

TRILL working group  
Internet Draft  
Intended status: Standard Track  
Expires: April 2015

L. Dunbar  
D. Eastlake  
Huawei  
Radia Perlman  
Intel  
I. Gashinsky  
Yahoo  
October 7, 2014

**Directory Assisted TRILL Encapsulation**  
**draft-dunbar-trill-directory-assisted-encap-08.txt**

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#). This document may not be modified, and derivative works of it may not be created, except to publish it as an RFC and to translate it into languages other than English.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt>

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 7, 2009.

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2014 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in [Section 4](#).e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Abstract

This draft describes how data center network can benefit from non-RBridge nodes performing TRILL encapsulation with assistance from directory service.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1. Introduction.....</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">2. Conventions used in this document.....</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">3. Directory Assistance to Non-RBridge.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
4. Source Nickname in Frames Encapsulated by Non-RBridge Nodes.....	<a href="#">7</a>
5. Benefits of Non-RBridge encapsulating TRILL header.	7
<a href="#">5.1. Avoid Nickname Exhaustion Issue.....</a>	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">5.2. Reduce MAC Tables for switches on Bridged LANs..</a>	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">6. Conclusion and Recommendation.....</a>	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">7. Manageability Considerations.....</a>	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">8. Security Considerations.....</a>	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">9. IANA Considerations.....</a>	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">10. References.....</a>	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">10.1. Normative References.....</a>	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">10.2. Informative References.....</a>	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">11. Acknowledgments.....</a>	<a href="#">10</a>



## 1. Introduction

This draft describes how data center networks can benefit from non-RBridge nodes performing TRILL encapsulation with assistance from directory service.

[RFC7067] describes the framework for RBridge edge to get MAC&VLAN<->RBridgeEdge mapping from a directory service in data center environments instead of flooding unknown DAs across TRILL domain. If it has the needed directory information, any node, even a non-RBridge node, can perform the TRILL encapsulation. This draft is to describe the benefits and a scheme for non-RBridge nodes performing TRILL encapsulation.

## 2. Conventions used in this document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC-2119](#) [RFC2119].

In this document, these words will appear with that interpretation only when in ALL CAPS. Lower case uses of these words are not to be interpreted as carrying [RFC-2119](#) significance.

AF           Appointed Forwarder RBridge port [[RFC6439](#)]

Bridge:    IEEE 802.1Q compliant device. In this draft, Bridge is used interchangeably with Layer 2 switch.

DA:                   Destination Address

DC:           Data Center

EoR:       End of Row switches in data center. Also known as Aggregation switches in some data centers

Host:       Application running on a physical server or a virtual machine. A host usually has at least one IP address and at least one MAC address.

SA:                   Source Address

ToR: Top of Rack Switch in data center. It is also known as access switches in some data centers.

TRILL-EN: TRILL Encapsulating node. It is a node that only performs the TRILL encapsulation but doesn't participate in RBridge's IS-IS routing.

VM: Virtual Machines

### 3. Directory Assistance to Non-RBridge

With directory assistance [[RFC7067](#)], a non-RBridge can be informed if a packet needs to be forwarded across the RBridge domain and the corresponding egress RBridge. Suppose the RBridge domain boundary starts at network switches (not virtual switches embedded on servers), a directory can assist Virtual Switches embedded on servers to encapsulate with a proper TRILL header by providing the nickname of the egress RBridge edge to which the destination is attached. The other information needed to encapsulate can be either learned by listening to TRILL Hellos, which will indicate the MAC address and nickname of appropriate edge RBridges, or by configuration.

If a destination is not attached to other RBridge edge nodes based on the directory [[RFC7067](#)], the non-RBridge node can forward the data frames natively, i.e. not encapsulating any TRILL header.

Figure 1 TRILL domain in typical Data Center Network

Note: [\[RFC6325\] Section 4.6.2](#) Bullet 8 specifies that an RBridge port can be configured to accept TRILL encapsulated frames from a neighbor that is not an RBridge.



When a TRILL frame arrives at an RBridge whose nickname matches with the destination nickname in the TRILL header of the frame, the processing is exactly same as normal, i.e. the RBridge decapsulates the received TRILL frame and forwards the decapsulated frame to the target attached to its edge ports. When the DA of the decapsulated Ethernet frame is not in the egress RBridge's local MAC attachment tables, the egress RBridge floods the decapsulated frame to all attached links in the frame's VLAN, or drops the frame (if the egress RBridge is configured with the policy).

We call a node that only performs the TRILL encapsulation but doesn't participate in RBridge's IS-IS routing a TRILL Encapsulating node (TRILL-EN). The TRILL Encapsulating Node can get the MAC&VLAN<->RBridgeEdge mapping table pulled from directory servers [[RFC7067](#)].

Editor's note: [RFC7067](#) has defined Push and Pull model for edge nodes to get directory mapping information. While Pull Model is relative simple for TRILL-EN to implement, Pushing requires some reliable flooding mechanism, like the one used by IS-IS, between the edge RBridge and the TRILL encapsulating node. Something like an extension to ES-IS might be needed.

Upon receiving a native Ethernet frame, the TRILL-EN checks the MAC&VLAN<->RBridgeEdge mapping table, and perform the corresponding TRILL encapsulation if the entry is found in the mapping table. If the destination address and VLAN of the received Ethernet frame doesn't exist in the mapping table and no positive reply from pulling request to a directory, the Ethernet frame is dropped or forwarded in native form to an edge RBridge.




Figure 2 Data frames from TRILL-EN

#### 4. Source Nickname in Frames Encapsulated by Non-RBridge Nodes

The TRILL header includes a Source RBridge's Nickname (ingress) and Destination RBridge's Nickname (egress). When a TRILL header is added by TRILL-EN, the Ingress RBridge edge node's nickname is used in the source address field.

