

Workgroup: Network Working Group
Internet-Draft: draft-dunglas-mercure-05
Published: 3 April 2020
Intended Status: Informational
Expires: 5 October 2020
Authors: K. Dunglas
Les-Tilleuls.coop

The Mercure Protocol

Abstract

Mercure is a protocol enabling the pushing of data updates to web browsers and other HTTP clients in a fast, reliable and battery-efficient way. It is especially useful for publishing real-time updates of resources served through web APIs to reactive web and mobile apps.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 5 October 2020.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2020 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

- [1. Terminology](#)
- [2. Discovery](#)
- [3. Subscription](#)
- [4. Publication](#)
- [5. Authorization](#)
 - [5.1. Publishers](#)
 - [5.2. Subscribers](#)
- [6. Reconnection and State Reconciliation](#)
- [7. Subscription Events](#)
- [8. Encryption](#)
- [9. IANA Considerations](#)
 - [9.1. Well-Known URIs Registry](#)
 - [9.2. Link Relation Types Registry](#)
- [10. Security Considerations](#)
- [11. Normative References](#)
- [12. Informative References](#)
- [Author's Address](#)

1. Terminology

The keywords **MUST**, **MUST NOT**, **REQUIRED**, **SHALL**, **SHALL NOT**, **SHOULD**, **SHOULD NOT**, **RECOMMENDED**, **MAY**, and **OPTIONAL**, when they appear in this document, are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)].

*Topic: The unit to which one can subscribe to changes. The topic **MUST** be identified by an IRI [[RFC3987](#)] or by a string. Using an HTTPS [[RFC7230](#)] or HTTP [[RFC7230](#)] URI [[RFC3986](#)] is **RECOMMENDED**.

*Publisher: An owner of a topic. Notifies the hub when the topic feed has been updated. As in almost all pubsub systems, the publisher is unaware of the subscribers, if any. Other pubsub systems might call the publisher the "source". Typically a website or a web API, but can also be a web browser.

*Subscriber: A client application that subscribes to real-time updates of topics. Typically a Progressive Web App or a Mobile App, but can also be a server.

*Target: A subscriber, or a group of subscribers. A publisher is able to securely dispatch updates to specific targets. The target **MUST** be identified by an IRI [!@RFC3987] or by a string. Using an HTTPS [[RFC7230](#)] or HTTP [[RFC7230](#)] URI is **RECOMMENDED**.

*Hub: A server that handles subscription requests and distributes the content to subscribers when the corresponding topics have been updated. Any hub **MAY** implement its own policies on who can use it.

2. Discovery

The URL of the hub **SHOULD** be the "well-known" [[RFC5785](#)] fixed path `/.well-known/mercure`.

If the publisher is a server, it **SHOULD** advertise the URL of one or more hubs to the subscriber, allowing it to receive live updates when topics are updated. If more than one hub URL is specified, it is **RECOMMENDED** that the publisher notifies each hub, so the subscriber **MAY** subscribe to one or more of them.

The publisher **SHOULD** include at least one Link Header [[RFC5988](#)] with `rel=mercure` (a hub link header). The target URL of these links **MUST** be a hub implementing the Mercure protocol.

The publisher **MAY** provide the following target attributes in the Link Headers:

*last-event-id: the globally unique identifier of the last event dispatched by the publisher at the time of the generation of this resource. If provided, it **MUST** be passed to the hub through a query parameter called Last-Event-ID and will be used to ensure that possible updates having been made during between the resource generation time and the connection to the hub are not lost. See section #Re-Connection-and-State-Reconciliation. If this attribute is provided, the publisher **MUST** always set the id parameter when sending updates to the hub.

*content-type: the content type of the updates that will be pushed by the hub. If omitted, the subscriber **MUST** assume that the content type will be the same as that of the original resource. Setting the content-type attribute is especially useful to hint that partial updates will be pushed, using formats such as JSON Patch [[RFC6902](#)] or JSON Merge Patch [[RFC7386](#)].

*key-set=<JWKS>: the key(s) to decrypt updates encoded in the JWKS (JSON Web Key Set) format (see the Encryption section).

All these attributes are optional.

The publisher **MAY** also include one Link Header [[RFC5988](#)] with rel=self (the self link header). It **SHOULD** contain the canonical URL for the topic to which subscribers are expected to use for subscriptions. If the Link with rel=self is omitted, the current URL of the resource **MUST** be used as a fallback.

