

AVTCore
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: August 30, 2018

R. Even
O. Idan
Huawei Technologies
February 26, 2018

Frame Priority Marking RTP Header Extension
draft-even-avtcore-priority-markings-01.txt

Abstract

This document updates the Frame Marking RTP header extension in [draft-ietf-avtext-framemarking-06](#) used to convey information about video frames that is critical for error recovery and packet forwarding in RTP middle-boxes or network nodes. The flags for frame marking for non-scalable streams include the D bit to mark a frame that can be discarded, and still provide a decodable media stream. There is also the I bit for frames that can be decoded independent of prior frames, e.g. intra-frame.

This memo adds priority values for the non-scalable streams discardable frames

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on August 30, 2018.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2018 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of

publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Requirements Notation	3
3.	Frame Priority	3
4.	IANA Considerations	3
5.	Security considerations	4
6.	Normative References	4
	Authors' Addresses	4

[1.](#) Introduction

Frame Marking RTP Header Extension [[I-D.ietf-avtext-framemarking](#)] provides a single bit for marking frames that may be discarded by a middle box for non-scalable streams. Having one bit for marking a discardable frame provides the same information to a middle box that need to drop few frames or many frames. An encoder may want to mark multiple frames as discardable but with different drop priority, allowing the middle box to discard part or all the discardable frames. The middle box can use the priority information for deciding which frames to drop.

A video stream is composed of Group of Pictures (GOP) where the GOP includes I,P and B frames. A GOP is typically bound by I frames and is 15-30,60 frames long but can vary with frame rate, content complexity and encoder implementation. There are a couple of use cases that can benefit if discard priority is available.

- o When there are contiguous non referenced B frames dropping all of them will reduce the actual frame rate. By providing different priority to each of these B frames the middle box can affect the actual frame rate. This information can be also deducted based on the number of contiguous frames but having priority will make it easier for the middle box for example when the frames are interleaved.
- o When there are referenced B frames, for example a non referenced B frame (B1) followed by a B frame (B2) referenced by B1 only. If B1 is dropped then B2 can be dropped too. By using priority B1 can have lower priority than B2.

- o Dropping a P frame that is close to the end of the GOP is also possible comparing to a P frame in the beginning of the GOP. The encoder can know when such P frame exist and mark is as discardable with lowest priority.

2. Requirements Notation

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [\[RFC2119\]](#).

3. Frame Priority

This memo adds two P bits to the RTP header extension defined in [\[I-D.ietf-avtext-framemarking\]](#) [section 3.1](#).

RTP Header Extension for non-scalable streams:

```

0                               1
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
| ID=? | L=0 |S|E|I|D|P P 0 0|
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+

```

P: Priority bits (2 bits). If the D bit is set to zero these bits MUST be zero. If the D bit is set to 1 the values 00 is the highest drop priority (this will be the case when priority is not specified) and 11 is the lowest drop priority.

The priority bits apply to a single RTP stream and a middle box MUST NOT use this information to compare discardable frames from different RTP streams.

Based on the use cases from the introduction, the priority of the non referenced B frame will be 00, the priority of the referenced B frames will be 01 and the priority of the discardable P frame will be 10. If the middle box drops the frames marked with priority 00 it can now drop the frames marked with priority 01 since they are not needed for decoding the stream.

4. IANA Considerations

There are no IANA actions

5. Security considerations

This memo does not add any security information to the ones in [\[I-D.ietf-avtext-framemarking\]](#)

6. Normative References

- [I-D.ietf-avtext-framemarking]
Berger, E., Nandakumar, S., and M. Zanaty, "Frame Marking RTP Header Extension", [draft-ietf-avtext-framemarking-06](#) (work in progress), October 2017.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.

Authors' Addresses

Roni Even
Huawei Technologies
Tel Aviv
Israel

Email: Roni.even@huawei.com

Ofer Idan
Huawei Technologies
Hod Hasharon
Israel

Email: ofer.idan@huawei.com

