

INTERNET-DRAFT

Obsoletes: [4051](#)

Intended Status: Proposed Standard

Expires: January 15, 2013

Donald Eastlake

Huawei

July 16, 2012

Additional XML Security Uniform Resource Identifiers (URIs)
<[draft-eastlake-additional-xmlsec-uris-02.txt](#)>

Abstract

This document expands and updates the list of URIs intended for use with XML Digital Signatures, Encryption, Canonicalization, and Key Management specified in [RFC 4051](#). These URIs identify algorithms and types of information. This document obsoletes [RFC 4051](#).

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Distribution of this document is unlimited. Comments should be sent to the author.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/lid-abstracts.html>. The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

INTERNET-DRAFT

Additional XML Security URIs

Acknowledgements

The contributions of the following to this document, listed in alphabetic order, are gratefully acknowledged: Frederick Hirsch, Konrad Lanz, Peter Lipp, HwanJin Lee, Thomas Roessler, Hanseong Ryu, Peter Saint-Andre.

The following contributors to [\[RFC4051\]](#), on which this document is based, are gratefully acknowledged: Glenn Adams, Merlin Hughes, Gregor Karlinger, Brian LaMachia, Shiho Moriai, Joseph Reagle, Russ Housley, and Joel Halpern.

INTERNET-DRAFT

Additional XML Security URIs

Table of Contents

1. Introduction.....	4
1.1 Terminology.....	4
2. Algorithms.....	5
2.1 DigestMethod (Hash) Algorithms.....	5
2.1.1 MD5.....	5
2.1.2 SHA-224.....	6
2.1.3 SHA-384.....	6
2.1.4 Whirlpool.....	6
2.2 SignatureMethod Message Authentication Code Algorithms.....	7
2.2.1 HMAC-MD5.....	7
2.2.2 HMAC SHA Variations.....	8
2.2.3 HMAC-RIPEMD160.....	8
2.3 SignatureMethod Public Key Signature Algorithms.....	8
2.3.1 RSA-MD5.....	8
2.3.2 RSA-SHA256.....	9
2.3.3 RSA-SHA384.....	9
2.3.4 RSA-SHA512.....	10
2.3.5 RSA-RIPEMD160.....	10
2.3.6 ECDSA-SHA*, ECDSA-RIPEMD160, ECDSA-Whirlpool.....	10
2.3.7 ESIGN-SHA1.....	11
2.3.8 RSA-Whirlpool.....	11
2.4 Minimal Canonicalization.....	12
2.5 Transform Algorithms.....	12
2.5.1 XPointer.....	12
2.6 EncryptionMethod Algorithms.....	13
2.6.1 ARCFOUR Encryption Algorithm.....	13
2.6.2 Camellia Block Encryption.....	13
2.6.3 Camellia Key Wrap.....	14

2.6.4	PSEC-KEM.....	15
2.6.5	SEED Block Encryption.....	15
2.6.6	SEED Key Wrap.....	15
3.	KeyInfo.....	17
3.1	PKCS #7 Bag of Certificates and CRLs.....	17
3.2	Additional RetrievalMethod Type Values.....	17
4.	URI Index.....	18
5.	IANA Considerations.....	20
6.	Security Considerations.....	20
Appendix A:	Changes from RFC 4051	21
Appendix B:	Additional information on SEED.....	22
	Normative References.....	23
	Informative References.....	25

[1.](#) Introduction

XML Digital Signatures, Canonicalization, and Encryption have been standardized by the W3C and by the joint IETF/W3C XMLDSIG working group [[W3C](#)]. All of these are now W3C Recommendations and IETF Informational or Standards Track documents. They are available as follows:

IETF level -----	W3C REC -----	Topic -----
[RFC3275] Draft Std	[XMLDSIG]	XML Digital Signatures
[RFC3076] Info	[CANON]	Canonical XML 1.0
- - - - -	[XMLENC]	XML Encryption
[RFC3741] Info	[XCANON]	Exclusive XML Canonicalization 1.0

All of these standards and recommendations use URIs [[RFC3986](#)] to identify algorithms and keying information types. This document is a convenient reference list of URIs and descriptions for algorithms in which there is substantial interest but which can not or have not been included in the main documents for some reason. Note in particular that raising XML digital signature to Draft Standard in the IETF required remove of any algorithms for which there was not

demonstrated interoperability from the main standards document. This required removal of the Minimal Canonicalization algorithm, in which there appears to be continued interest, to be dropped from the standards track specification. It was included in [\[RFC4051\]](#) and is included here.

[1.1](#) Terminology

Notwithstanding that this is an Informational document, standards track type terms [\[RFC2119\]](#) are used in specifying the use of some of the URIs as follows:

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#).

[2](#). Algorithms

The URI [\[RFC3986\]](#) that was dropped from the standard due to the transition from Proposed Standard to Draft Standard is included in [section 2.4](#) below with its original

<http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmlsig#>

prefix so as to avoid changing the XMLSIG standard's namespace.

Additional algorithms in [\[RFC4051\]](#) were given URIs that start with

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlsig-more#>

while further algorithms added in this document are given URIs that

start with

<http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#>

An "xmldsig-more" URI does not imply any official W3C status for these algorithms or identifiers nor does it imply that they are only useful in digital signatures. Currently, dereferencing such URIs may or may not produce a temporary placeholder document. Permission to use these URI prefixes has been given by the W3C.

