

INTERNET-DRAFT
Obsoletes: [4051](#)
Intended Status: Proposed Standard
Expires: September 26, 2013

Donald Eastlake
Huawei
March 27, 2013

Additional XML Security Uniform Resource Identifiers (URIs)
[<draft-eastlake-additional-xmlsec-uris-10.txt>](#)

Abstract

This document obsoletes [RFC 4051](#), expanding, updating, and esablishing an IANA Registry for the list of URIs intended for use with XML Digital Signatures, Encryption, Canonicalization, and Key Management. These URIs identify algorithms and types of information.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Distribution of this document is unlimited. Comments should be sent to the author.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/1id-abstracts.html>. The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	4
1.1 Terminology	5
1.2 Acronyms	5
2. Algorithms	6
2.1 DigestMethod (Hash) Algorithms	6
2.1.1 MD5	6
2.1.2 SHA-224	7
2.1.3 SHA-384	7
2.1.4 Whirlpool	7
2.1.5 New SHA Functions	8
2.2 SignatureMethod MAC Algorithms	8
2.2.1 HMAC-MD5	8
2.2.2 HMAC SHA Variations	9
2.2.3 HMAC-RIPEMD160	9
2.3 SignatureMethod Public Key Signature Algorithms	10
2.3.1 RSA-MD5	10
2.3.2 RSA-SHA256	11
2.3.3 RSA-SHA384	11
2.3.4 RSA-SHA512	11
2.3.5 RSA-RIPEMD160	11
2.3.6 ECDSA-SHA*, ECDSA-RIPEMD160, ECDSA-Whirlpool	12
2.3.7 ESIGN-SHA*	12
2.3.8 RSA-Whirlpool	13
2.3.9 RSASSA-PSS With Parameters	13
2.3.10 RSASSA-PSS Without Parameters	15
2.3.11 RSA-SHA224	15
2.4 Minimal Canonicalization	16
2.5 Transform Algorithms	16
2.5.1 XPointer	16
2.6 EncryptionMethod Algorithms	17
2.6.1 ARCFOUR Encryption Algorithm	17
2.6.2 Camellia Block Encryption	17
2.6.3 Camellia Key Wrap	18
2.6.4 PSEC-KEM	18
2.6.5 SEED Block Encryption	19
2.6.6 SEED Key Wrap	19
3. KeyInfo	20
3.1 PKCS #7 Bag of Certificates and CRLs	20
3.2 Additional RetrievalMethod Type Values	20
4. Indexes	21
4.1 Fragment Index	21
4.2 URI Index	24

Table of Contents (continued)

- [5. Allocation Considerations.....](#) [28](#)
- [5.1 W3C Allocation Considerations.....](#) [28](#)
- [5.1 IANA Considerations.....](#) [28](#)

- [6. Security Considerations.....](#) [29](#)

- [Acknowledgements.....](#) [30](#)

- [Appendix A: Changes from \[RFC 4051\]\(#\).....](#) [31](#)
- [Appendix Z: Change History.....](#) [32](#)

- [Normative References.....](#) [34](#)
- [Informational References.....](#) [37](#)

- [Author's Address.....](#) [39](#)

1. Introduction

XML Digital Signatures, Canonicalization, and Encryption have been standardized by the W3C and by the joint IETF/W3C XMLDSIG working group [W3C]. All of these are now W3C Recommendations and some are also IETF RFCs. They are available as follows:

IETF level	W3C REC	Topic
-----	-----	-----
[RFC3275] Draft Std	[XMLDSIG10]	XML Digital Signatures
[RFC3076] Info	[CANON10]	Canonical XML
- - - - -	[XMLENC10]	XML Encryption 1.0
[RFC3741] Info	[XCANON]	Exclusive XML Canonicalization 1.0

All of these standards and recommendations use URIs [RFC3986] to identify algorithms and keying information types. The W3C has subsequently produced updated XML Signature 1.1 [XMLDSIG11], Canonical XML 1.1 [CANON11], and XML Encryption 1.1 [XMLENC11] versions as well as a new XML Signature Properties specification [XMLDSIG-PROP].

All camel case element names herein, such as DigestValue, are from these documents.

This document is an updated convenient reference list of URIs and corresponding algorithms in which there is expressed interest. There have been significant new cryptographic algorithms of interest to XML security, for some of which the URI is only specified in this document, added since the previous list [RFC4051], was issued in 2005. This document obsoletes [RFC4051]. All of the URIs appear in the [Section 4](#) indexes below. Subsections about one of the URIs appear in [Section 2](#) or [3](#) only for those URIs added by [RFC4051] or this document and for Minimal Canonicalization ([Section 2.4](#)). For example, use of SHA-256 is defined in [XMLENC11] and hence there is no subsection on that algorithm here but its URI is included in the [Section 4](#) indexes.

Specification in this document of the URI representing an algorithm does not imply endorsement of the algorithm for any particular purpose. Protocol specifications, which this is not, generally give algorithm and implementation requirements for those protocols. Security considerations for algorithms are constantly evolving, as documented elsewhere. This specification simply provides some URIs and relevant formatting for when those URIs are used.

Note that progressing XML Digital Signature [RFC3275] along the standards track required removal of any algorithms from the original version [RFC3075] for which there was not demonstrated

interoperability. This required removal of the Minimal

D. Eastlake 3rd

[Page 4]

Canonicalization algorithm, in which there appears to be continued interest. The URI for Minimal Canonicalization was included in [\[RFC4051\]](#) and is included here.

[1.1](#) Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [\[RFC2119\]](#).

This document is not intended to change the algorithm implementation requirements of any IETF or W3C document. Use of [\[RFC2119\]](#) terminology is intended to be only such as is already stated or implied by other authoritative documents.

[1.2](#) Acronyms

The following acronyms are used in this document:

HMAC - Keyed-Hashing MAC [\[RFC2104\]](#)

IETF - Internet Engineering Task Force <www.ietf.org>

MAC - Message Authentication Code

MD - Message Digest

NIST - United States National Institute of Standards and Technology <www.nist.gov>

RC - Rivest Cipher

RSA - Rivest, Shamir, and Adleman

SHA - Secure Hash Algorithm

URI - Uniform Resource Identifier [\[RFC3986\]](#)

W3C - World Wide Web Consortium <www.w3.org>

XML - eXtensible Markup Language

2. Algorithms

The URI [[RFC3986](#)] that was dropped from the XML Digital Signature standard due to the transition from IETF Proposed Standard to Draft Standard [[RFC3275](#)] is included in [section 2.4](#) below with its original

<http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmlsig#>

prefix so as to avoid changing the XMLSIG standard's namespace.

Additional algorithms in [[RFC4051](#)] were given URIs that start with

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlsig-more#>

while further algorithms added in this document are given URIs that start with

<http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmlsig-more#>

In addition, for ease of reference, this document includes in the indexes in [Section 4](#) many cryptographic algorithm URIs from several XML security documents using the namespaces with which they are defined in those documents. For example, 2000/09/xmlsig# for some URIs specified in [[RFC3275](#)] and 2001/04/xmlenc# for some URIs specified in [[XMLENC10](#)].

See also [[XMLSECXREF](#)].

2.1 DigestMethod (Hash) Algorithms

These algorithms are usable wherever a DigestMethod element occurs.

2.1.1 MD5

Identifier:

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlsig-more#md5>

The MD5 algorithm [[RFC1321](#)] takes no explicit parameters. An example of an MD5 DigestAlgorithm element is:

```
<DigestAlgorithm
  Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlsig-more#md5"/>
```

An MD5 digest is a 128-bit string. The content of the DigestValue element SHALL be the base64 [[RFC2045](#)] encoding of this bit string viewed as a 16-octet octet stream. See [[RFC6151](#)] for MD5 security

considerations.

[2.1.2](#) SHA-224

Identifier:

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#sha224>

The SHA-224 algorithm [[FIPS180-4](#)] [[RFC6234](#)] takes no explicit parameters. An example of a SHA-224 DigestAlgorithm element is:

```
<DigestAlgorithm
  Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#sha224" />
```

A SHA-224 digest is a 224 bit string. The content of the DigestValue element SHALL be the base64 [[RFC2045](#)] encoding of this string viewed as a 28-octet stream.

[2.1.3](#) SHA-384

Identifier:

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#sha384>

The SHA-384 algorithm [[FIPS180-4](#)] takes no explicit parameters. An example of a SHA-384 DigestAlgorithm element is:

```
<DigestAlgorithm
  Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#sha384" />
```

A SHA-384 digest is a 384 bit string. The content of the DigestValue element SHALL be the base64 [[RFC2045](#)] encoding of this string viewed as a 48-octet stream.

[2.1.4](#) Whirlpool

Identifier:

<http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#whirlpool>

The Whirlpool algorithm [[10118-3](#)] takes no explicit parameters. A Whirlpool digest is a 512 bit string. The content of the DigestValue element SHALL be the base64 [[RFC2045](#)] encoding of this string viewed as a 64 octet stream.