Minimal example:

```
GET /books/foo.jsonld HTTP/1.1
```

```
Host: example.com
```

```
HTTP/1.1 200 Ok
```

```
Content-type: application/ld+json
```

```
Link: <https://example.com/.well-known/mercure>; rel="mercure"
```

```
{"@id": "/books/foo.jsonld", "foo": "bar"}
```

Links embedded in HTML or XML documents (as defined in the WebSub recommendation) **MAY** also be supported by subscribers.

Note: the discovery mechanism described in this section [is strongly inspired from the one specified in the WebSub recommendation](#).

3. Subscription

The subscriber subscribes to a URL exposed by a hub to receive updates from one or many topics. To subscribe to updates, the client opens an HTTPS connection following the [Server-Sent Events specification](#) to the hub's subscription URL advertised by the publisher. The GET HTTP method must be used. The connection **SHOULD** use HTTP/2 to leverage multiplexing and other advanced features of this protocol.

The subscriber specifies the list of topics to get updates from by using one or several query parameters named topic. The value of these query parameters **MUST** be URI templates [[RFC6570](#)].

Note: a URL is also a valid URI template.

The protocol doesn't specify the maximum number of topic parameters that can be sent, but the hub **MAY** apply an arbitrary limit.

The [EventSource JavaScript interface](#) **MAY** be used to establish the connection. Any other appropriate mechanism including, but not limited to, [readable streams](#) and [XMLHttpRequest](#) (used by popular polyfills) **MAY** also be used.

The hub sends updates concerning all subscribed resources matching the provided URI templates and the provided targets (see section #Authorization). If no targets are specified, the update is dispatched to all subscribers. The hub **MUST** send these updates as [text/event-stream compliant events](#).

The data property **MUST** contain the new version of the topic. It can be the full resource, or a partial update by using formats such as JSON Patch @RFC6902 or JSON Merge Patch @RFC7386.

All other properties defined in the Server-Sent Events specification **MAY** be used and **SHOULD** be supported by hubs.

The resource **SHOULD** be represented in a format with hypermedia capabilities such as JSON-LD [[W3C.REC-json-ld-20140116](#)], Atom [[RFC4287](#)], XML [[W3C.REC-xml-20081126](#)] or HTML [[W3C.REC-html52-20171214](#)].

Web Linking [[RFC5988](#)] **SHOULD** be used to indicate the IRI of the resource sent in the event. When using Atom, XML or HTML as the serialization format for the resource, the document **SHOULD** contain a link element with a self relation containing the IRI of the resource. When using JSON-LD, the document **SHOULD** contain an @id property containing the IRI of the resource.

Example:

```
// The subscriber subscribes to updates
// for the https://example.com/foo topic
// and to any topic matching https://example.com/books/{name}
const url = new URL('https://example.com/.well-known/mercure');
url.searchParams.append('topic', 'https://example.com/foo');
url.searchParams.append('topic', 'https://example.com/bar/{id}');

const eventSource = new EventSource(url);

// The callback will be called every time an update is published
eventSource.onmessage = function ({data}) {
  console.log(data);
};
```

The hub **MAY** require that subscribers are authorized to receive updates.

4. Publication

The publisher send updates by issuing POST HTTPS requests on the hub URL. When it receives an update, the hub dispatches it to subscribers using the established server-sent events connections.

An application CAN send events directly to subscribers without using an external hub server, if it is able to do so. In this case, it **MAY NOT** implement the endpoint to publish updates.

The request **MUST** be encoded using the application/x-www-form-urlencoded format and contain the following data:

- *topic: IRIs of the updated topic. If this key is present several times, the first occurrence is considered to be the canonical URL of the topic, and other ones are considered to be alternate URLs. The hub **MUST** dispatch this update to subscribers that are subscribed to both canonical or alternate URLs.

- *data: the content of the new version of this topic.

- *target (optional): target audience of this update. This key can be present several times. See section #Authorization for further information.

- *id (optional): the topic's revision identifier: it will be used as the SSE's id property. If omitted, the hub **MUST** generate a valid globally unique id. It **MAY** be a UUID [[RFC4122](#)]. Even if provided, the hub **MAY** ignore the id provided by the client and generate its own id.

- *type (optional): the SSE's event property (a specific event type).

- *retry (optional): the SSE's retry property (the reconnection time).

In the event of success, the HTTP response's body **MUST** be the id associated to this update generated by the hub and a success HTTP status code **MUST** be returned. The publisher **MUST** be authorized to publish updates. See section #Authorization.