[2.1](#) DigestMethod (Hash) Algorithms

These algorithms are usable wherever a DigestMethod element occurs.

[2.1.1](#) MD5

Identifier:

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#md5>

The MD5 algorithm [[RFC1321](#)] takes no explicit parameters. An example of an MD5 DigestAlgorithm element is:

```
<DigestAlgorithm
  Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#md5"/>
```

An MD5 digest is a 128-bit string. The content of the DigestValue element shall be the base64 [[RFC2045](#)] encoding of this bit string viewed as a 16-octet octet stream. Use of MD5 is NOT RECOMMENDED [[RFC6151](#)].

[2.1.2](#) SHA-224

Identifier:

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#sha224>

The SHA-224 algorithm [[FIPS180-4](#)] [[RFC6234](#)] takes no explicit parameters. An example of a SHA-224 DigestAlgorithm element is:

```
<DigestAlgorithm
  Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#sha224" />
```

A SHA-224 digest is a 224 bit string. The content of the DigestValue element shall be the base64 [RFC2045] encoding of this string viewed as a 28-octet stream. Because it takes roughly the same amount of effort to compute a SHA-224 message digest as a SHA-256 digest and terseness is usually not a criteria in XML application, consideration should be given to the use of SHA-256 as an alternative.

[2.1.3](#) SHA-384

Identifier:
<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#sha384>

The SHA-384 algorithm [FIPS180-4] takes no explicit parameters. An example of a SHA-384 DigestAlgorithm element is:

```
<DigestAlgorithm
  Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#sha384" />
```

A SHA-384 digest is a 384 bit string. The content of the DigestValue element shall be the base64 [RFC2045] encoding of this string viewed as a 48-octet stream. Because it takes roughly the same amount of effort to compute a SHA-384 message digest as a SHA-512 digest and terseness is usually not a criteria in XML application, consideration should be given to the use of SHA-512 as an alternative.

[2.1.4](#) Whirlpool

Identifier:
<http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#whirlpool>

The Whirlpool algorithm [10118-3] takes no explicit parameters. A Whirlpool digest is a 512 bit string. The content of the DigestValue element shall be the base64 [RFC2045] encoding of this string viewed as a 64 octet stream.

[2.2](#) SignatureMethod Message Authentication Code Algorithms

Note: Some text in this section is duplicated from [\[RFC3275\]](#) for the convenience of the reader. [RFC 3275](#) is normative in case of conflict.

[2.2.1](#) HMAC-MD5

Identifier:

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#hmac-md5>

The HMAC algorithm [\[RFC2104\]](#) takes the truncation length in bits as a parameter; if the parameter is not specified then all the bits of the hash are output. An example of an HMAC-MD5 SignatureMethod element is as follows:

```
<SignatureMethod
  Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#hmac-md5">
  <HMACOutputLength>112</HMACOutputLength>
</SignatureMethod>
```

The output of the HMAC algorithm is ultimately the output (possibly truncated) of the chosen digest algorithm. This value shall be base64 [\[RFC2045\]](#) encoded in the same straightforward fashion as the output of the digest algorithms. Example: the SignatureValue element for the HMAC-MD5 digest

9294727A 3638BB1C 13F48EF8 158BFC9D

from the test vectors in [\[RFC2104\]](#) would be

kpRyejY4uxwT9I74FYv8nQ==

Schema Definition:

```
<simpleType name="HMACOutputLength">
  <restriction base="integer">
</simpleType>
```

DTD:

```
<!ELEMENT HMACOutputLength (#PCDATA) >
```

The Schema Definition and DTD immediately above are copied from [\[RFC3275\]](#).

Although cryptographic suspicions have recently been cast on MD5 for use in signatures such as RSA-MD5 below, this does not effect use of MD5 in HMAC [\[RFC6151\]](#).

INTERNET-DRAFT

Additional XML Security URIs

[2.2.2](#) HMAC SHA Variations

Identifiers:

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#hmac-sha224>
<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#hmac-sha256>
<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#hmac-sha384>
<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#hmac-sha512>

SHA-224, SHA-256, SHA-384, and SHA-512 [[FIPS180-4](#)] [[RFC6234](#)] can also be used in HMAC as described in [section 2.2.1](#) above for HMAC-MD5.

[2.2.3](#) HMAC-RIPEMD160

Identifier:

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#hmac-ripemd160>

RIPEMD-160 [[RIPEMD-160](#)] can also be used in HMAC as described in [section 2.2.1](#) above for HMAC-MD5.

[2.3](#) SignatureMethod Public Key Signature Algorithms

These algorithms are distinguished from those in [section 2.2](#) above in that they use public key methods. That is to say, the verification key is different from and not feasibly derivable from the signing key.

