TOC

Sieve Working Group	N. Freed
Internet-Draft	Oracle
Intended status: Standards Track	May 26, 2010
Expires: November 27, 2010	

Sieve Email Filtering: Delivery Status Notifications and Deliver-By Extensions

draft-freed-sieve-notary-09

Abstract

This document describes the "envelope-dsn", "redirect-dsn", "envelope-deliverby", and "redirect-deliverby" extensions to the Sieve email filtering language. The "envelope-dsn" and "envelope-deliverby" extensions provide access to additional envelope information provided by the delivery status notification and deliver-by SMTP extensions, respectively. The "redirect-dsn" and "redirect-deliverby" extensions extend Sieve's redirect action to provide control over delivery status notification and deliver-by parameters, respectively.

Change History (to be removed prior to publication as an RFC

Correct RFC 2852 section reference.

Removed some extraneous [characters from a couple of figures.

Clarified orcpt decoding.

Changed the ABNF for notary values to disallow spaces.

Fixed several typos.

Changed name of extension from notary to envelope-dsn.

Added the redirect-dsn extension.

Updated references.

Added a note about the use of ADDRESS-PART arguments with the new envelope-part strings defined by the envelope-dsn extension.

Fleshed out the redirect-dsn extension.

Changed document title to agree with new extension names.

Added some examples.

Fixed more typos.

Changed dsn-envelope and dsn-redirect to envelope-dsn and redirect-dsn, respectively.

Added a redirect-dsn example.

Added the two deliver-by extensions.

Added requirements text regarding envelope sender address selection.

Added various clarifications about negative by-time values.

Added a pointer to the conventions section to where Sieve errors are discussed.

Clearified test failure text in both envelope extensions.

Addeed the ability to deal with by-times as either absolute ISO 8601 or relative integer values.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on November 27, 2010.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2010 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Conventions used in this document
- 3. Capability Identifiers
- 4. Envelope-dsn Extension
 - 4.1. Examples
- <u>5.</u> Envelope-deliverby Extension
 - <u>5.1.</u> Examples
- 6. redirect-dsn extension
 - 6.1. MAIL FROM address selection
 - <u>6.2.</u> Example
- 7. redirect-deliverby extension
 - 7.1. MAIL FROM address selection
 - 7.2. Example
- <u>8.</u> Security Considerations
- 9. IANA Considerations
- 10. References

10.1. Normative references
10.2. Informative references
Appendix A. Acknowledgements
Author's Address

1. Introduction TOC

Sieve [RFC5228] (Guenther, P. and T. Showalter, "Sieve: An Email Filtering Language," January 2008.) is a language for filtering email messages at or around the time of final delivery. It is designed to be implementable on either a mail client or mail server. It is suitable for running on a mail server where users may not be allowed to execute arbitrary programs, such as on black box Internet Message Access Protocol [RFC3501] (Crispin, M., "INTERNET MESSAGE ACCESS PROTOCOL -<u>VERSION 4rev1," March 2003.</u>) servers, as it has no user-controlled loops or the ability to run external programs. The base sieve specification defines the envelope extension and test to access information in the message envelope. Only information available in regular SMTP [RFC5321] (Klensin, J., "Simple Mail Transfer Protocol, "October 2008.) is provided; additional information added to the SMTP envelope by SMTP extensions cannot be accessed. The "envelope-dsn" extension extends the envelope test to allow access to the additional envelope fields defined by the SMTP extension for delivery status notification specified in RFC 3461 (Moore, K., "Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP) Service Extension for Delivery Status Notifications (DSNs), " January 2003.) [RFC3461]. The "envelopedeliverby" extension extends the envelope test to allow access to the additional envelope fields defined by the deliver-by SMTP extension defined in [RFC2852] (Newman, D., "Deliver By SMTP Service Extension," June 2000.).

The base sieve specification also defines the redirect action, which sends the message to a different address. Redirect only allows specification of the new recipient address. The "redirect-dsn" extension extends redirect to allow specification of some fields defined by the delivery status notification SMTP extension. "redirect-deliverby" in turn provides the ability to set a time limit for delivery as specified in RFC 2852 (Newman, D., "Deliver By SMTP Service Extension," June 2000.) [RFC2852].

2. Conventions used in this document

TOC

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this

document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119] (Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels," March 1997.).

The terms used to describe the various components of the Sieve language are taken from Section 1.1 of [RFC5228] (Guenther, P. and T. Showalter, "Sieve: An Email Filtering Language," January 2008.). The nature and handling of Sieve errors is described in Section 2.10.6.