2.1.5 New SHA Functions

Identifiers:

<http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#sha3-224>

<http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#sha3-256>

<http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#sha3-384>

<http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#sha3-512>

NIST has recently completed a hash function competition for an alternative to the SHA family. The Keccak-f[1600] algorithm was selected [[Keccak](#)]. This hash function is commonly referred to as "SHA-3" and this section is a space holder and reservation of URIs for future information on Keccak use in XML security.

A SHA-3 224, 256, 384, and 512 digest is a 224, 256, 384, and 512 bit string, respectively. The content of the DigestValue element SHALL be the base64 [[RFC2045](#)] encoding of this string viewed as a 28-, 32-, 48-, and 64-octet stream, respectively.

2.2 SignatureMethod MAC Algorithms

This section covers SignatureMethod MAC (Message Authentication Code) Algorithms.

Note: Some text in this section is duplicated from [[RFC3275](#)] for the convenience of the reader. [RFC 3275](#) is normative in case of conflict.

2.2.1 HMAC-MD5

Identifier:

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#hmac-md5>

The HMAC algorithm [[RFC2104](#)] takes the truncation length in bits as a parameter; if the parameter is not specified then all the bits of the hash are output. An example of an HMAC-MD5 SignatureMethod element is as follows:

```
<SignatureMethod
  Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#hmac-md5">
  <HMACOutputLength>112</HMACOutputLength>
</SignatureMethod>
```

The output of the HMAC algorithm is ultimately the output (possibly truncated) of the chosen digest algorithm. This value SHALL be base64 [[RFC2045](#)] encoded in the same straightforward fashion as the output

of the digest algorithms. Example: the SignatureValue element for the

HMAC-MD5 digest

9294727A 3638BB1C 13F48EF8 158BFC9D

from the test vectors in [[RFC2104](#)] would be

kpRyejY4uxwT9I74FYv8nQ==

Schema Definition:

```
<simpleType name="HMACOutputLength">  
  <restriction base="integer">  
  </simpleType>
```

DTD:

```
<!ELEMENT HMACOutputLength (#PCDATA) >
```

The Schema Definition and DTD immediately above are copied from [[RFC3275](#)].

See [[RFC6151](#)] for HMAC-MD5 security considerations.

[2.2.2](#) HMAC SHA Variations

Identifiers:

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#hmac-sha224>

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#hmac-sha256>

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#hmac-sha384>

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#hmac-sha512>

SHA-224, SHA-256, SHA-384, and SHA-512 [[FIPS180-4](#)] [[RFC6234](#)] can also be used in HMAC as described in [section 2.2.1](#) above for HMAC-MD5.

[2.2.3](#) HMAC-RIPEMD160

Identifier:

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#hmac-ripemd160>

RIPEMD-160 [[RIPEMD-160](#)] can also be used in HMAC as described in [section 2.2.1](#) above for HMAC-MD5.

2.3 SignatureMethod Public Key Signature Algorithms

These algorithms are distinguished from those in [section 2.2](#) above in that they use public key methods. That is to say, the verification key is different from and not feasibly derivable from the signing key.

2.3.1 RSA-MD5

Identifier:

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-md5>

This implies the PKCS#1 v1.5 padding algorithm described in [\[RFC3447\]](#). An example of use is

```
<SignatureMethod
  Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-md5" />
```

The SignatureValue content for an RSA-MD5 signature is the base64 [\[RFC2045\]](#) encoding of the octet string computed as per [\[RFC3447\] section 8.1.1?](#), signature generation for the RSASSA-PKCS1-v1_5 signature scheme. As specified in the EMSA-PKCS1-V1_5-ENCODE function in [\[RFC3447\] section 9.2.1?](#), the value input to the signature function MUST contain a pre-pended algorithm object identifier for the hash function, but the availability of an ASN.1 parser and recognition of OIDs is not required of a signature verifier. The PKCS#1 v1.5 representation appears as:

```
CRYPT (PAD (ASN.1 (OID, DIGEST (data))))
```

Note that the padded ASN.1 will be of the following form:

```
01 | FF* | 00 | prefix | hash
```

Vertical bar ("|") represents concatenation. "01", "FF", and "00" are fixed octets of the corresponding hexadecimal value and the asterisk ("*") after "FF" indicates repetition. "hash" is the MD5 digest of the data. "prefix" is the ASN.1 BER MD5 algorithm designator prefix required in PKCS #1 [\[RFC3447\]](#), that is,

```
hex 30 20 30 0c 06 08 2a 86 48 86 f7 0d 02 05 05 00 04 10
```

This prefix is included to make it easier to use standard cryptographic libraries. The FF octet MUST be repeated enough times that the value of the quantity being CRYPTed is exactly one octet shorter than the RSA modulus.

See [[RFC6151](#)] for MD5 security considerations.

D. Eastlake 3rd

[Page 10]

2.3.2 RSA-SHA256

Identifier:

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-sha256>

This implies the PKCS#1 v1.5 padding algorithm [[RFC3447](#)] as described in [section 2.3.1](#) but with the ASN.1 BER SHA-256 algorithm designator prefix. An example of use is

```
<SignatureMethod
  Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-sha256" />
```

2.3.3 RSA-SHA384

Identifier:

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-sha384>

This implies the PKCS#1 v1.5 padding algorithm [[RFC3447](#)] as described in [section 2.3.1](#) but with the ASN.1 BER SHA-384 algorithm designator prefix. An example of use is

```
<SignatureMethod
  Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-sha384" />
```

Because it takes about the same effort to calculate a SHA-384 message digest as it does a SHA-512 message digest, it is suggested that RSA-SHA512 be used in preference to RSA-SHA384 where possible.

2.3.4 RSA-SHA512

Identifier:

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-sha512>

This implies the PKCS#1 v1.5 padding algorithm [[RFC3447](#)] as described in [section 2.3.1](#) but with the ASN.1 BER SHA-512 algorithm designator prefix. An example of use is

```
<SignatureMethod
  Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-sha512" />
```

2.3.5 RSA-RIPEMD160

Identifier:

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-ripemd160>

This implies the PKCS#1 v1.5 padding algorithm [[RFC3447](#)] as described in [section 2.3.1](#) but with the ASN.1 BER RIPEMD160 algorithm designator prefix. An example of use is

```
<SignatureMethod
  Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-ripemd160"
/>
```

[2.3.6](#) ECDSA-SHA*, ECDSA-RIPEMD160, ECDSA-Whirlpool

Identifiers:

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#ecdsa-sha1>
<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#ecdsa-sha224>
<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#ecdsa-sha256>
<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#ecdsa-sha384>
<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#ecdsa-sha512>
<http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#ecdsa-ripemd160>
<http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#ecdsa-whirlpool>

The Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (ECDSA) [[FIPS180-4](#)] is the elliptic curve analogue of the DSA (DSS) signature method. It takes no explicit parameters. For detailed specifications of how to use it with SHA hash functions and XML Digital Signature, please see [[X9.62](#)] and [[RFC4050](#)]. The #ecdsa-ripemd160 and #ecdsa-whirlpool fragments in the new namespace identifies a signature method processed in the same way as specified by the #ecdsa-sha1 fragment of this namespace with the exception that RIPEMD160 or Whirlpool is used instead of SHA-1.

The output of the ECDSA algorithm consists of a pair of integers usually referred by the pair (r, s). The signature value consists of the base64 encoding of the concatenation of two octet-streams that respectively result from the octet-encoding of the values r and s in that order. Integer to octet-stream conversion must be done according to the I2OSP operation defined in the [[RFC3447](#)] specification with the l parameter equal to the size of the base point order of the curve in bytes (e.g. 32 for the P-256 curve and 66 for the P-521 curve [[FIPS186-3](#)]).

For an introduction to elliptic curve cryptographic algorithms, see [[RFC6090](#)] but note that there is a Errata for that RFC.

[2.3.7](#) ESIGN-SHA*

Identifiers:

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#esign-sha1>
<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#esign-sha224>
<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#esign-sha256>
<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#esign-sha384>
<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#esign-sha512>

The ESIGN algorithm specified in [IEEE P1363a] is a signature scheme based on the integer factorization problem. It is much faster than previous digital signature schemes so ESIGN can be implemented on smart cards without special co-processors.

An example of use is

```
<SignatureMethod
  Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#esign-sha1"
/>
```

2.3.8 RSA-Whirlpool

Identifier:

<http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#rsa-whirlpool>

As in the definition of the RSA-SHA1 algorithm in [XMLDSIG11], the designator "RSA" means the RSASSA-PKCS1-v1_5 algorithm as defined in PKCS2.1 [PKCS2.1]. When identified through the #rsa-whirlpool fragment identifier, Whirlpool is used as the hash algorithm instead. Use of the ASN.1 BER Whirlpool algorithm designator is implied. That designator is

hex 30 4e 30 0a 06 06 28 cf 06 03 00 37 05 00 04 40
as an explicit octet sequence. This corresponds to OID
1.0.10118.3.0.55 defined in [10118-3].

