

DHCP Options For Host System Characteristics
<[draft-dittert-host-sys-char-03.txt](#)>

Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft and is in full conformance with all provisions of [Section 10 of RFC2026](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt> The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

Abstract

The interoperability of configuration services based on the Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol (DHCP) [[1](#)] in an environment of heterogeneous clients depends on clients accurately identifying themselves and their relevant characteristics to configuration servers. The class identifier provided through DHCP option 60 [[2](#)] helps in this regard, but such identifiers essentially only enable clients and servers that are "good friends" to find each other. This draft proposes the definition of three options that convey particular, generally useful information about the client system. This enables all servers to recognize this information, and is a step toward a richer form of interoperability for configuration services.

The options in question are

- Option 93 - Client System Architecture
- Option 94 - Client Network Device Interface
- Option 97 - UUID/GUID-based Client Identifier

Subsequent to IANA issuing the above DHCP option numbers, the DHCP Working Group has determined that use of these options is not appropriate. As a result, it was agreed in the August 1998 IETF DHCP WG meeting that use of Options 93, 94, and 97 as described in this draft would be allowed until August of 2004 (six years), after which time the option numbers would be

made available for new options.

Expires Aug 22, 1999

[Page 1]

In the interim, it is expected that systems using Options 93, 94, and 97 will be redefined to use Options 60 and 61. It is allowable that systems may use both methods during the 6 year interim period as a means of migrating to exclusive use of Options 60 and 61 for this functionality by the end of the interim period.

The DHCP Working Group has agreed that this draft will be published as an Informational RFC to document the expected term of use for Options 93, 94, and 97 as defined in this ID.

1.0 Introduction

The use of DHCP to provide clients with configuration information in general, and boot images in particular can be complicated by several circumstances. Among these are

- 1) clients in the same service domain with different system architectures or hardware configurations
- 2) clients in the same service domain for which different software configurations are desired
- 3) the desire to have clients and servers provided by different vendors successfully interact

(By "clients in the same service domain" we mean clients, requests from which can reach the same server.) A key element in enabling the successful use of DHCP in such circumstances is the provision of mechanisms by which clients can accurately identify themselves and their relevant characteristics to a server.

For identifying characteristics of the client that are relevant to the selection of a boot image, the currently available mechanisms are the DHCP class identifier option (code 60) and the DHCP vendor specific information option (code 43). By definition, the vendor specific information option does not address the problem of enabling interoperability of clients and servers provided by different vendors. Information conveyed by the class identifier option could enable interoperability, provided that a sufficiently specific and complete set of class identifiers were defined and agreed to.

We suggest using an alternate approach, in which new, specific options are used to convey the characteristics of the client that determine which boot image(s) could run on the client, and the class identifier is used as a (site-specific) designation of the desired software configuration for the client. [Section 2](#) defines two new options that are useful for conveying the client's hardware configuration.

For identifying the client as a unique entity, the currently available mechanism is the DHCP client identifier option (code 61)

[2]. [Section 3](#) of this draft defines an alternative option (code 97) identifier type based on generated GUIDs - identifiers that are guaranteed to be, or are very, very likely to be unique across time and all clients.

2.0 Client Characteristics Options

The options defined in this section provide the server with explicit knowledge about the client system that is generally useful in selecting an executable that the client can use as a boot image.

2.1 Client System Architecture Option

DHCP clients SHOULD include this option in DHCPDISCOVER and DHCPREQUEST messages. Doing so provides the server with explicit knowledge of the client's system architecture.

DHCP servers that use this option MAY include the option in responses that contain a bootfile name. If included, the value of the option MUST denote a system architecture for which the bootfile named is valid. DHCP servers MUST NOT include this option in responses that do not contain a bootfile name.

The format for this option is as follows:

```

      Code  Len   System Arch Code
+-----+-----+-----+-----+
| 93  |  2  | s1  | s2  |
+-----+-----+-----+-----+
```

The currently defined types and their codes are

System Architecture	Code
-----	----
Intel Architecture PC	0
NEC PC-9800	1
Intel Architecture 64 PC	2
DEC Alpha	3
ARCx86	4
Intel Lean Client	5

2.2 Client Network Device Interface Option

DHCP clients SHOULD include this option in DHCPDISCOVER and DHCPREQUEST messages. Doing so provides the server with explicit knowledge of the client's network device.

DHCP servers that use this option MAY include the option in responses that contain a bootfile name. If included, the value of the option MUST denote a network device for which the bootfile named is valid. DHCP servers MUST NOT include this option in responses that do not contain a bootfile name.

Expires Aug 22, 1999

[Page 3]

Three types of network device specifications are defined for use with this option:

- * devices that support the Universal Network Driver Interface (UNDI), as described in "Network PC System Design Guidelines: A Reference for Designing Net PC Systems for Use with the Microsoft Windows and Windows NT Operating Systems" [3]
- * Plug-and-Play devices [5]
- * PCI devices [6]

Each device that supports (UNDI) MUST be specified as an UNDI device, regardless of whether it is also a Plug-and-Play device or a PCI device. To specify an UNDI device, the option contains a type code of 1 and the major and minor UNDI version numbers:

Code	Len	Type	Major	Minor
94	3	1	m1	m2

To specify a PCI network device, a type code of 2 is used, and the vendor ID, device ID, class code, and revision are included:

Code	Len	Type	Vendor ID	Device ID	Class code	Rev				
94	9	2	v1	v2	d3	d4	c1	c2	c3	r1

To specify a Plug-and-Play network device, a type code of 3 is used, and the EISA device ID and the class code are included:

Code	Len	Type	EISA device ID	Class code					
94	8	3	e1	e2	e3	e4	c1	c2	c3

3.0 Unique Client Identifier

This option (code 97) provides a unique client identifier. Type 0 of this identifier is defined to be in UUID/GUID format. A client identifier option containing a type code of 0 MUST contain a 128-bit UUID as follows:

Code	Len	Type	Client UUID		
97	17	0	g1	g2	...

The format and content of the UUID MUST be as specified in the RPC Specification from the Open Group [[4](#)].

Expires Aug 22, 1999

[Page 4]

A client machine providing this option MUST use the same UUID value each time the option is used. That is, the UUID MUST be static.

DHCP clients SHOULD include this option in all DHCP messages. Doing so provides the server with a unique and static identifier for the client platform.

[4.0](#) References

- [1] Droms, R. "Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol", [RFC 2131](#)
- [2] Alexander, S. and Droms, R., "DHCP Options and BOOTP Vendor Extension" [RFC 2132](#).
- [3] "Network PC System Design Guidelines: A Reference for Designing Net PC Systems for Use with the Microsoft Windows and Windows NT Operating Systems",
<http://www.intel.com/managedpc>
- [4] CAE Specification
DCE 1.1: Remote Procedure Call
Document Number: C706
Universal Unique Identifier [Appendix](#)
Copyright (c) 1997 The Open Group
<http://www.opengroup.org/onlinepubs/9629399/toc.htm>
- [5] Intel Corp. and Microsoft Corp., "Plug and Play ISA Specification", Version 1.0a, May 5, 1994
- [6] Peripheral Component Interconnect Special Interest Group,
"Peripheral Component Interconnect Specification", Revision 2.1, available through PCISIG,
<http://www.pcisig.com/specs.html>

[5.0](#) Author's Address

Mike Henry
Intel Corporation, MS JF3-206
5200 NE Elam Young Pkwy
Hillsboro, OR 97124

Phone: (503) 264-9689
Email: mike.henry@intel.com

Expires Aug 22, 1999

[Page 5]