Workgroup: TLS

Internet-Draft: draft-farrell-tls-pemesni-02

Published: 19 November 2021 Intended Status: Experimental

Expires: 23 May 2022 Authors: S. Farrell

Trinity College Dublin

PEM file format for ECH

Abstract

Encrypted ClientHello (ECH) key pairs need to be configured into TLS servers, some of which can be built with different TLS libraries, so there is a benefit and little cost in documenting a file format to use for these, similar to how RFC7468 defines other PEM file formats.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 23 May 2022.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2021 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents

(https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

Table of Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Terminology
- 3. ECHConfig file
- 4. Security Considerations
- 5. Acknowledgements
- 6. IANA Considerations
- 7. Normative References

<u>Appendix A. Changes</u> Author's Address

1. Introduction

Encrypted ClientHello (ECH) [I-D.ietf-tls-esni] for TLS1.3 [RFC8446] defines a confidentiality mechanism for server names and other ClientHello content in TLS. That requires publication of an ECHConfigList data structure in an HTTPS or SVCB RR [I-D.ietf-dnsop-svcb-https] in the DNS. An ECHConfigList can contain one or more ECHConfig values. An ECHConfig structure contains the public component of a key pair that will typically be periodically (re-)generated by some key manager for a TLS server. TLS servers then need to be configured to use these key pairs, and given that various TLS servers can be built with different TLS libraries, there is a benefit in having a standard format for ECH key pairs, just as was done with [RFC7468].

[[This idea could: a) wither on the vine, b) be published as it's own RFC, or c) end up as a PR for [I-D.ietf-tls-esni]. There is no absolute need for this to be in the RFC that defines ECHO, so (b) seems feasible if there's enough interest, hence this draft. The source for this is in https://github.com/sftcd/pemesni/ PRs are welcome there too.]]

2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

3. ECHConfig file

The public and private keys MUST both be PEM encoded. The file contains the catenation of the PEM encoding of the private key followed by the PEM encoding of the public key as an ECHConfigList containing exactly one ECHConfig. The private key MUST be encoded as a PKCS#8 PrivateKey. The public key MUST be the base64 encoded form of an ECHConfigList value that can also be published in the DNS. The

string "ECHCONFIG" MUST be used in the PEM file delimiter for the public key.

There MUST only be one key pair in each file even if a server publishes multiple public keys in the DNS in one ECHConfigList structure.

Figure 1 shows an example ECHConfig PEM File

```
-----BEGIN PRIVATE KEY-----
MC4CAQAWBQYDK2VuBCIEICjd4yGRdsoP9gU7YT7My8DHx1Tjme8GYDXr0MCi8v1V
-----END PRIVATE KEY-----
-----BEGIN ECHCONFIG-----
AD7+DQA65wAgACA8wVN2Btsc0l3vQheUzHeIkVmKIiydUhDCliA4iyQRCwAEAAEA
AQALZXhhbXBsZS5jb20AAA==
-----END ECHCONFIG-----
```

Figure 1: Example ECHConfig PEM file

4. Security Considerations

Storing cryptographic keys in files leaves them vulnerable should anyone get shell access to the TLS server machine. So: Don't let that happen:-)

5. Acknowledgements

TBD, as needed

6. IANA Considerations

There are none so this section can be deleted later.

7. Normative References

- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC
 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174,
 May 2017, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174.

[RFC8446]

Rescorla, E., "The Transport Layer Security (TLS)
Protocol Version 1.3", RFC 8446, DOI 10.17487/RFC8446,
August 2018, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8446>.

Appendix A. Changes

```
From -01 to -02:
    *ECHO -> ECH
From -00 to -01:
    *ESNI -> ECHO
```

Author's Address

Stephen Farrell
Trinity College Dublin
Dublin
2
Ireland

Phone: +353-1-896-2354

Email: stephen.farrell@cs.tcd.ie