[2.3.1](#) RSA-MD5

Identifier:

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-md5>

This implies the PKCS#1 v1.5 padding algorithm described in [[RFC3447](#)]. An example of use is

```
<SignatureMethod  
  Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-md5" />
```

The SignatureValue content for an RSA-MD5 signature is the base64 [RFC2045] encoding of the octet string computed as per [RFC3447] section 8.1.1?, signature generation for the RSASSA-PKCS1-v1_5 signature scheme. As specified in the EMSA-PKCS1-V1_5-ENCODE function in [RFC3447] section 9.2.1?, the value input to the signature function MUST contain a pre-pended algorithm object identifier for the hash function, but the availability of an ASN.1 parser and

recognition of OIDs is not required of a signature verifier. The PKCS#1 v1.5 representation appears as:

```
CRYPT (PAD (ASN.1 (OID, DIGEST (data))))
```

Note that the padded ASN.1 will be of the following form:

```
01 | FF* | 00 | prefix | hash
```

Vertical bar ("|") represents concatenation. "01", "FF", and "00" are fixed octets of the corresponding hexadecimal value and the asterisk ("*") after "FF" indicates repetition. "hash" is the MD5 digest of the data. "prefix" is the ASN.1 BER MD5 algorithm designator prefix required in PKCS #1 [RFC3447], that is,

```
hex 30 20 30 0c 06 08 2a 86 48 86 f7 0d 02 05 05 00 04 10
```

This prefix is included to make it easier to use standard cryptographic libraries. The FF octet MUST be repeated enough times that the value of the quantity being CRYPTed is exactly one octet shorter than the RSA modulus.

Due to increases in computer processor power and advances in cryptography, use of RSA-MD5 is NOT RECOMMENDED [RFC6151].

[2.3.2](#) RSA-SHA256

Identifier:

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-sha256>

This implies the PKCS#1 v1.5 padding algorithm [RFC3447] as described in [section 2.3.1](#) but with the ASN.1 BER SHA-256 algorithm designator

prefix. An example of use is

```
<SignatureMethod  
  Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-sha256" />
```

[2.3.3](#) RSA-SHA384

Identifier:

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-sha384>

This implies the PKCS#1 v1.5 padding algorithm [[RFC3447](#)] as described in [section 2.3.1](#) but with the ASN.1 BER SHA-384 algorithm designator prefix. An example of use is

```
<SignatureMethod  
  Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-sha384" />
```

Because it takes about the same effort to calculate a SHA-384 message digest as it does a SHA-512 message digest, it is suggested that RSA-SHA512 be used in preference to RSA-SHA384 where possible.

[2.3.4](#) RSA-SHA512

Identifier:

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-sha512>

This implies the PKCS#1 v1.5 padding algorithm [[RFC3447](#)] as described in [section 2.3.1](#) but with the ASN.1 BER SHA-512 algorithm designator prefix. An example of use is

```
<SignatureMethod  
  Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-sha512" />
```

[2.3.5](#) RSA-RIPEMD160

Identifier:

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-ripemd160>

This implies the PKCS#1 v1.5 padding algorithm [[RFC3447](#)] as described in [section 2.3.1](#) but with the ASN.1 BER RIPEMD160 algorithm designator prefix. An example of use is

```
<SignatureMethod
  Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-ripemd160"
/>
```

[2.3.6](#) ECDSA-SHA*, ECDSA-RIPEMD160, ECDSA-Whirlpool

Identifiers:

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#ecdsa-sha1>
<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#ecdsa-sha224>
<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#ecdsa-sha256>
<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#ecdsa-sha384>
<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#ecdsa-sha512>
<http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#ecdsa-ripemd160>
<http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#ecdsa-whirlpool>

The Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (ECDSA) [[FIPS180-4](#)] is

the elliptic curve analogue of the DSA (DSS) signature method. It takes no explicit parameters. For a detailed specifications of how to use it with SHA hash functions and XML Digital Signature, please see [[X9.62](#)] and [[RFC4050](#)]. The #ecdsa-ripemd160 and #ecdsa-whirlpool fragments in the new namespace identifies a signature method processed in the same way as specified by the #ecdsa-sha1 fragment of this namespace with the exception that RIPEMD160 or Whirlpool is used instead of SHA-1.

The output of the ECDSA algorithm consists of a pair of integers usually referred by the pair (r, s). The signature value consists of the base64 encoding of the concatenation of two octet-streams that respectively result from the octet-encoding of the values r and s in that order. Integer to octet-stream conversion must be done according to the I2OSP operation defined in the PKCS 2.1 [[PKCS2.1](#)] specification with the l parameter equal to the size of the output of the digest function in bytes (e.g. 32 for SHA-256).

[2.3.7](#) ESIGN-SHA1

Identifiers:

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#esign-sha1>
<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#esign-sha224>
<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#esign-sha256>
<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#esign-sha384>
<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#esign-sha512>

The ESIGN algorithm specified in [IEEE P1363a] is a signature scheme based on the integer factorization problem. It is much faster than previous digital signature schemes so ESIGN can be implemented on smart cards without special co-processors.

An example of use is

```
<SignatureMethod
  Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#esign-sha1"
/>
```

[2.3.8](#) RSA-Whirlpool

Identifier:

<http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#rsa-whirlpool>

As in the definition of the RSA-SHA1 algorithm in [XMLDSIG], the designator "RSA" means the RSASSA-PKCS1-v1_5 algorithm as defined in PKCS2.1 [PKCS2.1]. When identified through the #rsa-whirlpool

fragment identifier, Whirlpool is used as the hash algorithm instead. Use of the ASN.1 BER Whirlpool algorithm designator is implied.

xxxx give that designator as an explicit octet sequence?

