Network Working Group Internet-Draft

Intended status: Standards Track

Expires: September 24, 2009

A. Forte H. Schulzrinne Columbia University March 23, 2009

Policy for defining new service-identifying labels draft-forte-ecrit-service-urn-policy-00.txt

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html.

This Internet-Draft will expire on September 24, 2009.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2009 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents in effect on the date of publication of this document (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info). Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document.

Abstract

In order to provide location-based services, descriptive terms for services need to be defined. This document updates the policy for defining new service-identifying labels.

Internet-Draft	Service URN Policy	March 2009
----------------	--------------------	------------

Table of Contents

<u>1</u> .	Introduction	3
<u>2</u> .	Requirements notation	3
<u>3</u> .	IANA Considerations	3
<u>3</u> .	<u>.1</u> . New Service-Identifying Labels	3
<u>4</u> .	Security Considerations	3
<u>5</u> .	References	3
Auth	nors' Addresses	3

1. Introduction

Nowadays location-based services are widespread. Devices can detect a user location and retrieve all available services in the sourroundings of that location. A particular service can be described by one or multiple terms such as "restaurant", "parking" and "ATM machine". All such terms, however, need to be formally defined so that a registry can be built and used to assure consistency and compatibility between devices and between service providers. Since descriptive terms for services are almost unbounded, such registry would contain the most common terms. In this document we update the policy for defining new terms, that is new service-identifying labels.

2. Requirements notation

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

3. IANA Considerations

3.1. New Service-Identifying Labels

This document updates <u>Section 4.1 of [RFC5031]</u> in that the policy for adding top-level service labels is "Expert Review". The expert is designated by the RAI Area Director.

4. Security Considerations

This document does not raise security issues.

5. References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, March 1997.

Authors' Addresses

Andrea G. Forte Columbia University Department of Computer Science 1214 Amsterdam Avenue, MC 0401 New York, NY 10027 USA

Email: andreaf@cs.columbia.edu

Henning Schulzrinne Columbia University Department of Computer Science 1214 Amsterdam Avenue, MC 0401 New York, NY 10027 USA

Email: hgs@cs.columbia.edu