An example of use is

```
<SignatureMethod
  Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-whirlpool"
/>
```

2.3.9 RSASSA-PSS With Parameters

Identifiers:

<http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#rsa-pss>
<http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#MGF1>

These identifiers imply the PKCS#1 EMSA-PSS encoding algorithm

D. Eastlake 3rd

[Page 13]

[RFC3447]. The RSASSA-PSS algorithm takes the digest method (hash function), a mask generation function, the salt length in bytes (SaltLength), and the trailer field as explicit parameters.

Algorithm identifiers for hash functions specified in XML encryption [XMLENC11], [XMLDSIG11], and in [section 2.1](#) are considered to be valid algorithm identifiers for hash functions. According to [RFC3447] the default value for the digest function is SHA-1, but due to the discovered weakness of SHA-1 [RFC6194] it is recommended that SHA-256 or a stronger hash function be used. Notwithstanding [RFC3447], SHA-256 is the default to be used with these SignatureMethod identifiers if no hash function has been specified.

The default salt length for these SignatureMethod identifiers if the SaltLength is not specified SHALL be the number of octets in the hash value of the digest method, as recommended in [RFC4055]. In a parameterized RSASSA-PSS signature the ds:DigestMethod and the SaltLength parameters usually appear. If they do not, the defaults make this equivalent to <http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmlldsig-more#sha256-rsa-MGF1> (see [section 2.3.10](#)). The TrailerField defaults to 1 (0xbc) when omitted.

Schema Definition (target namespace <http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmlldsig-more#>):

```
<xs:element name="RSAPSSParams" type="pss:RSAPSSParamsType">
  <xs:annotation>
    <xs:documentation>
      Top level element that can be used in xs:any namespace="#other"
      wildcard of ds:SignatureMethod content.
    </xs:documentation>
  </xs:annotation>
</xs:element>
<xs:complexType name="RSAPSSParamsType">
  <xs:sequence>
    <xs:element ref="ds:DigestMethod" minOccurs="0"/>
    <xs:element name="MaskGenerationFunction"
      type="pss:MaskGenerationFunctionType" minOccurs="0"/>
    <xs:element name="SaltLength" type="xs:int"
      minOccurs="0"/>
    <xs:element name="TrailerField" type="xs:int"
      minOccurs="0"/>
  </xs:sequence>
</xs:complexType>
<xs:complexType name="MaskGenerationFunctionType">
  <xs:sequence>
    <xs:element ref="ds:DigestMethod" minOccurs="0"/>
  </xs:sequence>
```

```
<xs:attribute name="Algorithm" type="xs:anyURI"  
  default="http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#MGF1"/>
```

```
</xs:complexType>
```

2.3.10 RSASSA-PSS Without Parameters

[RFC3447] currently specifies only one mask generation function MGF1 based on a hash function. Whereas [RFC3447] allows for parameterization, the default is to use the same hash function as the digest method function. Only this default approach is supported by this section, therefore the definition of a mask generation function type is not needed yet. The same applies to the trailer field. There is only one value (0xBC) specified in [RFC3447]. Hence this default parameter must be used for signature generation. The default salt length is the length of the hash function.

Identifiers:

<http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#sha3-224-rsa-MGF1>
<http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#sha3-256-rsa-MGF1>
<http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#sha3-384-rsa-MGF1>
<http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#sha3-512-rsa-MGF1>

<http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#md2-rsa-MGF1>
<http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#md5-rsa-MGF1>
<http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#sha1-rsa-MGF1>
<http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#sha224-rsa-MGF1>
<http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#sha256-rsa-MGF1>
<http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#sha384-rsa-MGF1>
<http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#sha512-rsa-MGF1>
<http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#ripemd128-rsa-MGF1>
<http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#ripemd160-rsa-MGF1>
<http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#whirlpool-rsa-MGF1>

An example of use is

```
<SignatureMethod
  Algorithm=
    "http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#SHA3-256-rsa-MGF1"
/>
```

2.3.11 RSA-SHA224

Identifier:

<http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#rsa-sha224>

This implies the PKCS#1 v1.5 padding algorithm [RFC3447] as described in [section 2.3.1](#) but with the ASN.1 BER SHA-224 algorithm designator

prefix. An example of use is

D. Eastlake 3rd

[Page 15]

```
<SignatureMethod
  Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#rsa-sha224" />
```

Because it takes about the same effort to calculate a SHA-224 message digest as it does a SHA-256 message digest, it is suggested that RSA-SHA256 be used in preference to RSA-SHA224 where possible.

2.4 Minimal Canonicalization

Thus far two independent interoperable implementations of Minimal Canonicalization have not been announced. Therefore, when XML Digital Signature was advanced along the standards track from [RFC3075] to [RFC3275], Minimal Canonicalization was dropped. However, there is still interest. For its definition, see [RFC3075] [Section 6.5.1](#).

For reference, its identifier remains:
<http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#minimal>

2.5 Transform Algorithms

Note that all CanonicalizationMethod algorithms can also be used as Transform algorithms.

2.5.1 XPointer

Identifier:
<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#xptr>

This transform algorithm takes an [XPointer] as an explicit parameter. An example of use is:

```
<Transform
  Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more/xptr">
  <XPointer
    xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more/xptr">
    xpointer(id("foo")) xmlns(bar=http://foobar.example)
    xpointer(//bar:Zab[@Id="foo"])
  </XPointer>
</Transform>
```

Schema Definition:

<element name="XPointer" type="string">

D. Eastlake 3rd

[Page 16]

DTD:

```
<!ELEMENT XPointer (#PCDATA) >
```

Input to this transform is an octet stream (which is then parsed into XML).

Output from this transform is a node set; the results of the XPointer are processed as defined in the XMLDSIG specification [[RFC3275](#)] for a same-document XPointer.

2.6 EncryptionMethod Algorithms

This subsection gives identifiers and information for several EncryptionMethod Algorithms.

2.6.1 ARCFOUR Encryption Algorithm

Identifier:

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#arcfour>

ARCFOUR is a fast, simple stream encryption algorithm that is compatible with RSA Security's RC4 algorithm [[RC4](#)]. An example EncryptionMethod element using ARCFOUR is

```
<EncryptionMethod
  Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#arcfour">
  <KeySize>40<KeySize>
</EncryptionMethod>
```

Note that Arcfour makes use of the generic KeySize parameter specified and defined in [[XMLENC11](#)].

2.6.2 Camellia Block Encryption

Identifiers:

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#camellia128-cbc>

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#camellia192-cbc>

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#camellia256-cbc>

Camellia is a block cipher with the same interface as the AES [[Camellia](#)] [[RFC3713](#)], that is 128-bit block size and 128, 192, and 256 bit key sizes. In XML Encryption Camellia is used in the same way as the AES: It is used in the Cipher Block Chaining (CBC) mode with a

128-bit initialization vector (IV). The resulting cipher text is prefixed by the IV. If included in XML output, it is then base64 encoded. An example Camellia EncryptionMethod is as follows:

```
<EncryptionMethod
  Algorithm=
  "http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#camellia128-cbc"
/>
```

2.6.3 Camellia Key Wrap

Identifiers:

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#kw-camellia128>
<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#kw-camellia192>
<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#kw-camellia256>

Camellia [[Camellia](#)] [[RFC3713](#)] key wrap is identical to the AES key wrap algorithm [[RFC3394](#)] specified in the XML Encryption standard with "AES" replaced by "Camellia". As with AES key wrap, the check value is 0xA6A6A6A6A6A6A6A6.

The algorithm is the same whatever the size of the Camellia key used in wrapping, called the key encrypting key or KEK. If Camellia is supported, it is particularly suggested that wrapping 128-bit keys with a 128-bit KEK and wrapping 256-bit keys with a 256-bit KEK be supported.

An example of use is:

```
<EncryptionMethod
  Algorithm=
  "http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#kw-camellia128"
/>
```

2.6.4 PSEC-KEM

Identifier:

<http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#psec-kem>

The PSEC-KEM algorithm, specified in [[18033-2](#)], is a key encapsulation mechanism using elliptic curve encryption.

An example of use is:


```
<EncryptionMethod
  Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#psec-kem">
  <EParameters>
    <Version>version</Version>
    <FieldID>id</FieldID>
    <Curve>curve</Curve>
    <Base>base</Base>
    <Order>order</Order>
    <Cofactor>cofactor</Cofactor>
  </EParameters>
</EncryptionMethod>
```

See [[18033-2](#)] for information on the parameters above.

