

NMRG  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Informational  
Expires: July 15, 2022

LM. Contreras  
Telefonica  
P. Demestichas  
WINGS  
J. Tantsura  
Microsoft  
January 11, 2022

IETF Network Slice Intent  
draft-contreras-nmrg-transport-slice-intent-05

## Abstract

Slicing at the transport network is expected to be offered as part of end-to-end network slices, fostered by the introduction of new services such as 5G. This document explores the usage of intent technologies for requesting IETF network slices.

## Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on July 15, 2022.

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2022 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in [Section 4.e](#) of

Internet-Draft

IETF Network Slice Intent

January 2022

the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

|                    |   |                   |
|--------------------|---|-------------------|
| <a href="#">1.</a> | Introduction . . . . .  | <a href="#">2</a> |
| <a href="#">2.</a> | IETF network slice intent . . . . .                                     | <a href="#">3</a> |
| <a href="#">3.</a> | Foundation of IETF network slice intents . . . . .                      | <a href="#">4</a> |
| <a href="#">4.</a> | Mechanisms for translating IETF network slice intents . . . .           | <a href="#">5</a> |
| 4.1.               | Translation approaches and interaction with the upper systems . . . . . | <a href="#">5</a> |
| 4.2.               | Intent-based system suite . . . . .                                     | <a href="#">6</a> |
| <a href="#">5.</a> | Security Considerations . . . . .                                       | <a href="#">6</a> |
| <a href="#">6.</a> | IANA Considerations . . . . .   | <a href="#">6</a> |
| <a href="#">7.</a> | References . . . . .  | <a href="#">6</a> |
|                    | Acknowledgments . . . . .   | <a href="#">7</a> |
|                    | Contributors . . . . .  | <a href="#">7</a> |
|                    | Authors' Addresses . . . . .  | <a href="#">7</a> |

## [1.](#) Introduction

Network slicing is emerging as the future model for service offering in telecom operator networks. Conceptually, network slicing provides a customer with an apparent dedicated network built on top of logical (i.e. virtual) and/or physical functions and resources supported by a shared infrastructure, provided by one or more telecom operators.

The concept of network slicing has been largely fostered by the advent of 5G services that are expected to be deployed on top of different kind of slices, each built to support specific characteristics (extreme low latency, high bandwidth, etc).

As part of an end-to-end network slice it is expected to have a number of network slices at transport level (referred as IETF network slices) providing the necessary connectivity to the rest of components of the end-to-end slice, e.g., mobile packet core slice.

For a definition of an IETF network slice refer to [\[I-D.ietf-teas-ietf-network-slices\]](#). The following paragraph is directly taken from it: "An IETF Network Slice Service enables connectivity between a set of CEs with specific Service Level Objectives (SLOs) and Service Level Expectations (SLEs) over a common underlay network."

Intent is a high-level, declarative goal that operates at the level of a network and services it provides, not individual devices. It is used to define outcomes and high-level operational goals.

In consequence, it seems very convenient to apply the intent-based mechanisms for the provision of IETF network slices, providing the adequate level of abstraction towards the transport network control and management planes.

This document leverages current industry trends in the definition of end-to-end network slices. The final objective is to describe intents that can be used to flexibly declare the operational aspects and goals of an IETF network slice, meaning that the customer could declare what kind of IETF network slice is needed (the outcome) and not how to achieve the goals of the IETF network slice.

## [2.](#) IETF network slice intent

As stated in [[I-D.irtf-nmrg-ibn-concepts-definitions](#)], "Intent is a declaration of operational goals that a network is supposed to meet and outcomes that the network is supposed to deliver, without specifying how to achieve or how to implement them. Those goals and outcomes are defined in a manner that is purely declarative - they specify what to accomplish, not how to achieve it."

When applied to transport networks, this implies that an intent for IETF network slices should provide the necessary abstraction with respect to implementation details, including the final devices (or resources) involved, and be focused on the characteristics and performance expectations related to it.

With that aim it can be expected that the intent based system can fulfill and assure the requested IETF network slice, triggering initial configurations at the time of initial provisioning and corrective actions during the IETF network slice lifetime.

Regarding the corrective actions it is possible to differentiate two levels. First, corrective actions that could be performed by the management and control capabilities of the network (i.e., by the IETF Network Slice Controller) to maintain the Service level Objectives

(SLOs) as originally declared in the slice intent, so being these internal actions to the management and control elements of the network. Second, corrective actions that could be necessary to perform due to incongruences between the SLOs expressed in the intent and the observed monitoring information, then requiring some adaptation to the intent itself in order to perform the corrective action.

### [3.](#) Foundation of IETF network slice intents

The industrial interest around 5G is accelerating network deployments and operational changes.

With this respect, the GSMA has been developing a universal blueprint that can be used by any vertical customer to request the deployment of a network slice instance (NSI) based on a specific set of service requirements. Such a blueprint is a network slice descriptor called Generic Slice Template (GST) [[GSMA](#)]. The GST contains multiple attributes that can be used to characterize a network slice. A particular template filled with values generates a specific Network Slice Type (NEST).

Such templates refer to the end-to-end network slice, including the transport part. Despite the fact that some of the values would not have applicability for the transport network, others do. An analysis of the relevant attributes is performed in [[I-D.contreras-teas-slice-nbi](#)].

