

TRILL Working Group
INTERNET-DRAFT
Obsoletes: [6327](#)
Updates: [6325](#)
Intended status: Proposed Standard

Donald Eastlake
Huawei
Radia Perlman
Intel Labs
Anoop Ghanwani
Dell
Vishwas Manral
HP
April 28, 2013

Expires: October 27, 2013

TRILL: Adjacency
<[draft-eastlake-trill-rfc6327bis-00.txt](#)>

Abstract

The IETF TRILL (TRansparent Interconnection of Lots of Links) protocol supports arbitrary link technologies between TRILL switches including point-to-point links and multi-access LAN (Local Area Network) links that can have multiple TRILL switches and end stations attached. TRILL uses IS-IS (Intermediate System to Intermediate System) routing. This document specifies the establishment, reporting, and termination of IS-IS adjacency between TRILL switches, also known as R Bridges. It also concerns four other link-local aspects of TRILL: Designated R Bridge (DRB) selection, MTU (Maximum Transmission Unit) testing, pseudonode creation, and BFD (Bi-Directional Forwarding Detection) session bootstrapping in connection with adjacency. State diagrams are included where appropriate. This document obsoletes [RFC 6327](#) and updates [RFC 6325](#).

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Distribution of this document is unlimited. Comments should be sent to the TRILL working group mailing list.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/1id-abstracts.html>. The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction.....	4
1.1 Content and Precedence.....	5
1.2 Terminology and Acronyms.....	5
2. The TRILL Hello Environment and Purposes.....	6
2.1 RBridge Interconnection by Ethernet.....	6
2.2 Handling Native Frames.....	6
2.3 Zero or Minimal Configuration.....	8
2.4 MTU Robustness.....	8
2.5 Purposes of the TRILL Hello Protocol.....	8
3. Adjacency State Machinery.....	10
3.1 TRILL Hellos, Ports, and VLANs.....	10
3.2 Adjacency Table Entries and States.....	11
3.3 Adjacency and Hello Events.....	12
3.4 Adjacency State Diagram and Table.....	15
3.5 Multiple Parallel Links.....	16
3.6 Insufficient Space in Adjacency Table.....	17
4. LAN Ports and DRB State.....	18
4.1 Port Table Entries and DRB Election State.....	18
4.2 DRB Election Events.....	19
4.2.1 DRB Election Details.....	20
4.2.2 Change in DRB.....	20
4.2.3 Change in Designated VLAN.....	21
4.3 State Table and Diagram.....	21
5. MTU Matching.....	23
6. BFD Enabled and BFD Session Bootstrap.....	25
7. Pseudonodes.....	27
8. More TRILL Hello Details.....	28
8.1 Contents of TRILL Hellos.....	28
8.2 Transmitting TRILL Hellos.....	29
8.2.1 TRILL Neighbor TLVs.....	29
8.3 Receiving TRILL Hellos.....	30
9. Multiple Ports on the Same Broadcast Link.....	32
10. Security Considerations.....	33
11. IANA Considerations.....	33
Appendix A: Changes from [RFC6327].....	34
Appendix Z: Change History.....	34
Normative References.....	35
Informative References.....	35

Acknowledgements.....[37](#)
Authors' Addresses.....[38](#)

1. Introduction

The IETF TRILL (TRansparent Interconnection of Lots of Links) protocol [[RFC6325](#)] provides optimal pair-wise data frame forwarding without configuration, safe forwarding even during transient loops, and support for multipathing of both unicast and multicast traffic in multi-hop networks with arbitrary topology. End stations are connected to TRILL switches with Ethernet but TRILL switches can be interconnected with arbitrary link technology. TRILL accomplishes this by using [[IS-IS](#)] (Intermediate System to Intermediate System) link state routing [[RFC1195](#)] [[RFC6326bis](#)] and a header that includes a hop count. The design supports data labeling by VLANs (Virtual Local Area Networks) and fine grained labels [[RFCfg1](#)] and optimization of the distribution of multi-destination frames based on data label and multicast groups. Devices that implement TRILL are called TRILL switches or RBridges (Routing Bridges).

This document provides detailed specification of five link-local aspects of the TRILL protocol used on broadcast links (also called LAN or multi-access links) and the three of these aspects used on point-to-point links. It includes state diagrams and implementation details where appropriate. Alternative implementations that interoperate on the wire are permitted.

The scope of this document is limited to the following aspects of the TRILL protocol with applicability and section of this document as shown:

LAN	P2P	Section	Link-Local Aspect
---	---	-----	-----
X	X	3	Adjacency formation and dissolution
X		4	DRB (Designated RBridge) election
X	X	5	MTU (Maximum Transmission Unit) matching
X	X	6	One-hop BFD sessions in support of adjacency
X		7	Creation and use of pseudonodes

There is no DRB (also known as DIS or Designated Intermediate System) election or pseudonode creation or use on links configured as point-to-point.

For other aspects of the TRILL protocol, see other documents such as [[RFC6325](#)], [[RFC6439](#)], [[RFCclear](#)], and [[ESADI](#)].

This document obsoletes [[RFC6327](#)] and updates [[RFC6325](#)]. See [Appendix A](#) for a summary of changes from [[RFC6327](#)].

1.1 Content and Precedence

In case of conflict between this document and [[RFC6325](#)], this document prevails.

[Section 2](#) below explains the rationale for the differences between the TRILL Hello protocol and the Layer 3 IS-IS Hello protocol in light of the environment for which the TRILL protocol is designed. It also describes the purposes of the TRILL Hello protocol.

[Section 3](#) describes the adjacency state machine and its states and relevant events.

[Section 4](#) describes the Designated RBridge (DRB) election state machine for RBridge LAN ports and its states and relevant events.

[Section 5](#) describes MTU testing and matching on a TRILL link.

[Section 6](#) discusses one-hop BFD session bootstrapping in connection with adjacency.

[Section 7](#) discusses pseudonode creation and use on LAN links.

[Section 8](#) provides more details on the reception and transmission of TRILL Hellos.

[Section 9](#) discusses the case of multiple ports from one RBridge on the same link.

1.2 Terminology and Acronyms

This document uses the acronyms defined in [[RFC6325](#)] supplemented by the following additional acronyms:

BFD - Bi-directional Forwarding Detection [[RFCbfd](#)].

SNPA - Subnetwork Point of Attachment [[IS-IS](#)].

TRILL switch - an alternative name for an RBridge.

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)].

2. The TRILL Hello Environment and Purposes

[IS-IS] has subnetwork-independent functions and subnetwork-dependent functions. Currently, Layer 3 use of IS-IS supports two types of subnetworks: (1) point-to-point link subnetworks between routers and (2) general broadcast (LAN) subnetworks. Because of the differences between the environment of Layer 3 routers and the environment of TRILL RBridges, instead of the subnetwork-dependent functions used at Layer 3, which are specified in [IS-IS] Sections [8.2](#) and [8.4](#), the TRILL protocol uses modified subnetwork-dependent functions for point-to-point subnetworks and broadcast (LAN) subnetworks. The differences between the TRILL and Layer 3 environments are described in Sections [2.1](#) through [2.4](#), followed by a summation, in [Section 2.5](#), of the purposes of the TRILL Hello protocol.