2.6.5 SEED Block Encryption

Identifier:

<http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#seed128-cbc>

SEED [[RFC4269](#)] is a 128-bit block size with 128-bit key sizes. In XML Encryption, SEED can be used in the Cipher Block Chaining (CBC) mode with a 128-bit initialization vector (IV). The resulting cipher text is prefixed by the IV. If included in XML output, it is then base64 encoded.

An example SEED EncryptionMethod is as follows:

```
<EncryptionMethod
  Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#seed128-cbc" />
```

2.6.6 SEED Key Wrap

Identifier:

<http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#kw-seed128>

Key wrapping with SEED is identical to [Section 2.2.1 of \[RFC3394\]](#) with "AES" replaced by "SEED". The algorithm is specified in [[RFC4010](#)]. The implementation of SEED is optional. The default initial value is 0xA6A6A6A6A6A6A6A6.

An example of use is:

```
<EncryptionMethod
  Algorithm=
  "http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more#kw-seed128"
```

/>

D. Eastlake 3rd

[Page 19]

3. KeyInfo

In [section 3.1](#) below a new KeyInfo element child is specified while in [section 3.2](#) additional KeyInfo Type values for use in RetrievalMethod are specified.

3.1 PKCS #7 Bag of Certificates and CRLs

A PKCS #7 [[RFC2315](#)] "signedData" can also be used as a bag of certificates and/or certificate revocation lists (CRLs). The PKCS7signedData element is defined to accommodate such structures within KeyInfo. The binary PKCS #7 structure is base64 [[RFC2045](#)] encoded. Any signer information present is ignored. The following is a example [[RFC3092](#)], eliding the base64 data:

```
<foo:PKCS7signedData
  xmlns:foo="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more">
  ...
</foo:PKCS7signedData>
```

3.2 Additional RetrievalMethod Type Values

The Type attribute of RetrievalMethod is an optional identifier for the type of data to be retrieved. The result of de-referencing a RetrievalMethod reference for all KeyInfo types with an XML structure is an XML element or document with that element as the root. The various "raw" key information types return a binary value. Thus they require a Type attribute because they are not unambiguously parsable.

Identifiers:

- <http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#KeyName>
- <http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#KeyValue>
- <http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#PKCS7signedData>
- <http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rawPGPKeyPacket>
- <http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rawPKCS7signedData>
- <http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rawSPKISexp>
- <http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rawX509CRL>
- <http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#RetrievalMethod>

4. Indexes

The following subsections provide an index by URI and by fragment identifier (the portion of the URI after "#") of the algorithm and KeyInfo URIs defined in this document and in the standards (plus the one KeyInfo child element name defined in this document). The "Sec/Doc" column has the section of this document or, if not specified in this document, the standards document where the item is specified. See also [[XMLSECXREF](#)].

4.1 Fragment Index

The initial "http://www.w3.org/" part of the URI is not included below. The first six entries have a null fragment identifier or no fragment identifier.

Fragment -----	URI ----	Sec/Doc -----
	2002/06/xmlldsig-filter2	[XPATH]
	2006/12/xmlc12n11#	[CANON11]
	TR/1999/REC-xslt-19991116	[XSLT]
	TR/1999/REC-xpath-19991116	[XPATH]
	TR/2001/06/xml-exc-c14n#	[XCANON]
	TR/2001/REC-xml-c14n-20010315	[CANON10]
	TR/2001/REC-xmlschema-1-20010502	[Schema]
aes128-cbc	2001/04/xmlenc#aes128-cbc	[XMLENC11]
aes128-gcm	2009/xmlenc11#aes128-gcm	[XMLENC11]
aes192-cbc	2001/04/xmlenc#aes192-cbc	[XMLENC11]
aes192-gcm	2009/xmlenc11#aes192-gcm	[XMLENC11]
aes256-cbc	2001/04/xmlenc#aes256-cbc	[XMLENC11]
aes256-gcm	2009/xmlenc11#aes256-gcm	[XMLENC11]
arcfour	2001/04/xmlldsig-more#arcfour	2.6.1
base64	2000/09/xmlldsig#base64	[RFC3275]
camellia128-cbc	2001/04/xmlldsig-more#camellia128-cbc	2.6.2
camellia192-cbc	2001/04/xmlldsig-more#camellia192-cbc	2.6.2
camellia256-cbc	2001/04/xmlldsig-more#camellia256-cbc	2.6.2
ConcatKDF	2009/xmlenc11#ConcatKDF	[XMLENC11]
decrypt#XML	2002/07/decrypt#XML	[DECRYPT]
decrypt#Binary	2002/07/decrypt#Binary	[DECRYPT]
DEREncodedKeyValue	2009/xmlldsig11#DEREncodedKeyValue	[XMLDSIG11]
dh	2001/04/xmlenc#dh	[XMLENC11]
dh-es	2009/xmlenc11#dh-es	[XMLENC11]

dsa-sha1

2000/09/xmlsig#dsa-sha1

[[RFC3275](#)]

D. Eastlake 3rd

[Page 21]

dsa-sha256	2009/xmlsig11#dsa-sha256	[XMLDSIG11]
DSAKeyValue	2000/09/xmlsig#DSAKeyValue	[XMLDSIG11]
ECDH-ES	2009/xmlenc11#ECDH-ES	[XMLENC11]
ecdsa-ripemd160	2007/05/xmlsig-more#ecdsa-ripemd160	2.3.6
ecdsa-sha1	2001/04/xmlsig-more#ecdsa-sha1	2.3.6
ecdsa-sha224	2001/04/xmlsig-more#ecdsa-sha224	2.3.6
ecdsa-sha256	2001/04/xmlsig-more#ecdsa-sha256	2.3.6
ecdsa-sha384	2001/04/xmlsig-more#ecdsa-sha384	2.3.6
ecdsa-sha512	2001/04/xmlsig-more#ecdsa-sha512	2.3.6
ecdsa-whirlpool	2007/05/xmlsig-more#ecdsa-whirlpool	2.3.5
ecies-kem	2010/xmlsec-ghc#ecies-kem	[GENERIC]
ECKeYValue	2009/xmlsig11#ECKeYValue	[XMLDSIG11]
enveloped-signature	2000/09/xmlsig#enveloped-signature	[RFC3275]
esign-sha1	2001/04/xmlsig-more#esign-sha1	2.3.7
esign-sha224	2001/04/xmlsig-more#esign-sha224	2.3.7
esign-sha256	2001/04/xmlsig-more#esign-sha256	2.3.7
esign-sha384	2001/04/xmlsig-more#esign-sha384	2.3.7
esign-sha512	2001/04/xmlsig-more#esign-sha512	2.3.7
generic-hybrid	2010/xmlsec-ghc#generic-hybrid	[GENERIC]
hmac-md5	2001/04/xmlsig-more#hmac-md5	2.2.1
hmac-ripemd160	2001/04/xmlsig-more#hmac-ripemd160	2.2.3
hmac-sha1	2000/09/xmlsig#hmac-sha1	[RFC3275]
hmac-sha224	2001/04/xmlsig-more#hmac-sha224	2.2.2
hmac-sha256	2001/04/xmlsig-more#hmac-sha256	2.2.2
hmac-sha384	2001/04/xmlsig-more#hmac-sha384	2.2.2
hmac-sha512	2001/04/xmlsig-more#hmac-sha512	2.2.2
KeyName	2001/04/xmlsig-more#KeyName	3.2
KeyValue	2001/04/xmlsig-more#KeyValue	3.2
kw-aes128	2001/04/xmlenc#kw-aes128	[XMLENC11]
kw-aes128-pad	2009/xmlenc11#kw-aes-128-pad	[XMLENC11]
kw-aes192	2001/04/xmlenc#kw-aes192	[XMLENC11]
kw-aes192-pad	2009/xmlenc11#kw-aes-192-pad	[XMLENC11]
kw-aes256	2001/04/xmlenc#kw-aes256	[XMLENC11]
kw-aes256-pad	2009/xmlenc11#kw-aes-256-pad	[XMLENC11]
kw-camellia128	2001/04/xmlsig-more#kw-camellia128	2.6.3
kw-camellia192	2001/04/xmlsig-more#kw-camellia192	2.6.3
kw-camellia256	2001/04/xmlsig-more#kw-camellia256	2.6.3
kw-seed128	2007/05/xmlsig-more#kw-seed128	2.6.6
md2-rsa-MGF1	2007/05/xmlsig-more#md2-rsa-MGF1	2.3.10
md5	2001/04/xmlsig-more#md5	2.1.1
md5-rsa-MGF1	2007/05/xmlsig-more#md5-rsa-MGF1	2.3.10
MGF1	2007/05/xmlsig-more#MGF1	2.3.9
mgf1sha1	2009/xmlenc11#mgf1sha1	[XMLENC11]