An example of use is

```
<SignatureMethod
  Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-whirlpool"
/>
```

[2.4](#) Minimal Canonicalization

Thus far two independent interoperable implementations of Minimal Canonicalization have not been announced. Therefore, when XML Digital Signature was advanced from Proposed Standard [[RFC3075](#)] to Draft Standard [[RFC3275](#)], Minimal Canonicalization was dropped from the standard track documents. However, there is still interest. For its definition, see [[RFC3075](#)] [Section 6.5.1](#).

For reference, it's identifier remains:

<http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmlsig#minimal>

[2.5](#) Transform Algorithms

Note that all CanonicalizationMethod algorithms can also be used as Transform algorithms.

[2.5.1](#) XPointer

Identifier:

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlsig-more#xptr>

This transform algorithm takes an [[XPointer](#)] as an explicit parameter. An example of use is:

```
<Transform
  Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlsig-more/xptr">
  <XPointer
    xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlsig-more/xptr"
    xpointer(id("foo")) xmlns(bar=http://foobar.example)
    xpointer(//bar:Zab[@Id="foo"])
  </XPointer>
</Transform>
```

```
<element name="XPointer" type="string">
```

DTD:

```
<!ELEMENT XPointer (#PCDATA) >
```

Input to this transform is an octet stream (which is then parsed into XML).

Output from this transform is a node set; the results of the XPointer are processed as defined in the XMLDSIG specification [[RFC3275](#)] for a same-document XPointer.

[2.6](#) EncryptionMethod Algorithms

This subsection gives identifiers and information for several EncryptionMethod Algorithms.

[2.6.1](#) ARCFOUR Encryption Algorithm

Identifier:

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlsig-more#arcfour>

ARCFOUR is a fast, simple stream encryption algorithm that is compatible with RSA Security's RC4 algorithm. An example EncryptionMethod element using ARCFOUR is

```
<EncryptionMethod
  Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlsig-more#arcfour">
  <KeySize>40<KeySize>
</EncryptionMethod>
```

Note that Arcfour makes use of the generic KeySize parameter specified and defined in [[XMLENC](#)].

[2.6.2](#) Camellia Block Encryption

Identifiers:

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlsig-more#camellia128-cbc>

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlsig-more#camellia192-cbc>

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlsig-more#camellia256-cbc>

Camellia is an efficient and secure block cipher with the same interface as the AES [[Camellia](#)] [[RFC3713](#)], that is 128-bit block size and 128, 192, and 256 bit key sizes. In XML Encryption Camellia is used in the same way as the AES: It is used in the Cipher Block Chaining (CBC) mode with a 128-bit initialization vector (IV). The resulting cipher text is prefixed by the IV. If included in XML output, it is then base64 encoded. An example Camellia EncryptionMethod is as follows:

```
<EncryptionMethod
  Algorithm=
    "http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#camellia128-cbc"
/>
```

[2.6.3](#) Camellia Key Wrap

Identifiers:

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#kw-camellia128>
<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#kw-camellia192>
<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#kw-camellia256>

Camellia [[Camellia](#)] [[RFC3713](#)] key wrap is identical to the AES key wrap algorithm [[RFC3394](#)] specified in the XML Encryption standard with "AES" replaced by "Camellia". As with AES key wrap, the check value is 0xA6A6A6A6A6A6A6A6.

The algorithm is the same whatever the size of the Camellia key used in wrapping, called the key encrypting key or KEK. The implementation of Camellia is OPTIONAL. However, if it is supported, the same implementation guidelines as to which combinations of KEK size and wrapped key size should be required to be supported and which are optional to be supported should be followed. That is to say, if Camellia key wrap is supported, they wrapping 128-bit keys with a 128-bit KEK and wrapping 256-bit keys with a 256-bit KEK are REQUIRED and all other combinations are OPTIONAL.

An example of use is:

```
<EncryptionMethod
  Algorithm=
    "http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#kw-camellia128"
/>
```


[2.6.4](#) PSEC-KEM

Identifier:

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#psec-kem>

The PSEC-KEM algorithm, specified in [[18033-3](#)], is a key encapsulation mechanism using elliptic curve encryption.

An example of use is:

```
<EncryptionMethod
  Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#psec-kem">
  <ECParameters>
    <Version>version</Version>
    <FieldID>id</FieldID>
    <Curve>curve</Curve>
    <Base>base</Base>
    <Order>order</Order>
    <Cofactor>cofactor</Cofactor>
  </ECParameters>
</EncryptionMethod>
```

See [[18033-3](#)] for information on the parameters above.

[2.6.5](#) SEED Block Encryption

Identifiers:

<http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#seed128-cbc>

SEED [[RFC4269](#)] is an efficient and secure block cipher that is 128-bit block size and 128-bit key sizes. In XML Encryption, SEED can be used in the Cipher Block Chaining (CBC) mode with a 128-bit initialization vector (IV). The resulting cipher text is prefixed by the IV. If included in XML output, it is then base64 encoded. See [Appendix B](#).

