Internet Engineering Task Force Internet-Draft Intended status: Informational Expires: January 13, 2009 R. Droms Cisco B. Haberman July 12, 2008

Softwires Network Address Translation (SNAT) draft-droms-softwires-snat-01.txt

Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with <u>Section 6 of BCP 79</u>.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html.

This Internet-Draft will expire on January 13, 2009.

Abstract

Service providers (ISPs) are interested in reducing the use of IPv4 in their internal networks because of the anticipated exhaustion of the IPv4 address space. Softwires Network Address Translation (SNAT) combines IPv4 NAT and IPv4-in-IPv6 softwires to carry IPv4 traffic through the ISP network that uses only IPv6 service. Multiple subscribers are multiplexed through a single external global IPv4 address, reducing the total number of IPv4 addresses in use by the ISP to support Internet traffic to those subscribers.

Table of Contents

<u>1</u> .	Introduction		•	<u>3</u>			
<u>2</u> .	Requirements Language			<u>3</u>			
<u>3</u> .	Terminology			<u>4</u>			
<u>4</u> .	Problem statement and requirements			<u>4</u>			
<u>5</u> .	SNAT Architecture			<u>4</u>			
<u>6</u> .	Example message flow			7			
<u>7</u> .	Translation details			<u>11</u>			
<u>8</u> .	Supporting multiple subscribers through one $\ensuremath{IPv4}$ address .			<u>12</u>			
<u>9</u> .	Setting up state			<u>12</u>			
<u>10</u> .	Analysis and Future Work		•	<u>13</u>			
<u>11</u> .	Change log		•	<u>13</u>			
<u>11</u>	<u>1.1</u> . Revision -01			<u>13</u>			
<u>12</u> .	Contributors		•	<u>13</u>			
<u>13</u> .	IANA Considerations		•	<u>13</u>			
<u>14</u> .	Security Considerations			<u>14</u>			
<u>15</u> .	References		•	<u>14</u>			
<u>15</u>	5.1. Normative References			<u>14</u>			
<u>15</u>	5.2. Informative References			<u>14</u>			
Auth	hors' Addresses			<u>14</u>			
Intellectual Property and Copyright Statements							

<u>1</u>. Introduction

Service providers (ISPs) are interested in reducing the use of IPv4 in their internal networks because of the anticipated exhaustion of the IPv4 address space. Reducing the use of IPv4 addresses will allow the conservation of addresses assigned to the ISP for use in specific places where IPv4 is required. One way of reducing the use of IPv4 addresses to deploy IPv6 to replace IPv4 in internal networks.

Softwires Network Address Translation (SNAT) combines IPv4 NAT [RFC3022] and IPv4-in-IPv6 softwire to carry IPv4 traffic through the ISP network where only IPv6 is deployed. RFC 4925 [RFC4925] describes the two initial softwires WG problem statements, "Hubs and Spokes" (Section 2) and "Mesh" (Section 3). The problem that this document addresses is more narrowly scoped than either of these two initial problem statements, focusing on IPv4 over IPv6 only and the specific needs of a large ISP network facing scaling issues from lack of RFC 1918 address space for all of its devices. It most closely resembles the "converse case" of that depicted in Figure 2, Case 2, of <u>RFC 4925</u> (except that the Host may be IPv4-only, rather than Dual Stack as depicted, and the portion of the network labeled "IPv4-only" is likely larger than that implied in the diagram). SNAT multiplexes multiple subscribers through a single IPv4 address, reducing the total number of IPv4 addresses in use by the ISP to support Internet traffic to those subscribers.

Elements of SNAT are inspired by the proposal from NTT to deploy dual IPv4 NAT and the proposal from Comcast to use IPv4-IPv6-IPv4 translation. SNAT retains the characteristics of IPv4-IPV4 NAT, rather than introducing IPv4-IPV6 translation, while saving IPv4 addresses in the ISP core network. This document has been submitted to foster discussion about these mechanisms for IPv4 address space conservation.