2.1 RBridge Interconnection by Ethernet

TRILL supports the interconnection of RBridges by multi-access LAN links such as Ethernet. Because this includes general bridged LANs [\[802.1Q\]](#), devices or services that can restrict VLAN connectivity, such as [\[802.1Q\]](#) bridges or carrier Ethernet services, can be part of a link between RBridges. In addition, RBridge Ethernet ports, like [\[802.1Q\]](#) ports, can be configured to restrict input/output on a VLAN basis.

For this reason TRILL Data and TRILL IS-IS packets are sent on Ethernet links in a Designated VLAN that is assumed to provide connectivity between all RBridges on the link. The Designated VLAN is dictated for a LAN link by the elected Designated RBridge on that link (DRB, equivalent to the Designated Intermediate System at Layer 3). On an RBridge Ethernet port configured as point-to-point, TRILL Data and IS-IS packets are sent in that port's Desired Designated VLAN regardless of the state of any other ports on the link. Connectivity on an Ethernet link configured as point-to-point generally depends on both ends being configured with the same Desired Designated VLAN. Because TRILL Data packets flow between RBridges on an Ethernet link only in the link's Designated VLAN, adjacency for routing calculations is based only on connectivity characteristics in that VLAN.

2.2 Handling Native Frames

This section discusses the handling of "native" frames as defined in [Section 1.4 of \[RFC6325\]](#). As such, this section is not applicable to point-to-point links between TRILL switches or any link where all the

TRILL switch ports on the link have been configured as "trunk ports"

by setting the end-station service disable bit for the port (see [Section 4.9.1 of \[RFC6325\]](#)).

Layer 3 data packets, such as IP packets, are already "tamed" when they are originated by an end station: they include a hop count and Layer 3 source and destination address fields. Furthermore, for ordinary data packets, there is no requirement to preserve any outer Layer 2 addressing and, at least if the packets are unicast, they are explicitly addressed to their first hop router.

In contrast, TRILL switches must accept, transport, and deliver "untamed" native frames: Native frames that lack a hop count field usable by TRILL and have Layer 2 MAC addresses that indicate their source and destination. These Layer 2 addresses must be preserved for delivery to the native frame's Layer 2 destination. One resulting difference is that RBridge ports providing native frame service must receive in promiscuous MAC (Media Access Control) address mode, while Layer 3 router ports typically receive in a selective MAC address mode.

TRILL handles these requirements by having, on the link where an end station originates a native frame, one RBridge "ingress" such a locally originated native frame by adding a TRILL Header that includes a hop count, thus converting it to a TRILL Data packet. This augmented packet is then routed to one RBridge on the link having the destination end station for the frame (or one RBridge on each such link if it is a multi-destination frame). Such final RBridges perform an "egress" function, removing the TRILL Header and delivering the original frame to its destination(s). (For the purposes of TRILL, a Layer 3 router is an end station.)

Care must be taken to avoid a loop that would involve egressing a native frame and then re-ingressing it because, while it is in native form, it would not be protected by a hop count and could loop forever. Such a loop could even, for multi-destination frames, involve multiplication of the number of frames each time around and would likely saturate all links involved within milliseconds. For TRILL, safety against such loops for a link is more important than transient loss of data connectivity on that link.

The primary TRILL defense mechanism against such loops, which is mandatory, is to assure that, as far as practically possible, there is only a single RBridge on each link where TRILL is offering end station service that is in charge of ingressing and egressing native frames from and to that link. This is the Designated RBridge that is elected using TRILL LAN Hellos as further described in Sections [2.5](#) and [4](#) below. See also [\[RFC6439\]](#).

[2.3](#) Zero or Minimal Configuration

TRILL provides connectivity and least cost paths with zero configuration. For additional services, it strives to require only minimal configuration; however, services that require configuration when offered by [\[802.1Q\]](#) bridges, such as non-default VLAN or priority, will require configuration. This differs from Layer 3 routing where routers typically need to be configured as to the subnetworks connected to each port, etc., to provide service.

[2.4](#) MTU Robustness

TRILL IS-IS needs to be robust against links with reasonably restricted MTUs, including links that accommodate only classic Ethernet frames, despite the addition of reasonable headers such as VLAN tags. Such robustness is particularly required for TRILL Hellos so as to assure correct adjacency and the election of a unique DRB on LAN links.

TRILL will also be used inside data centers where it is common for all or most of the links and switches to support frames substantially larger than the classic Ethernet maximum. For example, they may have an MTU adequate to comfortably handle Fiber Channel over Ethernet frames, for which T11 recommends a 2,500-byte MTU [\[FCoE\]](#), or even 9K byte jumbo frames. It would be beneficial for an RBridge campus with such a large MTU to be able to safely make use of it.

These needs are met by a mandatory limit on the size of TRILL Hellos and by the optional use of MTU testing as described below.

[2.5](#) Purposes of the TRILL Hello Protocol

There are three purposes for the TRILL Hello protocol. They are listed below along with a reference to the section of this document in which each is discussed:

- a) To determine which RBridge neighbors have acceptable connectivity to be reported as part of the topology ([Section 3](#))
- b) To elect a unique Designated RBridge on broadcast (LAN) link ([Section 4](#))
- c) To determine the MTU with which it is possible to safely communicate with each RBridge neighbor ([Section 5](#))

In Layer 3 IS-IS, all three of these functions are combined. Hellos

may be padded to the maximum length (see [\[RFC3719\]](#), [Section 6](#)) so that a router neighbor is not even discovered if it is impossible to communicate with it using maximum-sized Layer 3 IS-IS packets. Also, even if Hellos from a neighbor R2 are received by R1, if connectivity to R2 is not 2-way (i.e., R2 does not list R1 in R2's Hello), then R1 does not consider R2 as a Designated Intermediate System (Designated Router) candidate. Because of this logic, it is possible at Layer 3 for multiple Designated Routers to be elected on a LAN, with each representing the LAN as a pseudonode. It appears to the topology as if the LAN is now two or more separate LANs. Although this is surprising, this does not cause problems for Layer 3.

In contrast, this behavior is not acceptable for TRILL, since in TRILL it is important that all RBridges on a link know about each other, and on broadcast (LAN) links that they choose a single RBridge to be the DRB to control the native frame ingress and egress. Otherwise, multiple RBridges might ingress/egress the same native frame, forming loops that are not protected by the hop count in the TRILL header as discussed above.

