

NMRG
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Informational
Expires: September 10, 2020

LM. Contreras
Telefonica
P. Demestichas
WINGS
March 9, 2020

Transport Slice Intent
draft-contreras-nmrg-transport-slice-intent-00

Abstract

Slicing at the transport network is expected to be offered as part of end-to-end network slices, fostered by the introduction of new services such as 5G. This document explores the usage of intent mechanisms for requesting transport slices.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on September 10, 2020.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2020 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

- [1. Introduction](#) [2](#)
- [2. Transport slice intent](#) [3](#)
- [3. Foundation of transport slice intents](#) [3](#)
- [4. Mechanisms for translating transport slice intents](#) [4](#)
 - [4.1. Translation approaches and interaction with the upper systems](#) [4](#)
 - [4.2. Intent-based system suite](#) [4](#)
- [5. Security Considerations](#) [5](#)
- [6. IANA Considerations](#) [5](#)
- [7. References](#) [5](#)
- [Acknowledgments](#) [5](#)
- [Contributors](#) [6](#)
- [Authors' Addresses](#) [6](#)

1. Introduction

Network slicing is emerging as the future model for service offering in telecom operator networks. Conceptually, network slicing provides a customer with an apparent dedicated network built on top of logical (i.e. virtual) and/or physical functions and resources supported by a shared infrastructure, the one from the telecom operator.

The concept of network slicing has been largely fostered by the advent of 5G services which are expected to be deployed on top of different kind of slices, each built to support specific characteristics (extreme low latency, high bandwidth, etc).

As part of an end-to-end network slice it is expected to have a number of transport network slices providing the necessary connectivity to the rest of components of the end-to-end slice, e.g., mobile packet core slice.

For a definition of transport slice refer to [[I-D.nsd-t-eas-transport-slice-definition](#)]. The following paragraph is directly taken from it: "A transport slice is built based on a request from a higher operations system. The interface to higher operations systems should express the needed connectivity in a technology-agnostic way, and slice customers do not need to recognize concrete configurations based on the technologies (e.g being more declarative than imperative). The request to instantiate a transport slice is represented with some indicators such as SLO, and technologies are selected and managed accordingly."

In consequence, it seems very convenient to apply the intent-based mechanisms for the provision of transport network slices, providing

the adequate level of abstraction towards the transport network control and management artifacts.

This document works on that direction by leveraging on current industry trends in the definition of end-to-end network slices. The final objective is to describe intents that can flexibly declare the operational aspects and goals of a transport network slice, meaning that the customer could declare what kind of transport slice is needed and not how to achieve the goals of the transport slice.

2. Transport slice intent

As stated in [[I-D.irtf-nmrg-ibn-concepts-definitions](#)], "Intent is a higher-level declarative policy that operates at the level of a network and services it provides, not individual devices. It is used to define outcomes and high-level operational goals, without the need to enumerate specific events, conditions, and actions".

When applied to transport networks, this implies that an intent for transport slices should provide the necessary abstraction with respect to implementation details, including the final devices (or resources) involved, and be focused on the characteristics and performance expectations related to it.

With that intent it can be expected that the intent-based system can fulfill and assure the requested transport network slice, triggering initial configurations at the time of initial provisioning and corrective actions during the transport slice lifetime.

3. Foundation of transport slice intents

The industrial interest around 5G is accelerating network deployments and operational changes.

With this respect, the GSMA is developing a universal blueprint that can be used by any vertical customer to order the deployment of a network slice instance (NSI) based on a specific set of service requirements. Such a blueprint is a network slice descriptor called Generic Slice Template (GST) [[GSMA](#)]. The GST contains multiple attributes that can be used to characterize a network slice. A particular template filled with values generates a specific Network Slice Type (NST).

Such templates refer to the end-to-end network slice, including the transport part. Despite some of the values could not have applicability for the transport network, others do. An analysis of relevant attributes is performed in [[I-D.contreras-teas-slice-nbi](#)].