SNAT requires one IPv4-in-IPv6 softwire per subscriber. These softwires will require configuration and special effort for reliability, as well as resources for scaling at the ISP endpoint for potentially thousands or even millions of softwires. SNAT also requires additional functions in subscriber CPEs.

2. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in <u>RFC 2119</u> [<u>RFC2119</u>].

[Page 3]

3. Terminology

This document uses softwires terminology described in <u>Section 1.1 of</u> <u>RFC 4925</u> throughout. The reader is expected to refer to this document for a number of terms and abbreviations. In addition to the terminology defined in <u>RFC 4925</u>, this document defines the following terms:

HGW: Home gateway; the gateway between the subscriber network and the ISP network

Subscriber host or host: A host attached to a subscriber network

SPSWE: Service provider softwire endpoint; the endpoint of the softwires in the ISP network

4. Problem statement and requirements

The motivation for SNAT is to reduce the number of IPv4 addresses in use in an ISP network. The reduction is achieved in two ways:

- Use NAT to multiplex subscribers through a single global IPv4 address
- o Use softwires to provide IPv4 service through an ISP core network that uses only IPv6 addresses

The following requirements were considered in the design of SNAT:

- o Provide IPv4 service to CPE through NAT similar to familiar NAT in use today
- Minimize the use of global IPv4 addresses for subscriber IPv4 service
- o Eliminate the use of IPv4 addresses (global or <u>RFC 1918</u>) within the ISP as much as possible
- No changes to subscriber CPEs (hosts attached to the subscribe network)

5. SNAT Architecture

As illustrated in Figure 1, SNAT consists of three components: the subscriber home gateway (HGW), the service provider softwire endpoint (SPSWE) and a softwire between the SI in the HGW and the SC in the

SPSWE. The SPSWE performs IPv4-IPv4 NAT translations to multiplex multiple subscribers through a single globl IPv4 address. Overlapping address spaces used by subscribers are disambiguated through the identification of tunnel endpoints.

+----+ | Host | +---+ 10.0.0.1 10.0.0.2 +----+ 1 HGW |+----+| || SNAT SI || |+----+| +----+ |||2001:0:0:1::1 |||<-IPv4-in-IPv6 softwire -----/ ||| \ | ISP core network | \ ||| / -----|||2001:0:0:2::1 +----+ |SPSWE ||| | |+---+| || SNAT SC || |+----+| |NAT| | +-+-+ | +----+ |129.0.0.1 -----1 / \ Internet / / -----128.0.0.1 +---+ | IPv4 Host | +----+

Figure 1: SNAT Architecture

[Page 6]

The resulting solution accepts an IPv4 datagram that is translated into an IPv4-in-IPv6 softwire datagram for transmission across the softwire. At the corresponding endpoint, the IPv4 datagram is decapsulated, and the translated IPv4 address is inserted based on a translation from the softwire.

<u>6</u>. Example message flow

In the example shown in Figure 2, the translation tables in the SPSWE is configured to forward between IP/TCP (10.0.0.1/10000) and IP/TCP (129.0.0.1/5000). That is, a datagram received by the HGW from the CPE at address 10.0.0.1, using TCP DST port 10000 will be translated a datagram with IP SRC address 129.0.0.1 and TCP SRC port 5000 in the Internet.

+----+ CPE +---+ | |10.0.0.1 IPv4 datagram 1 | | v |10.0.0.2 +----+ | | |HGW | |+----+| || SNAT SI || |+----+| +----+ | |||2001:0:0:1::1 IPv6 datagram 2| ||| | |||<-IPv4-in-IPv6 softwire -----/ | ||| \ | ISP core network | \ | ||| / -----| |||2001:0:0:2::1 +----+ |SPSWE v ||| | |+----+| || SNAT SC || |+----+| |NAT| | +-+-+ | 1 +----+ | |129.0.0.1 IPv4 datagram 3 | | -----/ | | $\mathbf{1}$ Internet \ / -----v |128.0.0.1 +---+ | IPv4 Host | +----+