The TRILL Hello protocol is best understood by focusing separately on each of these three functions listed above which we do in [Sections 3](#), [4](#), and [5](#).

One other issue with TRILL LAN Hellos is to ensure that subsets of the information can appear in any single message, and be processable, in the spirit of IS-IS Link State PDUs (LSPs) and Complete Sequence Number PDUs (CSNPs). LAN TRILL Hello packets, even though they are not padded, can become very large. An example where this might be the case is when some sort of backbone technology interconnects hundreds of TRILL sites over what would appear to TRILL to be a giant Ethernet, where the RBridges connected to that cloud will perceive that backbone to be a single link with hundreds of neighbors. Thus, the TRILL LAN Hello uses a different Neighbor TLV [\[RFC6326bis\]](#) that lists neighbors seen for a range of MAC (SNPA) addresses.

3. Adjacency State Machinery

Each RBridge port has associated with it a port state, as discussed in [Section 4](#), and a table of zero or more adjacencies (if the port is configured as point-to-point, zero or one) as discussed in this section. The states such adjacencies can have, the events that cause adjacency state changes, the actions associated with those state changes, and a state table and diagram are given below.

3.1 TRILL Hellos, Ports, and VLANs

The determination of adjacencies on links is made using TRILL Hellos (see also [Section 8](#)), an optional MTU test (see [Section 5](#)), and optionally BFD (see [Section 6](#)) and/or other connectivity tests. If the MAC (SNPA) address of more than one RBridge port on a broadcast link are the same, all but one of such ports are put in the Suspended state (see [Section 4](#)) and do not participate in the link except to monitor whether they should stay suspended. If the two ports on a point-to-point link have MAC addresses it makes no difference if they are the same. (PPP ports, for example, do not have MAC addresses [[RFC6361](#)].)

The following items MUST be the same for all TRILL Hellos issued by an RBridge on a particular Ethernet port regardless of the VLAN in which the Hello is sent:

- Source MAC address,
- Priority to be DRB,
- Desired Designated VLAN,
- Port ID, and, if included,
- BFD Enabled TLV [[RFC6213](#)] and PORT-TRILL-VER sub-TLV [[RFC6326bis](#)].

Of course, the priority, Desired Designated VLAN, and possibly the inclusion or value of the PORT-TRILL-VER sub-TLV, and/or BFD Enabled TLV can change on occasion, but then the new value(s) must similarly be used in all TRILL Hellos on the LAN port, regardless of VLAN.

On broadcast links:

Because bridges acting as glue on an Ethernet broadcast link might be configured in such a way that some VLANs are partitioned, it is necessary for RBridges to transmit Hellos on Ethernet links with multiple VLAN tags. The conceptually simplest solution may have been to have RBridges transmit up to 4,094 times as many Hellos, one with each legal VLAN ID enabled at each port, but this would obviously have deleterious performance implications. So, the

TRILL protocol specifies that if RB1 knows it is not the DRB, it

transmits its Hellos on only a limited set of VLANs. Only an RBridge that believes itself to be the DRB on a broadcast Ethernet link "sprays" its TRILL Hellos on all of its enabled VLANs at the port (with the ability to configure it to send on only a subset of those). The details are given in [\[RFC6325\], Section 4.4.3](#).

On point-to-point links:

If the link technology is VLAN sensitive, such as Ethernet, an RBridge sends TRILL Hellos only in the Desired Designated VLAN for which it is configured.

[3.2](#) Adjacency Table Entries and States

Each adjacency on broadcast links and the one possible adjacency on each point-to-point link is in one of four states. An RBridge participates in LSP synchronization at a port as long as it has one or more adjacencies out that port that are in the 2-way or Report state.

Down:

This is a virtual state for convenience in creating state diagrams and tables. It indicates that the adjacency is non-existent, and there is no entry in the adjacency table for it.

Detect:

A neighbor RBridge has been detected through receipt of a TRILL Hello but either 2-way connectivity has not been confirmed or the detection was on an Ethernet link in a VLAN other than the Designated VLAN.

2-Way:

2-way connectivity to the neighbor has been found and, if the link is Ethernet, it was found on the Designated VLAN, but some enabled test, such as MTU meeting the minimum campus requirement or BFD confirming connectivity, has not yet succeeded.

Report:

There is 2-way connectivity to the neighbor (on the Designated VLAN if an Ethernet link) and all enabled tests have succeeded including, if enabled, MTU and/or BFD testing. This state will cause adjacency to be reported in an LSP (with appropriate provision for a pseudonode, if any, as described in [Section 7](#)).

For an adjacency in any of the three non-Down states (Detect, 2-Way, or Report), there will be an adjacency table entry. That entry will

give the state of the adjacency and will also include the information

D. Eastlake, et al

[Page 11]

listed below.

- o The address, if any, of the neighbor and the Port ID and the System ID in the received Hellos. Together, these three quantities uniquely identify the adjacency on a broadcast link.
- o For a point-to-point adjacency, a single Hello holding timer. For a broadcast LAN adjacency, exactly two Hello holding timers: a Designated VLAN holding timer and a non-Designated VLAN holding timer. Each timer consisting of a 16-bit unsigned integer number of seconds.
- o If the adjacency is on a broadcast link, the 7-bit unsigned priority of the neighbor to be the DRB.
- o The 5 bytes of data from the PORT-TRILL-VER received in the most recent TRILL Hello from the neighbor RBridge.
- o The VLAN that the neighbor RBridge wants to be the Designated VLAN on the link, called the Desired Designated VLAN.
- o For an adjacency table at an RBridge that supports BFD, a flag indicating whether the last received TRILL Hello from the neighbor RBridge contained a BFD Enabled TLV (see [Section 6](#)).

3.3 Adjacency and Hello Events

The following events can change the state of an adjacency:

- A0. Receive a TRILL Hello for a broadcast LAN adjacency whose source MAC address (SNPA) is equal to that of the port on which it is received. This is a special event that cannot occur on a port configured as point-to-point and is handled as described immediately after this list of events. It does not appear in the state transition table or diagram.
- A1. Receive a TRILL Hello (other than an A0 event) such that:
 - If received on an Ethernet port, it was received in the Designated VLAN.
 - If received for a broadcast LAN adjacency, it contains a TRILL Neighbor TLV that explicitly lists the receiving port's (SNPA) address.
 - If received for a point-to-point adjacency, it contains a Three-Way Handshake TLV with the receiver's System ID and Extended Circuit ID.
- A2. Event A2 is not possible for a port configured as point-to-

point. Receive a TRILL Hello (other than an A0 event) such

that either

- The port is Ethernet and the Hello was not on the Designated VLAN (any TRILL Neighbor TLV in such a Hello is ignored), or
- The Hello does not contain a TRILL Neighbor TLV covering an address range that includes the receiver's (SNPA) address.