mgf1sha224
mgf1sha256

2009/xmlenc11#mgf1sha224
2009/xmlenc11#mgf1sha256

[\[XMLENC11\]](#)
[\[XMLENC11\]](#)

mgf1sha384	2009/xmlenc11#mgf1sha384	[XMLENC11]
mgf1sha512	2009/xmlenc11#mgf1sha512	[XMLENC11]
MgmtData	2000/09/xmlldsig#MgmtData	[XMLDSIG11]
minimal	2000/09/xmlldsig#minimal	2.4
pbkdf2	2009/xmlenc11#pbkdf2	[XMLENC11]
PGPData	2000/09/xmlldsig#PGPData	[XMLDSIG11]
PKCS7signedData	2001/04/xmlldsig-more#PKCS7signedData	3.1
PKCS7signedData	2001/04/xmlldsig-more#PKCS7signedData	3.2
psec-kem	2001/04/xmlldsig-more#psec-kem	2.6.4
rawPGPKeyPacket	2001/04/xmlldsig-more#rawPGPKeyPacket	3.2
rawPKCS7signedData	2001/04/xmlldsig-more#rawPKCS7signedData	3.2
rawSPKISexp	2001/04/xmlldsig-more#rawSPKISexp	3.2
rawX509Certificate	2000/09/xmlldsig#rawX509Certificate	[RFC3275]
rawX509CRL	2001/04/xmlldsig-more#rawX509CRL	3.2
RetrievalMethod	2001/04/xmlldsig-more#RetrievalMethod	3.2
ripemd128-rsa-MGF1	2007/05/xmlldsig-more#ripemd128-rsa-MGF1	2.3.10
ripemd160	2001/04/xmlenc#ripemd160	[XMLENC11]
ripemd160-rsa-MGF1	2007/05/xmlldsig-more#ripemd160-rsa-MGF1	2.3.10
rsa-1_5	2001/04/xmlenc#rsa-1_5	[XMLENC11]
rsa-md5	2001/04/xmlldsig-more#rsa-md5	2.3.1
rsa-oaep	2009/xmlenc11#rsa-oaep	[XMLENC11]
rsa-oaep-mgf1p	2001/04/xmlenc#rsa-oaep-mgf1p	[XMLENC11]
rsa-pss	2007/05/xmlldsig-more#rsa-pss	2.3.9
rsa-ripemd160	2001/04/xmlldsig-more#rsa-ripemd160	2.3.5
rsa-sha1	2000/09/xmlldsig#rsa-sha1	[RFC3275]
rsa-sha224	2007/05/xmlldsig-more#rsa-sha224	2.3.11
rsa-sha256	2001/04/xmlldsig-more#rsa-sha256	2.3.2
rsa-sha384	2001/04/xmlldsig-more#rsa-sha384	2.3.3
rsa-sha512	2001/04/xmlldsig-more#rsa-sha512	2.3.4
rsa-whirlpool	2007/05/xmlldsig-more#rsa-whirlpool	2.3.5
rsaes-kem	2010/xmlsec-ghc#rsaes-kem	[GENERIC]
RSAKeyValue	2000/09/xmlldsig#RSAKeyValue	[XMLDSIG11]
seed128-cbc	2007/05/xmlldsig-more#seed128-cbc	2.6.5
sha1	2000/09/xmlldsig#sha1	[RFC3275]
sha1-rsa-MGF1	2007/05/xmlldsig-more#sha1-rsa-MGF1	2.3.10
sha224	2001/04/xmlldsig-more#sha224	2.1.2
sha224-rsa-MGF1	2007/05/xmlldsig-more#sha224-rsa-MGF1	2.3.10
sha256	2001/04/xmlenc#sha256	[XMLENC11]
sha256-rsa-MGF1	2007/05/xmlldsig-more#sha256-rsa-MGF1	2.3.10
sha3-224	2007/05/xmlldsig-more#sha3-224	2.1.5
sha3-224-rsa-MGF1	2007/05/xmlldsig-more#sha3-224-rsa-MGF1	2.3.10
sha3-256	2007/05/xmlldsig-more#sha3-256	2.1.5
sha3-256-rsa-MGF1	2007/05/xmlldsig-more#sha3-256-rsa-MGF1	2.3.10

sha3-384	2007/05/xmldsig-more#sha3-384	2.1.5
sha3-384-rsa-MGF1	2007/05/xmldsig-more#sha3-384-rsa-MGF1	2.3.10

sha3-512	2007/05/xmldsig-more#sha3-512	2.1.5
sha3-512-rsa-MGF1	2007/05/xmldsig-more#sha3-512-rsa-MGF1	2.3.10
sha384	2001/04/xmldsig-more#sha384	2.1.3
sha384-rsa-MGF1	2007/05/xmldsig-more#sha384-rsa-MGF1	2.3.10
sha512	2001/04/xmlenc#sha512	[XMLENC11]
sha512-rsa-MGF1	2007/05/xmldsig-more#sha512-rsa-MGF1	2.3.10
SPKIData	2000/09/xmldsig#SPKIData	[XMLDSIG11]
tripledes-cbc	2001/04/xmlenc#tripledes-cbc	[XMLENC11]
whirlpool	2007/05/xmldsig-more#whirlpool	2.1.4
whirlpool-rsa-MGF1	2007/05/xmldsig-more#whirlpool-rsa-MGF1	2.3.10
WithComments	2006/12/xmlc14n11#WithComments	[CANON11]
WithComments	TR/2001/06/xml-exc-c14n#WithComments	[XCANON]
WithComments	TR/2001/REC-xml-c14n-20010315#WithComments	[CANON10]
X509Data	2000/09/xmldsig#X509Data	[XMLDSIG11]
xptr	2001/04/xmldsig-more#xptr	2.5.1

The initial "http://www.w3.org/" part of the URI is not included above.

4.2 URI Index

The initial "http://www.w3.org/" part of the URI is not included below.

URI	Sec/Doc	Type
----	-----	-----
2000/09/xmldsig#base64	[RFC3275]	Transform
2000/09/xmldsig#DSAKeyValue	[RFC3275]	Retrieval type
2000/09/xmldsig#dsa-sha1	[RFC3275]	SignatureMethod
2000/09/xmldsig#enveloped-signature	[RFC3275]	Transform
2000/09/xmldsig#hmac-sha1	[RFC3275]	SignatureMethod
2000/09/xmldsig#MgmtData	[RFC3275]	Retrieval type
2000/09/xmldsig#minimal	2.4	Canonicalization
2000/09/xmldsig#PGPData	[RFC3275]	Retrieval type
2000/09/xmldsig#rawX509Certificate	[RFC3275]	Retrieval type
2000/09/xmldsig#rsa-sha1	[RFC3275]	SignatureMethod
2000/09/xmldsig#RSAKeyValue	[RFC3275]	Retrieval type
2000/09/xmldsig#sha1	[RFC3275]	DigestAlgorithm
2000/09/xmldsig#SPKIData	[RFC3275]	Retrieval type
2000/09/xmldsig#X509Data	[RFC3275]	Retrieval type

2001/04/xmldsig-more#arcfour	2.6.1	EncryptionMethod
2001/04/xmldsig-more#camellia128-cbc	2.6.2	EncryptionMethod
2001/04/xmldsig-more#camellia192-cbc	2.6.2	EncryptionMethod
2001/04/xmldsig-more#camellia256-cbc	2.6.2	EncryptionMethod
2001/04/xmldsig-more#ecdsa-sha1	2.3.6	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmldsig-more#ecdsa-sha224	2.3.6	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmldsig-more#ecdsa-sha256	2.3.6	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmldsig-more#ecdsa-sha384	2.3.6	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmldsig-more#ecdsa-sha512	2.3.6	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmldsig-more#esign-sha1	2.3.7	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmldsig-more#esign-sha224	2.3.7	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmldsig-more#esign-sha256	2.3.7	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmldsig-more#esign-sha384	2.3.7	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmldsig-more#esign-sha512	2.3.7	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmldsig-more#hmac-md5	2.2.1	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmldsig-more#hmac-ripemd160	2.2.3	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmldsig-more#hmac-sha224	2.2.2	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmldsig-more#hmac-sha256	2.2.2	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmldsig-more#hmac-sha384	2.2.2	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmldsig-more#hmac-sha512	2.2.2	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmldsig-more#KeyName	3.2	Retrieval type
2001/04/xmldsig-more#KeyValue	3.2	Retrieval type
2001/04/xmldsig-more#kw-camellia128	2.6.3	EncryptionMethod
2001/04/xmldsig-more#kw-camellia192	2.6.3	EncryptionMethod
2001/04/xmldsig-more#kw-camellia256	2.6.3	EncryptionMethod
2001/04/xmldsig-more#md5	2.1.1	DigestAlgorithm
2001/04/xmldsig-more#PKCS7signedData	3.2	Retrieval type
2001/04/xmldsig-more#psec-kem	2.6.4	EncryptionMethod
2001/04/xmldsig-more#rawPGPKeyPacket	3.2	Retrieval type
2001/04/xmldsig-more#rawPKCS7signedData	3.2	Retrieval type
2001/04/xmldsig-more#rawSPKISexp	3.2	Retrieval type
2001/04/xmldsig-more#rawX509CRL	3.2	Retrieval type
2001/04/xmldsig-more#RetrievalMethod	3.2	Retrieval type
2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-md5	2.3.1	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-sha256	2.3.2	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-sha384	2.3.3	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-sha512	2.3.4	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-ripemd160	2.3.5	SignatureMethod
2001/04/xmldsig-more#sha224	2.1.2	DigestAlgorithm
2001/04/xmldsig-more#sha384	2.1.3	DigestAlgorithm
2001/04/xmldsig-more#xptr	2.5.1	Transform
2001/04/xmldsig-more#PKCS7signedData	3.1	KeyInfo child
2001/04/xmlenc#aes128-cbc	[XMLENC11]	EncryptionMethod
2001/04/xmlenc#aes192-cbc	[XMLENC11]	EncryptionMethod
2001/04/xmlenc#aes256-cbc	[XMLENC11]	EncryptionMethod
2001/04/xmlenc#dh	[XMLENC11]	AgreementMethod
2001/04/xmlenc#kw-aes128	[XMLENC11]	EncryptionMethod