5. Authorization

To ensure that they are authorized, both publishers and subscribers must present a valid JWS [[RFC7515](#)] in compact serialization to the hub. This JWS **SHOULD** be short-lived, especially if the subscriber is a web browser. A different key **MAY** be used to sign subscribers' and publishers' tokens.

Two mechanisms are defined to present the JWS to the hub:

- *using an Authorization HTTP header

- *using a cookie

If the publisher or the subscriber is not a web browser, it **SHOULD** use an Authorization HTTP header. This Authorization header **MUST** contain the string Bearer followed by the JWS. The hub will check that the JWS conforms to the rules (defined later) ensuring that the client is authorized to publish or subscribe to updates.

By the EventSource specification, web browsers can not set custom HTTP headers for such connections, and they can only be established using the GET HTTP method. However, cookies are supported and can be included even in cross-domain requests if [the CORS credentials are set](#):

If the publisher or the subscriber is a web browser, it **SHOULD** send a cookie called mercureAuthorization containing the JWS when connecting to the hub.

Whenever possible, the mercureAuthorization cookie **SHOULD** be set during the discovery to improve the overall security. See section #Discovery. Consequently, if the cookie is set during the discovery, both the publisher and the hub have to share the same second level domain. The Domain attribute **MAY** be used to allow the publisher and the hub to use different subdomains.

The cookie **SHOULD** have the Secure, HttpOnly and SameSite attributes set. The cookie's Path attribute **SHOULD** also be set to the hub's URL. See section #Security-Considerations.

When using authorization mechanisms, the connection **MUST** use an encryption layer such as HTTPS.

If both an Authorization HTTP header and a cookie named mercureAuthorization are presented by the client, the cookie **MUST** be ignored. If the client tries to execute an operation it is not allowed to, a 403 HTTP status code **SHOULD** be returned.

5.1. Publishers

Publishers **MUST** be authorized to dispatch updates to the hub, and **MUST** prove that they are allowed to send updates.

To be allowed to publish an update, the JWT presented by the publisher **MUST** contain a claim called mercure, and this claim **MUST** contain a publish key. mercure.publish **MUST** contain an array of targets the publisher is allowed to dispatch updates to.

If `mercure.publish`:

- *is not defined, then the publisher **MUST NOT** be authorized to dispatch any update

- *contains an empty array, then the publisher is only allowed to dispatch public updates

- *contains the reserved string `*` as an array value, then the publisher is authorized to dispatch updates to all targets

If a topic is not public, the POST request sent by the publisher to the hub **MUST** contain a list of keys named `target`. Their values **MUST** be of type string, and it is **RECOMMENDED** to use valid IRIs. They can be, for instance, a user ID or a list of group IDs. If an update contains at least one target the publisher is not authorized for, the hub **MUST NOT** dispatch the update (even if some targets in the list are allowed) and **SHOULD** return a 403 HTTP status code.

5.2. Subscribers

Subscribers **MAY** need to be authorized to connect to the hub. To receive updates destined to specific targets, they **MUST** be authorized, and **MUST** prove they belong to at least one of the specified targets. If the subscriber is not authorized, it **MUST NOT** receive any update having at least one target.

To receive updates destined for specific targets, the JWS presented by the subscriber **MUST** have a claim named `mercure` with a key named `subscribe` that contains an array of strings: a list of targets the user is authorized to receive updates for. The targets **SHOULD** be IRIs.

If at least one target is specified, the update **MUST NOT** be sent to the subscriber by the hub, unless the `mercure.subscribe` array of the JWS presented by the subscriber contains at least one of the specified targets.

If the `mercure.subscribe` array contains the reserved string value `*`, then the subscriber is authorized to receive updates destined for all targets.

6. Reconnection and State Reconciliation

To allow re-establishment in case of connection lost, events dispatched by the hub **SHOULD** include an `id` property. The value contained in this `id` property **SHOULD** be a globally unique identifier. To do so, a UUID [[RFC4122](#)] **MAY** be used.

According to the server-sent events specification, in case of connection lost the subscriber will try to automatically re-connect. During the re-connection, the subscriber **MUST** send the last received event id in a [Last-Event-ID](#) HTTP header.

The server-sent events specification doesn't allow this HTTP header to be set during the first connection (before a reconnection). In order to fetch any update dispatched between the initial resource generation by the publisher and the connection to the hub, the subscriber **MUST** send the event id provided during the discovery in the last-event-id link's attribute in a query parameter named Last-Event-ID when connecting to the hub.

If both the Last-Event-ID HTTP header and the query parameter are present, the HTTP header **MUST** take precedence.