This document uses the ABNF notation specified in [RFC5234] (Crocker, D. and P. Overell, "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF," January 2008.), and refers to the ABNF productions notify-esmtp-value defined in Section 4.1 of [RFC3461] (Moore, K., "Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP) Service Extension for Delivery Status Notifications (DSNs)," January 2003.).

3. Capability Identifiers

TOC

The capability strings associated with the extensions defined in this document are "envelope-dsn", "redirect-dsn", "envelope-deliverby", and "redirect-deliverby".

4. Envelope-dsn Extension

TOC

The "envelope-dsn" extension does not define any new tests or actions, rather, it adds four values to the list of possible (case-insensitive) envelope-part strings defined in Section 5.4 of [RFC5228] (Guenther, P. and T. Showalter, "Sieve: An Email Filtering Language," January 2008.):

notify - Match the list of notification conditions, or NOTIFY values, associated with the TO address used in the SMTP RCPT TO command that resulted in this message getting delivered to this user. More than one notification condition can be in effect at once; each condition that is in effect is tested separately and any match causes the test to succeed. The syntax and semantics of the NOTIFY parameter are defined in Section 4.1 of RFC 3461 (Moore, K., "Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP) Service Extension for Delivery Status Notifications (DSNs),"

January 2003.) [RFC3461] . Currently the possible notification condition values are "NEVER", "SUCCESS", "FAILURE" and "DELAY". Note that the value "NEVER" is never combined with any other value.

orcpt - Match the original recipient, or ORCPT, value associated with the TO address used in the SMTP RCPT TO command that resulted in this message getting delivered to this user, with xtext encoding removed. The syntax and semantics of the ORCPT parameter are defined in Section 4.2 of <u>RFC 3461 (Moore, K., "Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP) Service Extension for Delivery Status Notifications (DSNs)," January 2003.)</u> [RFC3461].

ret - Match the return of content, or RET, value given in the SMTP MAIL FROM command. The syntax and semantics of the RET parameter are defined in Section 4.3 of RFC 3461 (Moore, K., "Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP) Service Extension for Delivery Status Notifications (DSNs)," January 2003.) [RFC3461]. The possible return of content values are "FULL" and "HDRS".

envid - Match the envelope identifier, or ENVID, value in decoded form given in the SMTP MAIL FROM command. The syntax and semantics of the ENVID parameter are defined in Section 4.4 of RFC 3461 (Moore, K., "Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP) Service Extension for Delivery Status Notifications (DSNs)," January 2003.) [RFC3461].

The envelope test fails unconditionally for each of these envelope-part strings if the specified envelope parameter does not exist for the current message or recipient.

The envelope test's ADDRESS-PART argument assumes the string being tested has the syntax of an email address. None of the new envelope parts defined here have address syntax, accordingly, it is an error to specify an ADDRESS-PART argument in conjunction with these new envelope parts.

The "relational" extension [RFC5231] (Segmuller, W. and B. Leiba, "Sieve Email Filtering: Relational Extension," January 2008.) adds a match type called ":count". The count of an envelope test with an envelope-part of "orcpt", "ret", and "envid" is 1 if the corresponding SMTP parameter is present and 0 otherwise. The count of an envelope test with an envelope-part of "notify" is equal to the number of notification conditions specified and 0 if the NOTIFY parameter is not present.

4.1. Examples TOC

The fact that the NOTIFY envelope parameter is multivalued and the notify envelope-part turns this into a list of values makes it easy to check to see if a given value is present without having to worry about other values:

```
require ["envelope", "envelope-dsn"];
    # Check whether SUCCESS notifications were requested,
    # irrespective of any other requests that were made
    if envelope "notify" "SUCCESS"
         # do whatever
    }
Checking to see if a given request is the only one present is a little
trickier, however:
     require ["envelope", "envelope-dsn", "relational",
              "comparator-i;ascii-numeric"];
    # Check whether only FAILURE notifications were requested
    if allof (envelope "notify" "FAILURE",
                envelope :comparator "i;ascii-numeric"
                         :count "eq" "notify" "1"
              )
    {
         # do whatever
    }
The orcpt envelope-part always contains an address type indicator
prefix in addition to an address, which must be taken into account in
any tests:
     require ["envelope", "envelope-dsn"];
    # See if the orcpt is an RFC822 address in the example.com
    # domain
    if envelope :matches "orcpt" "rfc822; *@example.com"
         # do whatever
    }
```