2001/04/xmlenc#kw-aes192
2001/04/xmlenc#kw-aes256

[[XMLENC11](#)] EncryptionMethod
[[XMLENC11](#)] EncryptionMethod

2001/04/xmlenc#ripemd160	[XMLENC11]	DigestAlgorithm
2001/04/xmlenc#rsa-1_5	[XMLENC11]	EncryptionMethod
2001/04/xmlenc#rsa-oaep-mgf1p	[XMLENC11]	EncryptionMethod
2001/04/xmlenc#sha256	[XMLENC11]	DigestAlgorithm
2001/04/xmlenc#sha512	[XMLENC11]	DigestAlgorithm
2001/04/xmlenc#tripledes-cbc	[XMLENC11]	EncryptionMethod
2002/06/xmldsig-filter2	[XPATH]	Transform
2002/07/decrypt#XML	[DECRYPT]	Transform
2002/07/decrypt#Binary	[DECRYPT]	Transform
2006/12/xmlc12n11#	[CANON11]	Canonicalization
2006/12/xmlc14n11#WithComments	[CANON11]	Canonicalization
2007/05/xmldsig-more#ecdsa-ripemd160	2.3.6	SignatureMethod
2007/05/xmldsig-more#ecdsa-whirlpool	2.3.5	SignatureMethod
2007/05/xmldsig-more#kw-seed128	2.6.6	EncryptionMethod
2007/05/xmldsig-more#md2-rsa-MGF1	2.3.10	SignatureMethod
2007/05/xmldsig-more#md5-rsa-MGF1	2.3.10	SignatureMethod
2007/05/xmldsig-more#MGF1	2.3.9	SignatureMethod
2007/05/xmldsig-more#ripemd128-rsa-MGF1	2.3.10	SignatureMethod
2007/05/xmldsig-more#ripemd160-rsa-MGF1	2.3.10	SignatureMethod
2007/05/xmldsig-more#rsa-pss	2.3.9	SignatureMethod
2007/05/xmldsig-more#rsa-sha224	2.3.11	SignatureMethod
2007/05/xmldsig-more#rsa-whirlpool	2.3.5	SignatureMethod
2007/05/xmldsig-more#seed128-cbc	2.6.5	EncryptionMethod
2007/05/xmldsig-more#sha1-rsa-MGF1	2.3.10	SignatureMethod
2007/05/xmldsig-more#sha224-rsa-MGF1	2.3.10	SignatureMethod
2007/05/xmldsig-more#sha256-rsa-MGF1	2.3.10	SignatureMethod
2007/05/xmldsig-more#sha3-224	2.1.5	DigestAlgorithm
2007/05/xmldsig-more#sha3-224-rsa-MGF1	2.3.10	SignatureMethod
2007/05/xmldsig-more#sha3-256	2.1.5	DigestAlgorithm
2007/05/xmldsig-more#sha3-256-rsa-MGF1	2.3.10	SignatureMethod
2007/05/xmldsig-more#sha3-384	2.1.5	DigestAlgorithm
2007/05/xmldsig-more#sha3-384-rsa-MGF1	2.3.10	SignatureMethod
2007/05/xmldsig-more#sha3-512	2.1.5	DigestAlgorithm
2007/05/xmldsig-more#sha3-512-rsa-MGF1	2.3.10	SignatureMethod
2007/05/xmldsig-more#sha384-rsa-MGF1	2.3.10	SignatureMethod
2007/05/xmldsig-more#sha512-rsa-MGF1	2.3.10	SignatureMethod
2007/05/xmldsig-more#whirlpool	2.1.4	DigestAlgorithm
2007/05/xmldsig-more#whirlpool-rsa-MGF1	2.3.10	SignatureMethod
2009/xmlenc11#kw-aes-128-pad	[XMLENC11]	EncryptionMethod
2009/xmlenc11#kw-aes-192-pad	[XMLENC11]	EncryptionMethod
2009/xmlenc11#kw-aes-256-pad	[XMLENC11]	EncryptionMethod
2009/xmldsig11#dsa-sha256	[XMLDSIG11]	SignatureMethod
2009/xmldsig11#ECKeyValue	[XMLDSIG11]	Retrieval type

2009/xmlsig11#DEREncodedKeyValue [[XMLSIG11](#)] Retrieval type

D. Eastlake 3rd

[Page 26]

2009/xmlenc11#aes128-gcm	[XMLENC11]	EncryptionMethod
2009/xmlenc11#aes192-gcm	[XMLENC11]	EncryptionMethod
2009/xmlenc11#aes256-gcm	[XMLENC11]	EncryptionMethod
2009/xmlenc11#ConcatKDF	[XMLENC11]	EncryptionMethod
2009/xmlenc11#mgf1sha1	[XMLENC11]	SignatureMethod
2009/xmlenc11#mgf1sha224	[XMLENC11]	SignatureMethod
2009/xmlenc11#mgf1sha256	[XMLENC11]	SignatureMethod
2009/xmlenc11#mgf1sha384	[XMLENC11]	SignatureMethod
2009/xmlenc11#mgf1sha512	[XMLENC11]	SignatureMethod
2009/xmlenc11#pbkdf2	[XMLENC11]	EncryptionMethod
2009/xmlenc11#rsa-oaep	[XMLENC11]	EncryptionMethod
2009/xmlenc11#ECDH-ES	[XMLENC11]	EncryptionMethod
2009/xmlenc11#dh-es	[XMLENC11]	EncryptionMethod
2010/xmlsec-ghc#generic-hybrid	[GENERIC]	Generic Hybrid
2010/xmlsec-ghc#rsaes-kem	[GENERIC]	Generic Hybrid
2010/xmlsec-ghc#ecies-kem	[GENERIC]	Generic Hybrid
TR/1999/REC-xpath-19991116	[XPATH]	Transform
TR/1999/REC-xslt-19991116	[XSLT]	Transform
TR/2001/06/xml-exc-c14n#	[XCANON]	Canonicalization
TR/2001/06/xml-exc-c14n#WithComments	[XCANON]	Canonicalization
TR/2001/REC-xml-c14n-20010315	[CANON10]	Canonicalization
TR/2001/REC-xml-c14n-20010315#WithComments	[CANON10]	Canonicalization
TR/2001/REC-xmlschema-1-20010502	[Schema]	Transform

The initial "http://www.w3.org/" part of the URI is not included above.

5. Allocation Considerations

W3C and IANA allocation considerations are given below.

5.1 W3C Allocation Considerations

As it is easy for people to construct their own unique URIs [[RFC3986](#)] and, if appropriate, to obtain a URI from the W3C, it is not intended that any additional "http://www.w3.org/2007/05/xmlsig-more#" URIs be created beyond those enumerated in this RFC. (W3C Namespace stability rules prohibit the creation of new URIs under "http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmlsig#" and URIs under "http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlsig-more#" were frozen with the publication of [[RFC4051](#)].)

An "xmlsig-more" URI does not imply any official W3C or IETF status for these algorithms or identifiers nor does it imply that they are only useful in digital signatures. Currently, dereferencing such URIs may or may not produce a temporary placeholder document. Permission to use these URI prefixes has been given by the W3C.

5.1 IANA Considerations

IANA will establish a Registry for "XML Security URIs" with that name suggested for the Registry. The initial contents will correspond to [Section 4.2](#) of this document with the numeric section references in the "Sec/Doc" column augmented with references to this RFC (as, for example, "[RFCxxxx], [Section 2.6.4](#)").