A3. Receive a TRILL Hello (other than an A0 event) such that:

- If received on an Ethernet port, it was received in the Designated VLAN.
- If received for a broadcast LAN adjacency, it contains one or more TRILL Neighbor TLVs covering an address range that includes the receiver's (SNPA) address and none of which lists the receiver.
- If received for a point-to-point adjacency, it contains a Three-Way Handshake TLV with either the System ID or Extended Circuit ID or both not equal to that of the receiver.

A4. Either (1) the Hello holding timer expires on a point-to-point adjacency or (2) one or both Hello holding timers expires on a broadcast LAN adjacency resulting in them both being in the expired state for that adjacency.

A5. For a broadcast LAN adjacency, the Designated VLAN Hello holding timer expires, but the non-Designated VLAN Hello holding timer still has time left until it expires. This event cannot occur for a point-to-point adjacency.

A6. MTU if enabled, BFD if enabled, and all other enabled connectivity tests successful.

A7. MTU if enabled, BFD if enabled, and all other enabled connectivity tests were successful but one or more now fail.

A8. The RBridge port goes operationally down.

For the special A0 event, the Hello is examined to determine if it is higher priority to be the DRB than the port on which it is received as described in [Section 4.2.1](#). If the Hello is of lower priority than the receiving port, it is discarded with no further action. If it is of higher priority than the receiving port, then any adjacencies for the receiving port are discarded (transitioned to the Down state), and the port is suspended as described in [Section 4.2](#).

The receipt of a TRILL Hello that is not an event A0, causes the following actions (except where the Hello would create a new adjacency table entry, the table is full, and the Hello is too low priority to displace an existing entry as described in [Section 3.6](#)).

The Designated VLAN referred to is the Designated VLAN dictated by

the DRB determined without taking the received TRILL LAN Hello into account (see [Section 4](#)) for a broadcast LAN and the local Desired Designated VLAN for a port configured as point-to-point.

- o If the receipt of a Hello creates a new adjacency table entry, the neighbor RBridge MAC (SNPA) address (if any), Port ID, and System ID are set from the Hello.
- o For a point-to-point adjacency, the Hello holding timer is set from the Holding Time field of the Hello. For a broadcast link adjacency, the appropriate Hello holding timer for that adjacency, depending on whether or not the Hello was received in the Designated VLAN, is set to the Holding Time field of the Hello and if the receipt of the LAN Hello is creating a new adjacency table entry, the other timer is set to expired.
- o For a broadcast link adjacency, the priority of the neighbor RBridge to be the DRB is set to the priority field of the LAN Hello.
- o For a broadcast link adjacency, the VLAN that the neighbor RBridge wants to be the Designated VLAN on the link is set from the Hello.
- o The five bytes of PORT-TRILL-VER data are set from that sub-TLV in the Hello or set to zero if that sub-TLV does not occur in the Hello.
- o For a broadcast link, if the creation of a new adjacency table entry or the priority update above changes the results of the DRB election on the link, the appropriate RBridge port event (D2 or D3) occurs, after the above actions, as described in [Section 4.2](#).
- o For a broadcast link adjacency, if there is no change in the DRB, but the neighbor Hello is from the DRB and has a changed Designated VLAN from the previous Hello received from the DRB, the result is a change in Designated VLAN for the link as specified in [Section 4.2.3](#).

An event A4 resulting in the adjacency transitioning to the Down state may also result in an event D3 as described in [Section 4.2](#).

Concerning events A6 and A7, if neither MTU, BFD, nor other testing is enabled, A6 is considered to occur immediately upon the adjacency entering the 2-Way state, and A7 cannot occur.

See further TRILL Hello receipt details in [Section 7](#).


3.4 Adjacency State Diagram and Table

The table below shows the transitions between the states defined above based on the events defined above:

Event	Down	Detect	2-Way	Report
A1	2-Way	2-Way	2-Way	Report
A2	Detect	Detect	2-Way	Report
A3	Detect	Detect	Detect	Detect
A4	N/A	Down	Down	Down
A5	N/A	Detect	Detect	Detect
A6	N/A	N/A	Report	Report
A7	N/A	N/A	2-Way	2-Way
A8	Down	Down	Down	Down

N/A indicates that the event to the left is Not Applicable in the state at the top of the column. These events affect only a single adjacency. The special A0 event transitions all adjacencies to Down, as explained immediately after the list of adjacency events above.

The diagram below presents the same information as that in the state table:



Any such links that have pseudonodes (see [Section 7](#)) are distinguished in the topology; such adjacencies, if they are in the Report state, appear in LSPs as per [Section 7](#). However, there can be multiple parallel adjacencies without pseudonodes because they are

point-to-point adjacencies or LAN adjacencies for which a pseudonode is not being created. Such parallel, non-pseudonode adjacencies in

the Report state appear in LSPs as a single adjacency. The cost of such an adjacency MAY be adjusted downwards to account for the parallel paths. Multipathing across such parallel connections can be freely done for unicast TRILL Data traffic on a per-flow basis but is restricted for multi-destination traffic, as described in [Section 4.5.2](#) (point 3) and [Appendix C of \[RFC6325\]](#).

3.6 Insufficient Space in Adjacency Table

If the receipt of a TRILL Hello would create a new adjacency table entry (that is, would transition an adjacency out of the Down state), there may be no space for the new entry. It is RECOMMENDED, for ports configured as point-to-point and which can thus only have zero or one adjacency not in the Down state, to reserved space for one adjacency so that this condition cannot occur.

When there is adjacency table space exhaustion, the DRB election priority (see [Section 4.2.1](#)) of the new entry that would be created is compared with that priority for the existing entries. If the new entry is higher priority than the lowest priority existing entry, it replaces the lowest priority existing entry, which is transitioned to the Down state.

4. LAN Ports and DRB State

This section specifies the DRB election process in TRILL at a broadcast (LAN) link port. Since there is no such election when a port is configured as point-to-point, this section does not apply in that case.

The information at an RBridge associated with each of its broadcast LAN ports includes the following:

- o Enablement bit, which defaults to enabled.
- o The five bytes of PORT-TRILL-VER sub-TLV data used in TRILL Hellos sent on the port.
- o SNPA address (commonly a 48-bit MAC address) of the port.
- o Port ID, used in TRILL Hellos sent on the port.
- o The Holding Time, used in TRILL Hellos sent on the port.
- o The Priority to be the DRB, used in TRILL LAN Hellos sent on the port.
- o If the port supports BFD, a BFD enabled flag that controls whether or not a BFD Enabled TLV is included in TRILL Hellos sent on the port.
- o The DRB state of the port, determined as specified below.
- o A 16-bit unsigned Suspension timer, measured in seconds.
- o The desired Designated VLAN. The VLAN this RBridge wants to be the Designated VLAN for the link out this port, used in TRILL Hellos sent on the port if the link is Ethernet.
- o A table of zero or more adjacencies (see [Section 3](#)).

