

Independent Stream
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Informational
Expires: March 30, 2020

F. Dold
Taler Systems SA
C. Grothoff
BFH
September 27, 2019

**The 'payto' URI scheme for payments
draft-dold-payto-08**

Abstract

This document defines the 'payto' Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) scheme for designating targets for payments.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on March 30, 2020.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2019 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Syntax of a 'payto' URI	2
3.	Semantics	3
4.	Examples	3
5.	Generic Options	3
6.	Internationalization and Character Encoding	4
7.	Security Considerations	4
8.	IANA Considerations	5
8.1.	URI Scheme Registration	5
8.2.	Payment Target Type Sub-Registry	5
8.2.1.	ACH Bank Account	6
8.2.2.	Business Identifier Code	7
8.2.3.	International Bank Account Number	7
8.2.4.	Unified Payments Interface	7
8.2.5.	Bitcoin Address	8
8.2.6.	Interledger Protocol Address	8
9.	References	8
9.1.	Normative References	8
9.2.	Informational References	9
	Authors' Addresses	9

[1.](#) Introduction

This document defines the 'payto' Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) [[RFC3986](#)] scheme for designating transfer form data for payments. In particular, it always identifies the target of a payment. A 'payto' URI consists of a payment target type, a target identifier and optional parameters such as an amount or a payment reference.

The interpretation of the target identifier is defined by the payment target type, and typically represents either a bank account or an (unsettled) transaction.

A unified URI scheme for all payment target types allows applications to offer user interactions with URIs that represent payment targets, simplifying the introduction of new payment systems and applications.

[2.](#) Syntax of a 'payto' URI

This document uses the Augmented Backus-Naur Form (ABNF) of [[RFC5234](#)].


```
payto-URI = "payto" "://" authority path-abempty [ "?" opts ]
opts = opt *( "&" opt )
opt = (generic-opt / authority-specific-opt) "=" *( pchar )
generic-opt = "amount" / "receiver-name" / "sender-name" /
              "message" / "instruction"
authority = ALPHA *( ALPHA / DIGIT / "-" / "." )
path-abempty = <path-abempty, see \[RFC3986\], Section 3.3>
pchar = <pchar, see \[RFC3986\], Appendix A.>
```

3. Semantics

The authority component of a payment URI identifies the payment target type. The payment target types are defined in the "Payment Target Types" sub-registry, see [Section 8.2](#). The path component of the URI identifies the target for a payment as interpreted by the respective payment target type. The query component of the URI can provide additional parameters for a payment. Every payment method SHOULD accept the options defined in generic-opt. The default operation of applications that invoke a URI with the payto scheme SHOULD be to launch an application (if available) associated with the payment target type that can initiate a payment. If multiple handlers are registered for the same payment target type, the user SHOULD be able to choose which application to launch. This allows users with multiple bank accounts (each accessed the respective bank's banking application) to choose which account to pay with. An application SHOULD allow dereferencing a payto URI even if the payment target type of that URI is not registered in the "Payment Target Types" sub-registry. Details of the payment MUST be taken from the path and options given in the URI. The user SHOULD be allowed to modify these details before confirming a payment.

4. Examples

```
payto://iban/DE75512108001245126199?amount=EUR:200.0&message=hello
```

```
INVALID (authority missing): payto:iban/12345
```

5. Generic Options

Applications MUST accept URIs with options in any order. The "amount" option MUST only occur at most once. Other options MAY be allowed multiple times, with further restrictions depending on the payment method. The following options SHOULD be understood by every payment method.

amount: The amount to transfer, including currency information if applicable. The format MUST be:

```
amount = [ currency ":" ] unit [ "." fraction ]
currency = 1*ALPHA
unit = 1*(DIGIT / ",")
fraction = 1*(DIGIT / ",")
```

The unit value MUST be smaller than 2^{53} . If present, the fraction MUST consist of no more than 8 decimal digits. The use of commas is optional for readability and they MUST be ignored.

receiver-name: Name of the entity that receives the payment (creditor).

sender-name: Name of the entity that makes the payment (debtor).

message: A short message to identify the purpose of the payment, which MAY be subject to lossy conversions (for example, due to character set encoding limitations).

instruction: A short message giving instructions to the recipient, which MUST NOT be subject to lossy conversions. Character set limitations allowed for such instructions depend on the payment method.

