Network Working Group	D. Cridland
Internet-Draft	Isode Limited
Updates: 2244 (if approved)	Oct 22, 2010
Intended status: Standards Track	
Expires: April 25, 2011	

The Internet Assigned Number Authority (IANA) Application Configuration Access Protocol (ACAP) Vendor Subtrees Registry draft-cridland-acap-vendor-registry-02

Abstract

The original ACAP specification included a vendor registry now used in other protocols. This document updates the description of this registry, removing the need for a direct normative reference to ACAP, and removing ambiguity.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 25, 2011.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2010 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

- 1. Conventions used in this document
- 2. Introduction
- 3. The Vendor Subtree Registry
 - 3.1. Internationalization
 - 3.2. Formal Syntax
 - 3.3. Examples
 - 3.4. Changes from RFC 2244
- 4. IANA Considerations
 - 4.1. Example Registration
- 5. Security Considerations
- 6. Acknowledgements
- References
 - 7.1. Normative References
 - <u>7.2.</u> Informative References
- § Author's Address

1. Conventions used in this document

TOC

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [KEYWORDS] (Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels," March 1997.).

Formal Syntax are to be considered normative, and are specified using [ABNF] (Crocker, D. and P. Overell, "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF," January 2008.). Where a formal syntax and the prose are in conflict, the formal syntax takes precedence.

2. Introduction TOC

The [ACAP] (Newman, C. and J. Myers, "ACAP -- Application Configuration Access Protocol," November 1997.) specification includes the specification and creation of the ACAP Vendor Registry, and this registry has subsequently been reused by several specifications, including both [ANNOTATE] (Daboo, C. and R. Gellens, "Internet Message Access Protocol - ANNOTATE Extension," June 2008.) and [METADATA] (Daboo, C., "The IMAP METADATA Extension," February 2009.), and is proving to be a useful mechanism for namespacing various names to within a specific vendor's scope.

The use of textual rather than numeric identifiers for vendors benefits engineers and operators who are diagnosing protocol problems by

allowing them some possibility of identifying the origin of a vendor attribute without having to look it up in a registry (although that remains a necessary fallback). As such engineers and operators already have to be familiar with international technical English to diagnose textual protocol problems, the restriction to ASCII may help and is not believed to harm that intended use. Exposure of vendor attributes directly in end-user user interfaces was not an intended use of the registry.

This document merely updates the registry to reduce ambiguity in the original specification, and dissociates it from the original document in all but name, allowing easier referencing. It replaces section 7.4 and portions of section 4, particularly 4.3, of [ACAP] (Newman, C. and J. Myers, "ACAP -- Application Configuration Access Protocol," November 1997.).

3. The Vendor Subtree Registry

TOC

A Vendor Token is a UTF-8 string beginning with "vendor.", and followed by the name of the company or product. This name MUST NOT contain any slash character, period, or the percent and asterisk characters typically used as wildcards.

Following this may be names, separated from the Vendor Token by a period, which need not be registered, thus forming a complete Vendor Name.

3.1. Internationalization

TOC

Vendor Tokens are able to contain any valid Unicode codepoint, encoded as [UTF-8] (Yergeau, F., "UTF-8, a transformation format of ISO 10646," November 2003.), except the special characters. Since the publication of [ACAP] (Newman, C. and J. Myers, "ACAP -- Application Configuration Access Protocol," November 1997.), however, concerns have been raised on the handling and comparison of full Unicode strings, and therefore this specification restricts the current registrations to the ASCII subset of UTF-8.

Furthermore, characters such as ASCII control characters, most whitespace, and quotes are likely to be confusing and have been similarly restricted.

Therefore, this document allows only ASCII letters, digits, the hyphen, and space to be used (the <iana-vendor-tag> ABNF production in Section 3.2).

At the time of publication of this document, no existing registrations violate the new restricted syntax on characters allowed in registrations. [ACAP] (Newman, C. and J. Myers, "ACAP -- Application

<u>Configuration Access Protocol," November 1997.</u>) required all Vendor Tokens to be registered with IANA, so the new restriction is not believed to introduce any interoperability issue.

Finally, note that this document does not change the requirement on processors to accept other non-ASCII Unicode codepoints in Vendor Tokens (the cpec

Tokens (the cpec

Tokens (the cpec

Tokens (the cpec

Tokens (the cpec

Tokens (the cpec

Tokens (the cpec

Tokens (the cpec
Tokens (the cpec

Tokens (the cpec

Tokens (the cpec

Tokens (the cpec

Tokens (the cpec

Tokens (the cpec

Tokens (the c

3.2. Formal Syntax

TOC

This syntax draws upon productions found within [ABNF] (Crocker, D. and P. Overell, "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF,"