An example SEED EncryptionMethod is as follows:

```
<EncryptionMethod  
  Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#seed128-cbc" />
```

[2.6.6](#) SEED Key Wrap

Identifiers:

<http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#kw-seed128>

Key wrapping with SEED is identical to [Section 2.2.1 of \[RFC3394\]](#) with "AES" replaced by "SEED". The algorithm is specified in [\[RFC4010\]](#). The implementation of SEED is optional. The default initial value is 0xA6A6A6A6A6A6A6A6.

An example of use is:

```
<EncryptionMethod  
  Algorithm=  
    "http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#kw-seed128"  
/>
```

[3.](#) KeyInfo

In [section 3.1](#) below a new KeyInfo element child is specified while in [section 3.2](#) additional KeyInfo Type values for use in RetrievalMethod are specified.

[3.1](#) PKCS #7 Bag of Certificates and CRLs

A PKCS #7 [[RFC2315](#)] "signedData" can also be used as a bag of certificates and/or certificate revocation lists (CRLs). The PKCS7signedData element is defined to accommodate such structures within KeyInfo. The binary PKCS #7 structure is base64 [[RFC2045](#)] encoded. Any signer information present is ignored. The following is an example [[RFC3092](#)], eliding the base64 data:

```
<foo:PKCS7signedData
  xmlns:foo="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more">
  ...
</foo:PKCS7signedData>
```

[3.2](#) Additional RetrievalMethod Type Values

The Type attribute of RetrievalMethod is an optional identifier for the type of data to be retrieved. The result of de-referencing a RetrievalMethod reference for all KeyInfo types with an XML structure is an XML element or document with that element as the root. The various "raw" key information types return a binary value. Thus they require a Type attribute because they are not unambiguously parseable.

Identifiers:

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#KeyName>
<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#KeyValue>
<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#PKCS7signedData>
<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rawPGPKeyPacket>
<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rawPKCS7signedData>
<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rawSPKISexp>
<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rawX509CRL>
<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#RetrievalMethod>

[4.](#) URI Index

The following is an index by URI of the algorithm and KeyInfo URIs defined in this document and in the standards (plus the one KeyInfo child element name defined in this document). The "Sec/Doc" column has the section of this document or, if not specified in this document, the standards document where the item is specified.

The initial "http://www.w3.org/" part of the URI is not included below.

URI	Sec/Doc	Type
---	-----	----

2000/09/xmlsig#base64	[RFC3275]	Transform
2000/09/xmlsig#dsa-sha1	[RFC3275]	SignatureMethod
2000/09/xmlsig#enveloped-signature	[RFC3275]	Transform
2000/09/xmlsig@hmac-sha1	[RFC3275]	SignatureMethod
2000/09/xmlsig#minimal	2.4	Canonicalization
2000/09/xmlsig@rsa-sha1	[RFC3275]	SignatureMethod
2000/09/xmlsig#sha1	[RFC3275]	DigestAlgorithm
2001/04/xmlsig-more#arcfour	2.6.1	EncryptionMethod
2001/04/xmlsig-more#camellia128-cbc	2.6.2	EncryptionMethod
2001/04/xmlsig-more#camellia192-cbc	2.6.2	EncryptionMethod
2001/04/xmlsig-more#camellia256-cbc	2.6.2	EncryptionMethod
2001/04/xmlsig-more#ecdsa-sha1	2.3.6	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmlsig-more#ecdsa-sha224	2.3.6	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmlsig-more#ecdsa-sha256	2.3.6	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmlsig-more#ecdsa-sha384	2.3.6	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmlsig-more#ecdsa-sha512	2.3.6	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmlsig-more#esign-sha1	2.3.7	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmlsig-more#esign-sha224	2.3.7	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmlsig-more#esign-sha256	2.3.7	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmlsig-more#esign-sha384	2.3.7	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmlsig-more#esign-sha512	2.3.7	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmlsig-more#hmac-md5	2.2.1	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmlsig-more#hmac-ripemd160	2.2.3	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmlsig-more#hmac-sha224	2.2.2	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmlsig-more#hmac-sha256	2.2.2	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmlsig-more#hmac-sha384	2.2.2	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmlsig-more#hmac-sha512	2.2.2	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmlsig-more#KeyName	3.2	Retrieval type
2001/04/xmlsig-more#KeyValue	3.2	Retrieval type
2001/04/xmlsig-more#kw-camellia128	2.6.3	EncryptionMethod
2001/04/xmlsig-more#kw-camellia192	2.6.3	EncryptionMethod
2001/04/xmlsig-more#kw-camellia256	2.6.3	EncryptionMethod
2001/04/xmlsig-more#md5	2.1.1	DigestAlgorithm
2001/04/xmlsig-more#PKCS7signedData	3.2	Retrieval type

2001/04/xmlsig-more#psec-kem	2.6.4	EncryptionMethod
2001/04/xmlsig-more#rawPGPKeyPacket	3.2	Retrieval type
2001/04/xmlsig-more#rawPKCS7signedData	3.2	Retrieval type
2001/04/xmlsig-more#rawSPKISexp	3.2	Retrieval type
2001/04/xmlsig-more#rawX509CRL	3.2	Retrieval type
2001/04/xmlsig-more#RetrievalMethod	3.2	Retrieval type

