

**Route Leaks -- Requirements for Detection and Prevention thereof  
draft-dickson-sidr-route-leak-reqts-00**

Abstract

The Border Gateway Protocol, version 4, (BGP4) provides the means to advertise reachability for IP prefixes. This reachability information is propagated in a peer-to-peer topology. Sometimes routes are announced to peers for which the local peering policy does not permit. And sometimes routes are propagated indiscriminantly, once they have been accepted.

This document considers the situations that can lead to routes being leaked, and tries to find acceptable definitions for describing these scenarios.

The purpose of these definitions is to facilitate discussion on what a route leak is, and what the scope of the problem space for route leaks is. This, in turn, is intended to inform a requirements document for detection of (and prevention of) route leaks. And finally, the definitions and requirements are intended to allow proposed solutions which meet these criteria, and to facilitate evaluation of proposed solutions.

The fundamental objective is to "solve the route leaks problem".

Author's Note

Intended Status: Informational.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference

material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on September 6, 2012.

#### Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2012 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.



## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	Introduction . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">1.1.</a>	Rationale . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">1.2.</a>	Requirements . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">1.3.</a>	Terminology . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	Security Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	IANA Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	Acknowledgements . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	References . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">5.1.</a>	Normative References . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">5.2.</a>	Informative References . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
	Author's Address . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>



## **1. Introduction**

### **1.1. Rationale**

This document is a placeholder, version 00 to meet the cut-off deadline.

### **1.2. Requirements**

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)].

### **1.3. Terminology**

The reader is assumed to be familiar with the IETF.

## **2. Security Considerations**

None per se.

## **3. IANA Considerations**

This document contains no IANA-specific material.

## **4. Acknowledgements**

To be added later.

## **5. References**

### **5.1. Normative References**

- [RFC1033] Lottor, M., "Domain administrators operations guide", [RFC 1033](#), November 1987.
- [RFC1034] Mockapetris, P., "Domain names - concepts and facilities", STD 13, [RFC 1034](#), November 1987.
- [RFC1035] Mockapetris, P., "Domain names - implementation and specification", STD 13, [RFC 1035](#), November 1987.
- [RFC2136] Vixie, P., Thomson, S., Rekhter, Y., and J. Bound, "Dynamic Updates in the Domain Name System (DNS UPDATE)",



[RFC 2136](#), April 1997.

- [RFC2181] Elz, R. and R. Bush, "Clarifications to the DNS Specification", [RFC 2181](#), July 1997.
- [RFC2308] Andrews, M., "Negative Caching of DNS Queries (DNS NCACHE)", [RFC 2308](#), March 1998.
- [RFC4033] Arends, R., Austein, R., Larson, M., Massey, D., and S. Rose, "DNS Security Introduction and Requirements", [RFC 4033](#), March 2005.
- [RFC4034] Arends, R., Austein, R., Larson, M., Massey, D., and S. Rose, "Resource Records for the DNS Security Extensions", [RFC 4034](#), March 2005.
- [RFC5011] StJohns, M., "Automated Updates of DNS Security (DNSSEC) Trust Anchors", [RFC 5011](#), September 2007.
- [RFC5155] Laurie, B., Sisson, G., Arends, R., and D. Blacka, "DNS Security (DNSSEC) Hashed Authenticated Denial of Existence", [RFC 5155](#), March 2008.

## **[5.2](#). Informative References**

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.

### Author's Address

Brian Dickson  
Brian Dickson  
703 Palmer Drive,  
Herndon, VA 20170  
USA

Email: [brian.peter.dickson@gmail.com](mailto:brian.peter.dickson@gmail.com)