New entries, including new Types, will be added based on Expert Review [[RFC5226](#)]. Criterion for inclusion are (1) documentation sufficient for interoperability of the algorithm or data type and the XML syntax for its representation and use and (2) sufficient importance as normally indicated by inclusion in (2a) an approved W3C Note, Proposed Recommendation, or Recommendation or (2b) an approved IETF standards track document. Typically, the Registry will reference a W3C or IETF document specifying such XML syntax which document in turn references a more abstract description of the algorithm or data type.

6. Security Considerations

This RFC is concerned with documenting the URIs that designate algorithms and some data types used in connection with XML security. The security considerations vary widely with the particular algorithms and the general security considerations for XML security are outside of the scope of this document but appear in [[XMLDSIG11](#)], [[XMLENC11](#)], [[CANON10](#)], [[CANON11](#)], and [[GENERIC](#)].

[RFC6151] should be consulted before considering the use of MD5 as a DigestMethod or RSA-MD5 as a SignatureMethod.

See [[RFC6194](#)] for SHA-1 Security Considerations and [[RFC6151](#)] for MD5 Security Considerations.

Additional security considerations are given in connection with the description of some algorithms in the body of this document.

Implementers should be aware that cryptographic algorithms become weaker with time. As new cryptoanalysis techniques are developed and computing performance improves, the work factor to break a particular cryptographic algorithm will reduce. Therefore, cryptographic implementations should be modular allowing new algorithms to be readily inserted. That is, implementers should be prepared for the set of mandatory to implement algorithms to change over time.

Acknowledgements

The contributions of the following to this document, listed in alphabetic order, are gratefully acknowledged: Benoit Claise, Adrian Farrel, Stephen Farrell, Ernst Giessmann, Frederick Hirsch, Bjoern Hoehrmann, Russ Housley, Satoru Kanno, Charlie Kaufman, Konrad Lanz, Barry Leiba, Subramanian Moonesamy, Peter Lipp, HwanJin Lee, Thomas Roessler, Hanseong Ryu, Peter Saint-Andre, and Sean Turner.

The following contributors to [[RFC4051](#)], on which this document is based, are gratefully acknowledged: Glenn Adams, Merlin Hughs, Gregor Karlinger, Brian LaMachia, Shiho Moriai, Joseph Reagle, Russ Housley, and Joel Halpern.

The document was prepared in raw nroff. All macros used were defined within the source file.

Appendix A: Changes from [RFC 4051](#)

The following changes have been made in [RFC 4051](#) to produce this document.

1. Update and add numerous RFC, W3C, and Internet-Draft references.
2. Add #ecdsa-ripemd160, #whirlpool, #ecdsa-whirlpool, #rsa-whirlpool, #seed128-cbc, and #kw-seed128.
3. Incorporate [RFC 4051](#) errata [[Errata191](#)].
4. Add URI and fragment index sections.
4. In reference to MD5 and SHA-1, add references to [[RFC6151](#)] and [[RFC6194](#)].
5. Add SHA-3 / Keccak placeholder section including #sha3-224, #sha3-256, #sha3-384, and #sha3-512.
6. Add RSASSA-PSS sections including #sha3-224-MGF1, #sha3-256-MGF1, #sha3-384-MGF1, #sha3-512-MGF1, #md2-rsa-MGF1, #md5-rsa-MGF1, #sha1-rsa-MGF1, #sha224-rsa-MGF1, #sha256-rsa-MGF1, #sha384-rsa-MGF1, #sha512-rsa-MGF1, #ripemd128-rsa-MGF1, #ripemd160-rsa-MGF1, and #whirlpool-rsa-MGF1.
7. Add new URIs from Canonical XML 1.1 and XML Encryption 1.1 including: #aes128-gcm, #aes192-gcm, #aes256-gc, #ConcatKDF, #pbkdf, #rsa-oaep, #ECDH-ES, and #dh-es.
8. Add padded AES key wrap from [[RFC5649](#)].
9. Add acronym subsection.
10. Add numerous URIs that are specified in W3C XML Security documents to the Indexes. These do not have sections in the body of this document. For example those for dsa-sha256, mgf1sha*, decrypt#XML, and xmldsig-filter2.
11. Establish IANA Registry.
12. Editorial changes.

Appendix Z: Change History

RFC Editor Note: Please delete this Appendix before publication.

From -02 to -03

Fix typos and add Whirlpool designator. Add Ernst Giessmann to Acknowledgements.

From -03 to -04

1. Add identifiers and space holders for SHA-3 / Keccak.
2. Add Sections [2.3.9](#) and [2.3.10](#) for RSASSA-PSS.
3. Update URI index according to items 1 and 2 above.
3. Add new URIs from Canonical XML 1.1 and XML Encryption 1.1.
4. Fix typos, fill in a few minor missing values.
5. Minor editorial changes.

From -04 to -05

1. Add padded AES key wrap from [[RFC5649](#)].
2. Add a section on SHA-256 and SHA-512.
3. Minor editorial change to Abstract and various typo fixes.

From -05 to -06

1. Add fragment index.
2. Fix typo.

From -06 to -07

1. Update for publication of XML Signature 1.1, XML Encryption 1.1, Proposed Recommendations.
2. Editorial changes.

From -07 to -08

1. Delete [Appendix B](#) which had information on SEED irrelevant to this document.
2. Update XPointer Language reference.

3. Remove claim in 1.1 that this document is Informational.
4. At beginning of [Section 2](#), clarify namespaces used.
5. Add numerous URIs that are specified in W3C XML Security document to the Indexes. These do not have sections in the body of this document. For example those for dsa-sha256, mgf1sha*, decrypt#XML, and xmldsig-filter2.
6. Editorial changes.

From -08 to -09

1. Change from www.w3.org/2007/05/xmldsig-more URIs to www.w3.org/2009/xmlenc11 URIs for AES key wrap with padding. Delete [Section 2.6.7](#) on those algorithms, since they are covered in [XMLENC].
2. Add references to "XML Signature Properties" and "XML Security Algorithm Cross-Reference".
3. Move Errata reference to Informational References.
4. Split [Section 5](#) into IANA and W3C considerations, move one relevant paragraph down to [Section 5](#) from the first part of [Section 2](#).

From -09 to -10

Lots of editorial changes from IESG review including elimination of any implication that listing an algorithm here implies endorsement and any implication that this document changes implementation requirements. Add establishment of IANA Registry.

Normative References

- [10118-3] - "Information technology -- Security techniques -- Hash-functions -- Part 3: Dedicated hash-functions", ISO/IEC 10118-3, 2004.
- [18033-2] - "Information technology -- Security techniques -- Encryption algorithms -- Part 3: Asymmetric ciphers", ISO/IEC 18033-2, 2010.
- [Camellia] - "Camellia: A 128-bit Block Cipher Suitable for Multiple Platforms - Design and Analysis -", K. Aoki, T. Ichikawa, M. Matsui, S. Moriai, J. Nakajima, T. Tokita, In Selected Areas in Cryptography, 7th Annual International Workshop, SAC 2000, August 2000, Proceedings, Lecture Notes in Computer Science 2012, pp. 39-56, Springer-Verlag, 2001.
- [FIPS180-4] - "Secure Hash Standard (SHS)", United States of American, National Institute of Science and Technology, Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) 180-4, March 2012, <http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/fips/fips180-4/fips-180-4.pdf>
- [FIPS186-3] - "Digital Signature Standard (DSS)", United States of America, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) 186-3, June 2009, http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/fips/fips186-3/fips_186-3.pdf
- [IEEE P1363a] - "Standard Specifications for Public Key Cryptography: Additional Techniques", October 2002.
- [RC4] - Schneier, B., "Applied Cryptography: Protocols, Algorithms, and Source Code in C", Second Edition, John Wiley and Sons, New York, NY, 1996.
- [RFC1321] - Rivest, R., "The MD5 Message-Digest Algorithm", [RFC 1321](#), April 1992.
- [RFC2045] - Freed, N. and N. Borenstein, "Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) Part One: Format of Internet Message Bodies", [RFC 2045](#), November 1996.
- [RFC2104] - Krawczyk, H., Bellare, M., and R. Canetti, "HMAC: Keyed-Hashing for Message Authentication", [RFC 2104](#), February 1997.
- [RFC2119] - Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC2315] - Kaliski, B., "PKCS #7: Cryptographic Message Syntax

Version 1.5", [RFC 2315](#), March 1998.