2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-md5	2.3.1	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-sha256	2.3.2	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-sha384	2.3.3	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-sha512	2.3.4	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-ripemd160	2.3.5	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmldsig-more#sha224	2.1.2	DigestAlgorithm
2001/04/xmldsig-more#sha384	2.1.3	DigestAlgorithm
2001/04/xmldsig-more#xptr	2.5.1	Transform
2001/04/xmldsig-more:PKCS7signedData	3.1	KeyInfo child
2001/04/xmlenc#aes128-cbc	[XMLENC]	EncryptionMethod
2001/04/xmlenc#aes192-cbc	[XMLENC]	EncryptionMethod
2001/04/xmlenc#aes256-cbc	[XMLENC]	EncryptionMethod
2001/04/xmlenc#dh	[XMLENC]	AgreementMethod
2001/04/xmlenc#kw-aes128	[XMLENC]	EncryptionMethod
2001/04/xmlenc#kw-aes192	[XMLENC]	EncryptionMethod
2001/04/xmlenc#kw-aes256	[XMLENC]	EncryptionMethod
2001/04/xmlenc#ripemd160	[XMLENC]	DigestAlgorithm
2001/04/xmlenc#rsa-1_5	[XMLENC]	EncryptionMethod
2001/04/xmlenc#rsa-oaep-mbg1p	[XMLENC]	EncryptionMethod
2001/04/xmlenc#sha256	[XMLENC]	DigestAlgorithm
2001/04/xmlenc#sha512	[XMLENC]	DigestAlgorithm
2001/04/xmlenc#tripledes-cbc	[XMLENC]	EncryptionMethod
2007/05/xmldsig-more#ecdsa-ripemd160	2.3.6	SignatureMethod
2007/05/xmldsig-more#ecdsa-whirlpool	2.3.5	SignatureMethod
2007/05/xmldsig-more#kw-seed128	2.6.6	EncryptionMethod
2007/05/xmldsig-more#rsa-whirlpool	2.3.5	SignatureMethod
2007/05/xmldsig-more#seed128-cbc	2.6.5	EncryptionMethod
2007/05/xmldsig-more#whirlpool	2.1.4	DigestAlgorithm
TR/1999/REC-xpath-19991116	[XPATH]	Transform
TR/1999/REC-xslt-19991116	[XSLT]	Transform
TR/2001/06/xml-excl-c14n#	[XCANON]	Canonicalization
TR/2001/06/xml-excl-c14n#WithComments	[XCANON]	Canonicalization
TR/2001/REC-xml-c14n-20010315	[CANON]	Canonicalization
TR/2001/REC-xml-c14n-20010315#WithComments	[CANON]	Canonicalization
TR/2001/REC-xmlschema-1-20010502	[Schema]	Transform

The initial "http://www.w3.org/" part of the URI is not included above.

5. IANA Considerations

None.

As it is easy for people to construct their own unique URIs [[RFC3986](#)] and, if appropriate, to obtain a URI from the W3C, it is not intended that any additional "http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmlsig-more#" URIs be created beyond those enumerated in this RFC. (W3C Namespace stability rules prohibit the creation of new URIs under "http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmlsig#" and URIs under "http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlsig-more#" were frozen with the publication of [[RFC4051](#)].)

6. Security Considerations

Due to computer speed and cryptographic advances, the use of MD5 as a DigestMethod or in the RSA-MD5 SignatureMethod is NOT RECOMMENDED. The cryptographic advances concerned do not effect the security of HMAC-MD5; however, there is little reason not to go for one of the SHA series of algorithms.

See [[RFC6194](#)] for SHA-1 Security Considerations and [[RFC6151](#)] for MD5 Security Considerations.

Additional security considerations are given in connection with the description of some algorithms in the body of this document.

INTERNET-DRAFT

Additional XML Security URIs

Appendix A: Changes from [RFC 4051](#)

The following changes have been made in [RFC 4051](#) to produce this document.

1. Update and add numerous RFC, W3C, and Internet-Draft references.
2. Add #ecdsa-ripemd160, #whirlpool, #ecdsa-whirlpool, #rsa-whirlpool, #seed128-cbc, and #kw-seed128.
3. Incorporate [RFC 4051](#) errata.
4. Add URI index section.
4. In reference to MD5 and SHA-1, add references to [[RFC6151](#)] and [[RFC6194](#)].
5. Minor editorial changes.

INTERNET-DRAFT

Additional XML Security URIs

Appendix B: Additional information on SEED

SEED is a national standard encryption algorithm in the Republic of Korea and is designed to use the S-boxes and permutations that balance with the current computing technology. It has the Feistel structure with 16-round and is strong against DC (Differential Cryptanalysis), LC (Linear Cryptanalysis), and related key attacks, balanced with security/efficiency trade-off. SEED has been widely used in the Republic of Korea for confidential services such as electronic commerce.(e.g., financial services provided in wired and wireless communication.)

The use of SEED [[RFC4269](#)] is specified for SSL/TLS, IPsec and S/MIME([RFC 4010](#), 4162, and 4196 respectively) and in ISO/IEC [[18033-3](#)].