6. Internationalization and Character Encoding

Various payment systems use restricted character sets. An application that processes 'payto' URIs MUST convert characters that are not allowed by the respective payment systems into allowable character using either an encoding or a replacement table. This conversion process MAY be lossy, except for the instruction field.

To avoid special encoding rules for the payment target identifier, the userinfo component [[RFC3986](#)] is disallowed in payto URIs. Instead, the payment target identifier is given as an option, where encoding rules are uniform for all options.

7. Security Considerations

Interactive applications handling the payto URI scheme MUST NOT initiate any financial transactions without prior review and confirmation from the user, and MUST take measures to prevent clickjacking [[HMW12](#)].

Unless a payto URI is received over a trusted, authenticated channel, a user might not be able to identify the target of a payment. In particular due to homographs [[unicode-tr36](#)], a payment target type SHOULD NOT use human-readable names in combination with unicode in the target account specification, as it could give the user the illusion of being able to identify the target account from the URI.

To avoid unnecessary data collection, payment target types SHOULD NOT include personally identifying information about the sender of a payment that is not essential for an application to conduct a payment.

8. IANA Considerations

IANA maintains a registry called the "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) Schemes" registry.

8.1. URI Scheme Registration

IANA maintains a sub-registry of the "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) Schemes" registry also called the "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) Schemes" registry. The "payto" URI scheme is already registered in this sub-registry with status set to "provisional" [[RFC7595](#)]. IANA is requested to update the reference for the "payto" URI scheme to reference the RFC number of this document when it is published as an RFC.

8.2. Payment Target Type Sub-Registry

IANA is requested to create a new sub-registry of the "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) Schemes" registry called the "Payment Target Types" registry with this document as the reference.

The sub-registry shall record for each entry:

- o Name: The name of the payment target type (case insensitive ASCII string, restricted to alphanumeric characters, dots and dashes)
- o Description: A description of the payment target type, including the semantics of the path in the URI if applicable.
- o Example: At least one example URI to illustrate the payment target type.
- o Contact: The contact information of a person to contact for further information

- o References: Optionally, references describing the payment method (such as an RFC) and method-specific options, or references describing the payment system underlying the payment target type.

The registration policy for this sub-registry is "First Come First Served", as described in [[RFC5226](#)]. When requesting new entries, careful consideration of the following criteria is strongly advised:

1. The description clearly defines the syntax and semantics of the payment target and optional parameters if applicable.
2. Relevant references are provided if they are available.
3. The chosen name is appropriate for the payment target type, does not conflict with well-known payment systems, and avoids potential to confuse users.
4. The payment system underlying the payment target type is not fundamentally incompatible with the general options (such as positive decimal amounts) in this specification.
5. The payment target type is not a vendor-specific version of a payment target type that could be described more generally by a vendor-neutral payment target type.
6. The specification of the new payment target type remains within the scope of payment transfer form data. In particular specifying complete invoices is not in scope. Neither are processing instructions to the payment processor or bank beyond a simple payment.
7. The payment target and the options do not contain the payment sender's account details.

IANA is requested to populate the new sub-registry with the entries documented in the following sub-sections.

