Network Working Group Internet-Draft

Intended status: Informational

Expires: April 25, 2021

D. Crocker Brandenburg InternetWorking R. Signes Fastmail N. Freed Oracle October 22, 2020

**React: Indicating Summary Reaction to a Message** draft-crocker-inreply-react-03

#### Abstract

The popularity of social media has led to user comfort with easily signaling basic reactions to an author's posting, such as with a 'thumbs up' or 'smiley' graphic indication. This specification permits a similar facility for Internet Mail.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of  $\underline{BCP 78}$  and  $\underline{BCP 79}$ .

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/">https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/</a>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 25, 2021.

### Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2020 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of

the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

#### Table of Contents

<u>1</u> .	Introduction	2
<u>2</u> .	Reaction Content-Disposition	3
<u>3</u> .	Usability Considerations	4
<u>4</u> .	Security Considerations	4
<u>5</u> .	IANA Considerations	4
<u>6</u> .	Normative References	5
Appe	<u>endix A</u> . Acknowledgements	5
Auth	nors' Addresses	5

#### 1. Introduction

The popularity of social media has led to user comfort with easily signaling summary reactions to an author's posting, by marking basic emoji graphics, such as with a 'thumbs up', 'heart', or 'smiley' indication. Sometimes the permitted repertoire is constrained to a small set and sometimes a more extensive range of indicators is supported.

This specification defines a similar facility for Internet Mail.

While it is already possible to include symbols and graphics as part of an email reply's content, there has not been an established means of signalling the semantic substance that such data are to be taken as a summary 'reaction' to the original message. That is, a mechanism to identify symbols as specifically providing a summary reaction to the cited message, rather than merely being part of the free text in the body of a response. Such a structured use of the symbol(s) allows recipient MUAs to correlate this reaction to the original message and possibly to display the information distinctively.

This facility defines a new MIME Content-Disposition, to be used in conjunction with the In-Reply-To header field, to specify that a part of a message containing one or more emojis be treated as a summary reaction to a previous message.

Unless provided here, terminology, architecture and specification used in this document are incorporated from [Mail-Arch], [Mail-Fmt], [MIME], and [ABNF]. The ABNF rule Emoji-Seq is inherited from [Emoji-Seq].

Discussion of this specification should take place on the ietf-822@ietf.org mailing list.

## 2. Reaction Content-Disposition

```
A message sent as a reply MAY include a part containing:
  Content-Disposition: Reaction
  If such a field is specified the content-type of the part MUST be:
   Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8
   The content of this part is restricted to single line of emoji. The
   [ABNF] is:
          part-content = emoji *(lwsp emoji) CRLF
          emoji = emoji_sequence
          emoji_sequence = { defined in [Emoji-Seq] }
          base-emojis = thumbs-up / thumbs-down / grinning-face / frowning-
face / crying-face
          thumbs-up = \{U+1F44D\}
          thumbs-down = \{U+1F44E\}
          grinning-face = \{U+1F600\}
          frowning-face = \{U+2639\}
          crying-face = \{U+1F622\}
```

The rule emoji\_sequence is inherited from [Emoji-Seq]. It permits one or more bytes to form a single presentation image.

The emoji(s) express a recipient's summary reaction to the specific message referenced by the accompanying In-Reply-To header field.
[Mail-Fmt].

Reference to unallocated code points SHOULD NOT be treated as an error; associated bytes SHOULD be processed using the system default method for denoting an unallocated or undisplayable code point.

The presentation aspects of reaction processing are necessarily MUA-specific and beyond the scope of this specification. In terms of the message itself, recipient MUAs that support this mechanism operate as follows:

- 1. If an In-Reply-To field is present check to see if it references a previous message the MUA has received.
- 2. If a reference to an existing message is found check for a part with a "reaction" content-disposition at either the outermost level or as part of a multipart at the outermost level.

- 3. If such a part is found, and the content of the part conforms to the restrictions outlined above, remove the part from the message and process it as a reaction.
- 4. Processing terminates if no parts remain in the message. If parts remain process the remaining message content as a reply.

Again, the handling of a message that has been successfully processed is MUA-specific and beyond the scope of this specification.

# 3. Usability Considerations

This specification defines a mechanism for the structuring and carriage of information. It does not define any user-level details of use. However the design of the user-level mechanisms associated with this facility is paramount. This section discusses some issues to consider.

Creation: Because an email environment is different from a typical social media platform, there are significant -- and potentially challenging -- choices in the design of the user interface, to support indication of a reaction. Is the reaction to be sent only to the original author, or should it be sent to all recipients? Should the reaction always be sent in a discrete message containing only the reaction, or should the user also be able to include other message content? (Note that carriage of the reaction in a normal email message enables inclusion of this other content.)

Display: Reaction indications might be more useful when displayed in close visual proximity to the original message, rather than merely as part of an email response thread.

## **4**. Security Considerations

This specification employs message content that is a strict subset of existing content, and thus introduces no new content-specific security considerations.

This specification defines a distinct label for specialized message content. Processing that handles the content differently from other content in the message body might introduce vulnerabilities.

## 5. IANA Considerations

New Content-Disposition Parameter Registrations

This document specifies a new "reaction" content disposition and its handling that should be added to the IANA registry.

### 6. Normative References

[ABNF] Crocker, D. and P. Overell, "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", <u>RFC 5234</u>, January 2008.

### [Emoji-Seq]

Davis, M., Ed. and P. Edberg., Ed., "Unicode(R) Technical Standard #51: Unicode Emoji", WEB <a href="http://www.unicode.org/reports/tr51/#def\_emoji\_sequence">http://www.unicode.org/reports/tr51/#def\_emoji\_sequence</a>, September 2020.

## [Mail-Arch]

Crocker, D., "Internet Mail Architecture", <u>RFC 5598</u>, July 2009.

### [Mail-Fmt]

Resnick, P., Ed., "Internet Message Format", <u>RFC 5322</u>, October 2008.

[MIME] Freed, N. and N. Borenstein, "Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) Part One: Format of Internet Message Bodies", <u>RFC 2045</u>, November 1996.

### Appendix A. Acknowledgements

This specification has been discussed in the ietf-822 mailing list. Active commentary and suggestions were offered by: Nathaniel Borenstein, Richard Clayton, Ned Freed, Bron Gondwana, Valdis Klētnieks, John Levine, Brandon Long, Keith Moore, Pete Resnick, Michael Richardson, Alessandro Vesely

### Authors' Addresses

Dave Crocker Brandenburg InternetWorking

Email: dcrocker@bbiw.net

Ricardo Signes Fastmail

Email: rjbs@semiotic.systems

Ned Freed Oracle

Email: ned.freed@mrochek.com