4.1 Port Table Entries and DRB Election State

The TRILL equivalent of the DIS (Designated Intermediate System) on a broadcast link is the DRB or Designated RBridge. The DRB election state machinery is described below.

Each RBridge port that is not configured as point-to-point is in one of the following four DRB states:

Down:

The port is operationally down. It might be administratively disabled or down at the link layer. In this state, there will be no adjacencies for the port, and no TRILL Hellos or other TRILL IS-IS PDUs or TRILL Data packets are accepted or transmitted.

Suspended:

Operation of the port is suspended because there is a higher priority port on the link with the same MAC (SNPA) address. This is the same as the down state with the exception that TRILL Hellos are accepted for the sole purpose of determining whether to change the value of the Suspension timer for the port as described below.

DRB:

The port is the DRB and can receive and transmit TRILL Data packets.

Not DRB:

The port is deferring to another port on the link, which it believes is the DRB, but can still receive and transmit TRILL Data packets.

4.2 DRB Election Events

The following events can change the DRB state of a port. Note that this is only applicable to broadcast links. There is no DRB state or election at a port configured to be point-to-point.

- D1. Expiration of the suspension timer while the port is in the Suspended state or the enablement of the port.
- D2. The adjacency table for the port changes and there are now entries for one or more other RBridge ports on the link that appear to be higher priority to be the DRB than the local port.
- D3. The port is not Down or Suspended, and the adjacency table for the port changes, so there are now no entries for other RBridge ports on the link that appear to be higher priority to be the DRB than the local port.
- D4. Receipt of a TRILL LAN Hello with the same MAC address (SNPA) as the receiving port and higher priority to be the DRB as described for event A0.

D5. The port becomes operationally down.

Event D1 is considered to occur on RBridge boot if the port is administratively and link-layer enabled.

Event D4 causes the port to enter the Suspended state and all adjacencies for the port to be discarded (transitioned to the Down state). If the port was in some state other than Suspended, the suspension timer is set to the Holding Time in the Hello that causes event D4. If it was in the Suspended state, the suspension timer is set to the maximum of its current value and the Holding Time in the Hello that causes event D4.

4.2.1 DRB Election Details

Events D2 and D3 constitute losing and winning the DRB election at the port, respectively.

The candidates for election are the local RBridge and all RBridges with which there is an adjacency on the port in an adjacency state other than Down state. The winner is the RBridge with highest priority to be the DRB, as determined from the 7-bit priority field in that RBridge's Hellos received and the local port's priority to be the DRB field, with MAC (SNPA) address as a tiebreaker, Port ID as a secondary tiebreaker, and System ID as a tertiary tiebreaker. These fields are compared as unsigned integers with the larger magnitude being considered higher priority.

Resort to the secondary and tertiary tiebreakers should only be necessary in rare circumstances when multiple ports have the same priority and MAC (SNPA) address and some of them are not yet suspended. For example, RB1, that has low priority to be the DRB on the link, could receive Hellos from two other ports on the link that have the same MAC address as each other and are higher priority to be the DRB. One of these two ports with the same MAC address will be suspended, cease sending Hellos, and the Hello from it received by RB1 will eventually time out. But, in the meantime, RB1 can use the tiebreakers to determine which port is the DRB and thus which port's Hello to believe for such purposes as setting the Designated VLAN on the link.

4.2.2 Change in DRB

Events D2 and D3 result from a change in the apparent DRB on the link. Unnecessary DRB changes should be avoided, especially on links offering native frame service, as a DRB change will generally cause a transient interruption to native frame service.

If a change in the DRB on the link changes the Designated VLAN on an Ethernet link, the actions specified in [Section 4.2.3](#) are taken.

If an RBridge changes in either direction between being the DRB and not being the DRB at a port, this will generally change the VLANs on which Hellos are sent by that RBridge on that port as specified in [Section 4.4.3 of \[RFC6325\]](#).

4.2.3 Change in Designated VLAN

Unnecessary changes in the Designated VLAN on an Ethernet link should be avoided because a change in the Designated VLAN can cause a transient interruption to adjacency and thus to TRILL Data forwarding on the link. When practical, all RBridge ports on a link should be configured with the same Desired Designated VLAN so that, in case the winner of the DRB election changes, for any reason, the Designated VLAN will remain the same.

If an RBridge detects a change in Designated VLAN on an Ethernet link, then, for all adjacency table entries for a port to that link, the RBridge takes the following steps in the order given.

- o The non-Designated VLAN Hello Holding timer is set to the maximum of its time to expiration and the current time to expiration of the Designated VLAN Hello Holding timer.
- o The Designated VLAN Hello Holding timer is then set to expired (if necessary), and an event A5 occurs for the adjacency (see [Section 3.3](#)).

If the Designated VLAN for a link changes, this will generally change the VLANs on which Hellos are sent by an RBridge port on that link as specified in [Section 4.4.3 of \[RFC6325\]](#).

4.3 State Table and Diagram

The table below shows the transitions between the DRB states defined above based on the events defined above:

Event	Down	Suspend	DRB	Not DRB
D1	DRB	DRB	N/A	N/A
D2	N/A	N/A	Not DRB	Not DRB
D3	N/A	N/A	DRB	DRB
D4	N/A	Suspend	Suspend	Suspend


| D5 | Down | Down | Down | Down |

D. Eastlake, et al

[Page 21]

N/A indicates that the event to the left is Not Applicable in the state at the top of the column.

The diagram below presents the same information as in the state table:



5. MTU Matching

The purpose of MTU testing is to ensure that the links used in the campus topology can pass TRILL IS-IS packets, particularly LSP PDUs, at the RBridge campus MTU. The LSP PDUs generated at a TRILL switch could, as part of the flooding process, be sent over any adjacency in the campus. To assure correct operation of IS-IS, an LSP PDU must be able to reach every RBridge in the IS-IS reachable campus, which might be impossible if the PDU exceeded the MTU of a adjacency that was part of the campus topology.

An RBridge, RB1, determines the desired campus link MTU by calculating the minimum of its `originatingL1LSPBufferSize` and the `originatingL1LSPBufferSize` of other RBridges in the campus, as advertised in the link state database, but not less than 1,470 bytes. Although `originatingL1LSPBufferSize` in Layer 3 [[IS-IS](#)] is limited to the range 512 to 1,492 bytes inclusive, in TRILL it is limited to the range 1,470 to 65,535 bytes inclusive. (See Section 5 of [[RFCclear](#)].)

Although MTU testing is optional, it is mandatory for an RBridge to respond to an MTU-probe PDU with an MTU-ack PDU [[RFC6325](#)] [[RFC6326bis](#)]. Use of multicast or unicast for MTU-probe and MTU-ack is an implementation choice. However, the burden on the link is generally minimized by multicasting MTU-probes when a response from all other RBridges on the link is desired, such as when initializing or re- confirming MTU, unicasting MTU-probes when a response from a single RBridge is desired, such as one that has just been detected on the link, and unicasting all MTU-ack packets.

