAAA records, with rdata synthesized from a combination of the NAT64 IPv6 prefix(es) and the IPv4 addresses 192.0.0.170 and 192.0.0.171. This can return more than one pair of IPv6 addresses if there are multiple NAT64 prefixes.

The name 'ipv4only.arpa' has no other IPv4 or IPv6 address records. There are no subdomains of ipv4only.arpa. All names falling below 'ipv4only.arpa' are defined to be nonexistent (NXDOMAIN).

The name 'ipv4only.arpa' is special to

- (a) client software wishing to perform DNS64 address synthesis,
- (b) APIs responsible for retrieving the correct information, and
- (c) the DNS64 recursive resolver responding to such requests. These three considerations are listed in items 2, 3 and 4 below:
- Normal users should never have reason to encounter the 'ipv4only.arpa' domain name. If they do, they should expect queries for 'ipv4only.arpa' to result in the answers required by the specification [RFC7050]. Normal users have no need to know that 'ipv4only.arpa' is special.
- 2. Application software may explicitly use the name 'ipv4only.arpa' for NAT64/DNS64 address synthesis, and expect to get the answers required by the specification [RFC7050]. If application software encounters the name 'ipv4only.arpa' in the normal course of handling user input, the application software should resolve that name as usual and need not treat it in any special way.
- 3. Name resolution APIs and libraries MUST recognize 'ipv4only.arpa' as special and MUST give it special treatment. Learning a network's NAT64 prefix is by its nature an interface-specific operation, and the special DNS query used to learn this interface-specific NAT64 prefix MUST be sent to the DNS recursive resolver address(es) the client learned via the configuration machinery for that specific client interface. Regardless of any manual client DNS configuration, DNS overrides configured by VPN client software, or any other mechanisms that influence the choice of the client's recursive resolver address(es) (including client devices that run their own local recursive resolver and use the loopback address as their configured recursive resolver

address) all queries for 'ipv4only.arpa' and any subdomains of that name MUST be sent to the recursive resolver learned from the network interface in question via IPv6 Router Advertisement Options for DNS Configuration [RFC8106] or via DNS Configuration options for DHCPv6 [RFC3646]. Because DNS queries for 'ipv4only.arpa' are actually a special middlebox communication protocol, it is essential that they go to the correct middlebox for the interface in question, and failure to honor this requirement would cause failure of the NAT64 Prefix Discovery mechanism [RFC7050].

4. For the purposes of this section, recursive resolvers fall into two categories. The first category is the traditional recursive resolvers that are in widespread use today. The second category is DNS64 recursive resolvers, whose purpose is to synthesize IPv6 address records.

Traditional recursive resolvers SHOULD NOT recognize 'ipv4only.arpa' as special or give that name, or subdomains of that name, any special treatment. The rationale for this is that a traditional recursive resolver, such as built in to a home gateway, may itself be downstream of a DNS64 recursive resolver. Passing though the 'ipv4only.arpa' queries to the upstream DNS64 recursive resolver will allow the correct NAT64 prefix to be discovered.

All DNS64 recursive resolvers MUST recognize 'ipv4only.arpa' as special and MUST NOT attempt to look up NS records for it, or otherwise query authoritative name servers in an attempt to resolve this name. Instead, DNS64 recursive resolvers MUST act as authoritative for this domain and generate immediate responses for all such queries.

DNS64 recursive resolvers MUST generate the 192.0.0.170 and 192.0.0.171 responses for IPv4 address queries (DNS qtype "A"), the appropriate synthesized IPv6 address record responses for IPv6 address queries (DNS qtype "AAAA"), and a negative ("no error no answer") response for all other query types.

For all subdomains of 'ipv4only.arpa', DNS64 recursive resolvers MUST generate immediate NXDOMAIN responses. All names falling below 'ipv4only.arpa' are defined to be nonexistent.

An example configuration for BIND 9 showing how to achieve the desired result is given in  $\underbrace{\mathsf{Appendix}\ \mathsf{A}}_{}$ .

Note that this is \*not\* a locally served zone in the usual sense of that term [RFC6303] because this rule applies \*only\* to DNS64 recursive resolvers, not \*all\* DNS recursive resolvers.