According to 3GPP propositions [[TS28.541](#)] an upper 3GPP Management System interacts with the transport network for establishing the necessary slices at the transport level. Such interaction can be expected to happen using the transport slice intents here described to an intent-based system (IBS) in the transport network part. Then, according to the intent lifecycle in [[I-D.irtf-nmrg-ibn-concepts-definitions](#)], the IBS, after recognizing the intent, will proceed to translate it in order to interact with a transport slice controller by using a NBI as proposed in [[I-D.contreras-teas-slice-nbi](#)].

4. Mechanisms for translating transport slice intents

This section describes approaches for implementing mechanisms able to translate transport slice intents.

4.1. Translation approaches and interaction with the upper systems

A suite of mechanisms will be required and can be used for the translation of the user's intent into a transport slice. NLP (Natural Language Processing) approaches are needed for enabling the expression of requirements in high level terms, and for conducting a first analysis towards lower-level network requirements/resources. The goal would be to identify and classify the answers for as many fields as possible from the Generic Slice Template (GST), based on the free text / speech provided by the user. As it is highly unlikely that the minimum set of fields to properly define a transport slice (geo-temporal characteristics, performance characteristics, SLA properties) will be fulfilled in this first step, a follow up two-step approach will have to be implemented.

- o The minimum missing fields from the GST have to be identified and appropriate questions have to be generated (e.g. based on a pool of available questions correlated with each field, or based on AI approaches)
- o An iterative interrogation phase will be initiated towards the user using the previously generated questions, until the user provides all the missing information

Interaction with the user and higher-up systems can potentially be further improved by utilizing Machine learning techniques.

4.2. Intent-based system suite

A combination of deterministic or stochastic computation approaches will be needed, in order to consolidate on the set of devices, technologies and resources to be used. Deterministic approaches will

rely on mathematical models and respective algorithms. Stochastic approaches will rely on technologies like machine learning. Their goal will be to learn from experience, so as to optimize future decisions from the viewpoint of speed and reliability. The target of learning will be related to the service behavior and to the anticipated network status in the area and time period of the service provision.

5. Security Considerations

To be done.

6. IANA Considerations

This draft does not include any IANA considerations

7. References

[GSMA] "Generic Network Slice Template, version 2.0", NG.116 , October 2019.

[I-D.contreras-teas-slice-nbi]
Contreras, L., Homma, S., and J. Ordonez-Lucena,
"Considerations for defining a Transport Slice NBI",
[draft-contreras-teas-slice-nbi-00](#) (work in progress),
November 2019.

[I-D.irtf-nmrg-ibn-concepts-definitions]
Clemm, A., Ciavaglia, L., Granville, L., and J. Tantsura,
"Intent-Based Networking - Concepts and Definitions",
[draft-irtf-nmrg-ibn-concepts-definitions-00](#) (work in
progress), December 2019.

[I-D.nsdteas-transport-slice-definition]
Rokui, R., Homma, S., and K. Makhijani, "IETF Definition
of Transport Slice", [draft-nsdteas-transport-slice-
definition-00](#) (work in progress), November 2019.

[TS28.541]
"TS 28.541 Management and orchestration; 5G Network
Resource Model (NRM); Stage 2 and stage 3 (Release 16)
V16.2.0.", 3GPP TS 28.541 V16.2.0 , September 2019.

Acknowledgments

This work has been partly funded by the European Commission through the H2020 project 5G-EVE (Grant Agreement no. 815074).

Contributors

Kostas Tsagkaris, Kostas Trichias, Vassilis Foteinos, and Thanasis Gkiolias (all from WINGS ICT Solutions) have also contributed to this work.

Authors' Addresses

Luis M. Contreras
Telefonica
Ronda de la Comunicacion, s/n
Sur-3 building, 3rd floor
Madrid 28050
Spain

Email: luismiguel.contrerasmurillo@telefonica.com
URI: <http://lmcontreras.com/>

Panagiotis Demestichas
WINGS ICT Solutions
Greece

Email: pdemest@wings-ict-solutions.eu

