

Internet Engineering Task Force
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: October 10, 2012

T. Fossati
KoanLogic
April 8, 2012

Multipart Media Type Encoding for CoAP
draft-fossati-core-multipart-ct-00

Abstract

This memo defines a new media type encoding that can be used to combine several different media types into a single CoAP message-body.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on October 10, 2012.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2012 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	3
1.1.	Requirements Language	3
2.	Multipart Media Type Encoding	3
3.	Length Encoding	3
3.1.	Short	4
3.2.	Extended	4
3.3.	Constraints	4
3.4.	Examples	4
4.	IANA Considerations	5
5.	Security Considerations	5
6.	Normative References	5
	Author's Address	5

1. Introduction

This memo defines a new media type encoding that can be used to combine several different media types into a single CoAP message-body.

1.1. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [\[RFC2119\]](#).

2. Multipart Media Type Encoding

Multipart encoding uses multiple adjacent frames each of which represents a single media. Every frame can further be broken in three logical pieces: the type of the framed media (T), its length in bytes (L), and the media payload itself (V) as depicted in the following figure.

```

,----- multi part -----
+-----+-----+-----+      +-----+-----+-----+
| T[0] | L[0] | V[0] | ... | T[n] | L[n] | V[n] |
+-----+-----+-----+      +-----+-----+-----+
`----- part 0 -----'      `----- part n -----'

```

The semantics associated to the TLV atoms is as follows:

T: is one of the numeric media type identifiers defined in the CoAP Media Type registry (Section 11.3 of [\[I-D.ietf-core-coap\]](#)), and is encoded as a 16-bit uint (Appendix A of [\[I-D.ietf-core-coap\]](#)).

L: is the length in bytes of the following V frame, and has two possible encodings: short or extended (see [Section 3](#)). It determines the offset of the next part, or the end of the multipart representation when applied to the last frame.

V: is the media, encoded as implied by the preceeding T field.

3. Length Encoding

Two different encodings are defined for the L value: short for parts where $\text{length}(V)$ measured in bytes is in range $[0, 32767]$; extended for parts with $\text{length}(V)$ in range $(32767, 2^{127}-1]$.

[illegible]

4. IANA Considerations

The following entry is added to the CoAP Media Type registry:

.-----.		
Number	Name	Reference
:-----:-----:-----:		
n	Multipart	RFC XXXX
`-----`		

When used as the payload in a CoAP message, one Content-Type option MUST be present and set to n.

5. Security Considerations

The extended encoding may trigger insanely huge buffer allocations on the receiving party. Receivers of multipart media SHOULD put a cap on the maximum allowed size of the whole Multipart. A CoAP server MAY respond with a 4.13 (Request Entity Too Large) status code to such requests, and refuse to proceed further (e.g. processing more blocks).

A CoAP client can't tell if a 4.15 status code applies to the whole Multipart or just to one of its parts. An attacker may leverage on this ambiguity to craft application specific attacks (e.g. cause downgraded behavior). Applications built on top of Multipart need to handle such eventuality in a safe way.

6. Normative References

- [I-D.ietf-core-coap]
Frank, B., Bormann, C., Hartke, K., and Z. Shelby,
"Constrained Application Protocol (CoAP)",
[draft-ietf-core-coap-08](#) (work in progress), October 2011.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.

Author's Address

Thomas Fossati
KoanLogic
Via di Sabbiano, 11/5
Bologna 40100
Italy

Email: tho@koanlogic.com