RB1 can test the MTU size to RB2 as described in [Section 4.3.2 of \[RFC6325\]](#). For this purpose, MTU testing is only done in the Designated VLAN. An adjacency that fails the MTU test at the campus MTU will not enter the Report state or, if the adjacency is in that state, it leaves that state. Thus, an adjacency failing the MTU test at the campus minimum MTU will not be reported by the RBridge performing the test. Since inclusion in least-cost route computation requires the adjacency to be reported by both ends, as long as the RBridge at either end of the adjacency notices the MTU failure, it will not be so used.

If it tests MTU, RB1 reports the largest size for which the MTU test succeeds or a flag indicating that it fails at the campus MTU. This report always appears with the neighbor in RB1's TRILL Neighbor TLV. RB1 MAY also report this with the adjacency in an Extended Reachability TLV in RB1's LSP. RB1 MAY choose to test MTU sizes greater than the desired campus MTU as well as the desired campus MTU.

Most types of TRILL IS-IS packets, such as LSPs, can make use of the campus MTU. The exceptions are TRILL Hellos, which must be kept

small for loop safety, and the MTU PDUs, whose size must be adjusted appropriately for the tests being performed.

6. BFD Enabled and BFD Session Bootstrap

When the adjacency between R Bridges reaches the 2-Way state, TRILL Hellos will already have been exchanged. If an R Bridge supports BFD [[RFCbfd](#)] it will have learned whether the other R Bridge has BFD enabled by whether or not a BFD Enabled TLV was included in its Hellos. In addition, TRILL Hellos include a nickname of the sending R Bridge [[RFC6326bis](#)] so that information will be available to the receiving R Bridge.

The BFD Enabled TLVs in TRILL Hellos will look like the following:

```

+---+---+---+---+
| Type=148          | (1 bytes)
+---+---+---+---+
| Length=3          | (1 bytes)
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
| RESV  |          MTID=0          | (2 bytes)
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
| NLPID=0xC0        | (1 bytes)
+---+---+---+---+

```

Type = 148 for BFD Enabled.

Length will be 3 times the number of topology and protocol entries in the TLV.

MTID is a topology ID which will be zero unless multi-topology is being supported.

NLPID is a Network Layer Protocol ID and will be 0xC0 for TRILL [[RFC6328](#)] but additional topology and protocol entries could conceivably list other NLPIDs.

An R Bridge port initiates a one-hop BFD session with another R Bridge if the following conditions are met: (1) it has BFD enabled, (2) it has an adjacency to another R Bridge in the 2-way or Report state, and (3) the Hellos it receives indicate that the other R Bridge also has BFD enabled. Either BFD was enabled on both R Bridge ports when the adjacency changed to the 2-way or Report state or the adjacency was already in 2-way or Report state and BFD was enabled on one R Bridge port when BFD had been enabled on the other or BFD was simultaneously enabled on both R Bridge ports.

In such a BFD session, BFD is encapsulated as specified in [[RFCbfd](#)]. The egress nickname to be used will have been learned from received Hellos. On a point-to-point link, the Any-R Bridge nickname [[RFCclear](#)] can also be used as egress since support of that nickname is required by support of R Bridge Channel [[RFCchannel1](#)] which is in turn required

for support of BFD over TRILL. In the rare case where, on a

broadcast link, an adjacency to/from a formerly isolated RBridge comes up to the 2-way or Report state and there is transient nickname duplication, LSP synchronization on the link will also start on achieving the 2-way or Report state and BFD bootstrapping can be delayed until the duplicate nicknames are resolved.

If a one-hop BFD session is initiated when the adjacency is in the 2-way state, the adjacency MUST NOT advance to the Report state until BFD and any other enabled connectivity test (including MTU if enabled) have succeeded, as specified in [Section 3](#).

If a one-hop BFD session is initiated when the adjacency is in the Report state, due to enablement at the RBridges, then, to minimize unnecessary topology changes, the adjacency MUST remain in the Report state unless and until the BFD session (or some other enabled connectivity test) reports failure.

7. Pseudonodes

This section only applies to broadcast links as there is no DRB and cannot be a pseudonode for a link configured as point-to-point. The Designated RBridge (DRB), determined as described above, controls whether a pseudonode will be used on a link.

If the DRB sets the bypass pseudonode bit in its TRILL LAN Hellos, the RBridges on the link (including the DRB) just directly report all their adjacencies on the LAN that are in the Report state. If the DRB does not set the bypass pseudonode bit in its TRILL Hellos, then (1) the DRB reports in its LSP its adjacency to the pseudonode, (2) the DRB sends LSPs on behalf of the pseudonode in which it reports adjacency to all other RBridges on the link where it sees that adjacency in the Report state, and (3) all other RBridges on the link report their adjacency to the pseudonode if they see their adjacency to the DRB as being in the Report state and do not report any other adjacencies on the link. Setting the bypass pseudonode bit has no effect on how LSPs are flooded on a link. It only affects what LSPs are generated.

It is anticipated that many links between RBridges will actually be point-to-point, in which case using a pseudonode merely adds to the complexity. For example, if RB1 and RB2 are the only RBridges on the link, and RB1 is DRB, then if RB1 creates a pseudonode that is used, there are 3 LSPs: for, say, RB1.25 (the pseudonode), RB1, and RB2, where RB1.25 reports connectivity to RB1 and RB2, and RB1 and RB2 each just say they are connected to RB1.25. Whereas if DRB RB1 sets the bypass pseudonode bit in its Hellos, then there will be only 2 LSPs: RB1 and RB2 each reporting connectivity to each other.

A DRB SHOULD set the bypass pseudonode bit in its Hellos if it has not seen at least two simultaneous adjacencies in the Report state since it last rebooted or was reset by network management.

8. More TRILL Hello Details

This section provides further details on the receipt, transmission, and content of TRILL Hellos. Unless otherwise stated, it applies to both LAN and point-to-point Hellos.

TRILL Hellos, like all TRILL IS-IS packets, are primarily distinguished from Layer 3 IS-IS packets on Ethernet by being sent to the All-IS-IS-RBridges multicast address (01-80-C2-00-00-41). TRILL IS-IS packets also have the L2-IS-IS Ethertype (0x22F4) and are Ethertype encoded.

Although future extensions to TRILL may include use of Level 2 IS-IS, [\[RFC6325\]](#) specifies TRILL using a single Level 1 Area using the fixed Area Address zero (see Section 4.2 of [\[RFC6326bis\]](#)).