According to 3GPP propositions [[TS28.541](#)], an upper 3GPP Management System interacts with the transport network for establishing the necessary slices at the transport level. Such interaction can be expected to happen using the IETF network slice intent, described to an intent-based system (IBS) in the transport network part. Then, according to the intent lifecycle in [[I-D.irtf-nmrg-ibn-concepts-definitions](#)], the IBS, after recognizing the intent, will proceed to translate it in order to interact with a IETF network slice controller by using a NBI as proposed in

[\[I-D.contreras-teas-slice-nbi\]](#).

Figure 1 captures the intent procedure for the fulfillment phase (assurance phase will be detailed in future versions of this draft).




Figure 1: Fulfillment phase of the Transport Slicing Intent

#### [4.](#) Mechanisms for translating IETF network slice intents

This section describes approaches for implementing mechanisms to translate IETF network slice intents. As part of such translation it could be necessary to translate the slice needs expressed by the customer in terms of service-specific SLOs (e.g., high-resolution real-time video quality) to network- or connectivity-specific SLOs (e.g., a correspondent throughput and/or latency) which are the SLOs an IETF Network Slice Controller understands. More on this can be found in [\[TMV\]](#).

##### [4.1.](#) Translation approaches and interaction with the upper systems

A suite of mechanisms will be required to allow instantiation of the user's intent into a IETF network slice. In order to be able to deliver an end2end Intent driven slice - a well defined set of context aware attributes that allow unambiguous instantiation of the intent should be agreed upon. A combination of a structured set of attributes communicated between an IBN and an upper layer system with user input would allow an IBN to have intent modeled and reason about its completeness/validity. Translation approaches and interaction with the upper systems might benefit from Natural Language Processing (NLP) technics that are needed for enabling high level expression of

requirements found missing. The goal would be to identify and classify the answers for as many fields as possible from the Generic Slice Template (GST), based on the free text / speech provided by the user. As it is highly unlikely that the minimum set of fields to properly define an IETF network slice (geo-temporal characteristics, performance characteristics, SLO and SLA properties) will be fulfilled in this first step, a follow up two-step approach might need to be implemented.

- o The minimum missing fields from the GST have to be identified and appropriate questions have to be generated (e.g. based on a pool of available questions correlated with each field, or based on AI approaches).
- o An iterative interrogation phase will be initiated towards the

user using the previously generated questions, until the user provides all the missing information, so the intent can be modeled accordingly.

Interaction with the user and higher-up systems can potentially be further improved by utilizing Machine Learning techniques.

#### [4.2.](#) Intent-based system suite

In order to consolidate on the set of devices, technologies and resources to be used, a combination of deterministic or stochastic computation approaches will be needed. Deterministic approaches will rely on mathematical models and respective algorithms. Stochastic approaches will rely on technologies like machine learning. Their goal will be to learn from experience, so as to optimize future decisions from the viewpoint of speed and reliability. The target of learning will be related to the service behavior and to the anticipated network status in the area and time period of the service provision.

#### [5.](#) Security Considerations

To be done.

#### [6.](#) IANA Considerations

This draft does not include any IANA considerations

#### [7.](#) References

- [GSMA] "Generic Network Slice Template, version 5.0", NG.116 , June 2021.

[I-D.contreras-teas-slice-nbi]

Contreras, L. M., Homma, S., Ordonez-Lucena, J. A., Tantsura, J., and K. Szarkowicz, "IETF Network Slice Use Cases and Attributes for Northbound Interface of IETF Network Slice Controllers", [draft-contreras-teas-slice-nbi-05](#) (work in progress), July 2021.

[I-D.ietf-teas-ietf-network-slices]

Farrel, A., Gray, E., Drake, J., Rokui, R., Homma, S., Makhijani, K., Contreras, L. M., and J. Tantsura, "Framework for IETF Network Slices", [draft-ietf-teas-ietf-network-slices-05](#) (work in progress), October 2021.

[I-D.irtf-nmrg-ibn-concepts-definitions]

Clemm, A., Ciavaglia, L., Granville, L. Z., and J. Tantsura, "Intent-Based Networking - Concepts and Definitions", [draft-irtf-nmrg-ibn-concepts-definitions-06](#) (work in progress), December 2021.

[TMV] "Service performance measurement methods over 5G experimental networks", 5G-PPP TMV , May 2021.

[TS28.541]

"TS 28.541 Management and orchestration; 5G Network Resource Model (NRM); Stage 2 and stage 3 (Release 16) V16.2.0.", 3GPP TS 28.541 V16.2.0 , September 2019.

## Acknowledgments

This work has been partly funded by the European Commission through the H2020 project 5G-EVE (Grant Agreement no. 815074).

## Contributors

Kostas Tsagkaris, Kostas Trichias, Vassilis Foteinos, and Thanasis Gkiolias (all from WINGS ICT Solutions) have also contributed to this work.

## Authors' Addresses

Contreras, et al.

Expires July 15, 2022

[Page 7]

---

Internet-Draft

IETF Network Slice Intent

January 2022

Luis M. Contreras



Telefonica  
Ronda de la Comunicacion, s/n  
Sur-3 building, 3rd floor  
Madrid 28050  
Spain

Email: [luismiguel.contrerasmurillo@telefonica.com](mailto:luismiguel.contrerasmurillo@telefonica.com)  
URI: <http://lmcontreras.com/>

Panagiotis Demestichas  
WINGS ICT Solutions  
Greece

Email: [pdemest@wings-ict-solutions.eu](mailto:pdemest@wings-ict-solutions.eu)

Jeff Tantsura  
Microsoft

Email: [jefftant.ietf@gmail.com](mailto:jefftant.ietf@gmail.com)