Figure 2: Outbound Datagram

[Page 8]

Datagram	Header field	Contents
IPv4 datagram 1	IPv4 Dst	128.0.0.1
	IPv4 Src	10.0.0.1
	TCP Dst	80
	TCP Src	10000
IPv6 Datagram 2	IPv6 Dst	2001:0:0:2::2
	IPv6 Src	2001:0:0:1::1
	IPv4 Dst	128.0.0.1
	IPv4 Src	10.0.0.1
	TCP Dst	80
	TCP Src	10000
IPv4 datagram 3	IPv4 Dst	128.0.0.1
	IPv4 Src	129.0.0.1
	TCP Dst	80
	TCP Src	5000
+		+

Datagram header contents

When datagram 1 is received by the HGW, the SI function encapsulates the datagram in datagram 2 and forwards it to the SPSWE over the softwire.

When it receives datagram 2, the SC in the SPSWE hands the IPv4 datagram to the NAT, which determines from its translation table that the datagram received on Softwire_1 with TCP SRC port 10000 should be translated to datagram 3 with IP SRC address 129.0.0.1 and TCP SRC port 5000.

Figure 3 shows an inbound message received at the SPSWE. When the NAT function in the SPSWE receives datagram 1, it looks up the IP/TCP DST in its translation table. In the example in Figure 3, the NAT translates the TCP DST port to 10000, sets the IP DST address to 10.0.0.1 and hands the datagram to the SC for transmission over Softwire_1. The SI in the HGW decapsulates IPv4 datagram from the inbound softwire datagram, and forwards it to the host.

[Page 9]

+----+ | Host | +---+ ^ |10.0.0.1 IPv4 datagram 3 | | | |10.0.0.2 +----+ 1 +-+-+ |HGW |NAT| |+----+| || SNAT SI || |+----+| +----+ ^ |||2001:0:0:1::1 IPv6 datagram 2 | ||| | |||<-IPv4-in-IPv6 softwire -----/ | ||| \ | ISP core network | \ | ||| / -----| |||2001:0:0:2::1 +----+ |SPSWE | ||| | |+----+| || SNAT SC || |+----+| |NAT| | +-+-+ | 1 1 +----+ ^ |129.0.0.1 IPv4 datagram 1 | | -----/ | | \backslash 1 Internet \ / -----| |128.0.0.1 +---+ | IPv4 Host | +----+

The postamble.

Figure 3: Inbound Datagram

+ Datagram	Header field	Contents
IPv4 datagram 1	IPv4 Dst	129.0.0.1
	IPv4 Src	128.0.0.1
	TCP Dst	5000
	TCP Src	80
	IPv6 Dst	2001:0:0:1::1
IPv6 Datagram 2	IPv6 Src	2001:0:0:2::2
	IPv4 Dst	10.0.0.1
	IP Src	128.0.0.1
	TCP Dst	10000
	TCP Src	80
 IPv4 datagram 3 	IPv4 Dst IPv4 Src TCP Dst TCP Src	10.0.0.1 128.0.0.1 10000 80

Datagram header contents

7. Translation details

The SPSWE has a NAT that translates between softwire/port pairs and IPv4-address/port pairs. The same translation is applied to IPv4 datagrams received on the device's external interface and from the softwire endpoint in the device.

In Figure 2, the translator network interface in the SPSWE is on the Internet, and the softwire interface connects to the HGW. The SPSWE translator is configured as follows:

- Network interface: Translate IPv4 destination address and TCP destination port to the softwire identifier and TCP destination port
- Softwire interface: Translate softwire identifier and TCP source port to IPv4 source address and TCP source port

Here is how the translation in Figure 3 works:

o Datagram 1 is received on the SPSWE translator network interface. The translator looks up the IPv4-address/port pair in its

translator table, rewrites the IPv4 destination address to 10.0.0.1 and the TCP source port to 10000, and hands the datagram to the SE to be forwarded over the softwire.

o The IPv4 datagram is received on the HGW SI. The SI function extracts the IPv4 datagram and the HGW forwards datagram 3 to the host.