- Traditional authoritative name server software need not recognize 'ipv4only.arpa' as special or handle it in any special way. Recursive resolvers SHOULD routinely act as authoritative for this name and return the results described above. Only the administrators of the 'arpa' namespace need to explicitly configure their actual authoritative name servers to be authoritative for this name and to generate the appropriate answers; all other authoritative name servers will not be configured to know anything about this name and will reject queries for it, as they would reject queries for any other name about which they have no information.
- 6. Generally speaking, operators of authoritative name servers need not know anything about the name 'ipv4only.arpa', just as they do not need to know anything about any other names they are not responsible for. Operators of authoritative name servers who are configuring their name servers to be authoritative for this name MUST understand that 'ipv4only.arpa' is a special name, with records rigidly specified by Internet Standard (generally this applies only to the administrators of the 'arpa' namespace).
- 7. DNS Registries/Registrars need not know anything about the name 'ipv4only.arpa', just as they do not need to know anything about any other name they are not responsible for. Only the administrators of the 'arpa' namespace need to be aware of this name's purpose and how it should be configured. In particular, ipv4only.arpa MUST be created as an insecure delegation, to allow DNS64 recursive resolvers to create synthesized AAAA answers within that zone. Signing the ipv4only.arpa would make it impossible for DNS64 recursive resolvers to create synthesized AAAA answers that won't fail DNSSEC validation, thereby defeating the entire purpose of ipv4only.arpa.

## 7.2. Names '170.0.0.192.in-addr.arpa' and '171.0.0.192.in-addr.arpa'

Since the IPv4 addresses 192.0.0.170 and 192.0.0.171 are defined to be special, and are listed in the IPv4 Special-Purpose Address Registry [SUV4], the corresponding reverse mapping names in the in-addr.arpa domain are similarly special.

The name '170.0.0.192.in-addr.arpa' is defined, by Internet Standard, to have only one DNS record, type PTR, with rdata 'ipv4only.arpa'.

The name '171.0.0.192.in-addr.arpa' is defined, by Internet Standard, to have only one DNS record, type PTR, with rdata 'ipv4only.arpa'.

There are no subdomains of '170.0.0.192.in-addr.arpa' or '171.0.0.192.in-addr.arpa'. All names falling below these names are defined to be nonexistent (NXDOMAIN).

Practically speaking these two names are rarely used, but to the extent that they may be, they are special only to recursive resolvers as described in item 4 below:

- Normal users should never have reason to encounter these two reverse mapping names. However, if they do, queries for these reverse mapping names should return the expected answer 'ipv4only.arpa'. Normal users have no need to know that these reverse mapping names are special.
- 2. Application software SHOULD NOT recognize these two reverse mapping names as special, and SHOULD NOT treat them differently. For example, if the user were to issue the Unix command "host 192.0.0.170" then the "host" command should issue the query as usual and display the result that is returned.
- Name resolution APIs and libraries SHOULD recognize these two reverse mapping names as special and generate the required responses locally. For the names '170.0.0.192.in-addr.arpa' and '171.0.0.192.in-addr.arpa' PTR queries yield the result 'ipv4only.arpa'; all other query types yield a negative ("no error no answer") response. For all subdomains of these two reverse mapping domains, all queries yield an NXDOMAIN response. All names falling below these two reverse mapping domains are defined to be nonexistent.

This local self-contained generation of these responses is to avoid placing unnecessary load on the authoritative 'in-addr.arpa' name servers.

- 4. Recursive resolvers SHOULD NOT recognize these two reverse mapping names as special and SHOULD NOT, by default, give them any special treatment.
- 5. Traditional authoritative name server software need not recognize these two reverse mapping names as special or handle them in any special way.

As a practical matter, only the administrators of the '192.in-addr.arpa' namespace will configure their name servers to be authoritative for these names and to generate the appropriate

Cheshire & Schinazi Expires April 25, 2019 [Page 11]

answers; all other authoritative name servers will not be configured to know anything about these names and will reject queries for them as they would reject queries for any other name about which they have no information.

- 6. Generally speaking, operators of authoritative name servers need not know anything about these two reverse mapping names, just as they do not need to know anything about any other names they are not responsible for. Operators of authoritative name servers who are configuring their name servers to be authoritative for this name MUST understand that these two reverse mapping names are special, with answers specified by Internet Standard (generally this applies only to the administrators of the '192.in-addr.arpa' namespace).
- 7. DNS Registries/Registrars need not know anything about these two reverse mapping names, just as they do not need to know anything about any other name they are not responsible for. Only the administrators of the '192.in-addr.arpa' namespace need to be aware of the purpose of these two names.

### 7.2.1. ip6.arpa Reverse Mapping PTR Records

For all IPv6 addresses synthesized by a DNS64 recursive resolver, the DNS64 recursive resolver is responsible for synthesizing the appropriate 'ip6.arpa' reverse mapping PTR records too, if it chooses to provide reverse mapping PTR records. The same applies to the synthesized IPv6 addresses corresponding to the IPv4 addresses 192.0.0.170 and 192.0.0.171.

Generally a DNS64 recursive resolver synthesizes appropriate 'ip6.arpa' reverse mapping PTR records by extracting the embedded IPv4 address from the encoded IPv6 address, performing a reverse mapping PTR query for that IPv4 address, and then synthesizing a corresponding 'ip6.arpa' reverse mapping PTR record containing the same rdata.