## 5. Benefits of Non-RBridge encapsulating TRILL header

### 5.1. Avoid Nickname Exhaustion Issue

For a large Data Center with hundreds of thousands of virtualized servers, setting the TRILL boundary at the servers' virtual switches will create a TRILL domain with hundreds of thousands of RBridge nodes, which has issues of TRILL Nicknames exhaustion and challenges to IS-IS. On the other hand, setting TRILL boundary at aggregation switches that have many virtualized servers attached can limit the number of RBridge nodes in a TRILL domain, but introduce the issues of very large MAC&VLAN<->RBridgeEdge mapping table to be



maintained by RBridge edge nodes and the necessity of enforcing AF ports.

Allowing Non-RBridge nodes to pre-encapsulate data frames with TRILL header makes it possible to have a TRILL domain with a reasonable number of RBridge nodes in a large data center. All the TRILL-ENs attached to one RBridge are represented by one TRILL nickname, which can avoid the Nickname exhaustion problem.

## **5.2. Reduce MAC Tables for switches on Bridged LANs**

When hosts in a VLAN (or subnet) span across multiple RBridge edge nodes and each RBridge edge has multiple VLANs enabled, the switches on the bridged LANs attached to the RBridge edge are exposed to all MAC addresses among all the VLANs enabled.

For example, for an Access switch with 40 physical servers attached, where each server has 100 VMs, there are 4000 hosts under the Access Switch. If indeed hosts/VMs can be moved anywhere, the worst case for the Access Switch is when all those 4000 VMs belong to different VLANs, i.e. the access switch has 4000 VLANs enabled. If each VLAN has 200 hosts, this access switch's MAC table potentially has  $200 \times 4000 = 800,000$  entries.

If the virtual switches on servers pre-encapsulate the data frames destined for hosts attached to other RBridge Edge nodes, the outer MAC DA of those TRILL encapsulated data frames will be the MAC address of the local RBridge edge, i.e. the ingress RBridge. Therefore, the switches on the local bridged LAN don't need to keep the MAC entries for remote hosts attached to other edge RBridges.

But the traffic from nodes attached to other RBridges is decapsulated and has the true source and destination MACs. To prevent local bridges from learning remote hosts' MACs and adding to their MAC tables, one simple way is to disable this data plane learning on local bridges. The local bridges can be pre-configured with MAC addresses of local hosts with the assistance of a directory. The local bridges can always send frames with unknown Destination to the ingress RBridge. In an environment where a large number of VMs are instantiated in one server, the number of remote MAC addresses could be very large. If it is not feasible to disable learning and pre-configure MAC tables for local bridges, one effective method to minimize local bridges' MAC table size is to use the



server's MAC address to hide MAC addresses of the attached VMs. I.e. the server acting as an edge node using its own MAC address in the Source Address field of the packets originated from a host (or VM) embedded. When the Ethernet frame arrives at the target edge node (the server), the target edge node can send the packet to the corresponding destination host based on the packet's IP address. Very often, the target edge node communicates with the embedded VMs via a layer 2 virtual switch. Under this case, the target edge node can construct the proper Ethernet header with the assistance from directory. The information from directory includes the proper host IP to MAC mapping information.

## **6. Conclusion and Recommendation**

When directory information is available, nodes outside the TRILL domain can encapsulate data frames destined for nodes attached to remote RBridges. The non-RBridge encapsulation approach is especially useful when there are a large number of servers in a data center equipped with hypervisor-based virtual switches. It is relatively easy for virtual switches, which are usually software based, to get directory assistance and perform network address encapsulation.

## **7. Manageability Considerations**

It requires directory assistance to make it possible for a non-TRILL node to pre-encapsulate packets destined towards remote RBridges.

## **8. Security Considerations**

Pull Directory queries and responses are transmitted as RBridge-to-RBridge or native RBridge Channel messages. Such messages can be secured as specified in [[ChannelTunnel](#)].

For general TRILL security considerations, see [[RFC6325](#)].

## **9. IANA Considerations**

This document requires no IANA actions. RFC Editor:  
Please remove this section before publication.



## **10. References**

### 10.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC6325] Perlman, et, al, "Routing Bridges (RBridges): Base Protocol Specification", [RFC6325](#), July 2011
- [RFC6439] Perlman, R., Eastlake, D., Li, Y., Banerjee, A., and F. Hu, "Routing Bridges (RBridges): Appointed Forwarders", [RFC 6439](#), November 2011.

### 10.2. Informative References

- [RFC7067] Dunbar, et, al "Directory Assistance Problem and High-Level Design Proposal", [RFC7067](#), Nov, 2013.
- [ChannelTunnel] - D. Eastlake, Y. Li, "TRILL: RBridge Channel Tunnel Protocol", [draft-eastlake-trill-channel-tunnel](#), work in progress.

## **11. Acknowledgments**

This document was prepared using 2-Word-v2.0.template.dot.

## Authors' Addresses

Linda Dunbar  
Huawei Technologies  
5340 Legacy Drive, Suite 175  
Plano, TX 75024, USA  
Phone: (469) 277 5840  
Email: linda.dunbar@huawei.com

Donald Eastlake  
Huawei Technologies  
155 Beaver Street  
Milford, MA 01757 USA  
Phone: 1-508-333-2270  
Email: d3e3e3@gmail.com

Radia Perlman  
Intel Labs  
2200 Mission College Blvd.  
Santa Clara, CA 95054-1549 USA  
Phone: 1-408-765-8080  
Email: Radia@alum.mit.edu

Igor Gashinsky  
Yahoo  
45 West 18th Street 6th floor  
New York, NY 10011  
Email: igor@yahoo-inc.com