IS-IS Layer 3 routers are frequently connected to other Layer 3 routers that are part of a different routing domain. In that case, the externalDomain flag (see [\[IS-IS\]](#)) is normally set for the port through which such a connection is made. The setting of this flag to "true" causes no IS-IS PDUs to be sent out the port and any IS-IS PDUs received to be discarded, including Hellos. RBridges operate in a different environment where all neighbor RBridges merge into a single campus. For loop safety, RBridges do not implement the externalDomain flag or implement it with the fixed value "false". They send and receive TRILL Hellos on every port that is not disabled.

8.1 Contents of TRILL Hellos

The table below lists mandatory (M) and optional (O) content TLVs for TRILL Hellos that are particularly relevant to this document, distinguishing between TRILL LAN Hellos and TRILL P2P Hellos. A "-" indicates that an occurrence would be ignored. There are additional TLVs and sub-TLVs that can occur in TRILL Hellos [\[RFC6326bis\]](#).

LAN	P2P	Numb	Content Item
---	---	----	-----
M	M	1	Area Addresses TLV with area zero only
M	M	1	MT Port Capabilities TLV containing a VLAN-FLAGS sub-TLV
0	0	0-n	Other MT Port Capability TLVs
M	-	0-n	TRILL Neighbor TLV (see Section 8.2.1)
-	M	1	Three-Way Handshake TLV
0	0	0-n	Protocols Supported TLV that MUST list the TRILL NLPID (0xC0) [RFC6328]
0	0	0-1	BFD Enabled TLV
0	0	0-1	Authentication TLV
-	-	0-n	Padding TLV, SHOULD NOT be included

A TRILL Hello MAY also contain any TLV permitted in a Layer 3 IS-IS Hello. TLVs that are unsupported/unknown are ignored.

8.2 Transmitting TRILL Hellos

TRILL Hellos are sent with the same timing as Layer 3 IS-IS Hellos [[IS-IS](#)]; however, no Hellos are sent if a port is in the Suspended or Down states or if the port is disabled.

TRILL Hello PDUs SHOULD NOT be padded and MUST NOT be sent exceeding 1,470 octets; however, a received TRILL Hello longer than 1,470 octets is processed normally.

TRILL Hello PDU headers MUST conform to the following:

- o Maximum Area Addresses equal to 1.
- o Circuit Type equal to 1.

See [Section 8.1](#) for mandatory and some optional Hello TLV contents.

8.2.1 TRILL Neighbor TLVs

A TRILL Neighbor TLV should not be include in TRILL point-to-point Hellos as it MUST be ignored in that context and wastes space.

The TRILL Neighbor TLV sent in a LAN Hello on an Ethernet link MUST show the neighbor information, as sensed by the transmitting RBridge, for the VLAN on which the Hello is sent. Since implementations conformant to this document maintain such information on a per-VLAN

basis only for the Designated VLAN, such implementations only send

the TRILL Neighbor TLV in TRILL LAN Hellos in the Designated VLAN.

It is RECOMMENDED that, if there is sufficient room, a TRILL Neighbor TLV or TLVs, as described in [Section 4.4.2.1 of \[RFC6325\]](#), covering the entire range of MAC addresses and listing all adjacencies with a non-zero Designated VLAN Hello Holding time, or an empty list of neighbors if there are no such adjacencies, be in TRILL Hellos sent on the Designated VLAN. If this is not possible, then TRILL Neighbor TLV's covering sub-ranges of MAC addresses should be sent so that the entire range is covered reasonably promptly. Delays in sending TRILL Neighbor TLVs will delay the advancement of adjacencies to the Report state and the discovery of some link failures. Rapid (for example, sub-second) detection of link or node failures is best addressed with a protocol designed for that purpose, such as BFD (see [Section 6](#)).

To ensure that any RBridge RB2 can definitively determine whether RB1 can hear RB2, RB1's neighbor list MUST eventually cover every possible range of IDs, that is, within a period that depends on RB1's policy and not necessarily within any specific period such as its Holding Time. In other words, if X1 is the smallest ID reported in one of RB1's neighbor lists, and the "smallest" flag is not set, then X1 MUST appear in a different neighbor list as well, as the largest ID reported in that fragment. Or lists may overlap, as long as there is no gap, such that some range, say between Xi and Xj, would never appear in any list.

8.3 Receiving TRILL Hellos

Assuming a packet has the L2-IS-IS Ethertype and, if received on Ethernet, the All-IS-IS-RBridges multicast address, it will be examined to see if it appears to be an IS-IS PDU. If so, and it appears to be a TRILL Hello PDU, the following tests are performed.

- o The type of Hello PDU (LAN or P2P) is compared with the port configuration. If a LAN Hello is received on a port configured to be point-to-point or a P2P Hello is received on a port not configured to be point-to-point, it is discarded.
- o If the Circuit Type field is not 1, the PDU is discarded.
- o If the PDU does not contain an Area Address TLV or it contains an Area Address TLV that is not the single Area Address zero, it is discarded.
- o If the Hello includes a Protocols Supported TLV that does not list the TRILL NLPID (0xC0), it is discarded. It is acceptable if there is no Protocols Supported TLV present.

- o If the Hello does not contain an MT Port Capabilities TLV containing a VLAN-FLAGS sub-TLV [[RFC6326bis](#)], it is discarded.
- o If the maximumAreaAddresses field of the PDU is not 1, it is discarded.
- o If IS-IS authentication is in use on the link and the PDU either has no Authentication TLV or validation of that Authentication TLV fails, it is discarded.

If none of the rules in the list above causes the packet to be discarded and it is parseable, it is assumed to be a well-formed TRILL Hello received on the link. It is treated as an event A0, A1, A2, or A3 based on the criteria listed in [Section 3.3](#).

9. Multiple Ports on the Same Broadcast Link

It is possible for an RBridge RB1 to have multiple ports on the same broadcast (LAN) link that are not in the Suspended state. It is important for RB1 to recognize which of its ports are on the same link. RB1 can detect this condition based on receiving TRILL Hello messages with the same LAN ID on multiple ports.

The DRB election is port-based (see [Section 4](#)) and only the Hellos from the elected port can perform certain functions such as dictating the Designated VLAN or whether a pseudonode will be used; however, the election also designates the RBridge with that port as DRB for the link. An RBridge may choose to load split some tasks among its ports on the link if it has more than one. [Section 4.4.4 of \[RFC6325\]](#) describes when it is safe to do so.

10. Security Considerations

This memo provides improved documentation of some aspects of the TRILL base protocol standard, particularly five aspects of the TRILL adjacency establishment and Hello protocol. It does not change the security considerations of the TRILL base protocol that are in [Section 6 of \[RFC6325\]](#).

[RFCbfd] for security considerations for BFD whose use in connection with TRILL adjacency is discussed in this document, particularly [Section 6](#).

11. IANA Considerations

This document requires no IANA Actions. RFC Editor: Please remove this section before publication.

Appendix A: Changes from [[RFC6327](#)]

This document has the following changes from [[RFC6327](#)]. It obsoletes [[RFC6327](#)].