8.2.1. ACH Bank Account

- o Name: ach
- o Description: Automated Clearing House. The path consist of two components, the routing number and the account number.
- o Example: payto://ach/122000661/1234
- o Contact: N/A

- o References: [[NACHA](#)], [this.I-D]

8.2.2. Business Identifier Code

- o Name: bic
- o Description: Business Identifier Code. The path consist of just a BIC. This is used for wire transfers between banks. The registry for BICs is provided by SWIFT. The path does not allow specifying a bank account number.
- o Example: payto://bic/SOGEDEFFXXX
- o Contact: N/A
- o References: [[BIC](#)], [this.I-D]

8.2.3. International Bank Account Number

- o Name: iban
- o Description: International Bank Account Number (IBAN). Generally the IBAN allows to unambiguously derive the the associated Business Identifier Code (BIC). However, some legacy applications process payments to the same IBAN differently based on the specified BIC. Thus the path can either consist of a single component (the IBAN) or two components (BIC and IBAN).
- o Example: payto://iban/DE75512108001245126199
payto://iban/SOGEDEFFXXX/DE75512108001245126199
- o Contact: N/A
- o References: [[ISO20022](#)], [this.I-D]

8.2.4. Unified Payments Interface

- o Name: upi
- o Description: Unified Payment Interface. The path is an account alias. The amount and receiver-name options are mandatory for this payment target.
- o Example: payto://upi/alice@example.com?receiver-name=Alice&amount=INR:200
- o Contact: N/A

- o References: [[UPILinking](#)], [this.I-D]

8.2.5. Bitcoin Address

- o Name: bitcoin
- o Description: Bitcoin protocol. The path is a "bitcoinaddress" as per [[BIP0021](#)].
- o Example: payto://bitcoin/12A1MyfXbw6RhdRAZEqofac5jCQQjwEPBu
- o Contact: N/A
- o References: [[BIP0021](#)], [this.I-D]

8.2.6. Interledger Protocol Address

- o Name: ilp
- o Description: Interledger protocol. The path is an ILP address as per [[ILP-ADDR](#)].
- o Example: payto://ilp/g.acme.bob
- o Contact: N/A
- o References: [[ILP-ADDR](#)], [this.I-D]

9. References

9.1. Normative References

- [ISO20022] International Organization for Standardization, "ISO 20022 Financial Services - Universal financial industry message scheme", May 2013.
- [NACHA] NACHA, "NACHA Operating Rules & Guidelines", January 2017.
- [RFC3986] Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R., and L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax", STD 66, [RFC 3986](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3986, January 2005, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3986>>.
- [RFC5226] Narten, T. and H. Alvestrand, "Guidelines for Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", [RFC 5226](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5226, May 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5226>>.

- [RFC5234] Crocker, D., Ed. and P. Overell, "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", STD 68, [RFC 5234](#), January 2008.
- [RFC7595] Thaler, D., Ed., Hansen, T., and T. Hardie, "Guidelines and Registration Procedures for URI Schemes", [BCP 35](#), [RFC 7595](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7595, June 2015, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7595>>.
- [unicode-tr36] Davis, M., Ed. and M. Suignard, "Unicode Technical Report #36: Unicode Security Considerations", September 2014.

9.2. Informational References

- [BIC] International Organization for Standardization, "ISO 9362:2014 Business Identifier Code (BIC)", March 2019, <<https://www.iso.org/standard/60390.html>>.
- [BIP0021] Schneider, N. and M. Corallo, "Bitcoin Improvement Proposal 21", January 2012, <https://en.bitcoin.it/wiki/BIP_0021>.
- [HMW12] Huang, L., Moshchuk, A., Wang, H., Schechter, S., and C. Jackson, "Clickjacking: Attacks and Defenses", January 2012, <<https://www.usenix.org/system/files/conference/usenixsecurity12/sec12-final39.pdf>>.
- [ILP-ADDR] Interledger Team, "ILP Addresses - v2.0.0", September 2018, <<https://interledger.org/rfcs/0015-ilp-addresses/>>.
- [UPILinking] National Payment Corporation of India, "Unified Payment Interface - Common URL Specifications For Deep Linking And Proximity Integration", May 2016, <<http://www.npci.org.in/documents/UPILinkingSpecificationsVersion10draft.pdf>>.

Authors' Addresses

Florian Dold
Taler Systems SA
7, rue de Mondorf
Erpeldange L-5421
LU

Email: dold@taler.net

Christian Grothoff
BFH
Hoeheweg 80
Biel/Bienne CH-2501
CH

Email: christian.grothoff@bfh.ch