Korean Standard

- o TTAS.K0-12.0004 : 128-bit Symmetric Block Cipher(SEED)

International Standard and IETF Documents

- o ISO/IEC [[18033-3](#)]: Information technology - Security techniques - Encryption algorithms - Part 3 : Block ciphers
- o IETF [[RFC4269](#)]: The SEED Encryption Algorithm
- o IETF [[RFC4010](#)]: Use of the SEED Encryption Algorithm in Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS)
- o IETF [RFC 4162](#): Addition of SEED Cipher Suites to Transport Layer Security (TLS)

- o IETF [RFC 4196](#): The SEED Cipher Algorithm and Its Use with IPsec

Normative References

- [10118-3] - "Information technology -- Security techniques -- Hash-functions -- Part 3: Dedicated hash-functions", ISO/IEC 10118-3, 2004.
- [18033-3] - "Information technology -- Security techniques -- Encryption algorithms -- Part 3: Asymmetric ciphers", ISO/IEC 18033-3, 2010.
- [Camellia] - "Camellia: A 128-bit Block Cipher Suitable for Multiple Platforms - Design and Analysis -", K. Aoki, T. Ichikawa, M. Matsui, S. Moriai, J. Nakajima, T. Tokita, In Selected Areas in Cryptography, 7th Annual International Workshop, SAC 2000, August 2000, Proceedings, Lecture Notes in Computer Science 2012, pp. 39-56, Springer-Verlag, 2001.
- [FIPS180-4] - "Secure Hash Standard (SHS)", United States of American, National Institute of Science and Technology, Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) 180-4, March 2012, <http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/fips/fips180-4/fips-180-4.pdf>
- [FIPS186-3] - "Digital Signature Standard (DSS)", United States of America, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) 186-3, June 2009,

- [IEEE P1363a] - "Standard Specifications for Public Key Cryptography: Additional Techniques", October 2002.
- [RFC1321] - Rivest, R., "The MD5 Message-Digest Algorithm", [RFC 1321](#), April 1992.
- [RFC2045] - Freed, N. and N. Borenstein, "Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) Part One: Format of Internet Message Bodies", [RFC 2045](#), November 1996.
- [RFC2104] - Krawczyk, H., Bellare, M., and R. Canetti, "HMAC: Keyed-Hashing for Message Authentication", [RFC 2104](#), February 1997.
- [RFC2119] - Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC2315] - Kaliski, B., "PKCS #7: Cryptographic Message Syntax Version 1.5", [RFC 2315](#), March 1998.
- [RFC3275] - Eastlake 3rd, D., Reagle, J., and D. Solo, "(Extensible Markup Language) XML-Signature Syntax and Processing", [RFC 3275](#), March 2002.

- [RFC3394] - Schaad, J. and R. Housley, "Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) Key Wrap Algorithm", [RFC 3394](#), September 2002.
- [RFC3447] - Jonsson, J. and B. Kaliski, "Public-Key Cryptography Standards (PKCS) #1: RSA Cryptography Specifications Version 2.1", [RFC 3447](#), February 2003.
- [RFC3713] - Matsui, M., Nakajima, J., and S. Moriai, "A Description of the Camellia Encryption Algorithm", [RFC 3713](#), April 2004.
- [RFC3986] - Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R., and L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax", STD 66, [RFC 3986](#), January 2005.
- [RFC4050] - Blake-Wilson, S., Karlinger, G., Kobayashi, T., and Y. Wang, "Using the Elliptic Curve Signature Algorithm (ECDSA) for XML Digital Signatures", [RFC 4050](#), April 2005.

- [RFC4010] - Park, J., Lee, S., Kim, J., and J. Lee, "Use of the SEED Encryption Algorithm in Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS)", [RFC 4010](#), February 2005.
- [RFC4269] - Lee, H., Lee, S., Yoon, J., Cheon, D., and J. Lee, "The SEED Encryption Algorithm", [RFC 4269](#), December 2005.
- [RFC6234] - Eastlake 3rd, D. and T. Hansen, "US Secure Hash Algorithms (SHA and SHA-based HMAC and HKDF)", [RFC 6234](#), May 2011.
- [RIPEMD-160] - ISO/IEC 10118-3:1998, "Information Technology - Security techniques - Hash-functions - Part3: Dedicated hash-functions", ISO, 1998.
- [X9.62] - X9.62-200X, "Public Key Cryptography for the Financial Services Industry: The Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (ECDSA)", Accredited Standards Committee X9, American National Standards Institute.
- [XMLENC] - "XML Encryption Syntax and Processing", J. Reagle, D. Eastlake, W3C Recommendation 10 December 2002, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/RED-xmlenc-core-20021210/> - "XML Encryption Syntax and Processing Version 1.1", D. Eastlake, J. Reagle, F. Hirsch, T. Roessler, W3C Candidate Recommendation 3 March 2011, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2011/CR-xmlenc-core1-20110303/>
- [XPointer] - "XML Pointer Language (XPointer) Version 1.0", W3C working draft, Steve DeRose, Eve Maler, Ron Daniel Jr., January 2001. <<http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/WD-xptr-20010108>>

Informative References

- [CANON] - John Boyer. "Canonical XML Version 1.0", <http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xml-c14n-20010315>
- [RFC3075] - Eastlake 3rd, D., Reagle, J., and D. Solo, "XML-Signature Syntax and Processing", [RFC 3075](#), March 2001.
- [RFC3076] - Boyer, J., "Canonical XML Version 1.0", [RFC 3076](#), March

2001.