D. Eastlake 3rd

[Page 34]

- [RFC3275] - Eastlake 3rd, D., Reagle, J., and D. Solo, "(Extensible Markup Language) XML-Signature Syntax and Processing", [RFC 3275](#), March 2002.
- [RFC3394] - Schaad, J. and R. Housley, "Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) Key Wrap Algorithm", [RFC 3394](#), September 2002.
- [RFC3447] - Jonsson, J. and B. Kaliski, "Public-Key Cryptography Standards (PKCS) #1: RSA Cryptography Specifications Version 2.1", [RFC 3447](#), February 2003.
- [RFC3713] - Matsui, M., Nakajima, J., and S. Moriai, "A Description of the Camellia Encryption Algorithm", [RFC 3713](#), April 2004.
- [RFC3986] - Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R., and L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax", STD 66, [RFC 3986](#), January 2005.
- [RFC4050] - Blake-Wilson, S., Karlinger, G., Kobayashi, T., and Y. Wang, "Using the Elliptic Curve Signature Algorithm (ECDSA) for XML Digital Signatures", [RFC 4050](#), April 2005.
- [RFC4055] - Schaad, J., Kaliski, B., and R. Housley, "Additional Algorithms and Identifiers for RSA Cryptography for use in the Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Profile", [RFC 4055](#), June 2005.
- [RFC4269] - Lee, H., Lee, S., Yoon, J., Cheon, D., and J. Lee, "The SEED Encryption Algorithm", [RFC 4269](#), December 2005.
- [RFC5226] - Narten, T. and H. Alvestrand, "Guidelines for Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", [BCP 26](#), [RFC 5226](#), May 2008.
- [RFC6234] - Eastlake 3rd, D. and T. Hansen, "US Secure Hash Algorithms (SHA and SHA-based HMAC and HKDF)", [RFC 6234](#), May 2011.
- [RIPEMD-160] - ISO/IEC 10118-3:1998, "Information Technology - Security techniques - Hash-functions - Part3: Dedicated hash-functions", ISO, 1998.
- [X9.62] - X9.62-200X, "Public Key Cryptography for the Financial Services Industry: The Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (ECDSA)", Accredited Standards Committee X9, American National Standards Institute.
- [XMLENC10] - "XML Encryption Syntax and Processing", J. Reagle, D.

<http://www.w3.org/TR/2002/REC-xmlenc-core-20021210/>

[XMLENC11] - "XML Encryption Syntax and Processing Version 1.1", D. Eastlake, J. Reagle, F. Hirsch, T. Roessler, Proposed Recommendation 24 January 2013, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2013/PR-xmlenc-core1-20130124/>

[XPointer] - "XML Pointer Language (XPointer) Version 1.0", W3C working draft, Steve DeRose, Eve Maler, Ron Daniel Jr., Paul Grosso, Jonathan Marsh, Norman Walsh, August 2002. <http://www.w3.org/TR/2002/WD-xptr-20020816/>

Informational References

- [CANON10] - John Boyer. "Canonical XML Version 1.0", 15 March 2001, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xml-c14n-20010315>
- [CANON11] - John Boyer, Glenn Marcy, "Canonical XML Version 1.1", 2 May 2008, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2008/REC-xml-c14n11-20080502/>
- [DECRYPT] - Merlin Hughes, Takeshi Imamura, Hiroshi Maruyama, "Decryption Transform for XML Signature", 10 December 2002. <http://www.w3.org/TR/2002/REC-xmlenc-decrypt-20021210>
- [Errata191] - RFC Errata, Errata ID 191, [RFC 4051](http://www.rfc-editor.org), <http://www.rfc-editor.org>
- [GENERIC] - Magnus Nystrom, Frederick Hirsch, "XML Security Generic Hybrid Ciphers", 24 January 2013, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2013/NOTE-xmlsec-generic-hybrid-20130124/>
- [Keccak] http://csrc.nist.gov/groups/ST/hash/sha-3/winner_sha-3.html
<http://keccak.noekeon.org>
- [RFC3075] - Eastlake 3rd, D., Reagle, J., and D. Solo, "XML-Signature Syntax and Processing", [RFC 3075](http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc3075), March 2001.
- [RFC3076] - Boyer, J., "Canonical XML Version 1.0", [RFC 3076](http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc3076), March 2001.
- [RFC3092] - Eastlake 3rd, D., Manros, C., and E. Raymond, "Etymology of "Foo"", [RFC 3092](http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc3092), April 1 2001.
- [RFC3741] - Boyer, J., Eastlake 3rd, D., and J. Reagle, "Exclusive XML Canonicalization, Version 1.0", [RFC 3741](http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc3741), March 2004.
- [RFC4010] - Park, J., Lee, S., Kim, J., and J. Lee, "Use of the SEED Encryption Algorithm in Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS)", [RFC 4010](http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc4010), February 2005.
- [RFC4051] - Eastlake 3rd, D., "Additional XML Security Uniform Resource Identifiers (URIs)", [RFC 4051](http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc4051), April 2005.
- [RFC6090]
- D. McGrew, K. Igoe, M. Salter, "Fundamental Elliptic Curve Cryptography Algorithms", [RFC 6090](http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc6090), February 2011.
 - Note RFC Errata numbers 2773, 2774, 2775, 2776, and 2777.
- [RFC6151] - Turner, S. and L. Chen, "Updated Security Considerations for the MD5 Message-Digest and the HMAC-MD5 Algorithms", [RFC](http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc6151)

[6151](#), March 2011.

D. Eastlake 3rd

[Page 37]

- [RFC6194] - Polk, T., Chen, L., Turner, S., and P. Hoffman, "Security Considerations for the SHA-0 and SHA-1 Message-Digest Algorithms", [RFC 6194](#), March 2011.
- [Schema] - "XML Schema Part 1: Structures Second Edition", H. Thompson, D. Beech, M. Maloney, N. Mendelsohn, W3C Recommendation 28 October 2004, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2004/REC-xmlschema-1-20041028/>
- "XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes Second Edition", P. Biron, A. Malhotra, W3C Recommendation 28 October 2004, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2004/REC-xmlschema-2-20041028/>
- [W3C] - World Wide Web Consortium, <<http://www.w3.org>>.
- [XCANON] - "Exclusive XML Canonicalization Version 1.0", D. Eastlake, J. Reagle, 18 July 2002. <http://www.w3.org/TR/2002/REC-xml-exc-c14n-20020718/>
- [XMLDSIG10] - "XML Signature Syntax and Processing (Second Edition)", D. Eastlake, J. Reagle, D. Solo, F. Hirsch, T. Roessler, W3C Recommendation 10 June 2008, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2008/REC-xmlsig-core-20080610/>
- [XMLDSIG11] - "XML Signature Syntax and Processing Version 1.1", D. Eastlake, J. Reagle, D. Solo, F. Hirsch, M. Nystrom, T. Roessler, K. Yiu, Proposed Recommendation 24 January 2013, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2013/PR-xmlsig-core1-20130124/>
- [XMLDSIG-PROP] - "XML Signature Properties", F. Hirsch, Proposed Recommendation 24 January 2013, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2013/PR-xmlsig-properties-20130124/>
- [XMLSECXREF] - "XML Security Algorithm Cross-Reference", F. Hirsch, T. Roessler, K. Yiu, Working Group Note 24 January 2013, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2013/NOTE-xmlsec-algorithms-20130124/>
- [XPATH] - "XML-Signature XPath Filter 2.0", J. Boyer, M. Huges, J. Reagle, 8 November 2002. <http://www.w3.org/TR/2002/REC-xmlsig-filter2-20021108/>
- "XML Path Language (XPath) 2.0 (Second Edition)", A. Berglund, S. Boag, D. Chamberlin, M. Fernandez, M. Kay, J. Robie, J. Simeon, W3C Recommendation 14 December 2010, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2010/REC-xpath20-20101214/>
- [XSLT] - "XSL Transformations (XSLT) Version 2.0", M. Saxonica, W3C Recommendation 23 January 2007, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2007/REC-xslt20-20070123/>

INTERNET-DRAFT

Additional XML Security URIs

Author's Address

Donald E. Eastlake, 3rd
Huawei Technologies
155 Beaver Street
Milford, MA 01757 USA

Telephone: +1-508-333-2270
EMail: d3e3e3@gmail.com

Copyright, Disclaimer, and Additional IPR Provisions

Copyright (c) 2013 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License. The definitive version of an IETF Document is that published by, or under the auspices of, the IETF. Versions of IETF Documents that are published by third parties, including those that are translated into other languages, should not be considered to be definitive versions of IETF Documents. The definitive version of these Legal Provisions is that published by, or under the auspices of, the IETF. Versions of these Legal Provisions that are published by third parties, including those that are translated into other languages, should not be considered to be definitive versions of these Legal Provisions. For the avoidance of doubt, each Contributor to the IETF Standards Process licenses each Contribution that he or she makes as part of the IETF Standards Process to the IETF Trust pursuant to the provisions of [RFC 5378](#). No language to the contrary, or terms, conditions or rights that differ from or are inconsistent with the rights and licenses granted under [RFC 5378](#), shall have any effect and shall be null and void, whether published or posted by such Contributor, or included with or in such Contribution.