1. Extends the TRILL Hello size limit, MTU testing, and state machine to point-to-point links.
2. Incorporates the updates to [[RFC6327](#)] from [[RFCclear](#)].
3. The bulk of [[RFC6327](#)] was written from the point of view that links between TRILL switches would be Ethernet. In fact, they could be any technology, such as PPP [[RFC6361](#)] or IP [[TrillIP](#)]. This replacement document generalizes [[RFC6327](#)] to cover such link types.
4. Includes a specification of one-hop BFD session establishment in connection with adjacency.
5. Numerous editorial changes.

Appendix Z: Change History

RFC Editor Note: Please delete this appendix before publication.

Normative References

- [IS-IS] - ISO/IEC 10589:2002, Second Edition, "Intermediate System to Intermediate System Intra-Domain Routing Exchange Protocol for use in Conjunction with the Protocol for Providing the Connectionless-mode Network Service (ISO 8473)", 2002.
- [RFC1195] - Callon, R., "Use of OSI IS-IS for routing in TCP/IP and dual environments", [RFC 1195](#), December 1990.
- [RFC2119] - Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC6213] - Hopps, C. and L. Ginsberg, "IS-IS BFD-Enabled TLV", [RFC 6213](#), April 2011.
- [RFC6325] - Perlman, R., D. Eastlake, D. Dutt, S. Gai, and A. Ghanwani, "RBriges: Base Protocol Specification", [RFC 6325](#), July 2011.
- [RFC6328] - Eastlake 3rd, D., "IANA Considerations for Network Layer Protocol Identifiers", [BCP 164](#), [RFC 6328](#), July 2011.
- [RFCbfd] - Manral, V., D. Eastlake, D. Ward, A. Banerjee, "TRILL (Transparent Interconnection of Lots of Links): Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD) Support", [draft-ietf-trill-rbridge-bfd](#), in RFC Editor's queue.
- [RFCchannel] - D. Eastlake, V. Manral, Y. Li, S. Aldrin, D. Ward, "TRILL: RBridge Channel Support", [draft-ietf-trill-rbridge-channel-08.txt](#), in RFC Editor's queue.
- [RFCclear] - Eastlake, D., M. Zhang, A. Ghanwani, V. Manral, A. Banerjee, "TRILL: Clarifications, Corrections, and Updates " [draft-ietf-trill-clear-correct](#), in RFC Editor's queue.
- [RFC6326bis] - Eastlake, D., Banerjee, A., Dutt, D., Perlman, R., and A. Ghanwani, "TRILL Use of IS-IS", [draft-ietf-isis-rfc6326bis](#), work in progress.

Informative References

- [802.1AX] - "IEEE Standard for Local and metropolitan area networks / Link Aggregation", 802.1AX-2008, 1 January 2008.
- [802.1Q] - "IEEE Standard for Local and metropolitan area networks / Media Access Control (MAC) Bridges and Virtual Bridge Local

Area Networks", 802.1Q-2011, 31 August 2011.

D. Eastlake, et al

[Page 35]

- [FCoE] - From www.t11.org discussion of "FCoE Max Size" generated from T11/09-251v1, 04/27/2009, "FCoE frame or FCoE PDU".
- [RFC3719] - Parker, J., Ed., "Recommendations for Interoperable Networks using Intermediate System to Intermediate System (IS-IS)", February 2004.
- [RFC6327] - Eastlake 3rd, D., Perlman, R., Ghanwani, A., Dutt, D., and V. Manral, "Routing Bridges (RBridges): Adjacency", [RFC 6327](#), July 2011.
- [RFC6361] - Carlson, J. and D. Eastlake 3rd, "PPP Transparent Interconnection of Lots of Links (TRILL) Protocol Control Protocol", [RFC 6361](#), August 2011.
- [RFC6439] - Perlman, R., Eastlake, D., Li, Y., Banerjee, A., and F. Hu, "Routing Bridges (RBridges): Appointed Forwarders", [RFC 6439](#), November 2011.
- [RFCfg1] - D. Eastlake, M. Zhang, P. Agarwal, R. Perlman, D. Dutt, "TRILL (Transparent Interconnection of Lots of Links): Fine-Grained Labeling", [draft-ietf-trill-fine-labeling](#), work in progress.
- [ESADI] - Zhai, H., F. Hu, R. Perlman, D. Eastlake, O. Stokes, "TRILL (Transparent Interconnection of Lots of Links): ESADI (End Station Address Distribution Information) Protocol", [draft-ietf-trill-esadi](#), work in progress.
- [TrillIP] - M. Wasserman, D. Eastlake, D. Zhang, "Transparent Interconnection of Lots of Links (TRILL) over IP", [draft-mrw-trill-over-ip](#), work in progress.

Acknowledgements

The suggestions and comments of the following are gratefully acknowledged:

Arnel Lim, Howard Yang

The acknowledgements section of [[RFC6327](#)] includes the following listed in alphabetic order: Jari Arkko, Ayan Banerjee, Les Ginsberg, Sujay Gupta, David Harrington, Pete McCann, Erik Nordmark, and Mike Shand.

Authors' Addresses

Donald E. Eastlake, 3rd
Huawei Technologies
155 Beaver Street
Milford, MA 01757 USA

Phone: +1-508-333-2270
EMail: d3e3e3@gmail.com

Radia Perlman
Intel Labs
2200 Mission College Blvd.
Santa Clara, CA 95054-1549 USA

Phone: +1-408-765-8080
EMail: Radia@alum.mit.edu

Anoop Ghanwani
Dell
350 Holger Way
San Jose, CA 95134 USA

Phone: +1-408-571-3500
EMail: anoop@alumni.duke.edu

Vishwas Manral
Hewlett Packard Co.
19111 Pruneridge Ave,
Cupertino, CA 95014 USA

Phone: +1-408-447-1497
EMail: vishwas.manral@hp.com

Copyright, Disclaimer, and Additional IPR Provisions

Copyright (c) 2013 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License. The definitive version of an IETF Document is that published by, or under the auspices of, the IETF. Versions of IETF Documents that are published by third parties, including those that are translated into other languages, should not be considered to be definitive versions of IETF Documents. The definitive version of these Legal Provisions is that published by, or under the auspices of, the IETF. Versions of these Legal Provisions that are published by third parties, including those that are translated into other languages, should not be considered to be definitive versions of these Legal Provisions. For the avoidance of doubt, each Contributor to the IETF Standards Process licenses each Contribution that he or she makes as part of the IETF Standards Process to the IETF Trust pursuant to the provisions of [RFC 5378](#). No language to the contrary, or terms, conditions or rights that differ from or are inconsistent with the rights and licenses granted under [RFC 5378](#), shall have any effect and shall be null and void, whether published or posted by such Contributor, or included with or in such Contribution.

