IPv6 Maintenance	T.J. Chown
Internet-Draft	University of Southampton
Intended status: Informational	July 04, 2011
Expires: January 05, 2012	

Tokenised IPv6 Identifiers

draft-chown-6man-tokenised-ipv6-identifiers-00

<u>Abstract</u>

This text is intended to open discussion towards the adoption of support for tokenised IPv6 interface identifiers in IPv6 nodes. The primary target for such support is server platforms where addresses are usually manually configured, rather than using DHCPv6 or SLAAC. By using tokenised identifiers, hosts can still determine their network prefix by use of SLAAC, but more readily be automatically renumbered should their network prefix change.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet- Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on January 05, 2012.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2011 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/licenseinfo) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

*1. Introduction

- *2. Tokenised IPv6 identifier support
- *3. <u>Conclusions</u>
- *4. <u>Security Considerations</u>
- *5. <u>IANA Considerations</u>
- *6. <u>Acknowledgments</u>
- *7. <u>References</u>

*<u>Author's Address</u>

1. Introduction

The usual choices for IPv6 nodes to obtain addresses are Stateless Address Autoconfiguration (SLAAC) [RFC4862], DHCPv6 [RFC3315], or manual configuration. Client devices generally use SLAAC or DHCPv6. In the case of server systems, interface addresses are typically manually configured. SLAAC is not used in case the interface hardware changes and the associated SLAAC generated address changes with it. DHCPv6 is often not used due to concerns of server stability should DHCPv6 fail. The disadvantage with manually configured addresses is that they are likely to require manual editing should the network prefix in use change. If instead there were a method to only manually configure the identifier part of the IPv6 address, then the address could be automatically updated when a new prefix was introduced, as described in [RFC4192] for example. In such cases a DNS server might be configured with such a tokenised interface identifier of ::53, and SLAAC would use the token in constructing the interface address, using the advertised prefix.

2. Tokenised IPv6 identifier support

The author is aware of support for tokenised IPv6 identifiers in Solaris, and of a proof of concept implementation for Linux. Under Solaris, tokenised identifiers can be configured directly with ifconfig, e.g. ifconfig qfe0 inet6 token ::53/64 or the configuration can be made persistent by adding a line to the appropriate /etc/hostname6.interface file. In the Linux proof of concept implementation [Thompson05], a command line can be used to configure the interface: ip6token eth0 ::53 The specifics of how such tokenised identifiers are configured are likely to be operating system dependent. The important point is that such identifier configuration should be supported.

3. Conclusions

It would be desirable if all potential IPv6 server platforms supported tokenised interface identifiers. There may also be benefits for other IPv6 nodes to do so.

The author welcomes feedback on this draft, and any comments on platforms currently supporting such identifier configuration, or any reasons why wider implementation should not be considered.

4. <u>Security Considerations</u>

There are no extra security consideration for this document.

5. IANA Considerations

There are no extra IANA consideration for this document.

6. Acknowledgments

The author thanks the 6NET project under which considerations of tokenised identifiers was originally made, and colleague (at the time) Mark Thompson for his proof of concept implementation of such identifiers on a Linux platform.

7. References

[RFC3315]	Droms, R., Bound, J., Volz, B., Lemon, T., Perkins, C. and M. Carney, " <u>Dynamic Host Configuration</u> <u>Protocol for IPv6 (DHCPv6)</u> ", RFC 3315, July 2003.
[RFC4192]	Baker, F., Lear, E. and R. Droms, " <u>Procedures for</u> <u>Renumbering an IPv6 Network without a Flag Day</u> ", RFC 4192, September 2005.
[RFC4862]	Thomson, S., Narten, T. and T. Jinmei, " <u>IPv6</u> <u>Stateless Address Autoconfiguration</u> ", RFC 4862, September 2007.
[Thompson05]	Thompson, M., "Introducing IPv6 Tokenised Interface Identifiers into the Linux Kernel", 2005.

Author's Address

Tim Chown Chown University of Southampton Highfield Southampton , Hampshire S017 1BJ United Kingdom EMail: <u>tjc@ecs.soton.ac.uk</u>