If the Last-Event-ID HTTP header or query parameter exists, the hub **SHOULD** send all events published following the one bearing this identifier to the subscriber.

The hub **MAY** discard some messages for operational reasons. The subscriber **MUST NOT** assume that no update will be lost, and **MUST** re-fetch the original topic to ensure this (for instance, after a long disconnection time).

The hub **MAY** also specify the reconnection time using the retry key, as specified in the server-sent events format.

7. Subscription Events

The hub **MAY** publish an update when a subscription to a topic is created or terminated. If this feature is implemented by the hub, an update **MUST** be dispatched every time that a subscription is created or terminated, and for each topic to which the client subscribes.

The topic of this update **MUST** follow the pattern `https://mercure.rocks/subscriptions/{topic}/{subscriptionID}` where `topic` is the URL-encoded value of the subscribed topic and `subscriptionID` is an unique identifier for this subscription. `subscriptionID` **MAY** be a UUID [[RFC4122](#)].

The content of the update **MUST** be a JSON-LD [[W3C.REC-json-ld-20140116](#)] document containing at least the following properties:

*`@id`: the identifier of this update, it **MUST** be the same value as the subscription update's topic

*`@type`: the fixed value `https://mercure.rocks/Subscription`

*`topic`: the topic to which the subscription refers

- *active: true when the subscription is created, and false when it is terminated
- *subscribe: the subscription targets provided by the subscriber (see section #Authorization)
- *publish: the publication targets provided by the subscriber (see section #Authorization)
- *address (optional): the IP address ([[RFC0791](#)], [[RFC8200](#)]) of the subscriber

The JSON-LD document **MAY** contain other properties.

In order to only allow authorized subscribers to receive subscription events, the subscription update **MUST** be marked as intended for subscribers providing the following targets:

- *the fixed value `https://mercure.rocks/targets/subscriptions`
- *a URL following the pattern `https://mercure.rocks/targets/subscriptions/{topic}` where topic is the URL-encoded value of the subscribed topic

8. Encryption

Using HTTPS does not prevent the hub from accessing the update's content. Depending of the intended privacy of information contained in the update, it **MAY** be necessary to prevent eavesdropping by the hub.

To make sure that the message content can not be read by the hub, the publisher **MAY** encode the message before sending it to the hub. The publisher **SHOULD** use JSON Web Encryption [[RFC7516](#)] to encrypt the update content. The publisher **MAY** provide the relevant encryption key(s) in the key-set attribute of the Link HTTP header during the discovery. The key-set attribute **SHOULD** contain a key encoded using the JSON Web Key Set [[RFC7517](#)] format. Any other out-of-band mechanism **MAY** be used instead to share the key between the publisher and the subscriber.

Update encryption is considered a best practice to prevent mass surveillance. This is especially relevant if the hub is managed by an external provider.

9. IANA Considerations

9.1. Well-Known URIs Registry

A new "well-known" URI as described in Section 2 has been registered in the "Well-Known URIs" registry as described below:

*URI Suffix: mercur

*Change Controller: IETF

*Specification document(s): This specification, Section 2

*Related information: N/A

9.2. Link Relation Types Registry

A new "Link Relation Type" as described in Section 2 has been registered in the "Link Relation Type" registry with the following entry:

*Relation Name: mercur

*Description: The Mercur Hub to use to subscribe to updates of this resource.

*Reference: This specification, Section 2

Note: this relation type has not been registered yet. In the meantime, the relation type `https://git.io/mercur` **MAY** be used instead.

10. Security Considerations

The confidentiality of the secret key(s) used to generate the JWTs is a primary concern. The secret key(s) **MUST** be stored securely. They **MUST** be revoked immediately in the event of compromise.

Possessing valid JWTs allows any client to subscribe, or to publish to the hub. Their confidentiality **MUST** therefore be ensured. To do so, JWTs **MUST** only be transmitted over secure connections.

Also, when the client is a web browser, the JWT **SHOULD** not be made accessible to JavaScript scripts for resilience against [Cross-site Scripting \(XSS\) attacks](#)). It's the main reason why, when the client is a web browser, using HttpOnly cookies as the authorization mechanism **SHOULD** always be preferred.

In the event of compromise, revoking JWTs before their expiration is often difficult. To that end, using short-lived tokens is strongly **RECOMMENDED**.

The publish endpoint of the hub may be targeted by [Cross-Site Request Forgery \(CSRF\) attacks](#)) when the cookie-based authorization mechanism is used. Therefore, implementations supporting this mechanism **MUST** mitigate such attacks.