5. Envelope-deliverby Extension

TOC

The "envelope-deliverby" extension does not define any new tests or actions, rather, it adds four values to the list of possible (case-insensitive) envelope-part strings defined in Section 5.4 of [RFC5228] (Guenther, P. and T. Showalter, "Sieve: An Email Filtering Language," January 2008.) and an optional :zone tagged argument. This updates the usage description for envelope to:

Usage: envelope [COMPARATOR] [ADDRESS-PART]

[MATCH-TYPE] [:zone <time-zone: string>]

<envelope-part: string-list>
<key-list: string-list>

These new envelope parts correspond to the new MAIL FROM parameters defined in Section 4 of <a href="https://example.com/recorder-new-mailto-ne

bytimeabsolute - Match the current value of the initial integer part of the deliver-by extension's BY parameter on the SMTP MAIL FROM command, converted into an absolute time represented in restricted ISO 8601 format. The restricted ISO 8601 format is specified by the date-time ABNF production given in [RFC3339] (Klyne, G., Ed. and C. Newman, "Date and Time on the Internet: Timestamps," July 2002.), Section 5.6, with the added restrictions that the letters "T" and "Z" MUST be in upper case, and a time zone offset of zero MUST be represented by "Z" and not "+00:00".

bytimerelative - Match the current value of the initial integer part of the deliver-by extension's BY parameter specified in the SMTP MAIL FROM command.

bymode - Match a string computed from the by-mode part of the deliver-by extension's BY parameter. The possible values are "notify" and "return", which correspond to the BY parameter mode specifier characters "N" and "R" respectively.

bytrace - Match the trace modifier computed from the by-trace modifier on the deliver-by extension's BY parameter. The possible values are "trace" and "" (the empty string). These values correspond to the presence or absence of the by-trace "T" modifier respectively.

The envelope test fails unconditionally for each of these envelope-part strings if the BY SMTP MAIL FROM parameter does not exist for the current message or recipient.

The new :zone argument specifies a time zone offset string that any bytimeabsolute value is to be shifted to prior to testing. :zone has no effect on envelope-parts other than bytimeabsolute. The value of time zone offset string MUST be an offset relative to UTC with the following syntax:

```
time-zone = ("+" / "-") 4DIGIT
```

The "+" or "-" indicates whether the time-of-day is ahead of (i.e., east of) or behind (i.e., west of) UTC. The first two digits indicate the number of hours difference from Universal Time, and the last two

digits indicate the number of minutes difference from Universal Time. Note that this agrees with the RFC 2822 format for time zone offsets, not the ISO 8601 format. The local time zone MUST be used for bytimeabsolute if the :zone argument is omitted.

The envelope test's ADDRESS-PART argument assumes the string being tested has the syntax of an email address. None of the new envelope parts defined here have address syntax, accordingly, it is an error to specify an ADDRESS-PART argument in conjunction with these new envelope parts.

The "relational" extension [RFC5231] (Segmuller, W. and B. Leiba, "Sieve Email Filtering: Relational Extension," January 2008.) adds a match type called ":count". The count of an envelope test with an envelope-part of "bytime", "bymode", and "bytrace" is 1 if the BY parameter is present and 0 otherwise.

It is important to note that the deliver-by by-time is decremented as the message passes through the transport infrastructure. Accordingly, it is not possible to tell what the message originator set the value to, only the amount of time remaining at the moment the sieve is run. Additionally, note that bytimerelative values can be negative, making it necessary to either perform additional checks or else use a comparator which, unlike i;ascii-numeric, is capable of handling signed integers.

5.1. Examples TOC

As noted above, this extension does not provide access to the originator's initial by-time setting for the simple reason that this information is not part of the envelope. It can, however, be used to check and see if the message was delivered within the allotted time. Note the additional check to see if the value is negative.

This operation can be done more simply if the date [RFC5270] (Jang, H., Jee, J., Han, Y., Park, S., and J. Cha, "Mobile IPv6 Fast Handovers over IEEE 802.16e Networks," June 2008.) and variables [RFC5229]

```
(Homme, K., "Sieve Email Filtering: Variables Extension," January 2008.) extensions are available:
```

Note that there is no need to force the use of a particular time zone since both currentdate and and the bytimeabsolute value are required to default to the local time zone. A similar check could be written using :zone if the action taken depends on the time

6. redirect-dsn extension

TOC

The "redirect-dsn" extension does not define any new tests or actions, rather, it adds two new arguments, NOTIFY and RET, to the redirect action defined in Section 4.2 of [RFC5228] (Guenther, P. and T. Showalter, "Sieve: An Email Filtering Language," January 2008.). This updates the usage description for redirect to:

The syntax for the NOTIFY and RET arguments are:

```
NOTIFY = ":notify" notify-value
notify-value = DQUOTE ("NEVER" / notify-esmtp-list) DQUOTE
notify-esmtp-list = notify-list-element *("," notify-list-element)
RET = ":ret" ret-value
ret-value = DQUOTE ("FULL" / "HDRS") DQUOTE
```

The notify-list-element production is defined in Section 4.1 of [RFC3461] (Moore, K., "Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP) Service Extension for Delivery Status Notifications (DSNs)," January 2003.). When these arguments are specified, they set the corresponding NOTIFY ESMTP RCPT TO and RET ESMTP MAIL FROM parameters, respectively. These arguments are only honored if the delivery status notification (DSN) ESMTP extension is available. When the DSN extension is not available, these arguments MUST be ignored and MUST NOT cause an error.

6.1. MAIL FROM address selection

TOC

RFC 5228 does not require any particular envelope sender address be associated with redirected messages. However, the redirect-dsn extension isn't terribly useful if the place where the delivery status notifications are sent isn't known. Accordingly, when either :notify or :ret is specified and the envelope sender address isn't empty, implementations MUST set the envelope sender address to the address of the sieve owner.

6.2. Example TOC

One possible use of :notify on redirect is to combine the copy extension [RFC3894] (Degener, J., "Sieve Extension: Copying Without Side Effects," October 2004.) with the ability to suppress nondelivery notifications to generate a private copy of selected messages with no side effects or error notifications:

```
require ["copy", "redirect-dsn"];

# Make a private copy of messages from user@example.com
if address "from" "user@example.com"
{
    redirect :copy :notify "NEVER" "elsewhere@example.com";
}
```

7. redirect-deliverby extension

TOC

The "redirect-deliverby" extension does not define any new tests or actions, rather, it adds three new arguments, BYTIME, BYMODE, and BYTRACE, to the redirect action defined in Section 4.2 of [RFC5228] (Guenther, P. and T. Showalter, "Sieve: An Email Filtering Language," January 2008.). This updates the usage description for redirect to:

:bytimerelative specifies the number of seconds within which the message should be delivered. This parameter does not allow specification of negative values; it should not be necessary specify such values in this context. :bytimeabsolute specifies an absolute time limit on delivery. The limit in this case is specified in the restricted ISO 8601 format specified by the date-time ABN production given in [RFC3339] (Klyne, G., Ed. and C. Newman, "Date and Time on the Internet: Timestamps," July 2002.)

:bymode specifies whether a notification should be sent or the message simply returned if the time limit is exceeded. The default is "return" if :bymode is not specified. :bytrace, if specified, activates message tracing.

The semantics of delivery time limits and these parameters are specified and discussed at length in [RFC2852] (Newman, D., "Deliver By SMTP Service Extension," June 2000.).

It is an error to specify either :bymode or :bytrace without either :bytimeabsolute or :bytimerelative.

When these arguments are specified, they are used to construct the corresponding BY ESMTP MAIL FROM parameter. The :bytimeabsolute or :bytimerelative value becomes the by-time, the :bymode becomes the bymode value, and :bytrace sets the by-trace modifier. If the deliver-by extension is unavailable, the handling of the redirected message MUST conform to the semantics specified in [RFC2852] (Newman, D., "Deliver By SMTP Service Extension," June 2000.) section 4.1.4 for relaying to a server that does not support the deliver-by SMTP extension.

7.1. MAIL FROM address selection

TOC

RFC 5228 does not require any particular envelope sender address be associated with redirected messages. However, the redirect-deliverby extension, like the redirect-dsn extension, isn't terribly useful if the place where any delivery status notifications are sent isn't known.

Accordingly, when either :bytimeabsolute or :bytimerelative is specified and the envelope sender address isn't empty, implementations MUST set the envelope sender address to the address of the sieve owner.

7.2. Example TOC

The obvious use of "redirect-deliverby" is to specify a limit on delivery attempts for a redirected message:

```
require ["copy", "redirect-deliverby"];

# Send a copy to my cell phone, time out after 10 minutes
if address "from" "user@example.com"
{
    redirect :copy :bytimerelative 600 "cellphone@example.com";
}
```

Limits on delivery after a particular time of day may also be constructed:

8. Security Considerations

TOC

The envelope-dsn and envelope-deliverby extensions provide access to additional message envelope information. This is not believed to raise any additional security issues beyond those for the Sieve "envelope" test.

