Network Working Group Internet-Draft Expires: May 18, 2005

# A Format for IPv6 Scope Zone Identifiers in Literal URIs draft-fenner-literal-zone-00

Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft and is subject to all provisions of <u>section 3 of RFC 3667</u>. By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she become aware will be disclosed, in accordance with RFC 3668.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <a href="http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt">http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt</a>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <a href="http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html">http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html</a>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on May 18, 2005.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2004).

#### Abstract

This document specifies the format to be used when specifying a zone identifier with a literal IPv6 address in URIs and IRIs. While this combination is expected to be needed rarely, it is important to specify the exact syntax.

Fenner & DuerstExpires May 18, 2005[Page 1]

## **1**. Introduction

RFC 2396bis [RFC2396bis] defines the IPv6address production for the rare case that a literal IPv6 address is required in a URI. IRIs [duerst-iri] copy this syntax. The IPv6 Scoping Architecture [<u>ipv6-scoping-arch</u>] describes the syntax for specifying a zone ID to disambiguate an ambiguous scoped address. Unfortunately, the IPv6address production does not permit the format including the zone ID, so this document defines a method to specify a zone ID with a literal IPv6 address.

### 2. Format

The IPvFuture production in URIs and IRIs was created to allow for flexibility in defining new IP address formats. We use this flexibility in this format, to add a previously unanticipated address format for IPv6. Therefore, strings matching this grammar also match the IPvFuture production in URIs and IRIs. While the form specified in the IPv6 Scoping Architecture [<u>ipv6-scoping-arch</u>]uses a percent ("%") to separate the zone ID from the address, this form separates the zone ID from the address using an underscore ("\_"), to avoid the special meaning of the percent ("%") in URIs.

; An address matching IPv6scoped-literal also matches ; the URI/IRI spec's IP-literal with IPvFuture IPv6scoped-literal = "[v6." IPv6scoped-address "]" IPv6scoped-address = IPv6address "\_" IPv6zone-id IPv6zone-id = 1\*( unreserved / sub-delims / ":" )

## **2.1** Tradeoffs

- o Use \_ or Z or some other character as separator. Pro:
  - + Fits current ABNF.
  - + Doesn't require confusing percent-encoding.

Con:

- + Have to remember different separator.
- + Can't copy and paste from other forms. (But that is the case also for percent-encoding, which usually doesn't happen automatically.)

Issues:

- + Zone ID is currently loosely specified in scoping-arch; in order to fit this grammar it needs to be tighter.
- + Should "\_" (or whatever delimiter) be allowed in the zone ID? ("No" complicates the ABNF)
- + Can a scoping-arch revision change the character in use? It could suggest that "\_" can be used as an alternative to "%".
- o Use %25 as an encoded %, the scoping-arch separator.

Pro:

+ "%" is the same character.

Con:

- + "%25" is confusing.
- + Can't copy and paste from other forms where the % is not encoded. (But that is the case also when using a different character for the separator.)
- + IPvFuture ABNF doesn't permit percent-encoded characters.

[Page 3]

Issues:

+ Would need to change the IPvFuture grammar in URI and IRI specs to permit percent-encoded characters.

### **<u>3</u>**. IANA Considerations

This document makes no request of IANA.

Note to RFC Editor: this section may be removed on publication as an RFC.

# **<u>4</u>**. Security Considerations

RFC 2396bis [<u>RFC2396bis</u>] describes security considerations for URIs; this specification does not add any new security considerations.

## 5. Acknowledgements

Margaret Wasserman first noticed that the original literal IPv6 form didn't support zone IDs. This document was created based on discussions between Steve Bellovin, Brian Carpenter, Roy Fielding, Ted Hardie, Larry Masinter, and Thomas Narten.

## **6** Normative References

[RFC2234] Crocker, D. and P. Overell, "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", <u>RFC 2234</u>, November 1997.

## [RFC2396bis]

Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R. and L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax", draft-fielding-uri-rfc2396bis-07 (work in progress), September 2004.

### [duerst-iri]

Duerst, M. and M. Suignard, "Internationalized Resource Identifiers (IRIs)", draft-duerst-iri-10 (work in progress), September 2004.

[ipv6-scoping-arch]

Deering, S., "IPv6 Scoped Address Architecture", <u>draft-ietf-ipv6-scoping-arch-02</u> (work in progress), August 2004.

Authors' Addresses

Bill Fenner AT&T Labs -- Research 75 Willow Rd Menlo Park, California 94025 USA

Phone: +1 650-330-7893 EMail: fenner@research.att.com

Fenner & DuerstExpires May 18, 2005[Page 7]

Martin Duerst World Wide Web Consortium 5322 Endo Fujisawa, Kanagawa 252-8520 Japan

Phone: +81 466 49 1170 EMail: duerst@w3.org

IPv6 Scope Zones in Literal URIs November 2004 Internet-Draft

Intellectual Property Statement

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in <u>BCP 78</u> and <u>BCP 79</u>.

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at http://www.ietf.org/ipr.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.

#### Disclaimer of Validity

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

#### Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2004). This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in BCP 78, and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

### Acknowledgment

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.

[Page 9]