The first prevention method to implement is to set the mercureAuthorization cookie's SameSite attribute. However, [some web browsers still not support this attribute](#) and will remain vulnerable. Additionally, hub implementations **SHOULD** use the Origin and Referer HTTP headers set by web browsers to verify that the source origin matches the target origin. If none of these headers are available, the hub **SHOULD** discard the request.

CSRF prevention techniques, including those previously mentioned, are described in depth in [OWASP's Cross-Site Request Forgery \(CSRF\) Prevention Cheat Sheet](#) (*PreventionCheat_Sheet*).

11. Normative References

- [RFC7516] Jones, M. and J. Hildebrand, "JSON Web Encryption (JWE)", RFC 7516, DOI 10.17487/RFC7516, May 2015, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7516>>.
- [RFC7517] Jones, M., "JSON Web Key (JWK)", RFC 7517, DOI 10.17487/RFC7517, May 2015, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7517>>.
- [RFC3987] Duerst, M. and M. Suignard, "Internationalized Resource Identifiers (IRIs)", RFC 3987, DOI 10.17487/RFC3987, January 2005, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3987>>.
- [RFC8200] Deering, S. and R. Hinden, "Internet Protocol, Version 6 (IPv6) Specification", STD 86, RFC 8200, DOI 10.17487/RFC8200, July 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8200>>.
- [RFC3986] Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R., and L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax", STD 66, RFC 3986, DOI 10.17487/RFC3986, January 2005, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3986>>.
- [W3C.REC-json-ld-20140116] Sporny, M., Kellogg, G., and M. Lanthaler, "JSON-LD 1.0", World Wide Web Consortium Recommendation REC-json-ld-20140116, 16 January 2014, <<http://www.w3.org/TR/2014/REC-json-ld-20140116>>.

- [RFC7515] Jones, M., Bradley, J., and N. Sakimura, "JSON Web Signature (JWS)", RFC 7515, DOI 10.17487/RFC7515, May 2015, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7515>>.
- [RFC0791] Postel, J., "Internet Protocol", STD 5, RFC 791, DOI 10.17487/RFC0791, September 1981, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc791>>.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC7230] Fielding, R., Ed. and J. Reschke, Ed., "Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Message Syntax and Routing", RFC 7230, DOI 10.17487/RFC7230, June 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7230>>.
- [RFC5785] Nottingham, M. and E. Hammer-Lahav, "Defining Well-Known Uniform Resource Identifiers (URIs)", RFC 5785, DOI 10.17487/RFC5785, April 2010, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5785>>.
- [RFC5988] Nottingham, M., "Web Linking", RFC 5988, DOI 10.17487/RFC5988, October 2010, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5988>>.
- [RFC6570] Gregorio, J., Fielding, R., Hadley, M., Nottingham, M., and D. Orchard, "URI Template", RFC 6570, DOI 10.17487/RFC6570, March 2012, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6570>>.

12. Informative References

- [RFC6902] Bryan, P., Ed. and M. Nottingham, Ed., "JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) Patch", RFC 6902, DOI 10.17487/RFC6902, April 2013, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6902>>.
- [RFC7386] Hoffman, P. and J. Snell, "JSON Merge Patch", RFC 7386, DOI 10.17487/RFC7386, October 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7386>>.
- [RFC4287] Nottingham, M., Ed. and R. Sayre, Ed., "The Atom Syndication Format", RFC 4287, DOI 10.17487/RFC4287, December 2005, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4287>>.
- [W3C.REC-html52-20171214] Faulkner, S., Eicholz, A., Leithead, T., Danilo, A., and S. Moon, "HTML 5.2", World Wide Web Consortium Recommendation REC-html52-20171214, 14

December 2017, <<https://www.w3.org/TR/2017/REC-html52-20171214>>.

[RFC4122] Leach, P., Mealling, M., and R. Salz, "A Universally Unique IDentifier (UUID) URN Namespace", RFC 4122, DOI 10.17487/RFC4122, July 2005, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4122>>.

[W3C.REC-xml-20081126] Bray, T., Paoli, J., Sperberg-McQueen, M., Maler, E., and F. Yergeau, "Extensible Markup Language (XML) 1.0 (Fifth Edition)", World Wide Web Consortium Recommendation REC-xml-20081126, 26 November 2008, <<http://www.w3.org/TR/2008/REC-xml-20081126>>.

Author's Address

Kévin Dunglas
Les-Tilleuls.coop
82 rue Winston Churchill
59160 Lille
France

Email: kevin@les-tilleuls.coop