> +----+ | Softwire/IPv4/Port | IPv4/Port | +----+ | Softwire_1/10.0.0.1/TCP 10000 | 129.0.0.1/TCP 5000 | +----+

> > SPSWE translation table

8. Supporting multiple subscribers through one IPv4 address

One key advantage of SNAT is the ability to provide Internet access for multiple subscribers through a single global IPv4 address. The SPTE table can be configured to translate traffic from multiple customers through one global IPv4 address. Even a small degree of multiplexing, as few as five subscribers through each global IPv4 address, would give ISPs sufficient IPv4 address space to continue and grow operations until IPv6 is more fully deployed.

9. Setting up state

The translation tables in the SPSWE can be set up dynamically by outbound traffic from a CPE. When the SPSWE receives the initial datagram in a new flow, there will be no corresponding IPv4-address/ port pair for that flow in the SPSWE NAT translation table. The NAT selects an unused outbound TCP port, adds the resulting mapping to the NAT translation table, performs the appropriate translation and forwards it to the destination.

The resulting table entry is now in place for translation of returning inbound traffic.

The translation table can also be configured manually, which would allow, for example, traffic to be forwarded to servers on subscriber networks. However, because multiple subscribers may be supported through a single IPv4 address, only one of those subscribers would be able to have statically assigned external server address through the NAT/softwire.

<u>10</u>. Analysis and Future Work

There are several opportunities for future work on SNAT:

- SNAT requires provisioning of a softwire from each HGW to an SPSWE. This document should include a description of at least one provisioning mechanism. Candidates include a new DHCP option and anycast.
- o SNAT requires an IPv4-in-IPv6 softwire for each subscriber, and NAT for each flow from the subscriber. What are the effects of scaling this architecture to millions of subscribers?
- o Security issues have not been considered
- o How can the configuration of the IPv4-in-IPv6 softwire be automated?
- o What is the interaction between SNAT and native IPv6 service to the subscriber?

<u>11</u>. Change log

This section shall be removed prior to publication of this document as an RFC.

<u>11.1</u>. Revision -01

- o Eliminated the NAT function in the HGW, which simplifies forwarding IPv4 datagrams over the IPv6 softwire between the HGW and the SPSWE.
- o Added DHCP as a mechanism for tunnel endpoint discovery.

<u>12</u>. Contributors

Mark Townsley suggested elimination of a NAT function in the HGW.

Bernie Volz and Carlos Pignataro provided substantive and editorial review of <u>draft-droms-softwires-snat-00</u>.

13. IANA Considerations

This memo includes no requests to IANA.

<u>14</u>. Security Considerations

Security considerations must be developed.

15. References

<u>15.1</u>. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, March 1997.
- [RFC3775] Johnson, D., Perkins, C., and J. Arkko, "Mobility Support in IPv6", <u>RFC 3775</u>, June 2004.

<u>15.2</u>. Informative References

- [RFC3022] Srisuresh, P. and K. Egevang, "Traditional IP Network Address Translator (Traditional NAT)", <u>RFC 3022</u>, January 2001.
- [RFC4925] Li, X., Dawkins, S., Ward, D., and A. Durand, "Softwire Problem Statement", <u>RFC 4925</u>, July 2007.

Authors' Addresses

Ralph Droms Cisco 1414 Massachusetts Avenue Boxborough, MA 01714 US

Phone: +1 978.936.1674 Email: rdroms@cisco.com

Brian Haberman 11100 Johns Hopkins Road Laurel, MD 20723-6099 US

Phone: +1 443 778 1319 Email: brian@innovationslab.net

Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2008).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in $\frac{BCP}{78}$, and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY, THE IETF TRUST AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in <u>BCP 78</u> and <u>BCP 79</u>.

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at http://www.ietf.org/ipr.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.