The redirect-dsn extension allows specification of the delivery status notification's NOTIFY parameter which can cause the generation of notification messages that might otherwise not be generated, especially if notification in the event of successful delivery is required. Sites

which limit the ability to request success notifications will also need to restrict the ability to request them using the redirect-dsn extension.

Similarly, the redirect-deliverby extension is used to control how long the transport infrastructure will continue to attempt to deliver a message before giving up, which could result in the generation of additional notification messages. While the underlying Deliver-By extension does have a minimum by-time limit, sites may wish to impose additional limits on the minimum by-time allowed in a redirect action. All of the security considerations given in the base Sieve specification also apply to this extension.

9. IANA Considerations

TOC

The following template specifies the IANA registration of the Sieve extension specified in this document:

To: iana@iana.org

Subject: Registration of new Sieve extensions

Capability name: envelope-dsn

Description: The "envelope-dsn" extension extends the envelope

test to allow checking of information associated with the DSN ESMTP extension defined in RFC 3461.

RFC number: RFC XXXX

Contact address: Sieve discussion list <sieve@ietf.org>

Capability name: envelope-deliverby

Description: The "envelope-deliverby" extension extends the

envelope test to allow checking of information associated with the Deliver-By ESMTP extension

defined in RFC 2852.

RFC number: RFC XXXX

Contact address: Sieve discussion list <sieve@ietf.org>

Capability name: redirect-dsn

Description: The "redirect-dsn" extension extends the redirect

action to allow specification of the NOTIFY and RET ESMTP parameters associated with the DSN SMTP

extension defined in RFC 3461.

RFC number: RFC XXXX

Contact address: Sieve discussion list <sieve@ietf.org>

Capability name: redirect-deliverby

Description: The "redirect-deliverby" extension extends the

redirect action to allow specification of the BY $\ensuremath{\mathsf{ESMTP}}$ parameter associated with the Deliver-By $\ensuremath{\mathsf{SMTP}}$

extension defined in RFC 2852.

RFC number: RFC XXXX

Contact address: Sieve discussion list <sieve@ietf.org>

This information should be added to the list of sieve extensions given on http://www.iana.org/assignments/sieve-extensions.

10. References

TOC

10.1. Normative references

TOC

	Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels," BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997 (TXT, HTML, XML).	
[RFC2852]	Newman, D., " <u>Deliver By SMTP Service Extension</u> ," RFC 2852, June 2000 (<u>TXT</u>).	
[RFC3339]	Klyne, G., Ed. and C. Newman, "Date and Time on the Internet: Timestamps," RFC 3339, July 2002 (TXT, HTML, XML).	
[RFC3461]	Moore, K., "Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP) Service Extension for Delivery Status Notifications (DSNs)," RFC 3461, January 2003 (TXT).	
[RFC5228]	Guenther, P. and T. Showalter, "Sieve: An Email Filtering Language," RFC 5228, January 2008 (TXT).	
[RFC5231]	Segmuller, W. and B. Leiba, "Sieve Email Filtering: Relational Extension," RFC 5231, January 2008 (TXT).	
[RFC5234]	Crocker, D. and P. Overell, " <u>Augmented BNF for Syntax</u> <u>Specifications: ABNF</u> ," STD 68, RFC 5234, January 2008 (<u>TXT</u>).	
[RFC5321]	Klensin, J., " <u>Simple Mail Transfer Protocol</u> ," RFC 5321, October 2008 (<u>TXT</u>).	

10.2. Informative references

TOC

[RFC3501]	Crispin, M., "INTERNET MESSAGE ACCESS PROTOCOL - VERSION 4rev1," RFC 3501, March 2003 (TXT).
[RFC3894]	Degener, J., "Sieve Extension: Copying Without Side Effects," RFC 3894, October 2004 (TXT).
[RFC5229]	Homme, K., "Sieve Email Filtering: Variables Extension," RFC 5229, January 2008 (TXT).
[RFC5270]	Jang, H., Jee, J., Han, Y., Park, S., and J. Cha, "Mobile IPv6 Fast Handovers over IEEE 802.16e Networks," RFC 5270, June 2008 (TXT).

Appendix A. Acknowledgements

TOC

Cyrus Daboo, Derek Diget, Philip Guenther, Arnt Gulbrandsen, Tero Kivinen, Barry Leiba, Andrew McKeon, Alexey Melnikov, Chris Newman, Aaron Stone, and Alexandros Vellis provided helpful suggestions and corrections.

Author's Address TOC

	Ned Freed
	Oracle
	800 Royal Oaks
	Monrovia, CA 91016-6347
	USA
Email:	ned.freed@mrochek.com