In the case of synthesized IPv6 addresses corresponding to the IPv4 addresses 192.0.0.170 and 192.0.0.171, the DNS64 recursive resolver does not issue reverse mapping queries for those IPv4 addresses, but instead, according to rule 3 above, immediately returns the answer 'ipv4only.arpa'.

In the case of a client that uses the 'ipv4only.arpa' query to discover the IPv6 prefixes in use by the local NAT64 gateway, and then proceeds to perform its own address synthesis locally (which has benefits such as allowing DNSSEC validation), that client MUST also synthesize 'ip6.arpa' reverse mapping PTR records for those

discovered prefix(es), according to the rules above: When a client's name resolution APIs and libraries receive a request to look up an 'ip6.arpa' reverse mapping PTR record for an address that falls within one of the discovered NAT64 address synthesis prefixes, the software extracts the embedded IPv4 address and then, for IPv4 addresses 192.0.0.170 and 192.0.0.171, returns the fixed answer 'ipv4only.arpa', and for all other IPv4 addresses performs a reverse mapping PTR query for the IPv4 address, and then synthesizes a corresponding 'ip6.arpa' reverse mapping PTR record containing the same rdata.

## 8. Acknowledgements

Thanks to Jouni Korhonen, Teemu Savolainen, and Dan Wing, for devising the NAT64 Prefix Discovery mechanism [RFC7050], and for their feedback on this document. Thanks to Geoff Huston for his feedback on the draft, and to Erik Kline for pointing out that the in-addr.arpa names are special too. Thanks particularly to Lorenzo Colitti for an especially spirited hallway discussion at IETF 96 in Berlin, which lead directly to significant improvements in how this document presents the issues.

#### 9. References

### 9.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate
  Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119,
  DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119">https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119</a>>.
- [RFC3646] Droms, R., Ed., "DNS Configuration options for Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol for IPv6 (DHCPv6)", RFC 3646, DOI 10.17487/RFC3646, December 2003, <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3646">https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3646</a>.
- [RFC6052] Bao, C., Huitema, C., Bagnulo, M., Boucadair, M., and X.
  Li, "IPv6 Addressing of IPv4/IPv6 Translators", RFC 6052,
  DOI 10.17487/RFC6052, October 2010, <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6052">https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6052</a>.

- [RFC6146] Bagnulo, M., Matthews, P., and I. van Beijnum, "Stateful
  NAT64: Network Address and Protocol Translation from IPv6
  Clients to IPv4 Servers", RFC 6146, DOI 10.17487/RFC6146,
  April 2011, <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6146">https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6146</a>>.
- [RFC6147] Bagnulo, M., Sullivan, A., Matthews, P., and I. van
  Beijnum, "DNS64: DNS Extensions for Network Address
  Translation from IPv6 Clients to IPv4 Servers", RFC 6147,
  D0I 10.17487/RFC6147, April 2011, <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6147">https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6147</a>.

- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC
  2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174,
  May 2017, <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174">https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174</a>.

## 9.2. Informative References

- [RFC8244] Lemon, T., Droms, R., and W. Kumari, "Special-Use Domain Names Problem Statement", RFC 8244, DOI 10.17487/RFC8244, October 2017, <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8244">https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8244</a>>.
- [SUDN] "Special-Use Domain Names Registry", <a href="https://www.iana.org/assignments/special-use-domain-names/">https://www.iana.org/assignments/special-use-domain-names/</a>>.

# Appendix A. Example BIND 9 Configuration

```
A BIND 9 recursive resolver can be configured to act as authoritative
  for the necessary DNS64 names as described below.
  In /etc/named.conf the following line is added:
     zone "ipv4only.arpa"
                             { type master; file "ipv4only"; };
  The file /var/named/ipv4only is created with the following content:
     $TTL 86400
                              ; Default TTL 24 hours
     @ IN SOA nameserver.example. admin.nameserver.example. (
              2016052400 ; Serial
              7200
                            ; Refresh ( 7200 = 2 hours)
              3600
                            ; Retry (3600 = 1 \text{ hour})
                            ; Expire (15724800 = 6 months)
              15724800
                             ; Minimum
              )
     @ IN NS nameserver.example.
     @ IN A 192.0.0.170
     @ IN A 192.0.0.171
     @ IN AAAA 64:ff9b::192.0.0.170 ; If not using Well-Known Prefix
     @ IN AAAA 64:ff9b::192.0.0.171 ; place actual NAT64 prefix here
Authors' Addresses
  Stuart Cheshire
  Apple Inc.
  One Apple Park Way
  Cupertino, California 95014
  USA
  Phone: +1 (408) 996-1010
  Email: cheshire@apple.com
```

Email: dschinazi@apple.com

Cupertino, California 95014

David Schinazi Apple Inc.

One Apple Park Way