IGMP-based Multicast Forwarding (``IGMP Proxying'')

Status of this Memo

Abstract

    In certain topologies, it is not necessary to run a multicast rout-
    ing protocol. It is sufficient to learn group membership informa-
    tion and simply forward based upon that information. This draft
    describes a mechanism for forwarding based solely upon IGMP member-
    ship information.

This document is a product of an individual. Comments are solicited and
should be addressed to the author.

1. Introduction

This document applies spanning tree multicast routing[Deering91] to an
IGMP-only environment. The topology is limited to a tree, since we
specify no protocol to build a spanning tree over a more complex topol-
ogy. The root of the tree is assumed to be connected to a wider multi-
cast infrastructure.

1.1. Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT",
"SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this
document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [Bradner97].

2. Definitions

2.1. Upstream Interface

   A router's interface in the direction of the root of the tree.
   Also called the "Host interface".

2.2. Downstream Interface

   Each of a router's interfaces that is not in the direction of the
   root of the tree. Also called the "Router interfaces".

2.3. Membership Database

   The database maintained at each router into which the membership
   information of each of its downstream interfaces is merged.

2.4. Subscription

   When using IGMPv2, a group membership on an interface. When using
   IGMPv3, an IGMPv3 state entry (i.e. a (multicast address, group
   timer, filter-mode, source-element list) tuple) on an interface.

3. Abstract protocol definition

A router performing IGMP-based forwarding has a single upstream inter-
face and one or more downstream interfaces. These designations are
explicitly configured; there is no protocol to determine what type each
interface is. It performs the router portion of the IGMP[Fenner97] pro-
tocol on its downstream interfaces, and the host portion of IGMP on its
upstream interface. The router MUST NOT perform the router portion of
IGMP on its upstream interface.

The router maintains a database consisting of the merger of all sub-
scriptions on any downstream interface. When using IGMPv2, this is a
simple union of all group memberships received. When using IGMPv3, the
subscriptions are merged using the rules given in the IGMPv3 specifica-
tion[CDT99] for merging multiple memberships heard on a single inter-
face.

The router sends IGMP membership reports on the upstream interface when
queried, and sends unsolicited reports or leaves when the database
changes.

When the router receives a packet destined for a multicast group, it
builds a list consisting of the upstream interface and any downstream
interface which has a subscription pertaining to this packet and on
which it is the IGMP Querier. It removes the interface on which this
packet arrived from the list and forwards the packet to the remaining
interfaces.

Note that the rule that a router must be the querier in order to forward
packets restricts the IP addressing scheme used; in particular, the
IGMP-based forwarding routers must be given the lowest IP addresses of
any potential IGMP Queriers on the link, in order to win the IGMP
Querier election. If another device wins the IGMP Querier election, no
packets will flow.

This rule "piggy-backs" forwarder election on IGMP Querier election; it
is necessary for links which multiple IGMP-based forwarders consider to
be downstream. On a link with only one IGMP-based forwarding router,
this rule MAY be disabled (i.e. the router MAY be configured to forward
packets to an interface on which it is not the querier). However, the
default configuration MUST include the querier rule.

Note that this does not protect against an "upstream loop," where one
router's upstream interface is considered to be another's downstream
interface and vice versa. A spanning-tree algorithm is required to
resolve loops like this.

[4](4). Router Behavior

This section describes an IGMP-based multicast forwarding router's
actions in more detail.

[4.1](4.1). Membership Database maintenance

The router performs the router portion of the IGMP protocol on each
downstream interface. The output of this protocol is a set of subscrip-
tions; this set is maintained separately on each downstream interface.
In addition, the subscriptions on each downstream interface are merged

into the membership database.

When using IGMPv2, the membership database simply contains the union of all subscriptions on downstream interfaces. When using IGMPv3, the merging rules for multiple memberships on a single interface specified in the IGMPv3 specification[CDT99] are used to merge all subscriptions on downstream interfaces to create the membership database.

When the composition of the membership database changes (e.g. the first downstream member joins or the last downstream member leaves, or a down-stream member changes its IGMPv3 source subscriptions), the change in the database is reported on the upstream interface as though this router were a host performing the action. For example, when an IGMPv2 group member first appears on a downstream interface and the router is per-forming IGMPv2 on its upstream interface, the router sends [Robustness Interval] IGMPv2 reports on the upstream interface.

4.2. Forwarding Packets

A router forwards packets received on its upstream interface to each downstream interface based upon the downstream interface's subscriptions and whether or not this router is the IGMP Querier on each interface. A router forwards packets received on any downstream interface to the upstream interface, and to each downstream interface other than the incoming interface based upon the downstream interfaces' subscriptions and whether or not this router is the IGMP Querier on each interface. A router MAY use a forwarding cache in order not to make this decision for each packet, but MUST update the cache using these rules any time any of the information used to build it changes.

5. Security Considerations

(flesh these out)

-    (malicious) Querier election can cause black holes

6. References

Bradner97   Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate
                Requirement Levels", RFC 2119/BCP 14, Harvard University,
                March 1997.

CDT99   Cain, B., S. Deering and A. Thyagarajan, "Internet Group
                Management Protocol, Version 3". Work in progress.

Deering91   Deering, S., "Multicast Routing in a Datagram Internet-
                work", Ph.D. Thesis, Stanford University, December 1991.

Fenner97   Fenner, W. ``Internet Group Management Protocol, Version
                  2'', RFC2236, Xerox PARC, November 1997.

7. Author's Address

     William C. Fenner
     AT&T Labs - Research
     75 Willow Rd
     Menlo Park, CA 94025
     Phone: +1 650 330 7893
     Email: fenner@research.att.com