- [RFC3092] - Eastlake 3rd, D., Manros, C., and E. Raymond, "Etymology of "Foo"", [RFC 3092](#), April 1 2001.
- [RFC3741] - Boyer, J., Eastlake 3rd, D., and J. Reagle, "Exclusive XML Canonicalization, Version 1.0", [RFC 3741](#), March 2004.
- [RFC4051] - Eastlake 3rd, D., "Additional XML Security Uniform Resource Identifiers (URIs)", [RFC 4051](#), April 2005.
- [RFC5669] - Yoon, S., Kim, J., Park, H., Jeong, H., and Y. Won, "The SEED Cipher Algorithm and Its Use with the Secure Real-Time Transport Protocol (SRTP)", [RFC 5669](#), August 2010.
- [RFC5748] - Yoon, S., Jeong, J., Kim, H., Jeong, H., and Y. Won, "IANA Registry Update for Support of the SEED Cipher Algorithm in Multimedia Internet KEYing (MIKEY)", [RFC 5748](#), August 2010.
- [RFC6090] - D. McGrew, K. Igoe, M. Salter, "Fundamental Elliptic Curve Cryptography Algorithms", [RFC 6090](#), February 2011.
- [RFC6151] - Turner, S. and L. Chen, "Updated Security Considerations for the MD5 Message-Digest and the HMAC-MD5 Algorithms", [RFC 6151](#), March 2011.
- [RFC6194] - Polk, T., Chen, L., Turner, S., and P. Hoffman, "Security Considerations for the SHA-0 and SHA-1 Message-Digest Algorithms", [RFC 6194](#), March 2011.
- [Schema] - "XML Schema Part 1: Structures Second Edition", H. Thompson, D. Beech, M. Maloney, N. Mendelsohn, W3C Recommendation 28 October 2004, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2004/REC-xmlschema-1-20041028/>
- "XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes Second Edition", P. Biron, A. Malhotra, W3C Recommendation 28 October 2004, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2004/REC-xmlschema-2-20041028/>
- [W3C] - World Wide Web Consortium, <<http://www.w3.org>>.
- [XMLALGXREF] - "XML Security Algorithm Cross-Reference", F. Hirsch,

<http://www.w3.org/TR/2011/WD-xmlsec-algorithms-20110421/>

- [XCANON] - "Exclusive XML Canonicalization Version 1.0", D. Eastlake, J. Reagle, 18 July 2002. <http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-xml-enc-c14n-20020718/>
- [XMLDSIG] - "XML Signature Syntax and Processing (Second Edition)", D. Eastlake, J. Reagle, D. Solo, F. Hirsch, T. Roessler, W3C Recommendation 10 June 2008, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2008/REC-xmlsig-core-20080610/>
- "XML Signature Syntax and Processing Version 1.1", D. Eastlake, J. Reagle, D. Solo, F. Hirsch, M. Nystrom, T. Roessler, K. Yiu, Candidate Recommendations 3 March 2011, <http://www.w3.org/TR/xmlsig-core1/>
- [XPath] - "XML Path Language (XPath) 2.0 (Second Edition)", A. Berglund, S. Boag, D. Chamberlin, M. Fernandez, M. Kay, J. Robie, J. Simeon, W3C Recommendation 14 December 2010, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2010/REC-xpath20-20101214/>
- [XSLT] - "XSL Transformations (XSLT) Version 2.0", M. Saxonica, W3C Recommendation 23 January 2007, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2007/REC-xslt20-20070123/>

INTERNET-DRAFT

Additional XML Security URIs

Author's Address

Donald E. Eastlake 3rd
Huawei R&D USA
155 Beaver Street
Milford, MA 01757 USA

Telephone: +1-508-333-2270
EMail: d3e3e3@gmail.com

INTERNET-DRAFT

Additional XML Security URIs

Copyright, Disclaimer, and Additional IPR Provisions

Copyright (c) 2012 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

This document may contain material from IETF Documents or IETF Contributions published or made publicly available before November 10, 2008. The person(s) controlling the copyright in some of this material may not have granted the IETF Trust the right to allow modifications of such material outside the IETF Standards Process. Without obtaining an adequate license from the person(s) controlling the copyright in such materials, this document may not be modified outside the IETF Standards Process, and derivative works of it may not be created outside the IETF Standards Process, except to format it for publication as an RFC or to translate it into languages other than English.

The definitive version of an IETF Document is that published by, or under the auspices of, the IETF. Versions of IETF Documents that are published by third parties, including those that are translated into other languages, should not be considered to be definitive versions of IETF Documents. The definitive version of these Legal Provisions is that published by, or under the auspices of, the IETF. Versions of these Legal Provisions that are published by third parties, including

those that are translated into other languages, should not be considered to be definitive versions of these Legal Provisions. For the avoidance of doubt, each Contributor to the IETF Standards Process licenses each Contribution that he or she makes as part of the IETF Standards Process to the IETF Trust pursuant to the provisions of [RFC 5378](#). No language to the contrary, or terms, conditions or rights that differ from or are inconsistent with the rights and licenses granted under [RFC 5378](#), shall have any effect and shall be null and void, whether published or posted by such Contributor, or included with or in such Contribution.