January 2008.) and [UTF-8] (Yergeau, F., "UTF-8, a transformation format of ISO 10646," November 2003.). Productions replace those in section 4.3 of [ACAP] (Newman, C. and J. Myers, "ACAP -- Application Configuration Access Protocol," November 1997.).

```
vendor-name
                   = vendor-token *("." name-component)
name-component = *(name-char / UTF8-2 / UTF8-3 / UTF8-4)
                   = %x01-24 / %x26-29 / %x2B-2D / %x30-7F
name-char
                  ;; ASCII-range characters not including ".",
                  ;; "/", "%", or "*".
vendor-token
                   = "vendor." vendor-tag
                  ;; MUST be registered with IANA
vendor-tag
                   = iana-vendor-tag / possible-vendor-tag
iana-vendor-tag
                  = 1*(ALPHA / DIGIT / SP / "-")
                  ;; This production represents
                  ;; allowed forms for registrations
                  ;; under the rules specified in this
                  ;; document.
possible-vendor-tag = name-component
                  ;; This production represents what
                  ;; applications and specifications
                  ;; MUST be able to accept.
```

3.3. Examples

A company Example Ltd might register the Subtree "vendor.example". This means it may use "vendor.example", or any name at all beginning "vendor.example.", such as "vendor.example.product".

These names might be used in several protocols, and are reserved in all the relevant protocols, so "vendor.example" might be an ACAP dataset class name, and "/vendor/vendor.example" might be a tree of IMAP ANNOTATE entries.

Example Ltd is free to use either "vendor.example", and group specific products under it using the relevant protocol's hierarchy - perhaps "/shared/vendor/vendor.example/product", or using more specific names, such as "/shared/vendor/vendor.example.product".

Note that the solidus ("/") characters in the examples above are protocol delimiters which are themselves not part of the vendor token itself.

3.4. Changes from RFC 2244

TOC

This non-normative section details changes from RFC 2244's original specification of the registry.

*Vendor tokens are restricted to ASCII for registration purposes.

- *Clarifications that "vendor.<company/product name>" means
 "vendor.company name" or "vendor.product name" "vendor.company/
 product" is and always has been illegal.
- *Made "vendor.company" a name in its own right RFC 2244 only refers to a prefix of "vendor.company.".
- *Added example registration, in line with <a>[EXAMPLES] (Eastlake, D. and A. Panitz, "Reserved Top Level DNS Names," June 1999.).

4. IANA Considerations

TOC

This specification updates the IANA registry named the ACAP Vendor Subtrees Registry. IANA is requested to update the registry to point at this document.

Vendors may reserve a portion of the ACAP namespace, which is also used as the namespace for several other protocols, for private use. Vendor Names are reserved for use by that company or product, wherever used, once registered. Registration is on a first come, first served basis.

Whenever possible, private attributes and classes should be eschewed in favour of improving interoperable protocols.

Vendors may only use names conforming to iana-vendor-tag at the current time, future revisions of this specification may change this.

To: iana@iana.org

Subject: Registration of ACAP vendor subtree

Private Prefix: vendor.name

Person and email address to contact for further information:

(company names and addresses should be included where appropriate)

4.1. Example Registration

TOC

IANA is requested to add the following registration, for use by specification authors in examples, similarly to the domains specified in [EXAMPLES] (Eastlake, D. and A. Panitz, "Reserved Top Level DNS Names," June 1999.):

To: iana@iana.org

Subject: Registration of ACAP vendor subtree

Private Prefix: vendor.example

Person and email address to contact for further information:

Dave Cridland <dave.cridland@isode.com>

5. Security Considerations

TOC

There are no known security issues with this registry. Individual protocols using vendor subtree names may have security issues, and the introduction of Unicode has in itself security implications - the restriction of this is thought to mitigate these.

6. Acknowledgements

Thanks must go to Chris Newman, John Myers, and the other designers of ACAP for the initial creation of the registry. Thanks also to Alexey Melnikov for advice on this revision.

7. References TOC

7.1. Normative References

TOC

[ABNF]	Crocker, D. and P. Overell, " <u>Augmented BNF for Syntax</u> <u>Specifications: ABNF</u> ," STD 68, RFC 5234, January 2008 (TXT).
[ACAP]	Newman, C. and <u>J. Myers</u> , " <u>ACAP Application</u> Configuration Access Protocol," RFC 2244, November 1997 (TXT).
[KEYWORDS]	Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels," BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997 (TXT, HTML, XML).
[UTF-8]	Yergeau, F., "UTF-8, a transformation format of ISO 10646," STD 63, RFC 3629, November 2003 (TXT).

7.2. Informative References

TOC

[ANNOTATE]	Daboo, C. and R. Gellens, " <u>Internet Message Access</u> <u>Protocol - ANNOTATE Extension</u> ," RFC 5257, June 2008 (TXT).
[EXAMPLES]	Eastlake, D. and A. Panitz, "Reserved Top Level DNS Names," BCP 32, RFC 2606, June 1999 (TXT).
[METADATA]	Daboo, C., "The IMAP METADATA Extension," RFC 5464, February 2009 (TXT).

Author's Address

TOC

Dave Cridland
Isode Limited
5 Castle Business Village
36, Station Road
Hampton, Middlesex TW12 2BX

Email: dave.cridland@isode.com